

From: oetech@fccsun27w.fcc.gov
To: [Jill Stumpf](#);
Subject: Response to Inquiry to FCC (Tracking Number 657900)
Date: Tuesday, November 29, 2011 9:47:00 AM

[Link to Federal Communications Commission Home Page](#) | [FCC Home](#) | [Search](#) | [RSS](#) | [Updates](#) | [E-Filing](#) | [Initiatives](#) | [Consumers](#) | [Find People](#)
[Office of Engineering and Technology](#)

Office of Engineering and Technology



Inquiry:

Please see the attached photos of 3 devices of unusual shape & size that will be labeled for sale in the US, Canada and Europe.

The earbuds will be labeled with the FCC ID, IC ID & model number, and CE mark. They are extremely small ? as indicated on the rendering. (Because of the size & shape, permission has already been granted per Inquiry Tracking Number 481456, to omit the DoC label requirements on the unit itself.) However, to print the required information on a flat surface of the device, only 3.5 pt font will be used. Will this be acceptable?

The audio server is the ?square? device. It, too, has size issues. It is a transmitting device tested to FCC Part 15.247 & it can exchange information as a pc peripheral. The attempt is being made to label the product with both the FCC ID & the DoC label requirements. As offered here as an example, the trade name (Striva) is being incorporated in the model number. (M/N: Striva Tap. The ?M/N:? being a Canadian requirement found in RSS-GEN Issue 3 Section 5.2.) The model number documented for this device will be Striva CAP. Is there an issue with incorporating the trade name into the model number? Also ? the size font being suggested is 3.9 pt.

Lastly ? the headphones have the same labeling requirements as the audio server. The font size being suggested is 5.8 pt. The trade name may be incorporated into the model number, if the FCC will allow it.

Please offer guidance for labeling these devices for FCC compliance.

Thank you,

Jill Stumpf

Response:

The following information can be obtained from our website at www.fcc.gov/oet/ea also, labelling guidelines can be found in Knowledge DataBase (KDB) Publication [#784748](#). Please see the attach Label Guidelines.

[Label Guidelines](#)

Do not reply to this message. Please select the [Reply to an Inquiry Response](#) link from the OET Inquiry System to add any additional information pertaining to this inquiry.



Text is 3.5pt.

Actual size of unit – 1.5 x .75 x 1 inches



Text is 3.9pt.

Actual size of unit – 1.5 x 1.75 x .5 inches



Text is 5.8pt.

Printing on solid arm of headphones

Thank you for the guidance offered for labeling small devices.


Per KDB # 784748, where the font size on a small device will be smaller than 4-6 points, the FCC ID required on the label of a certified device can be placed in the user manual. There is no such allowance indicated for DoC labeling. Will the FCC grant permission to label the devices with smaller than 4-6 point font in an effort to show compliance with the FCC labeling requirements for certification & Declaration of Conformity? Or, will the FCC grant permission to add the FCC ID & DoC labeling information to the user manual WITHOUT including any FCC label information on the actual devices?

If the attempt to label the devices is advised, please let me know if incorporating the trade name in the model number would be acceptable for DoC labeling of these small devices in an attempt to save space? The trade name is Striva. The example of the model number is Striva TAP. If the DoC label has the following information: "M/N: Striva TAP" along with the FCC logo, will the DoC label requirement be met? (The client would like to use the Striva in the model number to show compliance for labeling the device with a trade name.)

Again, thank you for your time.

11/30/11

Striva Labels



Text is 3.9pt.



Text is 5.8pt.

From: oetech@fccsun27w.fcc.gov
To: [Jill Stumpf](#);
Subject: Response to Inquiry to FCC (Tracking Number 657900)
Date: Thursday, December 01, 2011 8:52:56 AM

[Link to Federal Communications Commission Home Page](#)

[FCC Home](#) | [Search](#) | [RSS](#) | [Updates](#) | [E-Filing](#) | [Initiatives](#) | [Consumers](#) | [Find People](#)

Office of Engineering and Technology

Office of Engineering and Technology



Inquiry:

---Reply from Customer on 11/30/2011---

I apologize for this addition. Our client would also like to know if a stylized font would be acceptable for the DoC label info. (See the ? used for an A.) While this is unacceptable in an FCC ID, can it be used for a model number or trade name?

Again, Thank you.

Response:

Yes, this is acceptable to only use the FCCID and logo as you proposed. Note you could have filed them both under Part 15.247 and 15 B peripherals certification procedure and not requiring the DoC logo.

[Label Guidelines](#)

Do not reply to this message. Please select the [Reply to an Inquiry Response](#) link from the OET Inquiry System to add any additional information pertaining to this inquiry.