



SAR Evaluation Report

**IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF
FCC REPORT AND ORDER:
ET DOCKET 93-62, AND OET BULLETIN 65 SUPPLEMENT C**

FOR

EXPRESS MINI-PCI USB WIRELESS CDMA MODEM MODULE

Model: MC5720

FCC ID: N7N-MC5720

REPORT NUMBER: 06U10160-3

ISSUE DATE: MARCH 30, 2006

Prepared for

**SIERRA WIRELESS
2290 COSMOS CT
CARLSBAD CA 92009
UNITED STATES**

Prepared by

**COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION SERVICES
561F MONTEREY ROAD,
MORGAN HILL, CA 95037, USA**



LAB CODE:200065-0

Revision History

<u>Rev.</u>	<u>Issued date</u>	<u>Revisions</u>	<u>Revised By</u>
--	March 30, 2006	Initial Issue	HS

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)**DATES OF TEST:** March 23, 2006


APPLICANT: ADDRESS:	SIERRA WIRELESS 2290 COSMOS CT CARLSBAD CA 92009, UNITED STATES
FCC ID: MODEL:	N7N-MC5720 MC5720
DEVICE CATEGORY: EXPOSURE CATEGORY:	Portable Device General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure

Express MINI-PCI USB Wireless CDMA Modem Module is installed in R1 Note 15" host device.			
Test Sample is a:	Production unit		
Host device(s):	<u>Host Devices</u> R1 Note 15"	<u>WLAN Module / FCC ID</u> Intel Golan / PD9LEN3945ABG	
FCC Rule Parts	Frequency Range [MHz]	The Highest SAR Values [1g]	The Highest Collocation SAR Values [1g]
22H	824.7 – 848.31	0.041	0.042
24E	1851.25 – 1908.75	0.112	0.107
This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC OET 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01). And RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional) September 25, 1999. The maximum 1g SAR level measured for all the tests performed did not exceed the limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg) Partial Body of 1.6 W/kg. Level defined in Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (97-01). Note: The results documented in this report apply only to the tested sample, under the conditions and modes of operation as described herein. This document may not be altered or revised in any way unless done so by Compliance Certification Services and all revisions are duly noted in the revisions section. Any alteration of this document not carried out by Compliance Certification Services will constitute fraud and shall nullify the document. No part of this report may be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any government agency.			
Approved & Released For CCS By:		Tested By:	
			
Hsin Fu Shih Senior Engineer Compliance Certification Services		Ninous Davoudi EMC Engineer Compliance Certification Services	

Table of Contents

1	EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT) DESCRIPTION.....	5
2	FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION	5
3	SYSTEM DESCRIPTION	6
3.1	COMPOSITION OF INGREDIENTS FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID.....	7
4	SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETERS CHECK.....	8
4.1	SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETER CHECK RESULT.....	9
5	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK.....	11
5.1	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK RESULTS.....	12
6	SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE	13
7	PROCEDURES USED TO ESTABLISH TEST SIGNAL.....	15
8	SAR TEST SUMMARY	16
8.1	LAP HELD POSITION	16
9	PHOTOS	17
10	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	21
10.1	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY FOR 300 MHZ – 3GHZ	21
11	TEST EQUIPMENT LIST	22
12	ATTACHMENTS.....	23

1 EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT) DESCRIPTION

Express MINI-PCI USB Wireless CDMA Modem Module is installed in R1 Note 15" host device.		
Host device(s):	<u>Host Devices</u> R1 Note 15"	<u>WLAN Module / FCC ID</u> Intel Golan / PD9LEN3945ABG
Normal operation:	Lap-held position 	
Power supply:	Power supplied through the laptop computer (host device)	
CDMA Antenna:	Tyco Holding (Bermuda) VII Ltd, Dual Band Meander (Planner Inverted F Antenna)	

2 FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION


The test sites and measurement facilities used to collect data are located at 561F Monterey Road, Morgan Hill, California, USA. The sites are constructed in conformance with the requirements of ANSI C63.4, ANSI C63.7 and CISPR Publication 22. All receiving equipment conforms to CISPR Publication 16-1, "Radio Interference Measuring Apparatus and Measurement Methods."



CCS is accredited by NVLAP, Laboratory Code 200065-0. The full scope of accreditation can be viewed at <http://www.ccsemc.com>.

No part of this report may be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any government agency.

3 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION



The DASY4 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 2000 or Windows XP.
- DASY4 software.
- Remote controls with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- Validation dipole kits allowing to validate the proper functioning of the system.

3.1 COMPOSITION OF INGREDIENTS FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID

The following tissue formulations are provided for reference only as some of the parameters have not been thoroughly verified. The composition of ingredients may be modified accordingly to achieve the desired target tissue parameters required for routine SAR evaluation.

Ingredients (% by weight)	Frequency (MHz)									
	450		835		915		1900		2450	
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2
Salt (NaCl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triton X-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5
Conductivity (S/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78

Salt: 99+% Pure Sodium Chloride

Sugar: 98+% Pure Sucrose

Water: De-ionized, 16 MΩ+ resistivity


HEC: Hydroxyethyl Cellulose

DGBE: 99+% Di(ethylene glycol) butyl ether, [2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol]

Triton X-100 (ultra pure): Polyethylene glycol mono [4-(1,1, 3, 3-tetramethylbutyl)phenyl]ether

4 SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETERS CHECK

The simulating liquids should be checked at the beginning of a series of SAR measurements to determine if the dielectric parameters are within the tolerances of the specified target values. The relative permittivity and conductivity of the tissue material should be within $\pm 5\%$ of the values given in the table below.



Reference Values of Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantom

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in IEEE Standard 1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in IEEE Standard 1528.

Target Frequency (MHz)	Head		Body	
	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800 – 2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

(ϵ_r = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$)

4.1 SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETER CHECK RESULT

Simulating Liquid Dielectric Parameters Check Result @ Muscle 835 MHz

Room Ambient Temperature = 23°C; Relative humidity = 35%

Measured by: **Ninous Davoudi**

Simulating Liquid			Parameters		Target	Measured	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)	ε"	Relative Permittivity (ε _r):	55.2	53.9303	-2.30	± 5
835	21	15	20.8312	Conductivity (σ):	0.97	0.96765	-0.24	± 5

Liquid Check

Ambient temperature: 23.0 deg. C; Liquid temperature: 21.0 deg C

March 23, 2006 10:21 AM

Frequency	e'	e"
750000000.	54.7925	21.1637
755000000.	54.7602	21.1613
760000000.	54.7006	21.1444
765000000.	54.6246	21.1098
770000000.	54.5747	21.1136
775000000.	54.5397	21.0889
780000000.	54.4777	21.0506
785000000.	54.4308	21.0191
790000000.	54.3476	21.0120
795000000.	54.3065	20.9904
800000000.	54.2497	20.9860
805000000.	54.2042	20.9777
810000000.	54.1780	20.9570
815000000.	54.1283	20.8999
820000000.	54.0670	20.8699
825000000.	53.9893	20.8685
830000000.	53.9840	20.8797
835000000.	53.9303	20.8312
840000000.	53.8485	20.7935
845000000.	53.8206	20.7802
850000000.	53.7839	20.7701
855000000.	53.7280	20.7349
860000000.	53.6837	20.7281
865000000.	53.6039	20.6811
870000000.	53.5478	20.7219
875000000.	53.5181	20.6887
880000000.	53.4557	20.6788
885000000.	53.4035	20.6652
890000000.	53.3524	20.6514
895000000.	53.3350	20.6143
900000000.	53.2836	20.6219
905000000.	53.2218	20.5869
910000000.	53.1949	20.5593

The conductivity (σ) can be given as:

$$\sigma = \omega \epsilon_0 e'' = 2 \pi f \epsilon_0 e''$$

where $f = \text{target } f * 10^6$

$$\epsilon_0 = 8.854 * 10^{-12}$$

Simulating Liquid Dielectric Parameters Check Result @ Muscle 1900 MHz

Room Ambient Temperature = 23°C; Relative humidity = 35%

Measured by: Ninous Davoudi

Simulating Liquid			Parameters		Target	Measured	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)	ε"	Relative Permittivity (ε _r):				
1900	21.5	15			53.3	51.3536	-3.65	± 5
			13.8442	Conductivity (σ):	1.52	1.46332	-3.73	± 5

Liquid Check

Ambient temperature: 23.0 deg. C; Liquid temperature: 21.5 deg C

March 23, 2006 02:01 PM

Frequency	ε'	ε"
1710000000.	52.0131	13.2020
1720000000.	51.9953	13.2326
1730000000.	51.9656	13.2548
1740000000.	51.9290	13.2970
1750000000.	51.8796	13.3426
1760000000.	51.8521	13.3775
1770000000.	51.7973	13.4243
1780000000.	51.7651	13.4528
1790000000.	51.7313	13.4898
1800000000.	51.6904	13.5116
1810000000.	51.6654	13.5416
1820000000.	51.6184	13.5727
1830000000.	51.5820	13.6056
1840000000.	51.5445	13.6432
1850000000.	51.4996	13.6945
1860000000.	51.4718	13.7101
1870000000.	51.4326	13.7429
1880000000.	51.4153	13.7744
1890000000.	51.3658	13.7992
1900000000.	51.3536	13.8442
1910000000.	51.2988	13.8708

The conductivity (σ) can be given as:

$$\sigma = \omega \epsilon_0 \epsilon'' = 2 \pi f \epsilon_0 \epsilon''$$

where $f = \text{target } f * 10^6$

$$\epsilon_0 = 8.854 * 10^{-12}$$

5 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

The system performance check is performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications of $\pm 10\%$.

System Performance Check Measurement Conditions

- The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with **Body** simulating liquid of the following parameters.
- The DASY4 system with an Isotropic E Field Probe EX3DV3 SN:3531 was used for the measurements.
- The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feed point was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10 mm (above 1 GHz) and 15 mm (below 1 GHz) from dipole center to the simulating liquid surface.
- The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15 mm was aligned with the dipole.
- Special 5 x 5 x 7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration(dx=dy=7.5mm; dz=5mm).
- Distance between probe sensors and phantom surface was set to 2.5 (below 3 G) mm.
- The dipole input power (forward power) was 250 mW $\pm 3\%$.
- The results are normalized to 1 W input power.

Reference SAR Values for body-tissue

In the table below, the numerical reference SAR values of a SPEAG validation dipoles placed below the flat phantom filled with body-tissue simulating liquid are given. The reference SAR values were calculated using the finite-difference time-domain method and the geometry parameters.

Dipole Type	Distance (mm)	Frequency (MHz)	SAR (1g) [W/kg]	SAR (10g) [W/kg]	SAR (peak) [W/kg]
D450V2	15	450	5.01	3.36	7.22
D835V2	15	850	9.71	6.38	14.1
D900V2	15	900	11.1	7.17	16.3
D1450V2	10	1450	29.6	16.6	49.8
D1800V2	10	1800	38.5	20.3	67.5
D1900V2	10	1900	39.8	20.8	69.6
D2000V2	10	2000	40.9	21.2	71.5
D2450V2	10	2450	51.2	23.7	97.6

Note: All SAR values normalized to 1 W forward power.

5.1 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK RESULTS**System Validation Dipole: D835V2 SN:4d002**

Date: March 23, 2006

Ambient Temperature = 23 °C; Relative humidity = 35%

Measured by: Ninous Davoudi

Body Simulating Liquid			Measured		Target _{1g}	Deviation[%]	Limit [%]
f (MHz)	Temp. [°C]	Depth [cm]	1g	Normalized to 1 W			
835	21	15	2.39	9.56	9.71	-1.54	± 10
			10g	Normalized to 1 W	Target _{10g}	Deviation[%]	Limit [%]
			1.57	6.28	6.38	-1.57	± 10

System Validation Dipole: D1900V2 SN:5d043

Date: March 23, 2006

Ambient Temperature = 23°C; Relative humidity = 35%

Measured by: Ninous Davoudi

Body Simulating Liquid			Measured		Target _{1g}	Deviation[%]	Limit [%]
f (MHz)	Temp. [°C]	Depth [cm]	1g	Normalized to 1 W			
1900	21.5	15	10.10	40.4	39.8	1.51	± 10
			10g	Normalized to 1 W	Target _{10g}	Deviation[%]	Limit [%]
			5.3	21.2	20.8	1.92	± 10

6 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

A summary of the procedure follows:

- a) A measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location is used as a reference value for assessing the power drop of the EUT. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test, and then again at the end of the test.
- b) The SAR distribution at the exposed flat section of the flat phantom is measured at a distance of 2.5 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covers the entire dimension of the EUT and the horizontal grid spacing is 15 mm x 15 mm. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption is determined by Spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- c) Around this point, a volume of $X=Y=Z=30$ mm is assessed by measuring $5 \times 5 \times 7$ mm points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value is evaluated with the following procedure:
 - (i) The data at the surface are extrapolated, since the centre of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation is based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order is calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial is then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - (ii) The maximum interpolated value is searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g and 10 g) are computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"- condition (in x, y and z-direction). The volume is integrated with the trapezoidal – algorithm. One thousand points ($10 \times 10 \times 10$) are interpolated to calculate the averages.
 - (iii) All neighbouring volumes are evaluated until no neighbouring volume with a higher average value is found.
 - (iv) The SAR value at the same location as in Step (a) is again measured to evaluate the actual power drift.

DASY4 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

Step 1: Power Reference Measurement

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurements are for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. The Minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. The minimum distance of probe sensors to surface is 2.1 mm. This distance cannot be smaller than the Distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties (for example, 1.2 mm for an EX3DV3 probe type).

Step 2: Area Scan

The Area Scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a fine measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in DASY4 software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. When an Area Scan has measured all reachable points, it computes the field maximal found in the scanned area, within a range of the global maximum. The range (in dB) is specified in the standards for compliance testing. For example, a 2 dB range is required in IEEE Standard 1528, EN 50361 and IEC 62209 standards, whereby 3 dB is a requirement when compliance is assessed in accordance with the ARIB standard (Japan). If only one Zoom Scan follows the Area Scan, then only the absolute maximum will be taken as reference. For cases where multiple maximums are detected, the number of Zoom Scans has to be increased accordingly.

Step 3: Zoom Scan

Zoom Scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The Zoom Scan measures 5 x 5 x 7 mm points within a cube whose base faces are centered on the maxima found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. When the measurement is done, the Zoom Scan evaluates the averaged SAR for 1 g and 10 g and displays these values next to the job's label.

Step 4: Power drift measurement

The Power Drift Measurement measures the field at the same location as the most recent power reference measurement within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The Power Drift Measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last Power Reference Measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. The measurement procedure is the same as Step 1.

Step 5: Z-Scan

The Z Scan measures points along a vertical straight line. The line runs along the Z-axis of a one-dimensional grid. In order to get a reasonable extrapolation, the extrapolated distance should not be larger than the step size in Z-direction.

7 PROCEDURES USED TO ESTABLISH TEST SIGNAL

The manufacturer supplied a special driving program (Hyper Terminal) by using the following commands to turn the transmitter on and change the channels and bands:

at!oem=176

OK

at!diag

OK

at!tx=1

OK

at!chan=XXXX,1 or 0

OK

at!allup=1


OK

"at!chan=" changes both the band and the channels. Channels the first # then the comma followed by the band 0= cellular and 1= PCS.

Conducted powers were measured prior to SAR measurement.

CDMA Cell Band:

Ch	f (MHz)	Conducted Power
		Avg Power
1013	824.70	24.67
384	836.52	24.97
777	848.31	24.53
CDMA PCS Bnad:		
Ch	f (MHz)	Conducted Power
		Avg Power
25	1851.25	24.25
600	1880.00	24.13
1175	1908.75	24.06

8 SAR TEST SUMMARY**8.1 LAP HELD POSITION****CDMA Cell Band**

Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dBm)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
1013	824.70	0.039	0.000	0.039
384	836.52	0.041	0.000	0.041
777	848.31	0.038	0.000	0.038
384 ³⁾	836.52	0.042	0.000	0.042

CDMA PCS Band


Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dBm)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
25	1851.25	0.112	0.000	0.112
600	1880.00	0.102	0.000	0.102
1175	1908.75	0.084	0.000	0.084
25 ³⁾	1851.25	0.107	0.000	0.107

Notes:


- 1) The exact method of extrapolation is $\text{Measured SAR} \times 10^{(-\text{drift}/10)}$. The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4 system can be scaled up by the Power drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process.
- 2) Please see attachments for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.
- 3) Collocation with Intel Golan WLAN module FCC ID: PD9LEN3945ABG

9 PHOTOS


Express MINI-PCI USB Wireless CDMA Modem Module




R1 Note 15"



Antenna location



EUT Location



10 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY**10.1 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY FOR 300 MHZ – 3GHZ**

Uncertainty component	Tol. (±%)	Probe Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	Std. Unc.(±%)	
						Ui (1g)	Ui(10g)
Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	4.80	N	1	1	1	4.80	4.80
Axial Isotropy	4.70	R	1.732	0.707	0.707	1.92	1.92
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.60	R	1.732	0.707	0.707	3.92	3.92
Boundary Effects	1.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.58	0.58
Linearity	4.70	R	1.732	1	1	2.71	2.71
System Detection Limits	1.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.58	0.58
Readout Electronics	1.00	N	1	1	1	1.00	1.00
Response Time	0.80	R	1.732	1	1	0.46	0.46
Integration Time	2.60	R	1.732	1	1	1.50	1.50
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	1.59	R	1.732	1	1	0.92	0.92
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	0.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.00	0.00
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	0.40	R	1.732	1	1	0.23	0.23
Probe Positioning With Respect to Phantom Shell	2.90	R	1.732	1	1	1.67	1.67
Extrapolation, interpolation, and integration algorithms for max. SAR evaluation	3.90	R	1.732	1	1	2.25	2.25
Test sample Related							
Test Sample Positioning	1.10	N	1	1	1	1.10	1.10
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.60	N	1	1	1	3.60	3.60
Power and SAR Drift Measurement	5.00	R	1.732	1	1	2.89	2.89
Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
Phantom Uncertainty	4.00	R	1.732	1	1	2.31	2.31
Liquid Conductivity - Target	5.00	R	1.732	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24
Liquid Conductivity - Meas.	8.60	N	1	0.64	0.43	5.50	3.70
Liquid Permittivity - Target	5.00	R	1.732	0.6	0.49	1.73	1.41
Liquid Permittivity - Meas.	3.30	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.98	1.62
Combined Standard Uncertainty	RSS					11.44	10.49
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence Interval)	K=2					22.87	20.98
Notesfor table							
1. Tol. - tolerance in influence quaity							
2. N - Nomal							
3. R - Rectangular							
4. Div. - Divisor used to obtain standard uncertainty							
5. Ci - is te sensitivity coefficient							

11 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST

<u>Name of Equipment</u>	<u>Manufacturer</u>	<u>Type/Model</u>	<u>Serial Number</u>	<u>Cal. Due date</u>
Robot - Six Axes	Stäubli	RX90BL	N/A	N/A
Robot Remote Control	Stäubli	CS7MB	3403-91535	N/A
DASY4 Measurement Server	SPEAG	SEUMS001BA	1041	N/A
Probe Alignment Unit	SPEAG	LB (V2)	261	N/A
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753ES-6	US39173569	2/9/07
Electronic Probe kit	Hewlett Packard	85070C	N/A	N/A
E-Field Probe	SPEAG	EX3DV3	3531	7/21/06
Thermometer	ERTCO	639-1	8636	10/20/06
SAM Phantom (SAM1)	SPEAG	TP-1185	QD000P40CA	N/A
SAM Phantom (SAM2)	SPEAG	TP-1015	N/A	N/A
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE4	558	1/20/07
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D835V2	4d002	1/23/08
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D1900V2	5d043	1/29/08
Power Meter	Giga-tronics	8651A	8651404	12/27/06
Power Sensor	Giga-tronics	80701A	1834588	12/27/07
Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZHL-42W	D072701-5	N/A
Radio Communication Tester	Rohde & Schwarz	CMU 200	838114/032	12/17/06
Simulating Liquid	CCS	M835	N/A	Within 24 hrs of first test
Simulating Liquid	CCS	M1900	N/A	Within 24 hrs of first test

12 ATTACHMENTS

No.	Contents	No. Of Pages
1	System Performance Check Plots	4
2	SAR Test Plots	10
3	Certificate of E-Field Probe - EX3DV3SN3531	10
4	Certificate of System Validation Dipole - D835V2 SN:4d002	9
5	Certificate of System Validation Dipole - D1900V2 SN:5d043	9

End of Report