From: eric@ccsemc.com.tw
Sent: Thursday, November 13, 2003 4:01 AM
To: Steve Cheng
Cc: Mike Kuo
Subject: ??: RE: RE: AN03T3404 DLINK AP UNII-KA22003040018-1

Hi, Steve,

Please find our replies below, per your latest comment about:

3. We have made the modification upon your suggestion, please find them all on the pg.25-27
5. Remarks is added, about the radiated unwanted emission is used on determining the worst case (pg.6)

Thank you!!
And don't forget this job is scheduled to be granted by tomorrow, so should there any other issue, please let me know.

Looking for the grants!!

Should you have any question, please don't hesitate to ask me.

Best regards,
Eric Wong
Compliance Certification Services Inc. (Formerly C&C Laboratory Co., Ltd.)
Tel.: +886-3-3240332 Ext.49
Add.: No.81-1, Lane 210, Ba-de 2nd Rd., Luchu Hsiang, Taoyuan Hsien 338, Taiwan, R.O.C.
Email: eric@ccsemc.com.tw
URL: http://www.ccsemc.com.tw

Steve Cheng <SCheng@CCSEMC.com>
2003/11/13 11:08 AM

收件人： "'eric@ccsemc.com.tw'" <eric@ccsemc.com.tw>
副本抄送： Mike Kuo <MKUO@CCSEMC.com>
主旨： RE: RE: AN03T3404 DLINK AP UNII - KA22003040018-1

Hi Eric,

See further question below in blue.

Best regards,
Steve
-----Original Message-----
From: eric@ccsemc.com.tw [mailto:eric@ccsemc.com.tw]
Sent: Wednesday, November 12, 2003 3:25 AM
To: Steve Cheng
Cc: Jonson (E-mail); Mike Kuo
Subject: ??: RE: AN03T3404 DLINK AP UNII -KA22003040018-1 Hi

Hi again, Steve,

Please do kindly review our replies upon your most latest comments about the NII as follows:

1. The antenna gain is corrected and already agree with the value stated on the Ant. spec. FYI.. (pg.59)
<Steve> OK.
2. The value of the gain is corrected and get in line with the value stated on the Ant. spec. FYI.. (pg.2)
<Steve> OK.
3. The limit on the pg.25 is already corrected.
<Steve> Please correct power limit in the table too, both table shall use 17,17,24 not 17,24,24.
4. The limit on the pg.32 is also corrected, per the correction of the #3
<Steve> OK.
5. For the clarification on this issue, we have modified the description of the test mode that you may found on pg.6. FYI..
<Steve> Is worst case in term of output power or Radiated emission level?
The whole revised report is attached, for you convenience...

Thank you!!
Should you have any question, please don't hesitate to ask me.

BR, Eric

Steve Cheng <SCheng@CCSEMC.com>
2003/11/11 12:12 PM

收件人： "Eric (E-mail)" <eric@ccsemc.com.tw>, "Jonson (E-mail)" <jonson@cclab.com.tw>
副本抄送： Mike Kuo <MKUO@CCSEMC.com>
主旨： RE: AN03T3404 DLINK AP UNII -KA22003040018-1

RT for project: AN03T3404 DLINK AP UNII -KA22003040018-1

Subject:

Question #1: P 59 of test report, MPE calculation used 1.8 dBi as antenna gain, which is not agree with 2.0 dBi stated in antenna spec.

Question #2: P2 of test report, antenna gain stated is not agreed with number indicated in antenna spec.

Question #3: p25 of test report. Mid channel limit calculation is wrong for base mode. Please correct.

Question #4: P32 of test report. Summary table does not agreed with test plots. Please correct.

Question #5: Per FCC co-located test guideline, two transmitters have to be turn-on on worst setup for the test. Please justify if tested mode is worst case on both modes.

Best Regards

Steve Cheng
Compliance Certification Services
561F Monterey Road
Morgan Hill, CA 95037
Tel:(408)463-0885 x:119
Fax:(408)463-0888
scheng@ccsemc.com
http:\\www.ccsemc.com