

Barry Quinlan

From: "Ruby Dulmage" <ruby.dulmage@nemkona.com>
To: "Curtis-Straus TCB" <certification@curtis-straus.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2002 11:45 AM
Attach: Instr Hurricane II_USA.pdf
Subject: Reply: Swissphne FCC ID: L3M866

Hi Barry:

Please see answers under your questions and also attachment.

I trust this information will enable you to complete this submission.

Thanks,
Ruby

----- Original Message -----

From: [Curtis-Straus TCB](#)
To: [Ruby Dulmage](#)
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2002 7:30 PM
Subject: Swissphne FCC ID: L3M866

Hi Ruby,

We have identified these issues following our review of the application:

1. The user manual does not contain the 15.21 statement.

[Please see revised user manual attached containing the statement.](#)

2. Please justify why the C63.4 clause 12.1.1 procedure was not followed in each of the frequency bands. The samples sent were fixed frequencies. Thus customer has stated that all receivers are identical from an RF standpoint across all bands. Receiver characterization was determined on one same sent to use (no emissions detected at 3m). See notes on page 4 of test report.

3. How was the device stimulated? See C63.4 clause 12.1.1.2.

[The receiver was cohered using a CW tone as per ANSI C63.4 para 12.1](#)

4. Over what frequency range was the radiated emissions taken. Please supply a data table.

[The receiver was searched from 30 MHz to the 10th harmonic of the L.O. as per the limit table on page 6 of the test report.](#)

Please note that CXX is the correct equipment code.

[Noted](#)

Best regards

Barry C. Quinlan
Certification Manager
Curtis-Straus TCB