

22 November 2000

Mr. Richard Fabina
OFFICE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY
Federal Communications Commission
7435 Oakland Mills Road
Columbia, MD 21046-1609

RE:

October 31, 2000 fax to Cubic Communications, Inc., Mr. Clive Winkler

FCC ID:

NVSMTC-100TMCPA

Dear Mr. Fabina:

Enclosed are responses to your above mentioned fax to Cubic Communications. The package includes your fax page, two pages with the questions 1 through 6 (A through F) addressed, an attestion statement, and data.

If this does not resolve the issues with this application and further information is needed, please contact me at grants-sd@tuvam.com.

Sincerely,

Judy Evans Technical Writer

Gudy Elmo

enclosures

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Laboratory Division 7435 Oakland Mills Road Columbia, MD 21046

October 31, 2000

Cubic Communications Inc 9535 Waples Street San Diego, CA 92121-2953

Attention:

Clive Winkler

Re:

Application dated 10/11/00 and granted 10/31/00 Applicant Name: Cubic Communications Inc.

Equipment Class: TNB-Licensed Non-Broadcast Station Transmitter

FCC ID: NVSMTC-100TMCPA

Gentlemen:

Under the authority of Section 1.113 of the Commission's Rules, the above referenced Grant of Equipment Authorization is hereby SET ASIDE.

The grant of equipment authorization is set aside for the following reasons:

- 1. Modulation limiting data required by Section 2.1047(b) of the FCC Rules was not submitted.
- 2. The occubied bandwidth test was not made with the 2500 Hz topo presented by Section 2.1049(c)(1) the Rules.
- 3. No response to the audio low-pass filter requirement of Section 80.213(e) was given.
- 4. No response to the frequency selection capability of Section 80.203 was given.
- 5. No response to the DSC, requirement under Section 80.203(n) and 80.225 was given.
- 6. For your information Please note that the attenuation requirement for requirement spurious emissions is prescribed as 43 + 10Log(P). This is referenced to the desired signal yielding a level referenced to the carrier or dBc. The attenuation specification is not a specified field strength level or XX uV/M. The dBc is determined from the substitution method as described in the ANSI/TIA/EIA-603-1992 document.

The result of this action today reverts the Grant of Equipment Authorization to a pending application status with all rights for amendment, dismissal or denial. As another result of this action, authorization for marketing has been withdrawn. If importation or marketing has begun, these actions should cease immediately.

Questions concerning this matter may be directed to Richard Pabina at the above address.

Sincerely,

Kenneth R. Nichola, Chief

Laboratory Division

FCC FAX.DOC 11-20-00

A. In response to Item 1 of The FCC communication from Kenneth Nichols dated 10-31-00.

We have attached measured data taken on 11/21/00 according to this specification. Data and Plot of the Output Bandwidth vs the Audio Input Level. See page 4 for the plot of the data and pages 5 through 11

Input Level dBm)	Output Bandwidth (kHz)	
16	15.29	
10	15.34	
0	15.29	
-10	15.29	
-20	15.29	
-30	10.45	
-40	5.50	

. •

B. In response to Item 2 of The FCC communication from Kenneth Nichols dated 10-31-00.

We have attached measured data taken on 11/02/00 and 11-21-00 according to this specification. See pages 5 and 12 through 14

C. In response to Item 3 of The FCC communication from Kenneth Nichols dated 10-31-00.

We have attached measured data taken on 11/21/00 according to this specification. See page 15 for the plotted data.

60log10(f/3) =		
Freq (Hz)	Log (f/3)	Attenuation
		(dB)
3000	. 1	0
4000	0.1249	7.49
5000	0.2218	13.31
6000	0.3010	18.06
8000	0.4260	25.55
10000	0.5229	31.37
15000	0.6990	41.93
20000	0.8240	49.43

[&]quot;Modulation limiting data required by Section 2.1047(b) of the FCC Rules was not submitted."

[&]quot;The occupied bandwidth test was not made with the 2500 Hz tone prescribed by Section 2.1049 (c)(1) the Rules."

[&]quot;No response to the audio low-pass filter requirement of Section 80.213 (e) was given."

- **D.** In response to Item 4 of The FCC communication from Kenneth Nichols dated 10-31-00.
- "4. No response to the frequency selection capability of Section 80.203 was given."

The MTC-100 transmitter is a Remotely Controlled Transmitter. It IS NOT capable of being controlled by station operators as there are NO local, external controls. (Except for serial communications set-up. ie. "DIP" switches for Baud Rate and Serial Address.)

The frequencies, bandwidths and modulation are controlled by the AMTS computer.

- **E.** In response to Item 5 of The FCC communication from Kenneth Nichols dated 10-31-00.
- 5. "No response to the DSC requirement under Section 80.203(n) and 80.225 was given."

The MTC100T/MCPA system is designed to be used with AMTS. "This requirement does not apply to transmitters used with AMTS..." [47-80.203(n)]

- **F.** In response to Item 6 of The FCC communication from Kenneth Nichols dated 10-31-00.
- 6. (Spurious emissions in dBc rather than dB μ v/m)

On the 14 November 2000 TCB Council conference call the following was discussed: A question was posed about substitution method and absolute measurements. The FCC will need to discuss this in greater detail. The answer will be forwarded to ACIL who will distribute it to conference call attendees.

To Whom it May Concern:

This is to certify that the following data supplied to TUV by Cubic Communications, Inc., for FCC ID: NVSMTC-100TMCPA, were obtained based on tests performed in accordance with the appropriate Sections of the FCC Rules.

Modulation Limiting

Section 2.1047(b)

Occupied Bandwidth

Section 2.1049(c)(1)

Audio Low-Pass Filter

Section 80.213(e)

Signed

MicHAEL E. Norman

Date























