

# SAR TEST REPORT



Report No.: 15070468-FCC-H

Supersede Report No.: N/A

Applicant	Swagtek	
Product Name	Feature phone	
Model No.	LO-M1222	
Serial No.	LO-M1122	
Standards	FCC 47 CFR Part2(2.1093) ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1999 IEEE 1528-2013 & Published RF Exposure KDB Procedures	
Test Date	June 29 to June 30, 2015	
Issue Date	July 1, 2015	
Test Result	PASS	
Equipment complied with the specification	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Equipment did not comply with the specification	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Wiky Jam	Chris You	
Wiky Jam Test Engineer	Chris You Checked By	
This test report may be reproduced in full only Test result presented in this test report is applicable to the tested sample only		

Issued by:

**SIEMIC (SHENZHEN-CHINA) LABORATORIES**

Zone A, Floor 1, Building 2 Wan Ye Long Technology Park

South Side of Zhoushi Road, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong China 518108

Phone: +86 0755 2601 4629801 Email: [China@siemic.com.cn](mailto:China@siemic.com.cn)

## Laboratory Introduction

SIEMIC, headquartered in the heart of Silicon Valley, with superior facilities in US and Asia, is one of the leading independent testing and certification facilities providing customers with one-stop shop services for Compliance Testing and Global Certifications.



In addition to testing and certification, SIEMIC provides initial design reviews and compliance management throughout a project. Our extensive experience with China, Asia Pacific, North America, European, and International compliance requirements, assures the fastest, most cost effective way to attain regulatory compliance for the global markets.

### Accreditations for Conformity Assessment

Country/Region	Scope
USA	EMC, RF/Wireless, SAR, Telecom
Canada	EMC, RF/Wireless, SAR, Telecom
Taiwan	EMC, RF, Telecom, SAR, Safety
Hong Kong	RF/Wireless, SAR, Telecom
Australia	EMC, RF, Telecom, SAR, Safety
Korea	EMI, EMS, RF, SAR, Telecom, Safety
Japan	EMI, RF/Wireless, SAR, Telecom
Singapore	EMC, RF, SAR, Telecom
Europe	EMC, RF, SAR, Telecom, Safety

Test Report	15070468-FCC-H
Page	3 of 78

---

This page has been left blank intentionally.

---

**CONTENTS**

1	EUT INFORMATION.....	5
2	TECHNICAL DETAILS.....	6
3	INTRODUCTION .....	7
4	SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP .....	8
5	ANSI/IEEE C95.1 – 1999 RF EXPOSURE LIMIT .....	19
6	SYSTEM AND LIQUID VERIFICATION .....	20
7	UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT.....	28
8	TEST INSTRUMENT.....	31
9	OUTPUT POWER VERIFICATION.....	32
10	SAR TEST RESULTS .....	35
11	SAR MEASUREMENT REFERENCES.....	39
	ANNEX A CALIBRATION REPORTS .....	42
	ANNEX B SAR SYSTEM PHOTOGRAPHS.....	75
	ANNEX C SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS .....	76

## 1 EUT INFORMATION

<u>EUT Information</u>	
<b>EUT Description</b>	Mobile Phone
<b>Model No</b>	LO-M1222
<b>Input Power</b>	Li-ion Battery Charging Voltage:3.7V , 800mAh Charge Cut-off Voltage: 4.2V
<b>Maximum Conducted Output Power to Antenna</b>	GSM 850(Class 4) : 32.20dBm PCS1900 (Class 1) :29.16dBm
<b>Highest Reported SAR Level(s)</b>	0.96W/Kg 1g Head Tissue 0.80W/Kg 1g Body Tissue
<b>Classification Per Stipulated Test Standard</b>	Portable Device, Class B
<b>Multi-SIM</b>	Support dual-SIM, dual standby, the multiple SIM card with two lines cannot transmitting at the same time.
<b>Co-located TX</b>	WWAN can transmit simultaneously with Bluetooth
<b>Antenna Separation distances</b>	3.0cm - WWAN antenna-to-Bluetooth antenna
<b>Antenna Type(s)</b>	PIFA Antenna(WWAN)

<b>Equipment Class</b>	<b>Highest Reported SAR ( W/kg)</b>	
	<b>Head</b>	<b>Body</b>
WWAN/PCE	0.96W/Kg	0.80W/Kg
Max Simultaneous sum SAR	1.01W/Kg	

## 2 TECHNICAL DETAILS

Purpose	Compliance testing of Mobile Phone model LO-M1222 with stipulated standard
Applicant / Client	Swagtek 10205 NW 19th Street, STE101, Miami, FL 33172 USA
Manufacturer	Swagtek 10205 NW 19th Street, STE101, Miami, FL 33172 USA
Laboratory performing the tests	SIEMIC(China-Shenzhen) Laboratories Zone A, Floor 1, Building 2, Wan Ye Long Technology Park, South Side of Zhoushi Road, Bao'an District, Shenzhen 518108, Guangdong, P.R.C. Tel: +(86) 0755-26014629 VIP Line:950-4038-0435
Test report reference number	15070468-SAR-FCC
Date EUT received	June 24th , 2015
Standard applied	See Page 9
Dates of test (from – to)	June 29, 2015~ June 30, 2015
No of Units:	1
Equipment Category:	PCE
Trade Name:	LOGIC
Model Name:	LO-M1222
RF Operating Frequency (ies)	GSM850 : 824.2 ~ 848.8 MHz(TX) / 869.2 ~ 893.8 MHz(RX) GSM1900 : 1850.2 ~ 1909.8 MHz(TX) / 1930.2 ~ 1989.8 MHz(RX) BT:2402~ 2480MHz(TX/RX)
Modulation:	GSM / GPRS : GMSK Bluetooth: GFSK, $\pi/4$ -DQPSK, 8DPSK
GPRS Multi-slot class	8/10/12
FCC ID	055M112X2

### 3 INTRODUCTION

#### Introduction

This measurement report shows compliance of the EUT with ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1999 and FCC 47 CFR Part2 (2.1093)

The test procedures, as described in IEEE 1528-2013 Standard for IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques(300MHz~6GHz) and Published RF Exposure KDB Procedures

#### SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density ( $\rho$ ).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{\rho dV} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of watts per kilogram (W/kg). SAR can be related to the electric field at a point by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

where:

- $\sigma$  = conductivity of the tissue (S/m)
- $\rho$  = mass density of the tissue (kg/m<sup>3</sup>)
- E = rms electric field strength (V/m)


## 4 SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

### Dosimetric Assessment System

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system OPENSAR from SATIMO. The system is based on a high precision robot (working range: 850 mm), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than  $\pm 0.02$  mm. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit.

The SAR measurements were conducted with dosimetric probe (manufactured by SATIMO), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure described in SAR standard with accuracy of better than  $\pm 10\%$ . The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in SAR standard and found to be better than  $\pm 0.25$  dB. The phantom used was the SAM Phantom as described in FCC supplement C, IEEE P1528 and CENELEC EN62209-1.

### Measurement System Diagram



The OPENSAR system for performing compliance tests consist of the following items:

1. A standard high precision 6-axis robot (KUKA) with controller and software.
2. KUKA Control Panel (KCP).
3. A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
4. The functions of the PC plug-in card are to perform the time critical task such as signal filtering, surveillance of the robot operation fast movement interrupts.

---

5. A computer operating Windows XP.
6. OPENSAR software.
7. Remote control with teaches pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
8. The SAM phantom enabling testing left-hand right-hand and body usage.
9. The Position device for handheld EUT.
10. Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes (see Application Note).
11. System validation dipoles to validate the proper functioning of the system.

## EP100 Probe



Construction Symmetrical design with triangular Core. Built-in shielding against static charges Calibration in air from 100 MHz to 2.5 GHz. In brain and muscle simulating tissue at frequencies from 800 to 6000 MHz (accuracy of 8%) .

Frequency 100 MHz to 6 GHz;

Linearity ; 0.25 dB (100 MHz to 6 GHz) ,

Directivity : 0.25 dB in brain tissue (rotation around probe axis) 0.5 dB in brain tissue (rotation normal probe axis)

Dynamic : 0.001W/kg to > 100W/kg;

Range Linearity: 0.25 dB

Surface : 0.2 mm repeatability in air and liquids

Dimensions Overall length: 330 mm

Tip length: 16 mm

Body diameter: 8 mm

Tip diameter: 2.6 mm

Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: <1.5 mm

Application General dosimetric up to 6 GHz

Compliance tests of GSM GSM PHONES


Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique, with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates.

It is connected to the KRC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. The 3D file of the phantom is included in OpenSAR software. The Video Positioning System allows the system to take the automatic reference and to move the probe safely and accurately on the phantom.

### E-Field Probe Calibration Process

Probe calibration is realized, in compliance with CENELEC EN50361; CEI/IEC 62209 and IEEE 1528 std, with CALISAR, SATIMO proprietary calibration system. The calibration is performed with the technique using reference waveguide.



$$SAR = \frac{4(P_{fw} - P_{bw})}{ab\delta} \cos^2\left(\pi \frac{y}{a}\right) e^{-(2z/\delta)}$$

Where :

- $P_{fw}$  = Forward Power
- $P_{bw}$  = Backward Power
- a and b = Waveguide dimensions
- $\delta$  = Skin depth

*Keithley configuration:*

Rate = Medium; Filter = ON; RDGS=10; FILTER TYPE =MOVING AVERAGE; RANGE AUTO

*After each calibration, a SAR measurement is performed on a validation dipole and compared with a NPL calibrated probe, to verify it.*

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure described in SAR standard with accuracy better than +/- 10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in SAR standard and found to be better than +/-0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested.

The free space E-field from probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies bellow 0.8 GHz, and in a waveguide above 0.8 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. E-field correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue.

## SAM Phantom

The SAM Phantom SAM29 is constructed of a fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is in compliance with the specification set in IEEE 1528 and CENELEC EN62209-1, IEC62209-2.

The phantom enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand GSM PHONE usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region.

A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot.

Shell Thickness: 2 0.2 mm

Filling Volume: Approx. 25 liters

Dimensions (H x L x W): 810 x 1000 x 500 mm

Liquid is filled to at least 15mm from the bottom of Phantom.



## Device Holder

In combination with the Generic Twin Phantom V3.0, the Mounting Device enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation points is the ear opening. The devices can be easily, accurately, and repeatedly positioned according to the FCC and CENELEC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).



*Note: A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produced infinite number of configurations [10]. To produce the worst-case condition (the hand absorbs antenna output power), the hand is omitted during the tests.*

## Data Evaluation

The OPENSAR software automatically executes the following procedure to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the valuation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe Parameters	- Sensitivity	Norm <sub>i</sub>
	- Conversion factor	ConvFi
	- Diode compression point Dcp <sub>i</sub>	
Device Parameter	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media Parameters	- Conductivity	$\sigma$
	- Density	$\rho$

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can either be found in the component documents or be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the OPENSAR components.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

Where  $V_i$  = Compensated signal of channel  $i$  ( $i = x, y, z$ )

$U_i$  = Input signal of channel  $i$  ( $i = x, y, z$ )

$cf$  = Crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)

$dcp_i$  = Diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

$$E\text{-field probes: } E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

$$H\text{-field probes: } H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}$$

Where  $V_i$  = Compensated signal of channel  $i$  ( $i = x, y, z$ )

$Norm_i$  = Sensor sensitivity of channel  $i$  ( $i = x, y, z$ )  
 $\mu V/(V/m)^2$  for E0field Probes

$ConvF$  = Sensitivity enhancement in solution

$a_{ij}$  = Sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

$f$  = Carrier frequency (GHz)

$E_i$  = Electric field strength of channel  $i$  in V/m

$H_i$  = Magnetic field strength of channel  $i$  in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{\text{tot}} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{\text{tot}}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

where  $SAR$  = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

$E_{\text{tot}}$  = total field strength in V/m

$\sigma$  = conductivity in [mho/m] or [siemens/m]

$\rho$  = equivalent tissue density in g/cm<sup>3</sup>

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field as a free space field.

$$P_{\text{pwe}} = \frac{E_{\text{tot}}^2}{3770} \quad \text{or} \quad P_{\text{pwe}} = H_{\text{tot}}^2 \cdot 37.7$$

where  $P_{\text{pwe}}$  = Equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm<sup>2</sup>

$E_{\text{tot}}$  = total electric field strength in V/m

$H_{\text{tot}}$  = total magnetic field strength in A/m

The procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps

- **Power Reference Measurement**

The reference and drift jobs are useful jobs for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. Both jobs measure the field at a specified reference position, at a selectable distance from the phantom surface. The reference position can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section. The reference job projects the selected point onto the phantom surface, orients the probe perpendicularly to the surface, and approaches the surface using the selected detection method.

- **Area Scan**

The area scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in OPENSAR software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. The scan area is defined by an editable grid. This grid is anchored at the grid reference point of the selected section in the phantom. When the area scan's property sheet is brought-up, grid was at to 15 mm by 15 mm and can be edited by a user.

- **Zoom Scan**

Zoom scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The default zoom scan measures 5 x 5 x 7 points within a cube whose base faces are centered around the maximum found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. If the preceding Area Scan job indicates more than one maximum, the number of Zoom Scans has to be enlarged accordingly (The default number inserted is 1).

- **Power Drift measurement**

The drift job measures the field at the same location as the most recent reference job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last reference measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for one reference measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. In the properties of the Drift job, the user can specify a limit for the drift and have OPENSAR software stop the measurements if this limit is exceeded.

## SAR Evaluation – Peak SAR

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the IEEE1529 standard. It can be conducted for 1 g and 10 g. The OPENSAR system allows evaluations that combine measured data and robot positions, such as:

- maximum search
- extrapolation
- boundary correction
- peak search for averaged SAR

During a maximum search, global and local maximum searches are automatically performed in 2-D after each Area Scan measurement with at least 6 measurement points. It is based on the evaluation of the local SAR gradient calculated by the Quadratic Shepard's method. The algorithm will find the global maximum and all local maxima within -2 dB of the global maxima for all SAR distributions.

## Extrapolation

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. Several measurements at different distances are necessary for the extrapolation.

They are used in the Cube Scan to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The routine uses the fourth order least square polynomial method for extrapolation. For a grid using 5x5x7 measurement points with 5mm resolution amounting to 343 measurement points, the uncertainty of the extrapolation routines is less than 1% for 1 g and 10 g cubes.

## Definition of Reference Points

### Ear Reference Point

Figure 6.2 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Phantom. The point "M" is the reference point for the center of the mouth, "LE" is the left ear reference point (ERP), and "RE" is the right ERP. The ERPs are 15mm posterior to the entrance to the ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 6.1. The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) is perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Figure 6.1). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning [5].




Figure 6.2 Front, back and side view of SAM

Figure 6.1 Close-up side view of ERP's

## Device Reference Points

Two imaginary lines on the device need to be established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device is placed in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point" (See Fig. 6.3). The "test device reference point" is then located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device is positioned so that the "vertical centerline" is bisecting the front surface of the device at its top and bottom edges, positioning the "ear reference point" on the outer surface of both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point [5].





Figure 6.3 Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line Reference Points


## Test Configuration – Positioning for Cheek / Touch

1. Position the device close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure below), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the device is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom



**Figure 7.1 Front, Side and Top View of Cheek/Touch Position**

2. Translate the device towards the phantom along the line passing through RE and LE until the device touches the ear.
3. While maintaining the device in this plane, rotate it around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline is in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (called the reference plane).
4. Rotate the device around the vertical centerline until the device (horizontal line) is symmetrical with respect to the line NF.
5. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE and maintaining the device contact with the ear, rotate the device about the line NF until any point on the device is in contact with a phantom point below the ear (cheek). See Figure below.




**Figure 7.2 Side view w/ relevant markings**

## Test Configuration – Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt

With the test device aligned in the Cheek/Touch Position":

1. While maintaining the orientation of the device, retracted the device parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the device by 15 degrees.
2. Rotate the device around the horizontal line by 15 degrees.
3. While maintaining the orientation of the device, move the device parallel to the reference plane until any part of the device touches the head. (In this position, point A is located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact is at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the device shall be reduced. The tilted position is obtained when any part of the device is in contact with the ear as well as a second part of the device is in contact with the head (see Figure below).




**Figure 7.3 Front, Side and Top View of Ear/15° Tilt Position**

## Test Position – Body Worn Configurations

### Body Worn Position

- (a) To position the device parallel to the phantom surface with either keypad up or down.
- (b) To adjust the device parallel to the flat phantom.
- (c) To adjust the distance between the device surface and the flat phantom to 1.5 cm or holster surface and the flat phantom to 0 cm.



## **5 ANSI/IEEE C95.1 – 1999 RF EXPOSURE LIMIT**

In order for users to be aware of the Body operating requirements for meeting RF exposure compliance, operating instructions and cautions statements are included in the user's manual.

### **Uncontrolled Environment**

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

### **Controlled Environment**

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

**Table 8.1 Human Exposure Limits**

	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT Professional Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR <sup>1</sup> Brain	1.60	8.00
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR <sup>2</sup> Whole Body	0.08	0.40
SPATIAL PEAK SAR <sup>3</sup> Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.00	20.00

<sup>1</sup> The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

<sup>2</sup> The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.

<sup>3</sup> The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

## 6 SYSTEM AND LIQUID VERIFICATION

### Basic SAR system validation requirements

The SAR system must be validated against its performance specifications before it is deployed. When SAR probes, system components or software are changed, upgraded or recalibrated, these must be validated with the SAR system(s) that operates with such components. Reference dipoles are used with the required tissue-equivalent media for system validation.

The detailed system validation results are maintained by each test laboratory, which are normally not required for equipment approval. Only a tabulated summary of the system validation status, according to the validation date(s), measurement frequencies, SAR probes and tissue dielectric parameters is required in the SAR report.

### System Setup

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave that comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:




Fig 8.1 System Setup for System Evaluation

1. Signal Generator
2. Amplifier
3. Directional Coupler
4. Power Meter
5. Calibrated Dipole

Note: The output power on dipole port must be calibrated to 30 dBm (1000 mW) before dipole is connected.

## System Verification Results

Frequency (MHz)	1 g SAR	10 g SAR	Local SAR at surface (above feed-point)	Local SAR at surface (y = 2 cm offset from feed-point) <sup>a</sup>
300	3.0	2.0	4.4	2.1
450	4.9	3.3	7.2	3.2
835	9.5	6.2	4.1	4.9
900	10.8	6.9	16.4	5.4
1450	29.0	16.0	50.2	6.5
1800	38.1	19.8	69.5	6.8
1900	39.7	20.5	72.1	6.6
2000	41.1	21.1	74.6	6.5
2450	52.4	24.0	104.2	7.7
3000	63.8	25.7	140.2	9.5

Prior to SAR assessment, the system is verified to 10% of the SAR measurement on the reference dipole at the time of calibration by the calibration facility. Full system validation status and result summary can be found in ANNEX A

### Target and measurement SAR after Normalized (1W):

Measurement Date	Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type (head/body)	Target SAR1g (W/kg)	Measured SAR1g (W/kg)	Normalized SAR1g (W/kg)	Deviation (%)
June 29th, 2015	835	head	9.65	0.0958	9.58	-0.7
June 29th, 2015	835	body	9.98	0.0978	9.78	-2.0
June 30th, 2015	1900	head	39.52	0.3965	39.65	0.3
June 30th, 2015	1900	body	42.88	0.4218	42.18	-1.6

Note: system check input power: 10mW

## Liquid Verification

The dielectric parameters were checked prior to assessment using the HP85070C dielectric probe kit. The dielectric parameters measured are reported in each correspondent section.

### **KDB 865664 recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters**

The head and body tissue parameters given in this below table should be used to measure the SAR of transmitters operating in 100 MHz to 6 GHz frequency range. The tissue dielectric parameters of the tissue medium at the test frequency should be within the tolerance required in this document. The dielectric parameters should be linearly interpolated between the closest pair of target frequencies to determine the applicable dielectric parameters corresponding to the device test frequency.

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by IEEE Std 1528-2003 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in 1528 are derived from tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described above and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in 1528.

Target Frequency (MHz)	Head		Body	
	$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)	$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800 – 2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

( $\epsilon_r$  = relative permittivity,  $\sigma$  = conductivity and  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ )

### **Liquid Confirmation Result:**

Temperature: 21°C , Relative humidity: 57% , Measured Date: June 29th, 2015			
835(MHz)	Description	Dielectric Parameters	
		$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (s/m)
Head	Target Value $\pm 5\%$ window	41.50 39.43 – 43.58	0.90 0.855 – 0.945
	Measurement Value	<b>40.846</b>	<b>0.918</b>
Body	Target Value $\pm 5\%$ window	55.2 52.25 – 57.75	0.97 0.922 – 1.018
	Measurement Value	<b>54.258</b>	<b>0.954</b>

Test Report	15070468-FCC-H
Page	23 of 78

Temperature: <u>21°C</u> , Relative humidity: <u>57%</u> , Measured Date: June 30th, 2015			
1900(MHz)	Description	Dielectric Parameters	
		$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma(\text{s/m})$
Head	Target Value $\pm 5\%$ window	40.00 38.00 — 42.00	1.40 1.33 — 1.47
	Measurement Value	<b>41.025</b>	<b>1.418</b>
Body	Target Value $\pm 5\%$ window	53.30 50.64 — 55.97	1.52 1.44 — 1.60
	Measurement Value	<b>53.485</b>	<b>1.514</b>




## System Verification Plots

Product Description: Dipole

Model: SID835

Test Date: June 29th, 2015

Medium(liquid type)	HSL_835
Frequency (MHz)	835.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	40.846
Conductivity (S/m)	0.918
Input power	10mW
E-Field Probe	SN 27/14 EPG230
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	11.72
Sensor-surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	0.37000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.062145
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.095823






Product Description: Dipole

Model: SID835

Test Date: June 29th, 2015

Medium(liquid type)	MSL_835
Frequency (MHz)	835.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	54.258
Conductivity (S/m)	0.954
Input power	10mW
E-Field Probe	SN 27/14 EPG230
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	12.11
Sensor-surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	0.15000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.064215
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.097824






Product Description: Dipole

Model: SID1900




Test Date: June 30th, 2015

Medium(liquid type)	HSL_1900
Frequency (MHz)	1900.000
Relative permittivity (real part)	41.025
Conductivity (S/m)	1.418
Input power	10mW
E-Field Probe	SN 27/14 EPG230
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	13.77
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	-0.42000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.221547
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.396475



**Product Description: Dipole**
**Model: SID1900**
**Test Date: June 30th, 2015**

Medium(liquid type)	MSL_1900
Frequency (MHz)	1900.000
Relative permittivity (real part)	53.485
Conductivity (S/m)	1.514
Input power	10mW
E-Field Probe	SN 27/14 EPG230
Crest factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	14.05
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	-0.38000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.245126
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.421845



## 7 UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT

The component of uncertainty may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainty by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type A evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance

A Type A evaluation of standard uncertainty may be based on any valid statistical method for treating data. This includes calculating the standard deviation of the mean of a series of independent observations; using the method of least squares to fit a curve to the data in order to estimate the parameter of the curve and their standard deviations; or carrying out an analysis of variance in order to identify and quantify random effects in certain kinds of measurement.

A type B evaluation of standard uncertainty is typically based on scientific judgment using all of the relevant information available. These may include previous measurement data, experience and specification, data provided in calibration reports and uncertainties assigned to reference data taken from handbooks. Broadly speaking, the uncertainty is either obtained from an outdoor source or obtained from an assumed distribution, such as the normal distribution, rectangular or triangular distributions indicated in Table below :

Uncertainty Distribution	Normal	Rectangle	Triangular	U Shape
Multi-plying Factor <sup>(a)</sup>	$1/k^{(b)}$	$1/\sqrt{3}$	$1/\sqrt{6}$	$1/\sqrt{2}$

(a) standard uncertainty is determined as the product of the multiplying factor and the estimated range of variations in the measured quantity

(b)  $k$  is the coverage factor

### Standard Uncertainty for Assumed Distribution

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type B -sum-by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances.

Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. Typically, the coverage factor ranges from 2 to 3. Using a coverage factor allows the true value of a measured quantity to be specified with a defined probability within the specified uncertainty range. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %.

The COMOSAR Uncertainty Budget is show in below table:

The following table includes the uncertainty table of the IEEE 1528 from 300MHz to 3GHz and KDB865664 to 6GHz too, The values are determined by Satimo.

## UNCERTAINTY FOR SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

Uncertainty Component	Tol. (± %)	Prob Dist.	Div.	ci (1 g)	ci (10 g)	1 g ui (± %)	10 g ui (± %)	Vi
<b>Measurement System</b>								
Probe Calibration	5,8	N	1	1	1	5,8	5,8	∞
Axial Isotropy	3,5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-cp)/2$	$(1-cp)/2$	1,42887	1,42887	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	5,9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{Cp}$	$\sqrt{Cp}$	2,40866	2,40866	∞
Boundary Effect	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0,57735	0,57735	∞
Linearity	4,7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2,71355	2,71355	∞
System Detection Limits	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0,57735	0,57735	∞
Readout Electronics	0,5	N	1	1	1	0,5	0,5	∞
Response Time	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0	0	∞
Integration Time	1,4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0,80829	0,80829	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1,73205	1,73205	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	1,4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0,80829	0,80829	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	1,4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0,80829	0,80829	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	2,3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1,32791	1,32791	∞
<b>Dipole</b>								
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	2	N	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1,1547	1,1547	N-1
Input Power and SAR drift measurement	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2,88675	2,88675	∞
<b>Phantom and Tissue Parameters</b>								
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2,3094	2,3094	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0,64	0,43	1,84752	1,2413	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	4	N	1	0,64	0,43	2,56	1,72	M
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0,6	0,49	1,73205	1,41451	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	5	N	1	0,6	0,49	3	2,45	M
Combined Standard Uncertainty		RSS				9,6671	9,1645	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL)		k				19,3342	18,3290	

## UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR HANDSET SAR TEST

Uncertainty Component	Tol. (± %)	Prob Dist.	Div.	$c_i$ (1 g)	$c_i$ (10 g)	$1 g$ $u_i$ (± %)	$10 g$ $u_i$ (± %)	$v_i$
<b>Measurement System</b>								
Probe Calibration	5,8	N	1	1	1	5,8	5,8	∞
Axial Isotropy	3,5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	1,43	1,43	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	5,9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	2,41	2,41	∞
Boundary Effect	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0,58	0,58	∞
Linearity	4,7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2,71	2,71	∞
System Detection Limits	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0,58	0,58	∞
Readout Electronics	0,5	N	1	1	1	0,50	0,50	∞
Response Time	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0,00	0,00	∞
Integration Time	1,4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0,81	0,81	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1,73	1,73	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	1,4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0,81	0,81	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	1,4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0,81	0,81	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	2,3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1,33	1,33	∞
<b>Test sample Related</b>								
Test Sample Positioning	2,6	N	1	1	1	2,60	2,60	N-1
Device Holder Uncertainty	3	N	1	1	1	3,00	3,00	N-1
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2,89	2,89	∞
<b>Phantom and Tissue Parameters</b>								
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2,31	2,31	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0,64	0,43	1,85	1,24	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	4	N	1	0,64	0,43	2,56	1,72	M
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0,6	0,49	1,73	1,41	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	5	N	1	0,6	0,49	3,00	2,45	M
Combined Standard Uncertainty		RSS				10,39	9,92	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL)		k				20,78	19,84	

## 8 TEST INSTRUMENT

### TEST INSTRUMENTATION

Name of Equipment	Manufacturer	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date	Calibration Due
P C	Compaq	PV 3.06GHz	375052-AA1	N/A	N/A
Signal Generator	Agilent	8665B-008	3744A10293	05/15/2015	05/15/2016
MultiMeter	Keithley	MiltiMeter 2000	1259033	06/21/2015	06/21/2016
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753ES	US39173518	08/04/2014	08/04/2015
Wireless Communication Test Set	R & S	CMU200	111078	07/22/2014	07/22/2015
Power Meter	HP	437B	3038A03648	05/17/2015	05/17/2016
E-field PROBE	SATIMO	SSE2	SN 27/14 EPG230	11/25/2014	11/24/2015
DIPOLE 835	SATIMO	SID 835	SN 18/11 DIPC 150	06/18/2015	06/18/2016
DIPOLE 1900	SATIMO	SID 1900	SN 18/11 DIPG 153	06/18/2015	06/18/2016
Communication Antenna	SATIMO	ANTA3	SN 20/11 ANTA 3	06/21/2015	06/20/2016
Laptop POSITIONING DEVICE	SATIMO	LSH15	SN 24/11 LSH15	N/A	N/A
e\POSITIONING DEVICE	SATIMO	MSH73	SN 24/11 MSH73	N/A	N/A
DUMMY PROBE	ANTENNESSA		DP41	N/A	N/A
SAM PHANTOM	SATIMO	SAM87	SN 24/11 SAM87	N/A	N/A
Elliptic Phantom	SATIMO	ELLI20	SN 20/11ELLI20	N/A	N/A
PHANTOM TABLE	SATIMO	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
6 AXIS ROBOT	KUKA	KR5	949272	N/A	N/A
high Power Solid State Amplifier (80MHz~1000MHz)	Instruments for Industry	CMC150	M631-0408	N/A	N/A
Medium Power Solid State Amplifier (0.8~4.2GHz)	Instruments for Industry	S41-25	M629-0408	N/A	N/A
Wave Tube Amplifier 4-8 GHz at 20Watt	Hughes Aircraft Company	1277H02F000	81	N/A	N/A

## 9 OUTPUT POWER VERIFICATION

### Test Condition:

1. Conducted Measurement  
EUT was set for low, mid, high channel with modulated mode and highest RF output power.  
The base station simulator was connected to the antenna terminal.
2. Conducted Emissions Measurement Uncertainty  
All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standards. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95% (in the case where distributions are normal), with a coverage factor of 2, in the range 30MHz – 40GHz is  $\pm 1.5$ dB.
3. Environmental Conditions
 

Temperature	23°C
Relative Humidity	53%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar
4. Test Date : June 29th, 2015  
Tested By : Wiky Jam

### Test Procedures:

#### GSM PHONE radio output power measurement

1. The transmitter output port was connected to base station emulator.
2. Establish communication link between emulator and EUT and set EUT to operate at maximum output power all the time.
3. Select lowest, middle, and highest channels for each band and different possible test mode.
4. Measure the conducted peak burst power and conducted average burst power from EUT antenna port.

#### Other radio output power measurement

The output power was measured using power meter at low, mid, and hi channels.

### Source-based Time Averaged Burst Power Calculation:

For TDMA, the following duty cycle factor was used to calculate the source-based time average power

Number of Time slot	1	2	3	4
Duty Cycle	1:8	1:4	1:2.66	1:2
Duty cycle factor	-9.03 dB	-6.02 dB	-4.26 dB	-3.01 dB
Crest Factor	8	4	2.66	2

**Remark:** Time slot duty cycle factor =  $10 * \log (1 / \text{Time Slot Duty Cycle})$

Source based time averaged power = Maximum burst averaged power (1 Uplink) – 9.03 dB

Source based time averaged power = Maximum burst averaged power (2 Uplink) – 6.02 dB

Source based time averaged power = Maximum burst averaged power (4 Uplink) – 3.01 dB

**Test Result:**
**GSM:**

Burst Average Power (dBm);								
Band	GSM850				PCS1900			
Channel	128	190	251	Tune up Power tolerant	512	661	810	Tune up Power tolerant
Frequency (MHz)	824.2	836.6	848.8	/	1850.2	1880	1909.8	/
GSM Voice (1 uplink),GMSK	31.39	31.85	32.2	32±1	29.08	28.95	29.16	29±1
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 8 (1 uplink),GMSK	31.37	31.82	32.19	32±1	29.07	28.94	29.15	29±1
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 10 (2 uplink) GMSK	29.21	29.74	30.09	30±1	27.39	27.97	27.58	28±1
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 12 (4 uplink) GMSK	26.18	26.22	26.38	26±1	24.23	24.4	24.34	24±1

Remark :  
 GPRS, CS1 coding scheme.  
 Multi-Slot Class 8 , Support Max 4 downlink, 1 uplink , 5 working link  
 Multi-Slot Class 10 , Support Max 4 downlink, 2 uplink , 5 working link  
 Multi-Slot Class 12 , Support Max 4 downlink, 4 uplink , 5 working link

Source Based time Average Power (dBm)								
Band	GSM850				PCS1900			
Channel	128	190	251	Time Average factor	512	661	810	Time Average factor
Frequency (MHz)	824.2	836.6	848.8	/	1850.2	1880	1909.8	/
GSM Voice (1 uplink),GMSK	22.36	22.82	23.17	-9.03	20.05	19.92	20.13	-9.03
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 8 (1 uplink),GMSK	22.34	22.79	23.16	-9.03	20.04	19.91	20.12	-9.03
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 10 (2 uplink),GMSK	23.19	23.72	24.07	-6.02	21.37	21.95	21.56	-6.02
GPRS Multi-Slot Class 12 (4 uplink),GMSK	23.17	23.21	23.37	-3.01	21.22	21.39	21.33	-3.01

Remark :  
 Time average factor = 1 uplink ,  $10 \log(1/8) = -9.03 \text{ dB}$  , 2 uplink ,  $10 \log(2/8) = -6.02 \text{ dB}$  , 4 uplink ,  $10 \log(4/8) = -3.01 \text{ dB}$   
 Source based time average power = Burst Average power + Time Average factor

**Note:** 1. due to the source based time average power; Body SAR was performed at GPRS Multi-slot class 10.

### Bluetooth Measurement Result

Mode	Frequency (MHz)	Output Power(dBm)	Tune up limited(dBm)
GFSK	2402	-1.622	-2.0±1
	2441	-1.966	-2.0±1
	2480	-3.531	-3.0±1
$\pi$ /4DQPSK	2402	0.178	0±1
	2441	-0.169	0±1
	2480	-1.633	-2.0±1
8DPSK	2402	0.543	0±1
	2441	0.254	0±1
	2480	-1.404	-1.0±1

**Note:** 1. BT power was test and only Maximum Power was provide here.

2. SAR Test Exclusion Threshold for BT is about 9.6mW, the maximum tune up power of BT is 1.0dBm=1.26mW, no stand-alone SAR is required.

## 10 SAR TEST RESULTS

### Test Condition:

1. SAR Measurement  
The distance between the EUT and the antenna of the emulator is more than 50 cm and the output power radiated from the emulator antenna is at least 30 dB less than the output power of EUT.
2. Measurement Uncertainty: See page 26 for detail
3. Environmental Conditions
 

Temperature	23°C
Relative Humidity	53%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar
4. Test Date : June 29th, 2015~ June 30th, 2015  
Tested By : Wiky Jam

### Test Procedures:

1. Establish communication link between EUT and base station emulation by air link.
2. Consider the SAR test reduction per FCC KDB guide line. For GSM/GPRS/EGPRS, set EUT into highest output power channel with test mode which has the maximum source-based time-averaged burst power listed in power table. If the source-based time-average output power for each data mode of EGPRS is lower than that in normal GPRS mode, then testing under EGPRS mode is not necessary.
3. Place the EUT in the selected test position. (Cheek, tilt or flat)
4. Perform SAR testing at highest output power channel under the selected test mode. If the measured 1-g SAR is  $\leq 0.8$  W/kg, then testing for the other channel will not be performed.
5. When SAR is  $<0.8$  W/kg, no repeated SAR measurement is required

SAR measurement system will proceed the following basic steps:

1. Initial power reference measurement
2. Area Scan
3. Zoom Scan
4. Power drift measurement

**SAR Summary Test Result:**
**GSM850**

Date of Measured : June 29th, 2015			Body Separation Distance:1.5cm					
Position	Channel	Mode	SAR 1g(W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)	Power Drift (%)	Maximum Turn-up Power(dBm)	measured output power (dBm)	Scaled Maximum SAR(W/kg)
Right Head Cheek	Mid	GSM voice	0.689	1.6	0.32	33	31.85	0.90
Right Head Tilt	Mid	GSM voice	0.563	1.6	0.88	33	31.85	0.73
Left Head Cheek	Mid	GSM voice	0.736	1.6	3.25	33	31.85	0.96
Left Head Tilt	Mid	GSM voice	0.381	1.6	-1.84	33	31.85	0.50
Body Front side	Mid	GPRS Class10	0.377	1.6	-2.69	31	29.74	0.50
Body Back-side	Mid	GPRS Class10	0.595	1.6	-2.76	31	29.74	0.80

**PCS1900:**

Date of Measured : June 30th, 2015			Body Separation Distance:1.5cm					
Position	Channel	Mode	SAR 1g(W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)	Power Drift (%)	Maximum Turn-up Power(dBm)	measured output power (dBm)	Scaled Maximum SAR(W/kg)
Right Head Cheek	Mid	GSM voice	0.395	1.6	1.64	30	28.95	0.50
Right Head Tilt	Mid	GSM voice	0.366	1.6	-0.52	30	28.95	0.47
Left Head Cheek	Mid	GSM voice	0.396	1.6	-1.95	30	28.95	0.50
Left Head Tilt	Mid	GSM voice	0.563	1.6	-1.10	30	28.95	0.72
Body Front side	Mid	GPRS Class10	0.206	1.6	0.51	29	27.97	0.26
Body Back-side	Mid	GPRS Class10	0.314	1.6	-3.64	29	27.97	0.40

## Measurement variability consideration

According to KDB 865664 D01v01 section 2.8.1, repeated measurements are required following the procedures as below:


1. Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is  $< 0.80\text{W/kg}$ ; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
2. When the original highest measured SAR is  $\geq 0.80\text{ W/kg}$ , repeat that measurement once.
3. Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is  $> 1.20$  or when the original or repeated measurement is  $\geq 1.45\text{ W/kg}$  ( $\sim 10\%$  from the 1-g SAR limit).
4. Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is  $\geq 1.5\text{ W/kg}$  and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is  $> 1.20$ .

Measured SAR (W/Kg)

## Repeated SAR:

Note: No repeated SAR was required

## Antenna Separation Information:



## Simultaneous Transmission SAR Analysis.

No.	Applicable Simultaneous Transmission Combination
1.	WWAN+BT

Note:

1. For simultaneous transmission analysis, Bluetooth SAR is estimated per KDB 447498 D01 v05 base on the formula below:
  - $(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW})/(\text{min. test separation distance, mm}) \cdot [\sqrt{f_{(\text{GHz})}/x}] \text{ W/kg}$  for *test separation distances*  $\leq 50 \text{ mm}$ ;  
where  $x = 7.5$  for 1-g SAR, and  $x = 18.75$  for 10-g SAR.
  - 0.4 W/kg for 1-g SAR and 1.0 W/kg for 10-g SAR, when the *test separation distances* is  $> 50 \text{ mm}$ .<sup>21</sup>
2. If the *test separation distances* is  $\leq 5 \text{ mm}$ , 5mm is used for estimated SAR calculation.
3. BT's maximum tune up power is 1.0dBm and the estimated SAR is listed below.

Test position	Head(0cm)	Body(1.5cm)
BT Estimated SAR(W/kg)	0.05	0.02

### Maximum Summation:

position	WWAN	BT	WWAN+BT
	Max. Scaled SAR	Max. Scaled SAR	
Head 0cm	0.96	0.05	1.01
Body 1.5cm	0.80	0.02	0.82

Note: 1, 1g-SAR scalar summation  $< 1.6 \text{ W/kg}$ , so no simultaneous SAR is required.

2, the value of distance of BT antenna-to-body and distance of test is the total distance sum.

## 11 SAR MEASUREMENT REFERENCES

### References

1. FCC 47 CFR Part 2 “Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules and Regulations”
2. IEEE Std. C95.1-1991, “IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3kHz to 300GHz”, 1991
3. IEEE Std. 1528-2013, “IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques”, June 2013
4. IEC 62209-2, “Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices—Human models, instrumentation, and procedures – Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate(SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body(frequency range of 30MHz to 6GHz)”, April 2010
5. FCC KDB 447498 D01 v05r02, “Mobile and Portable Device RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies”, Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, 2014
6. FCC KDB 941225 D04 v01, “Evaluation SAR for GSM/(E)GPRS Dual Transfer Mode”, January 27 2010
7. FCC KDB 941225 D03 v01, “Evaluation SAR Test Reduction Procedures for GSM/GPRS/EDGE”, December 2008
8. FCC KDB 865664 D01, “SAR Measurement Requirements 100MHz to 6GHz”, Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, 2014
9. FCC KDB648474 D04, SAR Evaluation Considerations for Wireless Handsets. Dec 4<sup>th</sup>, 2013
10. FCC KDB 941225 D06 V02, SAR Evaluation Procedures for Portable Devices with Wireless Router Capabilities, Oct 16<sup>th</sup>, 2014.

## Maximum SAR measurement Plots

Test mode: GSM850, Middle channel (Left Head Cheek)




Product Description: GSM PHONE

Model: LO-M1222

Test Date: June 29th, 2015

Medium(liquid type)	HSL_850
Frequency (MHz)	836.6
Relative permittivity (real part)	41.254
Conductivity (S/m)	1.012
E-Field Probe	SN 27/14 EPG230
Crest factor	8.0
Conversion Factor	11.72
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	3.250000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.485214
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.736277

### SURFACE SAR






Test mode: GSM 850, Mid channel (Body Down Side)

Product Description: GSM PHONE

Model: LO-M1222

Test Date: June 29th, 2015

Medium(liquid type)	MSL_850
Frequency (MHz)	836.6
Relative permittivity (real part)	54.870
Conductivity (S/m)	1.104
E-Field Probe	SN 27/14 EPG230
Crest factor	4.0
Conversion Factor	12.11
Sensor-Surface	4mm
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7, dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	-2.760000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.406883
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.594837

**SURFACE SAR**

**VOLUME SAR**


## Annex A CALIBRATION REPORTS


### SARTIMO Calibration Certificate-Extended Dipole Calibrations

According to KDB865664 D01, Dipoles must be recalibrated at least once every three years; however, immediate re-calibration is required for following conditions. The test laboratory must ensure that the required supporting information and documentation have been included in the SAR report to qualify for extended 3-year calibration interval.


- 1) When the most recent return-loss, measured at least annually, deviates by more than 20% from the previous measurement (i.e. 0.2 of the dB value) or not meeting the required -20 dB return-loss specification
- 2) When the most recent measurement of the real or imaginary parts of the impedance, measured at least annually, deviates by more than  $5\Omega$  from the previous measurement

### Dipole Verification plot: SID 835 SN 31/10 DIPC150

#### 835MHz for Head:




#### 835MHz for Body:




### Dipole Verification plot: SID 1900 SN 31/10 DIPG153

#### 1900MHz for Head:



#### 1900MHz for Body:



**SID 835 SN 31/10 DIPC150 For Head**

Return- Loss (dB)	Deviate (dB)	Real Impedance (Ω)	Imaginary Impedance (Ω)	Deviate (Ω)	Calibrate Date
-26.34	-----	-----	50	-----	06/018/2014
-25.95	0.39	51.979	50	1.979	06/24/2015

**SID 835 SN 31/10 DIPC150 For Body**

-26.105	0.235	52.68	50	2.68	06/24/2015
---------	-------	-------	----	------	------------

**SID 1900 SN 31/10 DIPCG153 For Head**

Return- Loss (dB)	Deviate (dB)	Real Impedance (Ω)	Imaginary Impedance (Ω)	Deviate (Ω)	Calibrate Date
-21.22	-----	-----	50	-----	06/18/2014
-20.818	0.402	47.348	50	-2.652	06/25/2015

**SID 1900 SN 31/10 DIPG153 For Body**

-21.154	0.066	48.680	50	-1.32	06/25/2015
---------	-------	--------	----	-------	------------

According to up table, the return loss is <-20dB, deviates by less than 20% from the previous measurement; the real Impedance are all within 5 Ω compared to the required Impedance (50 Ω).



## COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.330.4.14.SATU.A

### SIEMIC TESTING AND CERTIFICATION SERVICES

ZONE A,FLOOR 1,BUILDING 2,WAN YE LONG  
TECHNOLOGY PARK,SOUTH SIDE OF ZHOUSHI ROAD,  
SHIYAN STREET,BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN 518108 ,  
GUANGDONG , P.R.C.

**SATIMO COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE**  
SERIAL NO.: SN 27/14 EPG230

Calibrated at SATIMO US

2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144



11/25/2014

#### Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR Dosimetric E-Field Probe calibration performed in SATIMO USA using the CALISAR / CALIBAIR test bench, for use with a SATIMO COMOSAR system only. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.330.4.14.SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	11/26/2014	
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	11/26/2014	
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	11/26/2014	

	Customer Name
Distribution :	SIEMIC Testing and Certification Services

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	11/26/2014	Initial release



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Device Under Test .....	4
2	Product Description .....	4
2.1	General Information .....	4
3	Measurement Method .....	4
3.1	Linearity .....	4
3.2	Sensitivity .....	5
3.3	Lower Detection Limit .....	5
3.4	Isotropy .....	5
3.5	Boundary Effect .....	5
4	Measurement Uncertainty .....	5
5	Calibration Measurement Results .....	6
5.1	Sensitivity in air .....	6
5.2	Linearity .....	7
5.3	Sensitivity in liquid .....	7
5.4	Isotropy .....	8
6	List of Equipment .....	10


**COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT**

Ref: ACR\_330.4.14.SATU.A

**1 DEVICE UNDER TEST**

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE
Manufacturer	Satimo
Model	SSE2
Serial Number	SN 27/14 EPG230
Product Condition (new / used)	New
Frequency Range of Probe	0.7 GHz-6GHz
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1: R1=0.208 MΩ Dipole 2: R2=0.200 MΩ Dipole 3: R3=0.214 MΩ

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

**2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION**
**2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION**

Satimo's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards.



**Figure 1 – Satimo COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole**

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	2 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	2.5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	1 mm

**3 MEASUREMENT METHOD**

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

**3.1 LINEARITY**

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.


**COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT**

Ref: ACR.330.4.14.SATU.A

### 3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

### 3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

### 3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 - 360 degrees in 15 degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis (0°-180°) in 15° increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis (0°-360°).

### 3.5 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

## **4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY**

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of  $k=2$ , traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

Uncertainty analysis of the probe calibration in waveguide					
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)
Incident or forward power	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Reflected power	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Liquid conductivity	5.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.887%
Liquid permittivity	4.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.309%
Field homogeneity	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Field probe positioning	5.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.887%
Field probe linearity	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%


**COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT**

Ref: ACR.330.4.14.SATU.A

Combined standard uncertainty					5.831%
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level k = 2					12.0%

**5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS**

Calibration Parameters	
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %


**5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR**

Normx dipole 1 ( $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$ )	Normy dipole 2 ( $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$ )	Normz dipole 3 ( $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$ )
0.65	0.69	0.64

DCP dipole 1 (mV)	DCP dipole 2 (mV)	DCP dipole 3 (mV)
93	96	95


 Calibration curves  $e_i = f(V)$  ( $i=1,2,3$ ) allow to obtain H-field value using the formula:

$$E = \sqrt{E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2}$$

**Calibration curves**



**COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT**

Ref: ACR.330.4.14.SATU.A

**5.2 LINEARITY**
**Linearity**

Linearity: +/-1.07% (+/-0.05dB)
**5.3 SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID**

Liquid	Frequency (MHz +/- 100MHz)	Permittivity	Epsilon (S/m)	ConvF
HL750	750	41.85	0.90	11.09
BL750	750	56.28	1.00	11.43
HL850	835	42.59	0.90	11.72
BL850	835	53.19	0.97	12.11
HL900	900	42.25	0.97	11.63
BL900	900	56.41	1.08	11.96
HL1800	1800	41.10	1.39	11.90
BL1800	1800	53.00	1.52	12.37
HL1900	1900	40.88	1.43	13.77
BL1900	1900	53.93	1.55	14.05
HL2000	2000	39.52	1.44	12.99
BL2000	2000	53.65	1.54	13.49
HL2450	2450	38.85	1.79	13.27
BL2450	2450	52.70	1.94	13.74
HL2600	2600	38.15	1.93	12.84
BL2600	2600	51.55	2.20	13.02
HL3500	3500	37.20	2.87	12.39
BL3500	3500	52.65	3.21	12.76
HL5200	5200	36.44	4.79	11.36
BL5200	5200	50.70	5.11	11.61
HL5400	5400	35.99	4.91	12.03
BL5400	5400	50.01	5.64	12.37
HL5600	5600	35.22	5.18	12.06
BL5600	5600	49.34	5.85	12.53
HL5800	5800	34.95	5.42	11.77
BL5800	5800	48.54	6.22	12.05

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 9mW/kg

Page: 7/10




## COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.330.4.14.SATUA

### 5.4 ISOTROPY


#### HL900 MHz

- Axial isotropy: 0.04 dB
- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.06 dB



#### HL1800 MHz

- Axial isotropy: 0.04 dB
- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.08 dB






COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR-330.4.14.SATULA

**HL5600 MHz**

- Axial isotropy: 0.05 dB
- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.08 dB



## 6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
Flat Phantom	Satimo	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2013	02/2016
Reference Probe	Satimo	EP 94 SN 37/08	10/2014	10/2015
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	12/2013	12/2016
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	12/2013	12/2016
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	12/2013	12/2016
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	12/2013	12/2016
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Waveguide	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-712	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Transition	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Termination	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Control Company	11-661-9	8/2012	8/2015