

**TEST REPORT**

Applicant Name : Chung Mei Industries Limited  
& Address : 11th Floor Chung Mei Centre, 15B Hing Yip Street ,Kwun Tong  
Kowloon Hong Kong

Sample Description  
Product : Bed Fan with Wireless Remote  
Model No. : SKU No. 826456, E1212  
Electrical Rating : 2 x 1.5V "AA" batteries  
FCC ID : N67-826456-R

Date Received : 08 Sep.,2012

Date Test Conducted : 26 Sep.,2012 – 09 Oct.,2012

Test standards : FCC Part 15:2011

Test Result : Pass

Conclusion : The submitted samples complied with the above rules/standards.

Remark : None.

\*\*\*\*\*End of Page\*\*\*\*\*

*Prepared and Check By:*

*Approved By:*

Helen Ma  
**Helen Ma**  
**Project Engineer**  
**Intertek Guangzhou**

Maggie Xie *Signature*  
**Maggie Xie**  
**Project Engineer**  
**Intertek Guangzhou**  
24 Oct., 2012 *Date*

This report is for the exclusive use of Intertek's Client and is provided pursuant to the agreement between Intertek and its Client. Intertek's responsibility and liability are limited to the terms and conditions of the agreement. Intertek assumes no liability to any party, other than to the Client in accordance with the agreement, for any loss, expense or damage occasioned by the use of this report. Only the Client is authorized to permit copying or distribution of this report and then only in its entirety. Any use of the Intertek name or one of its marks for the sale or advertisement of the tested material, product or service must first be approved in writing by Intertek. The observations and test results in this report are relevant only to the sample tested. This report by itself does not imply that the material, product, or service is or has ever been under an Intertek certification program.

The test report only allows to be revised within three years from its original issued date unless further standard or the requirement was noticed.

Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Guangzhou Branch  
Block E, No.7-2 Guang Dong Software Science Park, Caipin Road, Guangzhou Science City, GETDD Guangzhou, China  
Tel / Fax: 86-20-8213 9688/86-20-3205 7538

## Table of Contents

<b>TEST REPORT</b>	1
1 <b>General Description</b>	3
1.1 Product Description	3
1.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants	3
1.3 Test Methodology	3
1.4 Test Facility	3
2 <b>System Test Configuration</b>	4
2.1 Justification	4
2.2 EUT Exercising Software	4
2.3 Special Accessories	4
2.4 Equipment Modification	4
2.5 Measurement Uncertainty	4
2.6 Support Equipment List and Description	4
3 <b>Radiated Emission Results</b>	5
3.1 Field Strength Calculation	5
3.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph	6
3.3 Radiated and Spurious Emission Data	6
4 <b>Equipment photo</b>	9
5 <b>Product Labelling</b>	9
6 <b>Technical Specifications</b>	9
7 <b>Instruction Manual</b>	10
8 <b>Miscellaneous Information</b>	10
8.1 Bandwidth Plot	10
8.2 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization	10
8.3 Calculation of Average Factor	10
8.4 Emissions Test Procedures	12
8.5 Emissions Test Procedures (cont'd)	12
9 <b>Equipment list</b>	13

## **1 General Description**

### **1.1 Product Description**

The equipment under test (EUT) is a transmitter for Bed fan with RF at 315 MHz. The EUT is powered by 3V DC.

During normal use, it sends the message to control the on/off and speed of fan by manual.

Antenna Type: Internal wire antenna.

For electronic filing, the brief circuit description is saved in the filename: Technical Description.pdf.

Model SKU No. 826456 is declared to be identical to model E1212 in terms of electrical and mechanical design. Their difference lies in the model name due to business purpose which will not affect EMC characteristics.

### **1.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants**

The FCC ID of corresponding receiver fan for this transmitter is N67-826456-R-F.

### **1.3 Test Methodology**

Radiated emission measurements were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.10 (2009). Radiated Emission measurement was performed in a Semi-anechoic chamber. Preliminary scans were performed in the Semi-anechoic chamber only to determine worst case modes. For each scan, the procedure for maximizing emissions in Appendices D and E were followed. All Radiated tests were performed at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters, unless stated otherwise in the “Justification Section” of this Application.

### **1.4 Test Facility**

All of the tests are performed at:  
Keyway Technology Co.,Ltd. located at Baishun Industrial Zone, Zhangmotou Town, Dongguan, Guangdong, China 523638. This test facility and site measurement data have been fully placed on file with the FCC, test firm registration number is 370994.

## **2 System Test Configuration**

### **2.1 Justification**

The equipment under test (**EUT**) was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it), and in the confines as outlined in ANSI C63.10 (2009).

The EUT was powered by 2 x new 1.5V “AA” batteries.

The EUT was operated standalone and placed in the center of the turntable, which enabled the engineer to maximize emissions through its placement in the three orthogonal axes. For maximizing emission at and above 30 MHz, the EUT was rotated through 360°, the antenna height was varied from 1 meter to 4 meters above the ground plane, and the antenna polarization was changed. This step by step procedure for maximizing emissions led to the data reported in Exhibit 3.3.

### **2.2 EUT Exercising Software**

There was no special software to exercise the device.

### **2.3 Special Accessories**

There are no special accessories necessary for compliance of this product.

### **2.4 Equipment Modification**

Any modifications installed previous to testing by Chung Mei Industries Limited will be incorporated in each production model sold/leased in the United States. No modifications were installed by Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Guangzhou Branch.

### **2.5 Measurement Uncertainty**

When determining the test conclusion, the Measurement Uncertainty of test has been considered.

### **2.6 Support Equipment List and Description**

N/A

### 3 Radiated Emission Results

Data is included worst case configuration (the configuration which resulted in the highest emission levels). A sample calculation, configuration photographs and data tables of the emissions are included.

#### 3.1 Field Strength Calculation

The field strength is calculated by adding the reading on the Spectrum Analyzer to the factors associated with preamplifiers (if any), antennas, cables, pulse desensitization and average factors (when specified limit is in average and measurements are made with peak detectors). A sample calculation is included below.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FS} &= \text{RA} + \text{AF} + \text{CF} - \text{AG} + \text{PD} + \text{AV} \\ \rightarrow \text{FS} &= \text{RA} + \text{Correct Factor} + \text{AV} \end{aligned}$$

Where

- FS = Field Strength in dB $\mu$ V/m
- RA = Receiver Amplitude (including preamplifier) in dB $\mu$ V
- CF = Cable Attenuation Factor in dB
- AF = Antenna Factor in dB
- AG = Amplifier Gain in dB
- PD = Pulse Desensitization in dB
- AV = Average Factor in -dB
- Correct Factor = AF + CF - AG + PD

In the radiated emission table which follows, the reading shown on the data table may reflect the preamplifier gain. An example of the calculations, where the reading does not reflect the preamplifier gain, follows:

$$\text{FS} = \text{RA} + \text{AF} + \text{CF} - \text{AG} + \text{PD} + \text{AV}$$

Assume a receiver reading of 62.0 dB $\mu$ V is obtained. The antenna factor of 7.4 dB and cable factor of 1.6 dB is added. The amplifier gain of 29 dB is subtracted. The pulse desensitization factor of the spectrum analyzer was 0 dB, and the resultant average factor was -10 dB. The net field strength for comparison to the appropriate emission limit is 32 dB $\mu$ V/m. This value in dB $\mu$ V/m was converted to its corresponding level in  $\mu$ V/m.

RA = 62.0 dB $\mu$ V  
 AF = 7.4 dB  
 CF = 1.6 dB  
 AG = 29.0 dB  
 PD = 0 dB  
 AV = -10 dB

$$\text{Correct Factor} = 7.4 + 1.6 - 29.0 + 0 = -20 \text{ dB}$$

$$\text{FS} = 62 + (-20) + (-10) = 32 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m}$$

$$\text{Level in } \mu\text{V/m} = \text{Common Antilogarithm } [(32 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m})/20] = 39.8 \mu\text{V/m}$$

### **3.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph**

Worst Case Radiated Emission at 945.00MHz

For electronic filing, the worst case radiated emission configuration photographs are saved with filename: Radiated photos.pdf.

### **3.3 Radiated and Spurious Emission Data**

The data on the following page lists the significant emission frequencies, the limit and the margin of compliance. Numbers with a minus sign are below the limit.

Judgement: Passed by 12.6 dB

Applicant: Chung Mei Industries Ltd.  
Test mode: transmitting mode

**Radiated Emissions**  
**Pursuant to FCC 15.231(a): Emissions Requirement**


Polarization	Frequency (MHz)	Peak Reading (dBμV)	Correction Factor (dB)	Peak Net at 3m (dBμV/m)	Peak Limit at 3m (dBμV/m)	Peak Margin (dB)
Horizontal	315.00	53.86	16.19	70.05	95.62	-25.57
Horizontal	630.00	19.57	24.82	44.39	75.62	-31.23
Horizontal	945.00	23.85	29.54	53.39	75.62	-22.23
Vertical	315.00	47.26	16.19	63.45	95.62	-32.17
Vertical	630.00	21.09	24.82	45.91	75.62	-29.71
Vertical	945.00	22.12	29.54	51.66	75.62	-23.96

Polarization	Frequency (MHz)	Peak Net at 3m (dBμV/m)	Average Factor (dB)	AV Net at 3m (dBμV/m)	AV Limit at 3m (dBμV/m)	AV Margin (dB)
Horizontal	315.00	70.05	-10.4	59.65	75.62	-15.97
Horizontal	630.00	44.39	-10.4	33.99	55.62	-21.63
Horizontal	945.00	53.39	-10.4	42.99	55.62	-12.63
Vertical	315.00	63.45	-10.4	53.05	75.62	-22.57
Vertical	630.00	45.91	-10.4	35.51	55.62	-20.11
Vertical	945.00	51.66	-10.4	41.26	55.62	-14.36


- Notes:
1. Peak detector was used,
  2. All measurements were made at 3 meter.
  3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.
  4. Horn antenna is used for the emission over 1000MHz.
  5. When tested above 1GHz, the emissions found were at least 20 dB below the limit.

Test Curve below 1GHz :

Horizontal:



Vertical:





#### **4 Equipment photo**

For electronic filing, the photographs are saved with filename: External photos.pdf and Internal photos.pdf.

#### **5 Product Labelling**

For electronics filing, the FCC ID label artwork and the label location are saved with filename: Label and Location.pdf.

#### **6 Technical Specifications**

For electronic filing, the block diagram and schematic of the tested EUT are saved with filename: Block Diagram.pdf and Circuit diagram.pdf

## 7 Instruction Manual

For electronic filing, a preliminary copy of the Instruction Manual is saved with filename: User Manual.pdf. This manual will be provided to the end-user with each unit sold/leased in the United States

## 8 Miscellaneous Information

This miscellaneous information includes details of the measured bandwidth, the test procedure and calculation of factors such as pulse desensitization and averaging factor.

### 8.1 Bandwidth Plot

For electronic filing, the plot shows the fundamental emission when modulated is saved with filename: Test data.pdf. From the plot, the bandwidth is observed to be 48.8kHz, at 20 dB where the bandwidth limit is 787.391 kHz.

### 8.2 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization

The determination of pulse desensitivity was made in accordance with Hewlett Packard Application Note 150-2, *Spectrum Analysis ... Pulsed RF*.

The pulse desensitivity factor was 0 dB.

### 8.3 Calculation of Average Factor

Averaging factor in dB =  $20 \log (\text{duty cycle})$

The specification for output field strengths in accordance with the FCC rules specify measurements with an average detector. During testing, a spectrum analyzer incorporating a peak detector was used. Therefore, a reduction factor can be applied to the resultant peak signal level and compared to the limit for measurement instrumentation incorporating an average detector.

The time period over which the duty cycle is measured is 100 milliseconds, or the repetition cycle, whichever is a shorter time frame. The worst case (highest percentage on) duty cycle is used for the calculation. The duty cycle is measured by placing the spectrum analyzer in zero scan (receiver mode) and linear mode at maximum bandwidth (3 MHz at 3 dB down) and viewing the resulting time domain signal output from the analyzer on a Tektronix

oscilloscope. The oscilloscope is used because of its superior time base and triggering facilities.

A plot of the worst-case duty cycle as detected in this manner is shown below.

The duty cycle is simply the on-time divided by the period:

The duration of one cycle = 27.2ms

Effective period of the cycle = 8.2ms

DC =  $8.2/27.2 = 0.6667$  or 66.67%

Therefore, the averaging factor is found by  $20\lg 0.3015 = -10.4$

#### **8.4 Emissions Test Procedures**

The following is a description of the test procedure used by Intertek Testing Services in the measurements of transmitters operating under Part 15, Subpart C rules.

The test set-up and procedures described below are designed to meet the requirements of ANSI C63.10 : 2009.

The transmitting equipment under test (EUT) is placed on a wooden turntable which is four feet in diameter and approximately one meter in height above the ground plane. During the radiated emissions test, the turntable is rotated and any cables leaving the EUT are manipulated to find the configuration resulting in maximum emissions. The EUT is adjusted through all three orthogonal axes to obtain maximum emission levels. The antenna height and polarization are varied during the testing to search for maximum signal levels.

Detector function for radiated emissions is in peak mode. Average readings, when required, are taken by measuring the duty cycle of the equipment under test and subtracting the corresponding amount in dB from the measured peak readings. A detailed description for the calculation of the average factor can be found in Exhibit 8.3.

The frequency range scanned is from the lowest radio frequency signal generated in the device which is greater than 9 kHz to the tenth harmonic of the highest fundamental frequency or 40 GHz, whichever is lower.

#### **8.5 Emissions Test Procedures (cont'd)**

The EUT is warmed up for 15 minutes prior to the test.

AC power to the unit is varied from 85% to 115% nominal and variation in the fundamental emission field strength is recorded. If battery powered, a new, fully charged battery is used.

The IF bandwidth used for measurement of radiated signal strength was 10 kHz for emission below 30 MHz and 120 KHz for emission from 30 MHz to 1000 MHz. Where transmissions of short enough pulse duration warrant, a greater bandwidth is selected according to the recommendations of Hewlett Packard Application Note 150-2. Above 1000 MHz, a resolution bandwidth of 1 MHz is used.

Transmitter measurements are normally conducted at a measurement distance of three meters. However, to assure low enough noise floor in the restricted bands and above 1 GHz, signals are acquired at a distance of one meter or less. All measurements are extrapolated to three meters using inverse scaling, but those measurements taken at a closer distance are so marked.

## 9 Equipment list

Equipment No.	Equipment	Manufacturer	Model No.	Cal. Date	Due Date
101156	EMI Test Receiver	Rohde&Schwarz	ESCI	07 Jul 2012	07 Jul 2013
00135452	Bilog Antenna	ETS-LINDGREN	3142D	28 Jun. 2012	28 Jun 2013
3911A04271	Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	8593E	28 Nov. 2011	28 Nov. 2012
KW01	3m Semi-anechoic Chamber	ETS-LINDGREN	966	07 Jul 2012	07 Jul 2013
187303	Signal Amplifier	SONOMA	310	07 Jul 2012	07 Jul 2013
966 Cable 1#	RF Cable	IMRO	IMRO-400	07 Jul 2012	07 Jul 2013
11003	Horn Antenna	DAZE	ZN30701	11 Jul 2012	11 Jul 2013
11001	Signal Amplifier	DAZE	ZN3380C	07 Jul 2012	07 Jul 2013
966 Cable 1#	RF Cable	IMRO	IMRO-400	07 Jul 2012	07 Jul 2013