

From: Country Huang [tech@mlt.com.tw]
Sent: Monday, May 06, 2002 6:38 AM
To: Mike Kuo
Subject: Re: Ambit Microsystems Corporation, FCC ID:MCL60519W, AN02T1981
Notice #2

----- Original Message -----

From: "Mike Kuo" <MikeKuo@CCSEMC.com>
To: "Mike Kuo" <MikeKuo@CCSEMC.com>; <tech@mlt.com.tw>
Sent: Saturday, May 04, 2002 7:10 AM
Subject: RE: Ambit Microsystems Corporation, FCC ID:MCL60519W, AN02T1981
Notice #2

>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Mike Kuo
> Sent: Friday, May 03, 2002 4:05 PM
> To: 'tech@mit.com.tw'
> Subject: FW: Ambit Microsystems Corporation, FCC ID:MCL60519W, AN02T1981
> Notice #2
>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: CERTADM
> Sent: Friday, May 03, 2002 4:04 PM
> To: 'mkuo@ccsemc.com'
> Subject: Ambit Microsystems Corporation, FCC ID:MCL60519W, AN02T1981
Notice
> #2
>
>
> Notice_content
> -----
> Question #9: Page 27-32 of revised test report, you indicated the
technical
> limits above 1GHz is 74dBuV/m which is the peak limits. For spurious
> emission above 1GHz is 54 dBuV/m with average detector. Since the
radiated
> emission data you reported are the peak reading, you need to compare the
> peak readings with 54 dBuV/m average limits. Only when the peak readings
> are under the average limits, you are not required to report the average
> readings. Otherwise, you need to use peak readings to compare with the
> average limits+20dB for peak limits. Please update these pages with
average
> limits.

O.K! The docus were updated on 5/6.

> Question #2: Page 60 of revised test report, the band edge measurement
shall

> be made on those restricted band close to the fundamental frequencies.
The
> frequencies that investigated are 23891.5MHz, 24840.76MHz which are not
the
> closest restricted band. Please redo the measurement.

Sorry !!! We have checked the Page 60 and found that TYPE Error .
Could you let us correct the mistake ? (23891.5MHz -->
2389.1MHz(right))

The docus were updated on 5/6.

>
> Best Regards
>
> Mike Kuo / TCB Certifier
> The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue
> on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested
> information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in
> application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note
> that partial responses increase processing time and should not be
submitted.
> Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed
to
> the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.

Dear Mike:

Sorry!! I correct our mistake and send updata test
report to you.

I shall be very gratefull indeed for your help!!!

Best Regards.

Country Huang