

---

**From:** "Ruby Dulmage" <ruby.dulmage@nemkona.com>  
**To:** "Curtis-Straus TCB" <certification@curtis-straus.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 30, 2002 11:38 AM  
**Attach:** InstructionsDE516CV1.4.pdf; Differences DE506\_DE516c.pdf; 2E\_DE506\_USA.pdf  
**Subject:** Reply: Swissphone FCC ID: L3M859

Hi Barry:

Please see answers under your questions and also attachments.

I trust this information will enable you to complete this submissions.

Thanks,  
Ruby

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [Curtis-Straus TCB](#)  
**To:** [Ruby Dulmage](#)  
**Sent:** Monday, October 14, 2002 7:24 PM  
**Subject:** Swissphone FCC ID: L3M859

Hi Ruby,

We have identified these issues following our review of the application:

1. Please supply a detailed comparison of the two models you wish to be certified under the same FCC ID.

[Please see attached Differences in models.](#)

2. The user manuals do not contain the 15.21 statement.

[Please see attached revised user manuals](#)

3. Please justify why the C63.4 clause 12.1.1 procedure was not followed in each of the frequency bands.

[The samples sent were fixed frequencies. Thus customer has stated that all receivers are identical from an RF standpoint across all bands. Receiver characterization was determined on one same sent to use \(no emissions detected at 3m\). See notes on page 4 of test report.](#)

4. How was the device stimulated? See C63.4 clause 12.1.1.2.

[The receiver was cohored using a CW tone as per ANSI C63.4 para 12.1](#)

5. Over what frequency range was the radiated emissions taken. Please supply a data table.

[The receiver was searched from 30 MHz to the 10tarmonic of the L.O. as per the limit table on page 6 of the test report.](#)

Best regards

Barry C. Quinlan  
Certification Manager  
Curtis-Straus TCB