

9/3/2025


1501 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA, 943041126
USA

Dear Samah Othman,

Enclosed is the EMC test report for testing of the HP Inc., model P033 tested to the requirements of FCC Part 2.1091, RSS-102 Issue 6, and IEC62311 Issue 2

Thank you for using the services of Eurofins E&E North America. If you have any questions regarding these results or if MET can be of further service to you, please do feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,



Nancy LaBrecque
Documentation Department
Eurofins Electrical and Electronic Testing NA, Inc.

Reference: WIRA135001 – MPE_R1



Certificates and reports shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written permission of Eurofins E&E North America. While use of the A2LA logo in this report reflects MET accreditation under these programs, the report must not be used by the client to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by A2LA or any agency of the Federal Government. This letter of transmittal is not a part of the attached report.

Eurofins MET Laboratories Inc. (Eurofins E&E North America) is part of the Eurofins Electrical & Electronics (E&E) global compliance network.

**RF Exposure Criteria
Test Report
Using Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) Calculations**

for the

HP Inc.
Model: P033

Tested under


FCC Part 2.1091, RSS-102 Issue 6, and IEC62311 Issue 2

Report: WIRA135001 – MPE_R1

9/3/2025



Bryan Taylor, Wireless Team Lead
Electromagnetic Compatibility Lab



Nancy LaBrecque
Documentation Department

Engineering Statement: The measurements shown in this report were made in accordance with the procedures indicated, and the emissions from this equipment were found to be within the limits applicable. I assume full responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of these measurements, and for the qualifications of all persons taking them.



Matthew Hinojosa
EMC Manager, Austin Electromagnetic Compatibility Lab

Report Status Sheet

Revision	Report Date	Reason for Revision
0	8/29/2025	Initial Issue.
1	9/3/2025	TCB Review Comments

Table of Contents

1.0 Requirements Summary.....	8
2.0 Equipment Configuration	9
2.1 Overview.....	9
2.2 Test Site	10
2.3 References.....	10
2.4 Description of Test Sample.....	11
2.5 Support Equipment	11
2.6 Ports and Cabling Information.....	11
2.7 Modifications.....	12
2.7.1 Modifications to EUT	12
2.7.2 Modifications to Test Standard.....	12
2.8 Disposition of EUT	12
3.0 Transmitter Requirements.....	13

List of Tables

Table 1. Summary of Test Results	8
Table 2. EUT Summary Table	9
Table 3. References	10

List of Terms and Abbreviations

AC	Alternating Current
ACF	Antenna Correction Factor
Cal	Calibration
d	Measurement Distance
dB	Decibels
dBμA	Decibels above one microamp
dBμV	Decibels above one microvolt
dBμA/m	Decibels above one microamp per meter
dBμV/m	Decibels above one microvolt per meter
DC	Direct Current
E	Electric Field
DSL	Digital Subscriber Line
ESD	Electrostatic Discharge
EUT	Equipment Under Test
f	Frequency
CISPR	Comite International Special des Perturbations Radioelectriques (International Special Committee on Radio Interference)
GRP	Ground Reference Plane
H	Magnetic Field
HCP	Horizontal Coupling Plane
Hz	Hertz
IEC	International Electrotechnical Commission
kHz	kiloHertz
kPa	kiloPascal
kV	kilovolt
LISN	Line Impedance Stabilization Network
MHz	MegaHertz
μH	microHenry
μF	microFarad
μs	microseconds
PRF	Pulse Repetition Frequency
RF	Radio Frequency
RMS	Root-Mean-Square
V/m	Volts per meter
VCP	Vertical Coupling Plane

1.0 Requirements Summary

Page Number	Test Name	Result
13	IEC62311: 2019 MPE Limits (For General Public Exposure)	Compliant
14	RSS-102 Issue 6 MPE Limits (For General Public Exposure)	Compliant
14	FCC Part 2.1091 MPE Limits (For General Public Exposure)	Compliant

Table 1. Summary of Test Results

2.0 Equipment Configuration

2.1 Overview

Eurofins MET Labs was contracted by HP Inc. to perform testing on the model P033, under HP Inc.'s purchase order number 9100415812.

This document describes the test setups, test methods, required test equipment, and the test limit criteria used to perform compliance testing of the HP Inc. model P033.

The results obtained relate only to the item(s) tested.

Product Marketing Name Tested:	Poly Studio X52	
Model Number Tested:	P033	
FCCID:	M72-P033	
ICID:	1849C-P033	
EUT Specifications:	Primary Power: 100 – 230VAC Antenna Gain ¹ : Bluetooth: 4.09dBi 2.4GHz Band WiFi: 7.09dBi (MIMO Array Gain) 5GHz Band WiFi: 6.64dBi (MIMO Array Gain) EUT Frequency Ranges: Bluetooth / BLE: 2402 – 2480MHz 2.4GHz WiFi: 2412 – 2462MHz U-NII-1: 5150 – 5250 MHz U-NII-2A: 5250 – 5350 MHz U-NII-2C: 5470 – 5725 MHz U-NII-3: 5725 – 5850 MHz Maximum Conducted Output Power: Bluetooth / BLE: 6.78dBm 2.4GHz WiFi: 12.52dBm U-NII-1: 11.66dBm U-NII-2A: 12.44dBm U-NII-2C: 13.36dBm U-NII-3: 10.12dBm Manufacturers Declared Tune-Up Tolerance ±1dB from the maximum conducted measurements above.	
Analysis:	The results obtained relate only to the item(s) tested.	
Environmental Test Conditions:	Temperature: 15-35° C Relative Humidity: 30-60% Barometric Pressure: 860-1060 mbar	
Evaluated by:	Bryan Taylor	
Report Date(s):	9/3/2025	

Table 2. EUT Summary Table

¹ The antenna gain information was provided by HP Inc. at the time of testing.

Description	Model Number	Part Number	Serial Number	Rev #
Power Supply	S065-1A120500B3	SM00754DG	1GBBL003T	N.A.
Poly Studio X52	OBAN50	2201-8749-001	822238671543FM	N.A.

Figure 1. EUT List

2.2 Test Site

All testing was performed at Eurofins MET Labs, Inc., 13501 McCallen Pass, Austin TX 78753. All equipment used in making physical determinations is accurate and bears recent traceability to the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

Radiated Emissions measurements were performed in a 10 meter semi-anechoic chamber (equivalent to an Open Area Test Site). In accordance with §2.948(a)(3), a complete site description is contained at Eurofins MET Labs.

ISED Lab Info:

CAB Identifier: US0004
 Company Number: 2043D

FCC Lab Info:

Designation Number: US1127

2.3 References

IEC62311 Edition 2.0 (2019-04)	Assessment of electronic and electrical equipment related to human exposure restrictions for electromagnetic fields (0 Hz to 300 GHz)
RSS-102: Issue 6	Radio Frequency (RF) Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands)
FCC Part 2.1091	Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: mobile devices.

Table 3. References

2.4 Description of Test Sample

The HP Inc. model P033 (marketed as Poly Studio X52), is a video conferencing bar designed to act as a Video endpoint over LAN network. The device is powered an AC/DC mains adapter and contains 2.4GHz / 5GHz WiFi and Bluetooth radio interfaces.

2.5 Support Equipment

Support equipment necessary for the operation and testing of the EUT is included in the following list.

Name/Description	Manufacturer	Model Number	Customer Supplied Calibration Data
4k Monitors	hp	1B9T0AA	N/A
4k Monitors	hp	1B9T0AA	N/A
BT Remote	Poly/ Remotec	BW7640UN	N/A
USB keyboard	hp	KU-0316	N/A
USB mouse	hp	672652-001	N/A
Laptop for content and pings	Dell	XPS 14	N/A
Router Cisco gigabit router	Cisco	RN042G	N/A
WIFI access point Cisco AIR Lap	Cisco	1142N-A-K9	N/A

Figure 2. Support Equipment

2.6 Ports and Cabling Information

Port Name on EUT	Cable Description or reason for no cable	Qty	Length as tested (m)	Max Length (m)	Shielded? (Y/N)	Termination Box ID & Port Name
DC Power	DC Power Cable	1	2m	2m	No	AC/DC Power Adapter
USB-C	USB-C	1	10m	10m	Yes	Laptop Computer

Table 4. Ports and Cabling Information

2.7 Modifications

2.7.1 Modifications to EUT

No modifications were made to the EUT.

2.7.2 Modifications to Test Standard

No modifications were made to the test standard.

2.8 Disposition of EUT

The test sample including all support equipment submitted to the Electro-Magnetic Compatibility Lab for testing was returned to HP Inc. upon completion of testing.

3.0 Maximum Permissible Exposure Results

3.1 IEC62311 (ICNIRP) RF Exposure Limits

Table 7. Reference levels for general public exposure to time-varying electric and magnetic fields (unperturbed rms values).^a

Frequency range	E-field strength (V m ⁻¹)	H-field strength (A m ⁻¹)	B-field (μ T)	Equivalent plane wave power density S_{eq} (W m ⁻²)
up to 1 Hz	—	3.2×10^4	4×10^4	—
1–8 Hz	10,000	$3.2 \times 10^4/f^2$	$4 \times 10^4/f^2$	—
8–25 Hz	10,000	$4,000/f$	$5,000/f$	—
0.025–0.8 kHz	$250/f$	$4/f$	$5/f$	—
0.8–3 kHz	$250/f$	5	6.25	—
3–150 kHz	87	5	6.25	—
0.15–1 MHz	87	$0.73/f$	$0.92/f$	—
1–10 MHz	$87f^{1/2}$	$0.73/f$	$0.92/f$	—
10–400 MHz	28	0.073	0.092	2
400–2,000 MHz	$1.375f^{1/2}$	$0.0037f^{1/2}$	$0.0046f^{1/2}$	$f/200$
2–300 GHz	61	0.16	0.20	10

^a Note:

1. f as indicated in the frequency range column.
2. Provided that basic restrictions are met and adverse indirect effects can be excluded, field strength values can be exceeded.
3. For frequencies between 100 kHz and 10 GHz, S_{eq} , E^2 , H^2 , and B^2 are to be averaged over any 6-min period.
4. For peak values at frequencies up to 100 kHz see Table 4, note 3.
5. For peak values at frequencies exceeding 100 kHz see Figs. 1 and 2. Between 100 kHz and 10 MHz, peak values for the field strengths are obtained by interpolation from the 1.5-fold peak at 100 kHz to the 32-fold peak at 10 MHz. For frequencies exceeding 10 MHz it is suggested that the peak equivalent plane wave power density, as averaged over the pulse width does not exceed 1,000 times the S_{eq} restrictions, or that the field strength does not exceed 32 times the field strength exposure levels given in the table.
6. For frequencies exceeding 10 GHz, S_{eq} , E^2 , H^2 , and B^2 are to be averaged over any $68/f^{1.05}$ -min period (f in GHz).
7. No E-field value is provided for frequencies <1 Hz, which are effectively static electric fields. perception of surface electric charges will not occur at field strengths less than 25 kVm⁻¹. Spark discharges causing stress or annoyance should be avoided.

3.2 RSS-102 RF Exposure Limits

Table 4: RF Field Strength Limits for Devices Used by the General Public (Uncontrolled Environment)

Frequency Range (MHz)	Electric Field (V/m rms)	Magnetic Field (A/m rms)	Power Density (W/m ²)	Reference Period (minutes)
0.003-10 ²¹	83	90	-	Instantaneous*
0.1-10	-	0.73/ f	-	6 ^{**}
1.1-10	87/ $f^{0.5}$	-	-	6 ^{**}
10-20	27.46	0.0728	2	6
20-48	58.07/ $f^{0.25}$	0.1540/ $f^{0.25}$	8.944/ $f^{0.5}$	6
48-300	22.06	0.05852	1.291	6
300-6000	3.142 $f^{0.3417}$	0.008335 $f^{0.3417}$	0.02619 $f^{0.6834}$	6
6000-15000	61.4	0.163	10	6
15000-150000	61.4	0.163	10	616000/ $f^{1.2}$
150000-300000	0.158 $f^{0.5}$	$4.21 \times 10^{-4} f^{0.5}$	$6.67 \times 10^{-5} f$	616000/ $f^{1.2}$

Note: f is frequency in MHz.

* Based on nerve stimulation (NS).

** Based on specific absorption rate (SAR).

3.3 FCC Exposure Limits

Frequency range (MHz)	Electric field strength (V/m)	Magnetic field strength (A/m)	Power density (mW/cm ²)	Averaging time (minutes)
(i) Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure				
0.3-3.0	614	1.63	*(100)	≤6
3.0-30	1842/ f	4.89/ f	*(900/ f^2)	<6
30-300	61.4	0.163	1.0	<6
300-1,500			$f/300$	<6
1,500-100,000			5	<6
(ii) Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure				
0.3-1.34	614	1.63	*(100)	<30
1.34-30	824/ f	2.19/ f	*(180/ f^2)	<30
30-300	27.5	0.073	0.2	<30
300-1,500			$f/1500$	<30
1,500-100,000			1.0	<30

f = frequency in MHz. * = Plane-wave equivalent power density.

Test Procedure:

An MPE evaluation for was performed in order to show that the device was compliant with the general population exposure limits. The maximum power density was calculated for each transmitter band at a separation distance of 20cm using the maximum declared output power including tune up tolerance.

For each transmitter the maximum RF exposure at a 20 cm distance using the formula:

$$ConductedPower_{mW} = 10^{\frac{ConductedPower(dBm)}{10}}$$

$$PowerDensity = \frac{ConductedPower_{mW} \times Ant.Gain}{4\pi \times (20_{cm})^2}$$

For transmitters that could operate simultaneously, the MPE to limit ratio for each was calculated and then summed. If the sum of the MPE to limit ratios was less than 1, that specific combination of transmitters was deemed to comply.

Test Results:

The model P033 was **compliant** with FCC Part 2.1091, RSS-102 Issue 6, and IEC62311 Issue 2. The calculated maximum power density at 20cm distance was equal to or less than the required limits for general population exposure for FCC Part 2.1091, RSS-102 Issue 6, and IEC62311 Issue 2. Additionally, the sum of the worst case for each MPE to Limit ratio is less than 1 indicating that all radios may transmit simultaneously.

Test Data:

Duty Cycle	100 (%)							
Separation Dist.	20 (cm)							
Operating Mode	Frequency (MHz)	Declared Max Cond. Power (Inc. Tolerance) (dBm)	Duty Cycle Adjusted Cond. Output Power (dBm)	Antenna Gain (dB)	MPE Value (mW/cm ²)	MPE Limit (mW/cm ²)	Margin to Limit (mW/cm ²)	MPE / Limit Ratio (for Co-Location)
2.4GHz WiFi	2412	13.52	13.52	7.09	0.0229	1.0000	0.9771	0.0229
Bluetooth	2402	7.78	7.78	4.09	0.0031	1.0000	0.9969	0.0031
U-NII Band WiFi	5180	14.36	14.36	6.64	0.0250	1.0000	0.9750	0.0250
							Sum:	0.0510

FCC MPE Data

Duty Cycle	100 (%)							
Separation Dist.	20 (cm)							
Operating Mode	Frequency (MHz)	Declared Max Cond. Power (Inc. Tolerance) (dBm)	Duty Cycle Adjusted Cond. Output Power (dBm)	Antenna Gain (dB)	MPE Value (W/m ²)	MPE Limit (W/m ²)	Margin to Limit (W/m ²)	MPE / Limit Ratio (for Co-Location)
2.4GHz WiFi	2412	13.52	13.52	7.09	0.2289	5.3660	5.1371	0.0427
Bluetooth	2402	7.78	7.78	4.09	0.0306	5.3508	5.3202	0.0057
U-NII Band WiFi	5180	14.36	14.36	6.64	0.2505	9.0471	8.7966	0.0277
							Sum:	0.0761

ISED MPE Data

Duty Cycle	100 (%)							
Separation Dist.	20 (cm)							
Operating Mode	Frequency (MHz)	Declared Max Cond. Power (Inc. Tolerance) (dBm)	Duty Cycle Adjusted Cond. Output Power (dBm)	Antenna Gain (dB)	MPE Value (W/m ²)	MPE Limit (W/m ²)	Margin to Limit (W/m ²)	MPE / Limit Ratio (for Co-Location)
2.4GHz WiFi	2412	13.52	13.52	7.09	0.2289	10.0000	9.7711	0.0229
Bluetooth	2402	7.78	7.78	4.09	0.0306	10.0000	9.9694	0.0031
U-NII Band WiFi	5180	14.36	14.36	6.64	0.2505	10.0000	9.7495	0.0250
							Sum:	0.0510

IEC62311 MPE Data
Test Engineer(s): Bryan Taylor

Test Date(s): 2/12/2025 - 3/28/2025