CETECOM ICT Services GmbH

 Untertuerkheimer Str. 6-10, 66117 Saarbruecken, Germany
 Phone: +49 (0) 681 598-0

 SAR-Laboratory
 Phone: +49 (0) 681 598-8454

Fax: -8475





Accredited testing laboratory

DAR registration number: TTI-P-G 166/98

Federal Motor Transport Authority (KBA) DAR registration number: KBA-P 00070-97

Test report no. : 5-4113/02-1-3

Type identification: BIRD (G4200D/001)

Test specification : Draft IEEE Std 1528-200X

FCC-ID : L82-BIRD

As of October 9, 2002 Page 1 of 40

CETECOM ICT Services GmbH

Test report no.: 5-4113/02-1-3



Table of Contents

1	Genera	l Information	3
	1.1 No	otes	3
	1.1.1	Statement of Compliance	3
	1.2 Te	esting laboratory	4
	1.3 De	etails of applicant	4
	1.4 A ₁	pplication details	4
	1.5 Te	est item	5
	1.5.1	Test specification(s)	6
	1.5.2	RF exposure limits	6
2	Technic	cal test	7
	2.1 Su	ımmary of test results	7
	2.2 Te	est environment	7
	2.3 M	easurement and test set-up	7
	2.4 M	easurement system	8
	2.4.1	System Description	
	2.4.2	Test environment	9
	2.4.3	Probe description	9
	2.4.4	Phantom description	10
	2.4.5	Device holder description	
	2.4.6	Scanning procedure	11
	2.4.7	Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation	12
	2.4.8	Data Storage and Evaluation	13
	2.4.9	Test equipment utilized	15
	2.4.10	Tissue dielectric properties	16
	2.4.11	Tissue parameters	16
	2.4.12	Measurement uncertainties	17
	2.4.13	System validation	18
	2.4.14	Validation procedure	18
	2.5 Te	est results (Body SAR)	19
	2.5.1	Description of test positions during SAR evaluation	
	2.6 Te	est results (power measurement)	19
A		Section marketing and consideration	20
	nnex 1	System performance verification	20
		Photo documentation	
Α	nnex 4	Calibration parameters of E-field probe	40



1 General Information

1.1 Notes

The test results of this test report relate exclusively to the test item specified in 1.5. The CETECOM ICT Services GmbH does not assume responsibility for any conclusions and generalisations drawn from the test results with regard to other specimens or samples of the type of the equipment represented by the test item. The test report may only be reproduced or published in full. Reproduction or publication of extracts from the report requires the prior written approval of the CETECOM ICT Services GmbH.

1.1.1 Statement of Compliance

The SAR values found for the BIRD portable phone with DECT technology are below the maximum recommended levels of 1.6 W/Kg as averaged over any 1 g tissue according the FCC rule §2.1093, the ANSI/IEEE C 95.1:1992 and the NCRP Report Number 86 for uncontrolled environment.

Test	laboratory	manager:
1031	iadul alui y	manager.

October 9, 2002 Fabien Coulet

Date Name Signature

Technical responsibility for area of testing:

October 9, 2002 Bernd Rebmann

Date Name Signature

As of October 9, 2002 Page 3 of 40

CETECOM ICT Services GmbH

Test report no.: 5-4113/02-1-3



1.2 Testing laboratory

CETECOM ICT Services GmbH Untertuerkheimer Straße 6-10, 66117 Saarbruecken Germany

Telephone: + 49 681 598 - 0 Fax: + 49 681 598 - 8475

e-mail: info@ict.cetecom.de
Internet: http://www.cetecom-ict.de

State of accreditation: The Test laboratory (area of testing) is accredited according to DIN EN

ISO/IEC 17025. DAR registration number: TTI-P-G-166/98

Test location, if different from CETECOM ICT Services GmbH

Name: Street: Town: Country: Phone: Fax:

1.3 Details of applicant

Name: Siemens AG

Street: Frankenstr. 2
Town: 46395 Bocholt
Country: Germany

Contact: Uwe Alt

Telephone: +49 (0) 2871 91-28 57

1.4 Application details

Date of receipt of application:

Date of receipt of test item:

September 20, 2002

Start/Date of test:

September 23, 2002

September 23, 2002

End of test:

September 23, 2002

Person(s) present during the test:

As of October 9, 2002 Page 4 of 40

CETECOM ICT Services GmbH

Test report no.: 5-4113/02-1-3



1.5 Test item	
Description of the test item:	DECT
Type identification:	BIRD (G4200D/001)
FCC-ID: Serial number:	L82-BIRD S30852-S1415-R307-6
Manufacturer:	
Name: Street: Town: Country:	Siemens AG Frankenstr. 2 46395 Bocholt Germany
additional information on the DUT:	
device type: device category: test device production information: exposure category:	DECT (Digital Enhanced Cordless Telephone) portable device production unit general population / uncontrolled exposure
device operating configurations : frequency range : operating mode : maximum device rating :	2.407104 GHz to 2.469312 GHz DECT Digital modulated system according to FCC15 234 mW (23.7 dBm)
antenna: battery type: accessories:	Integrated inverted F antenna 2 rechargeable batteries (type AA)
Auxiliary equipment:	

As of October 9, 2002 Page 5 of 40



1.5.1 Test specification(s)

Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01)

Draft IEEE Std 1528-200X: Version 6.4:July 2001

1.5.2 RF exposure limits

Human Exposure	Uncontrolled Environment General Population	Controlled Environment Occupational
Spatial Peak SAR* (Brain)	1.60 mW/g	8.00 mW/g
Spatial Average SAR** (Whole Body)	0.08 mW/g	0.40 mW/g
Spatial Peak SAR*** (Hands/Feet/Ankle/Wrist)	4.00 mW/g	20.00 mW/g

Table 1: RF exposure limits

The limit applied in this test report is shown in **bold** letters

Notes:

- * The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time
- ** The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
- *** The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

As of October 9, 2002 Page 6 of 40



2 Technical test

2.1 Summary of test results

No deviations from the technical specification(s) were ascertained in the course of the tests performed.	
The deviations as specified in 2.5 were ascertained in the course of the tests performed.	

2.2 Test environment

General Environment conditions in the test area are as follows:

Ambient temperature: $20^{\circ}\text{C} - 24^{\circ}\text{C}$ Tissue simulating liquid: $20^{\circ}\text{C} - 24^{\circ}\text{C}$ Humidity: 40% - 50%

Exact temperature values for each test are shown in the table(s) under 2.5. and/or on the measurement plots.

2.3 Measurement and test set-up

The measurement system is described in chapter 2.4.

The test setup for the system validation can be found in chapter 2.4.15.


A description of positioning and test signal control can be found in chapter 2.5 together with the test results.

As of October 9, 2002 Page 7 of 40



2.4 Measurement system

2.4.1 System Description



The DASY3 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e. an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronic (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- A unit to operate the optical surface detector which is connected to the EOC.
- The <u>E</u>lectro-<u>O</u>ptical <u>C</u>oupler (EOC) performs the conversion from the optical into a digital electric signal of the DAE. The EOC is connected to the PC plug-in card.
- The function of the PC plug-in card based on a DSP is to perform the time critical task such as signal filtering, surveillance of the robot operation fast movement interrupts.
- A computer operating Windows 95 or higher
- DASY3 software
- Remote control with teach panel and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The generic twin phantom enabling the testing of left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- System validation dipoles allowing to validate the proper functioning of the system.

As of October 9, 2002 Page 8 of 40



2.4.2 Test environment

The DASY3 measurement system is placed at the head end of a room with dimensions :

 $5 \times 2.5 \times 3 \text{ m}^3$, the SAM phantom is placed in a distance of 75 cm from the side walls and 1.1m from the rear wall. Above the test system a 1.5 x 1.5 m² array of pyramid absorbers is installed to reduce reflections from the ceiling.

Picture 1 of the photo documentation shows a complete view of the test environment.

The system allows the measurement of SAR values larger than 0.005 mW/g.

2.4.3 Probe description

Isotropic E-Field Probe ET3DV6 for Dosimetric Measurements

Technical data according to manufacturer information						
Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core					
	Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system					
	Built-in shielding against static charges					
	PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic					
	solvents, e.g., glycolether)					
Calibration	In air from 10 MHz to 2.5 GHz					
	In head tissue simulating liquid (HSL) at 900 (800-					
	1000) MHz and 1.8 GHz (1700-1910 MHz)					
	(accuracy ± 9.5%; k=2) Calibration for other liquids					
	and frequencies upon request					
Frequency	10 MHz to 3 GHz (dosimetry); Linearity: ± 0.2 dB					
	(30 MHz to 3 GHz)					
Directivity	\pm 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis)					
	\pm 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)					
Dynamic range	$5 \mu \text{W/g to} > 100 \text{ mW/g}$; Linearity: $\pm 0.2 \text{ dB}$					
Optical Surface Detection	\pm 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over					
	diffuse reflecting surfaces (ET3DV6 only)					
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm					
	Tip length: 16 mm					
	Body diameter: 12 mm					
	Tip diameter: 6.8 mm					
	Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm					
Application	General dosimetry up to 3 GHz					
	Compliance tests of mobile phones					
	Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms					
	(ET3DV6)					


As of October 9, 2002 Page 9 of 40



2.4.4 Phantom description

The used SAM Phantom meets the requirements specified in Edition 01-01 of Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65 for Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) measurements.

The phantom consists of a fibreglass shell integrated in a wooden table. It allows left-hand and right-hand head as well as body-worn measurements with a maximum liquid depth of 18 mm in head position and 22 mm in planar position (body measurements). The thickness of the Phantom shell is 2 mm +/- 0.1 mm.



2.4.5 Device holder description

The DASY3 device holder has two scales for device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear openings). The plane between the ear openings and the mouth tip has a rotation angle of 65°. The bottom plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections. This device holder is used for standard mobile phones or PDA's only. If necessary an additional support of polystyrene material is used.



Larger DUT's (e.g. notebooks) cannot be tested using this device holder. Instead a support of bigger polystyrene cubes and thin polystyrene plates is used to position the DUT in all relevant positions to find and measure spots with maximum SAR values.

Therefore those devices are normally only tested at the flat part of the SAM.

As of October 9, 2002 Page 10 of 40



2.4.6 Scanning procedure

The DASY3 installation includes predefined files with recommended procedures for measurements and validation. They are read-only document files and destined as fully defined but unmeasured masks. All test positions (head or body-worn) are tested with the same configuration of test steps differing only in the grid definition for the different test positions.

- The "reference" and "drift" measurements are located at the beginning and end of the batch process. They measure the field drift at one single point in the liquid over the complete procedure. The indicated drift is mainly the variation of the DUT's output power and should vary max. +/- 5 %.
- The "surface check" measurement tests the optical surface detection system of the DASY3 system by repeatedly detecting the surface with the optical and mechanical surface detector and comparing the results. The output gives the detecting heights of both systems, the difference between the two systems and the standard deviation of the detection repeatability. Air bubbles or refraction in the liquid due to separation of the sugar-water mixture gives poor repeatability (above \pm 0.1mm). To prevent wrong results tests are only executed when the liquid is free of air bubbles. The difference between the optical surface detection and the actual surface depends on the probe and is specified with each probe. (It does not depend on the surface reflectivity or the probe angle to the surface within \pm 30°.)

The "coarse scan" measures the SAR above the dipole on a parallel plane to the surface. It is used to locate the approximate location of the peak SAR with 2D spline interpolation. The robot performs a stepped movement along one grid axis while the local electrical field strenth is measured by the probe. The standard scan uses large grid spacing for faster measurement. Standard grid spacing for head measurements is 15 mm in x- and y- dimension. If a finer graphic is needed, the grid spacing can be reduced. Grid spacing and orientation have no influence on the SAR result. For special applications where the standard scan method does not find the peak SAR within the grid, e.g. mobile phones with flip cover, the grid can be adapted in orientation.

Results of this coarse scan are shown in annex 2.

• A "cube 5x5x7 scan" measures the field in a volume around the 2D peak SAR value acquired in the previous "coarse" scan. This is a fine 5x5 grid where the robot additionally moves the probe in 7 steps along the z-axis away from the bottom of the Phantom. Grid spacing for the cube measurement is 8 mm in x and y-direction and 5 mm in z-direction. In this document, the evaluated peak 1g and 10g averaged SAR values are shown in the 2D-graphics in annex 2. Test results relevant for the specified standard (see chapter 1.7.) are shown in table form in chapter 2.5.

As of October 9, 2002 Page 11 of 40



2.4.7 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation

The spatial peak SAR - value for 1 and 10 g is evaluated after the Cube measurements have been done. The basis of the evaluation are the SAR values measured at the points of the fine cube grid consisting of 5 x 5 x 7 points. If any parameter is changed afterwards with 'File Modify' (for example crest factor or medium factors) a re-evaluation of the measurement is needed. This evaluation can be repeated by selecting 'Job Evaluation' on the selected scans. The algorithm that finds the maximal averaged volume is separated into three different stages.

- The data between the dipole center of the probe and the surface of the phantom are extrapolated. This data cannot be measured since the center of the dipole is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is about 1 mm (see probe calibration sheet). The extrapolated data from a cube measurement can be visualized by selecting 'Graph Evaluated'.
- The maximum interpolated value is searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10 g) are computed using the 3d-spline interpolation algorithm. If the volume cannot be evaluated (i.e., if a part of the grid was cut off by the boundary of the measurement area) the evaluation will be started on the corners of the bottom plane of the cube.
- All neighboring volumes are evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value is found.

Extrapolation

The extrapolation is based on a least square algorithm [W. Gander, Computermathematik, p.168-180]. Through the points in the first 3 cm along the z-axis, polynomials of order four are calculated. These polynomials are then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip. The points, calculated from the surface, have a distance of 1 mm from each other.

Interpolation

The interpolation of the points is done with a 3d-Spline. The 3d-Spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition [W. Gander, Computermathematik, p.141-150] (x, y and z -direction) [Numerical Recipes in C, Second Edition, p.123ff].

Volume Averaging

At First the size of the cube is calculated. Then the volume is integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. 8000 points (20x20x20) are interpolated to calculate the average.

Advanced Extrapolation

The BIOEMC group of the ETH Zurich is currently investigating the boundary effects on E-field probes. As soon as the research is finished DASY3 will allow to compensate for these boundary effects. But until then we do not encourage to use the 'Advanced Extrapolation' option.

As of October 9, 2002 Page 12 of 40

<u>Test report no.: 5-4113/02-1-3</u>



2.4.8 Data Storage and Evaluation

2.4.8.1 Data Storage

The DASY3 software stores the acquired data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors), together with all necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, liquid parameters and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files with the extension ".DA3". The software evaluates the desired unit and format for output each time the data is visualized or exported. This allows verification of the complete software setup even after the measurement and allows correction of incorrect parameter settings. For example, if a measurement has been performed with a wrong crest factor parameter in the device setup, the parameter can be corrected afterwards and the data can be re-evaluated. To avoid unintentional parameter changes or data manipulations, the parameters in measured files are locked. In the administrator access mode of the software, the parameters can be unlocked by selecting the "modify"-switch in the "file"-pull down menu. After changing the parameters, the measured scans must be re-evaluated by selecting them and using the "evaluate"-option in the "scan"-pull down menu.

The measured data can be visualized or exported in different units or formats, depending on the selected probe type ([V/m], [A/m], [°C], [mW/g], [mW/cm²], [dBrel], etc.). Some of these units are not available in certain situations or show meaningless results, e.g., a SAR output in a lossless media will always be zero. Raw data can also be exported to perform the evaluation with other software packages.

2.4.8.2 Data Evaluation

The DASY3 software automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters: - Sensitivity Norm_i, a_{i0}, a_{i1}, a_{i2}

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{- Conversion factor} & \text{ConvF}_i \\ \text{- Diode compression point} & \text{Dcpi} \end{array}$

Device parameters: - Frequency f

- Crest factor cf

Media parameters: - Conductivity s

- Density r

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY3 components. In the direct measuring mode of the multimeter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics.

As of October 9, 2002 Page 13 of 40

CETECOM ICT Services GmbH

Test report no.: 5-4113/02-1-3



If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot cf/dcp_i$$

 $\label{eq:compensated} \mbox{with} \quad V_i \qquad = \mbox{compensated signal of channel } i \quad (i=x,\,y,\,z)$

 $U_i \qquad = input \ signal \ of \ channel \ i \qquad \qquad (i=x, \, y, \, z)$

cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter) dcp_i = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-field probes: $E_i = (V_i / Norm_i \cdot ConvF)^{1/2}$

H-field probes: $H_i = (V_i)^{1/2} \cdot (a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2)/f$

with V_i = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

Norm_i = sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x, y, z)

 $[mV/(V/m)^2]$ for E-field Probes

ConvF = sensitivity enhancement in solution

a_{ii} = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

f = carrier frequency [GHz]

E_i = electric field strength of channel i in V/m H_i = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = (E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2)^{1/2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = (E_{tot}^2 \cdot \mathbf{s}) / (\mathbf{r} \cdot 1000)$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

 E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

s = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

r = equivalent tissue density in g/cm³

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid. The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field to be a free space field.

$$P_{\text{pwe}} = E_{tot}^2 / 3770$$
 or $P_{\text{pwe}} = H_{tot}^2 \cdot 37.7$

with P_{pwe} = equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm²

 $\begin{array}{ll} E_{tot} & = total \; electric \; field \; strength \; in \; V/m \\ H_{tot} & = total \; magnetic \; field \; strength \; in \; A/m \end{array}$

As of October 9, 2002 Page 14 of 40



2.4.9 Test equipment utilized

This table gives a complete overview of the SAR measurement equipment

Devices used during the test described in chapter 2.5. are marked \boxtimes

	Manufacturer	Device	Туре	Serial number	Date of last calibration)*
	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	Dosimetric E-Field Probe	ET3DV6	1558	March 22, 2002
	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	Dosimetric E-Field Probe (spare)	ET3DV6	1559	February 20, 2001
	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	900 MHz System Validation Dipole	D900V2	102	February 13, 2001
	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	1800 MHz System Validation Dipole	D1800V2	287	February 13, 2001
	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	1900 MHz System Validation Dipole	D1900V2	5d009	June 13, 2002
	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	2450 MHz System Validation Dipole	D2450V2	710	July 15, 2002
	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	Data acquisition electronics	DAE3V1	413	N/A
	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	Software	DASY 3 V3.1c		N/A
	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	Phantom	SAM		N/A
	Rohde & Schwarz	Universal Radio Communication Tester (used as controller only)	CMU 200	U-972406/000	August 30, 2001
	Agilent	Network Analyser 300 kHz to 3 GHz	8753C	2936A00872	February 26, 2002
	Agilent	Dielectric Probe Kit	85070C	US99360146	March 8, 2001
	Agilent	Peak Power Analyzer	8990A	3128A00169	August 6, 2002
	Agilent	Peak Power Sensor	84813A	3125A00111	September 18, 2002
\boxtimes	Rohde & Schwarz	Signal Generator	SMPD	882.362/009	January 11, 2002
	Amplifier Reasearch	Amplifier	25S1G4 (25 Watt)	20452	N/A
	Agilent	Power Meter	438A	2804U01006	April 12,2002
	Agilent	Power Meter Sensor	8482A	2703A03025	April 22, 2002

^{)* :} Measurement devices are in a 1-year calibration cycle, validation dipoles are in a 2-year calibration cycle

As of October 9, 2002 Page 15 of 40



2.4.10 Tissue dielectric properties

The following materials are used for producing the tissue-equivalent materials:

Ingredients	Frequency (MHz)											
(% of weight)												
frequency band		450		835		900		1800		1900	$\boxtimes 2$	2450
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	52.64	69.91	52.64	69.91	62.7	73.2
Salt (NaCl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.40	1.35	0.76	0.36	0.13	0.36	0.13	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Triton X-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.0	29.96	47.0	29.96	0.0	26.7

Table 2: Tissue dielectric properties

Salt: 99+% Pure Sodium Chloride Sugar: 98+% Pure Sucrose Water: De-ionized, $16M\Omega$ + resistivity HEC: Hydroxyethyl Cellulose

DGBE: 99+% Di(ethylene glycol) butyl ether, [2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol]

Triton X-100(ultra pure): Polyethylene glycol mono [4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenyl]ether

2.4.11 Tissue parameters

Used Target Target		Target		Measured		Measured		Measured	
Frequency	Head	Tissue	Body Tissue		Head Tissue		Body Tissue		Date
[GHz]	Permit-	Conduc-	Permit-	Conduc-	Permit-	Conduc-	Permit-	Conduc-	
	tivity	tivity	tivity	tivity	tivity	tivity	tivity	tivity	
		[S/m]		[S/m]		[S/m]		[S/m]	
<u>450</u>	44.0	0.88	56.7	0.94			60.6	0.84	2002-02-25
■ 835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97					
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05	44.5	0.95	55.4	0.98	2002-08-22
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06					
<u> </u>	40.0	1.38	53.3	1.52	38.7	1.40	54.6	1.31	2002-08-22
<u> </u>	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52	38.3	1.51	55.8	1.54	2002-08-22
	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95	42.1	1.88	56.1	2.01	2002-09-05

Table 3: Parameter of the tissue simulating liquid

Note: The dielectric properties have been measured using the contact probe method at 22°C.

As of October 9, 2002 Page 16 of 40



2.4.12 Measurement uncertainties

The overall combined measurement uncertainty of the measurement system is \pm 13,6% (K=1). The breakdown of the individual uncertainties is as follows:

Error Sources	Uncertainty Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci	Standard Uncertainty	v _i ² or v _{eff}
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 4.4%	Normal	1	1	± 4.4%	8
Axial isotropy	± 4.7%	Rectangular	v3	(1-cp) 1/2	± 1.9%	8
Spherical isotropy	± 9.6%	Rectangular	v3	(cp) 1/2	± 3.9%	8
Spatial resolution	± 0.0%	Rectangular	v3	1	± 0.0%	8
Boundary effects	± 5.5%	Rectangular	v3	1	± 3.2%	8
Probe linearity	± 4.7%	Rectangular	v3	1	± 2.7%	8
Detection limit	± 1.0%	Rectangular	v3	1	± 0.6%	8
Readout electronics	± 1.0%	Normal	1	1	± 1.0%	8
Response time	± 0.8%	Rectangular	v3	1	± 0.5%	8
Integration time	± 1.4%	Rectangular	v3	1	± 0.8%	8
RF ambient conditions	± 3.0%	Rectangular	v3	1	± 1.7%	8
Mech. robot constructions	± 0.4%	Rectangular	v3	1	± 0.2%	8
Probe positioning	± 2.9%	Rectangular	v3	1	± 1.7%	8
Extrapolation & integration	± 3.9%	Rectangular	v3	1	± 2.3%	8
Test Sample Related						
Device holder	± 6.0%	Normal	0.89	1	± 6.7%	12
Device holder uncertainty	± 5.0%	Normal	0.84	1	± 5.9%	8
Power drift	± 5.0%	Rectangular	v3	1	± 2.9%	8
Phantom and Set-up						
Phantom uncertainty	± 4.0%	Rectangular	v3	1	± 2.3%	8
Liquid conductivity (target)	± 5.0%	Rectangular	v3	0.6	± 1.7%	8
Liquid conductivity (meas.)	± 10.0%	Rectangular	v3	0.6	± 3.5%	8
Liquid permittivity (target)	± 5.0%	Rectangular	v3	0.6	± 1.7%	8
Liquid permittivity (meas.)	± 5.0%	Rectangular	v3	0.6	± 1.7%	8
Combined Uncertainty					± 13.6%	

Table 4: Measurement uncertainties

The measurement uncertainty budget suggested by IEEE Std 1528-200X and determined by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG. The expanded uncertainty (k=2) is assessed to be \pm 27.2%

As of October 9, 2002 Page 17 of 40



2.4.13 System validation

The system validation is used for verifying the accuracy of the complete measurement system and performance of the software. The system validation is performed with tissue equivalent material according to IEEE Std 1528-200X: 2001. The following table shows validation result(s) for the SAR test . Validation(s) valid for the tests performed for this test report are in bold letters (graphic plot(s) see annex 1).

Validation Kit	Frequency	Target SAR _{1g} (1000 mW)	Target SAR _{10g} (1000 mW)	Measured SAR _{1g}	Measured SAR _{10g}	Measured date
		(+/- 10%)	(+/ - 10%)			
DV2 2450,	2450 MHz	57.6 mW/g	26.5 mW/g	54.4 mW/g	25.6 mW/g	2002-09-23
S/N:710	head					


Table 5: Results system validation

2.4.14 Validation procedure

The validation is performed by using a validation dipole which is positioned parallel to the planar part of the SAM phantom at the reference point. The distance of the dipole to the SAM phantom is determined by a plexiglass distance holder. The dipole is connected to the the signal source consisting of signal generator and amplifier via an directional coupler, N-connector cable and adaption to SMA. It is fed with a power of 1000 mW. To adjust this power a power meter is used. The power sensor is connected to the cable before the validation to measure the power at this point and do adjustments at the signal generator. At the outputs of the directional coupler both return loss as well as forward power are controlled during the validation to make sure that emitted power at the dipole keeps being constant. This can also be checked by the power drift measurement after the test (result on plot).

Validation results have to be equal or near the values determined during dipole calibration (target SAR in table above) with the relevant liquids and test system.





As of October 9, 2002 Page 18 of 40



2.5 Test results (Body SAR)

The table contains the measured SAR values averaged over a mass of 1 g								
Channel/frequency	Position	Left hand position Right hand position		Limit	Liquid temperature			
2441.664 MHz	cheek first peak	0.0100 W/kg	0.0085 W/kg	1.6 W/kg	19.7 °C			
2441.664 MHz	cheek second peak	0.0100 W/kg	0.0079 W/kg	1.6 W/kg	19.7 °C			
2441.664 MHz	tilted 15° first Peak	0.0071 W/kg	0.0067 W/kg	1.6 W/kg	19.8 °C			
2441.664 MHz	tilted 15° second Peak	0.0033 W/kg	0.0026 W/kg	1.6 W/kg	20.0 °C			

Table 6: Test results (Head SAR)

Note: Upper and lower frequencies were not measured because the values at mid-band frequency do not exceeded 0.8 W/kg (1.60 W/kg reduced of 3dB)

2.5.1 Description of test positions during SAR evaluation

Test positions of the DECT handset are in accordance to the definitions given in IEEE Std. 1528-200X for testing of mobile phones. The device was tested in left and right head positions only as no body worn accessory is available.

The test was performed using head tissue simulating liquid for 2450 MHz.

The DECT handset was operating in standard configuration using the base station belonging to it and an integrated test software.

During the test a crest factor of 25 (typical for DECT) was used which resulted in very low SAR values which are near the noise floor of the measurement system.

2.6 Test results (power measurement)


DECT 2.4 GHz	
Channel / frequency	peak power (EIRP)
2441.664 MHz	23.7 dBm

Table 7: Test results (Head SAR)

As of October 9, 2002 Page 19 of 40




Annex 1 System performance verification



As of October 9, 2002 Page 20 of 40




Annex 2 Measurement results (printout from DASY ™)




As of October 9, 2002 Page 21 of 40






As of October 9, 2002 Page 22 of 40






As of October 9, 2002 Page 23 of 40






As of October 9, 2002 Page 24 of 40






As of October 9, 2002 Page 25 of 40





As of October 9, 2002 Page 26 of 40





As of October 9, 2002 Page 27 of 40



Annex 3 Photo documentation

Picture no. 1

Measurement System DASY 3



As of October 9, 2002 Page 28 of 40



Picture no. 2

Test position touch left side




As of October 9, 2002 Page 29 of 40



Picture no. 3

Test position touch left side (front view)




As of October 9, 2002 Page 30 of 40



Picture no. 4

Test position touch right side



As of October 9, 2002 Page 31 of 40



Picture no. 5

Test position tilted left side



As of October 9, 2002 Page 32 of 40



Picture no. 6

Test position tilted left side (front view)



As of October 9, 2002 Page 33 of 40



Picture no. 7

Test position tilted left side (rear view)



As of October 9, 2002 Page 34 of 40

CETECOM ICT Services GmbH

Test report no.: 5-4113/02-1-3



Picture no. 8

DUT – front view



As of October 9, 2002 Page 35 of 40



Picture no. 9

DUT - rear view



As of October 9, 2002 Page 36 of 40



Picture no. 10

DUT – side view



As of October 9, 2002 Page 37 of 40



Picture no. 11

DUT – batteries




As of October 9, 2002 Page 38 of 40



Picture no. 12

Liquid depth



As of October 9, 2002 Page 39 of 40



Annex 4 Calibration parameters of E-field probe

Calibration parameters are described in the additional document

'Calibration data and Phantom information for test report no. 5-4113/02-1-3', provided together with this document.

As of October 9, 2002 Page 40 of 40