



The University of Michigan Radiation Laboratory  
 3228 EECS Building  
 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-2122 USA  
 Tel: (734) 483-4211  
 Fax: (734) 647-2106  
 e-mail: liepa@umich.edu

Testing of  
**Electromagnetic Emissions**

per

**USA: CFR Title 47, Part 15.231**  
**Canada: IC RSS-210/GNe**

are herein reported for

**Continental Automotive Systems US Inc.**  
**A2C931423**

Test Report No.: 417124-151202-01  
 Copyright © 2015

Applicant/Provider:

Continental Automotive Systems US Inc.

2400 Executive Hills Drive, Auburn Hills Michigan 48326-2980 USA

Phone: +1 (248) 764-6522, Fax: +1 (248) 764-7281

Contact Person: David Reimus; David.James.Reimus@continental-corporation.com

Measured by:

*Valdis V. Liepa*

Report Approved by:

*Valdis V. Liepa*

Dr. Valdis V. Liepa

Report by:

*Valdis V. Liepa*

Report Date of Issue:

December 2, 2015

**Results of testing completed on (or before) October 28, 2015 are as follows.**

**Emissions:** The transmitter intentional emissions **COMPLY** with the regulatory limit(s) by no less than 1.5 dB. Transmit chain spurious or harmonic emissions **COMPLY** by no less than 8.2 dB. Unintentional spurious emissions from digital circuitry **COMPLY** with radiated emission limit(s) by at least 20 dB.

## Contents

<b>1 Test Specifications, General Procedures, and Location</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1 Test Specification and General Procedures . . . . .	4
1.2 Test Location and Equipment Used . . . . .	5
<b>2 Configuration and Identification of the Equipment Under Test</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Description and Declarations . . . . .	6
2.1.1 EUT Configuration . . . . .	6
2.1.2 Modes of Operation . . . . .	6
2.1.3 Variants . . . . .	7
2.1.4 Test Samples . . . . .	7
2.1.5 Functional Exerciser . . . . .	7
2.1.6 Modifications Made . . . . .	7
2.1.7 Production Intent . . . . .	7
2.1.8 Declared Exemptions and Additional Product Notes . . . . .	7
<b>3 Emissions</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1 General Test Procedures . . . . .	8
3.1.1 Radiated Test Setup and Procedures . . . . .	8
3.1.2 Conducted Emissions Test Setup and Procedures . . . . .	10
3.1.3 Power Supply Variation . . . . .	10
3.2 Intentional Emissions . . . . .	11
3.2.1 Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation . . . . .	11
3.2.2 Fundamental Emission Bandwidth . . . . .	14
3.2.3 Fundamental Emission Field Strength . . . . .	15
3.3 Unintentional Emissions . . . . .	16
3.3.1 Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions . . . . .	16
3.3.2 Radiated Digital Spurious . . . . .	17

## List of Tables

1	The University of Michigan Radiation Laboratory Equipment List	5
2	EUT Declarations.	6
3	Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.	11
4	Fundamental Emission Bandwidth.	14
5	Fundamental Emission Field Strength.	15
6	Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions.	16

## List of Figures

1	Photos of EUT.	6
2	EUT Test Configuration Diagram.	7
3	Radiated Emissions Diagram of the EUT.	8
4	Radiated Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s).	9
5	Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.	12
5	Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.	12
5	Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.	13
6	Fundamental Emission Bandwidth.	14

## 1 Test Specifications, General Procedures, and Location

### 1.1 Test Specification and General Procedures

The ultimate goal of Continental Automotive Systems US Inc. is to demonstrate that the Equipment Under Test (EUT) complies with the Rules and/or Directives below. Detailed in this report are the results of testing the Continental Automotive Systems US Inc. A2C931423 for compliance to:

Country/Region	Rules or Directive	Referenced Section(s)
United States	Code of Federal Regulations	CFR Title 47, Part 15.231
Canada	Industry Canada	IC RSS-210/GNE

Continental Automotive Systems US Inc. has determined that the equipment under test is subject to the rules and directives above at the date of this testing. In conjunction with these rules and directives, the following specifications and procedures are followed herein to demonstrate compliance (in whole or in part) with these regulations.

ANSI C63.4:2009 (USA)	"Methods of Measurement of Radio-Noise Emissions from Low-Voltage Electrical and Electronic Equipment in the Range of 9 kHz to 40 GHz"
ANSI C63.10:2013 (USA)	"American National Standard of Procedures for Compliance Testing of Unlicensed Wireless Devices"
ANSI C63.4:2014 (CAN)	"Methods of Measurement of Radio-Noise Emissions from Low-Voltage Electrical and Electronic Equipment in the Range of 9 kHz to 40 GHz"
Industry Canada	"The Measurement of Occupied Bandwidth"
ICES-003; Issue 5 (2012)	"Information Technology Equipment (ITE) Limits and methods of measurement"

## 1.2 Test Location and Equipment Used

**Test Location** The EUT was fully tested by **The University of Michigan Radiation Laboratory**, 3228 EECS Building, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-2122 USA. The Test Facility description and attenuation characteristics are on file with the FCC Laboratory, Columbia, Maryland (FCC Reg. No: 91050) and with Industry Canada, Ottawa, ON (File Ref. No: IC 2057A-1).

**Test Equipment** Pertinent test equipment used for measurements at this facility is listed in Table 1. The quality system employed at The University of Michigan Radiation Laboratory has been established to ensure all equipment has a clearly identifiable classification, calibration expiry date, and that all calibrations are traceable to the SI through NIST, other recognized national laboratories, accepted fundamental or natural physical constants, ratio type of calibration, or by comparison to consensus standards.

Table 1: The University of Michigan Radiation Laboratory Equipment List

Description	Manufacturer/Model	SN	Quality Num.	Last Cal By / Date Due
Spectrum Analyzer	Hewlett Packard / 8593E	3412A01131	HP8593E1	Agilent / Jul-2016
Dipole Set (20-1000 MHz)	UM / RLDP	RLDP1,2,3	UMDIP1	UM / Jul-2016
Ridge-Horn Antenna	Univ. of Michigan / VVL	5	UMRH1	UM / Jul-2016

## 2 Configuration and Identification of the Equipment Under Test

### 2.1 Description and Declarations

The equipment under test is an automotive Remote Keyless Entry and Remote Start transmitter. The EUT is approximately 4 x 2.5 x 1 cm (approx.) in dimension, and is depicted in Figure 1. It is powered by a 3 VDC Lithium cell battery. In use, this device is hand held. Table 2 outlines provider declared EUT specifications.



Figure 1: Photos of EUT.

Table 2: EUT Declarations.

<b>General Declarations</b>			
<b>Equipment Type:</b>	RKE Transmitter	<b>Country of Origin:</b>	Mexico
<b>Nominal Supply:</b>	3 VDC	<b>Oper. Temp Range:</b>	Not Declared
<b>Frequency Range:</b>	314.95 MHz	<b>Antenna Dimension:</b>	Not Declared
<b>Antenna Type:</b>	integral	<b>Antenna Gain:</b>	Not Declared
<b>Number of Channels:</b>	1	<b>Channel Spacing:</b>	Not Applicable
<b>Alignment Range:</b>	Not Declared	<b>Type of Modulation:</b>	FSK
<b>United States</b>			
<b>FCC ID Number:</b>	M3N-A2C931423	<b>Classification:</b>	DSC
<b>Canada</b>			
<b>IC Number:</b>	7812A-A2C931423	<b>Classification:</b>	Remote Control Device, Vehicular Device

#### 2.1.1 EUT Configuration

The EUT is configured for testing as depicted in Figure 2.

#### 2.1.2 Modes of Operation

There are three modes of operation. When manually activated by button press the EUT transmits a set of ASK frames in Remote Keyless Entry (RKE) mode. When manually activated by external LF interrogation (e.g. a button or door handle is raised in the vehicle) the EUT transmits one FSK frame for a Passive Entry (PE) mode or two FSK frames for a Passive Start (PS) request. All modes are tested herein.




Figure 2: EUT Test Configuration Diagram.

### 2.1.3 Variants

There is only a single variant of the EUT, as tested.

### 2.1.4 Test Samples

Three samples in total were provided. One sample programmed for CW transmission, one normal operating sample capable of manually activated and LF interrogated transmissions, and one sample to be dismantled for testing and photographs.

### 2.1.5 Functional Exerciser

Normal operating EUT functionality was verified by observation of transmitted signal.

### 2.1.6 Modifications Made

There were no modifications made to the EUT by this laboratory.

### 2.1.7 Production Intent

The EUT appears to be a production ready sample.

### 2.1.8 Declared Exemptions and Additional Product Notes

None.

### 3 Emissions

#### 3.1 General Test Procedures

##### 3.1.1 Radiated Test Setup and Procedures

Radiated electromagnetic emissions from the EUT are first pre-scanned in our shielded anechoic chamber. Spectrum and modulation characteristics of all emissions are recorded. Instrumentation, including spectrum analyzers and other test equipment as detailed in Section 1.2 are employed. After indoor pre-scans, emission measurements are made on our outdoor 3-meter Open Area Test Site (OATS). If the EUT connects to auxiliary equipment and is table or floor standing, the configurations prescribed in relevant test standards are followed. Alternatively, a layout closest to normal use (as declared by the provider) is employed if the resulting emissions appear to be worst-case in such a configuration. See Figure 3. All intentionally radiating elements that are not fixed-mounted in use are placed on the test table lying flat, on their side, and on their end (3-axes) and the resulting worst case emissions are recorded. If the EUT is fixed-mounted in use, measurements are made with the device oriented in the manner consistent with installation and then emissions are recorded.




Figure 3: Radiated Emissions Diagram of the EUT.

If the EUT exhibits spurious emissions due to internal receiver circuitry, such emissions are measured with an appropriate carrier signal applied. For devices with intentional emissions below 30 MHz, a shielded loop antenna is used. It is placed at a 1 meter receive height. Emissions between 30 MHz and 1 GHz are measured using tuned dipoles and/or calibrated broadband antennas. For both horizontal and vertical polarizations, the test antenna is raised and lowered from 1 to 4 m in height until a maximum emission level is detected. The EUT is then rotated through 360° in azimuth until the highest emission is detected. The test antenna is then raised and lowered one last time from 1 to 4 m and the worst case value is recorded. Emissions above 1 GHz are characterized using standard gain horn antennas or calibrated broadband ridge-horn antennas on our OATS with a 2.4 × 2.4 m square of AN-79 or H-4 absorber placed over the ground screen between the EUT and the test antenna. Care is taken to ensure that test receiver resolution and video bandwidths meet the regulatory requirements, and that the emission bandwidth of the EUT is not reduced. Photographs of the test setup employed are depicted in Figure 4.

Where regulations allow for direct measurement of field strength, power values (dBm) measured on the test receiver / analyzer are converted to dB $\mu$ V/m at the regulatory distance, using

$$E_{dist} = 107 + P_R + K_A - K_G + K_E - C_F$$

where  $P_R$  is the power recorded on spectrum analyzer, in dBm,  $K_A$  is the test antenna factor in dB/m,  $K_G$  is the combined pre-amplifier gain and cable loss in dB,  $K_E$  is duty correction factor (when applicable) in dB, and  $C_F$  is a distance conversion (employed only if limits are specified at alternate distance) in dB. This field strength value is then compared with the regulatory limit. If effective isotropic radiated power (EIRP) is computed, it is computed as

$$EIRP(dBm) = E_{3m}(dB\mu V/m) - 95.2.$$

When presenting data at each frequency, the highest measured emission under all possible EUT orientations (3-axes) is reported.




Figure 4: Radiated Emissions Test Setup Photograph(s).

### 3.1.2 Conducted Emissions Test Setup and Procedures

**Battery Power Conducted Spurious** The EUT is not subject to measurement of power line conducted emissions as it is powered solely by its internal battery.

### 3.1.3 Power Supply Variation

Tests at extreme supply voltages are made if required by the the procedures specified in the test standard, and results of this testing are detailed in this report.

In the case the EUT is designed for operation from a battery power source, the extreme test voltages are evaluated over the range specified in the test standard; no less than  $\pm 10\%$  of the nominal battery voltage declared by the manufacturer. For all battery operated equipment, worst case intentional and spurious emissions are re-checked employing a new (fully charged) battery.

### 3.2 Intentional Emissions

#### 3.2.1 Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation

**Test Setup & Procedure** The test equipment and facilities were setup in accordance with the standards and procedures listed in Section 1.1. Environmental conditions were set at the appropriate temperature and thermal balance was checked with a thermocouple based probe. Duty cycle is reported for all relevant modes of operation. The test equipment employed includes HP8593E1, HPE4402B, UMDIP1.

**Measurement Results** The details and results of testing the EUT are summarized in Table 3. Plots showing the measurements made to obtain these values are provided in Figure 5.

Table 3: Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.

The EUT is capable of three encodings. The RKE mode (activated by button press on the EUT) consists of repeated ASK frames. Each ASK frame is 51.0 ms long and Manchester encoded with a duty of 0.2425 ms / 0.4775 ms in the worst case, with a frame period of 100 ms. The PE mode (activated by LF interrogation from the vehicle caused by lifting of door handle on automobile) consists of one 18.0 ms FSK frame. The PS modes (activated by LF interrogation from vehicle when fob is inside the vehicle and start button is pressed) consists of back-to-back FSK frames with a total of 17.5 ms duration. Worst case duty is exhibited in RKE mode as computed below.

Duty Cycle Computation		Continental RKE; FCC/IC
1	$RKE KE_{ASK} = (51.0 \text{ ms} \times (0.2425 / 0.4775)) / 100 \text{ ms} = 0.259 \text{ or } -11.7 \text{ dB}$	
2	$PE KE_{FSK} = 18.0 \text{ ms} / 100 \text{ ms} = 0.180 \text{ or } -14.9 \text{ dB}$	
3	$PS KE_{FSK} = 17.5 \text{ ms} / 100 \text{ ms} = 0.175 \text{ or } -15.1$	

Meas. U of Mich.; 11/06/2015




Figure 5(a): Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.




Figure 5(b): Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.




Figure 5(c): Fundamental Emission Pulsed Operation.

### 3.2.2 Fundamental Emission Bandwidth

**Test Setup & Procedure** The test equipment and facilities were setup in accordance with the standards and procedures listed in Section 1.1. Environmental conditions were set at the appropriate temperature and thermal balance was checked with a thermocouple based probe. Emission bandwidth (EBW) of the EUT is measured with the device placed in the test mode(s) with the shortest available frame length and minimum frame spacing. The 20 dB EBW is measured as the max-held peak-detected signal when the IF bandwidth is greater than or equal to 1% of the receiver span. For complex modulations other than ASK and FSK, the 99% emission bandwidth per IC test procedures has a different result, and is also reported. The test equipment employed includes HP8593E1, UMDIP1.

**Measurement Results** The details and results of testing the EUT are summarized in Table 4. Plots showing the measurements made to obtain these values are provided in Figure 6.

Table 4: Fundamental Emission Bandwidth.

The emission bandwidth of the signal is shown in the following Figure.

Measured Emission Bandwidth				Continental RKE; FCC/IC			
#	Modulation	Frequency / Channel (MHz)	EBW meas. (kHz)				
1	ASK	314.950	60.0				
2	FSK	314.950	92.0				

Meas. U of Mich.; 11/06/2015




Figure 6: Fundamental Emission Bandwidth.

### 3.2.3 Fundamental Emission Field Strength

**Test Setup & Procedure** The test equipment and facilities were setup in accordance with the standards and procedures listed in Section 1.1. Environmental conditions were set at the appropriate temperature and thermal balance was checked with a thermocouple based probe. Fundamental emissions are measured at the regulatory distance on our OATS. The test equipment employed includes HP8593E1, UMDIP1.

**Measurement Results** The details and results of testing the EUT are summarized in Table 5.


Table 5: Fundamental Emission Field Strength.

Frequency Range	Det	IF Bandwidth	Video Bandwidth
25 MHz $f < 1000$ MHz	Pk/QPk	120 kHz	300 kHz
$f > 1000$ MHz	Pk	1 MHz	3 MHz
$f > 1000$ MHz	Avg	1 MHz	10kHz

Fundamental Radiated Emission											Continental RKE; FCC/IC
#	Freq. MHz	Ant. Used	Ant. Pol.	Pr dBm	Det. Used	Ka dB/m	Kg dB	E3 (Avg)* dB $\mu$ V/m	E3lim dB $\mu$ V/m	Pass dB	Comments
1	315.0	Dip	H	-4.6	Pk	18.6	35.2	74.1	75.6	<b>1.5</b>	flat w/key-blade
2	315.0	Dip	V	-7.0	Pk	18.6	35.2	71.7	75.6	3.9	end w/key-blade
3											
4	315.0	Dip	H	-6.1	Pk	18.6	35.2	72.6	75.6	3.0	flat w/o key-blade
5											
6	* Includes 11.7 dB Duty Cycle.										
7											
8											

EIRP(Avg) = 74.1 dB $\mu$ V/m @ 3m - 95.2 = -21.1 dBm

Meas. U of Mich.; 11/06/2015



### 3.3 Unintentional Emissions

#### 3.3.1 Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions

**Test Setup & Procedure** The test equipment and facilities were setup in accordance with the standards and procedures listed in Section 1.1. Environmental conditions were set at the appropriate temperature and thermal balance was checked with a thermocouple based probe. Spurious radiated emissions measurements are performed to 10 times the highest fundamental operating frequency. The test equipment employed includes HP8593E1, UMDIP1, UMRH1.

**Measurement Results** The details and results of testing the EUT are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6: Transmit Chain Spurious Emissions.

Frequency Range	Det	IF Bandwidth	Video Bandwidth
25 MHz $f < 1000$ MHz	Pk/QPk	120 kHz	300 kHz
$f > 1000$ MHz	Pk	1 MHz	3 MHz
$f > 1000$ MHz	Avg	1 MHz	10kHz

Tx Spurious Emissions											Continental RKE; FCC/IC
#	Freq. MHz	Ant. Used	Ant. Pol.	Pr dBm	Det. Used	Ka dB/m	Kg dB	E3* dB $\mu$ V/m	E3lim dB $\mu$ V/m	Pass dB	Comments
1	629.9	Dip	H	-62.2	Pk	24.4	31.8	25.7	55.6	30.0	flat (all w/key-blade)
2	629.9	Dip	V	-62.9	Pk	24.4	31.8	25.0	55.6	30.7	end
3	944.9	Dip	H	-79.6	Pk	28.8	28.8	15.7	55.6	39.9	flat
4	944.9	Dip	V	-78.4	Pk	28.8	28.8	16.9	55.6	38.7	side
5	1259.8	Horn	H	-55.0	Pk	20.6	28.1	32.8	54.0	21.2	side
6	1574.8	Horn	H	-57.3	Pk	21.5	28.1	31.4	54.0	22.6	side
7	1889.7	Horn	H	-56.8	Pk	22.2	28.1	32.6	55.6	23.0	side
8	2204.7	Horn	H	-48.9	Pk	23.0	26.5	42.9	54.0	11.1	flat
9	2519.6	Horn	H	-62.2	Pk	23.9	26.0	31.0	55.6	24.7	end
10	2834.6	Horn	H	-54.1	Pk	24.8	24.7	41.3	54.0	12.7	flat
11	3149.5	Horn	H	-50.1	Pk	25.8	23.6	47.4	55.6	<b>8.2</b>	flat
12											
13	* Includes 11.7 dB Duty Cycle.										
14											

Meas. U of Mich.; 11/06/2015

### 3.3.2 Radiated Digital Spurious

The results for the measurement of digital spurious emissions are not reported herein as all digital emissions were greater than 20 dB below the regulatory limit. Radiation from digital components was measured to 4 GHz, or to five times the maximum digital component operating frequency, whichever is greater.