



ADVANCED  
COMPLIANCE LABORATORY

6 Randolph Way  
Hillsborough, NJ 08876  
Tel: (732) 560-9010  
Fax: (732) 560-9173

## ELECTROMAGNETIC EMISSION COMPLIANCE REPORT

of

Wireless Door Bell Transmitter  
MODEL: DC-22 / DC-23 /DC-25 (TX)  
FCC ID: JB3DC-22

October 01, 2001

This report concerns (check one): Original grant  Class II change   
Equipment type: LOW POWER TRANSMITTER

Deferred grant requested per 47 CFR 0.457(d)(1)(ii)? yes  no   
If yes, defer until: \_\_\_\_\_ (date)

Company agrees to notify the Commission by \_\_\_\_\_ (date)  
of the intended date of announcement of the product so that the grant can be  
issued on that date.

Transition Rules Request per 15.37? yes  no   
If no, assumed Part 15, Subpart B for unintentional radiators - the new 47 CFR  
[10-1-90 Edition] provision.

Report prepared for: ABOL COMPANY LIMITED  
Report prepared by: Advanced Compliance Lab  
Report number: 0048-010925-02TX

NVLAP®

The test result in this report IS supported and covered by the NVLAP accreditation

## Table of Contents

<b>Report Cover Page .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Table of Contents .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Figures .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1. GENERAL INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1 Verification of Compliance .....	4
1.2 Equipment Modifications .....	5
1.3 Product Information .....	6
1.4 Test Methodology .....	6
1.5 Test Facility .....	6
1.6 Test Equipment .....	6
1.7 Statement of the Document Use.....	7
<b>2. PRODUCT LABELING.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>3. SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION.....</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1 Justification .....	9
3.2 Special Accessories.....	9
3.3 Configuration of Tested System.....	9
<b>4. SYSTEM SCHEMATICS .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>5. RADIATED EMISSION DATA.....</b>	<b>13</b>
5.1 Field Strength Calculation .....	13
5.2 Test Methods and Conditions .....	13
5.3 Test Data .....	13
<b>6. PHOTOS OF TESTED EUT .....</b>	<b>16</b>

## Figures

<b>Figure 2.1 FCCLabel.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Figure 2.2 Location of Label on Back of the EUT.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Figure 3.1 Radiated Test Setup, Position 1 .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Figure 3.2 Radiated Test Setup, Position 2 .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Figure 3.3 Radiated Test Setup, Position 3 .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Figure 4.1 EUT Schematics .....</b>	<b>12</b>

## 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

### 1.1 Verification of Compliance

EUT: WIRELESS DOOR BELL TRANSMITTER  
 Model: DC-22 / DC-23 / DC-25 (TX)  
 Applicant: ABOL COMPANY LIMITED  
 BLOCK C, 5/F. SUPERME INDUSTRIAL BLDG.,  
 15-17 SHAN MEI STREET, FOTAN, SHATIN, N.T.,  
 HONG KONG  
 Test Type: FCC Part 15C CERTIFICATION  
 Result: PASS  
 Tested by: ADVANCED COMPLIANCE LAB  
 Test Date: October 01, 2001  
 Report Number: 0048-010925-02TX

The above equipment was tested by Advanced Compliance Laboratory for compliance with the requirement set forth in the FCC rules and regulations Part 15, subpart C. This said equipment in the configuration described in the report, shows the maximum emission levels emanating from equipment are within the compliance requirements.

The estimated uncertainty of the test result is given as following. The method of uncertainty calculation is provided in Advanced Compliance Lab. Doc. No. 0048-01-01.

	Prob. Dist.	Uncertainty(dB)	Uncertainty(dB)	Uncertainty(dB)
		30-1000MHz	1-6.5GHz	Conducted
Combined Std. Uncertainty $u_c$	norm.	$\pm 2.36$	$\pm 2.99$	$\pm 1.83$




---

Wei Li  
 Lab Manager  
 Advanced Compliance Lab

Date: October 01, 2001

## **1.2 Equipment Modifications**

N/A

### 1.3 Product Information

#### System Configuration

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	FCC ID	CABLE
Product	TRANSMITTER	JB3DC-22	
Housing	PLASTICS		
Power Supply	12VDC BATTERY		
Clock/OSC Freq.	303MHz		
Device Type	Periodic Operation		
Receiver	FCC DoC		

(1) EUT submitted for grant.

### 1.4 Test Methodology

Radiated tests were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.4-1992 at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters.

### 1.5 Test Facility

The open area test site and conducted measurement facility used to collect the radiated and conducted data are located at Somerset, New Jersey. This site has been accepted by FCC to perform measurements under Part 15 or 18 in a letter dated May 19, 1997 (Refer to: 31040/PRV 1300F2). The NVLAP Lab code for accreditation of FCC EMC Test Method is: 200101-0.

### 1.6 Test Equipment

Manufacture	Model	Serial No.	Description	Last Cal dd/mm/yy	Cal Due dd/mm/yy
Hewlett-Packard	HP8546A	3625A00341	EMI Receiver	08/01/01	08/01/02
Fischer Custom	LISN-2	900-4-008	Line Impedance Stabilization Networks	14/06/01	14/06/02
Fischer Custom	LISN-2	900-4-009	Line Impedance Stabilization Networks	14/06/01	14/06/02
EMCO	3115	4945	Double Ridge Guide Horn Antenna	24/01/01	24/01/02
EMCO	3104C	4396	30-200MHz Biconical Antenna	19/05/01	19/05/02
EMCO	3146	3350	200-1000MHz Log-Periodic Antenna	12/01/01	12/01/02
EMCO	6502	2665	10KHz-30MHz Active Loop Antenna	02/02/01	02/02/02

All Test Equipment Used are Calibrated Traceable to NIST Standards.

### **1.7 Statement for the Document Use**

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. And this report must not be used by the client to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the U.S. Government.

## **2. PRODUCT LABELING**

**See attachment: Figure 2.1 fcclabel.pdf**

### **3. SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION**

#### **3.1 Justification**

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). .

Testing was performed as EUT was operated at frequency channel 303 MHz continuously.

#### **3.2 Special Accessories**

N/A

#### **3.3 Configuration of Tested System**

Figure 3.1 and Figure 3.3 illustrate this system, which is tested standing along.



**Figure 3.1 Radiated Test Setup, Position 1**



**Figure 3.2 Radiated Test Setup, Position 2**



**Figure 3.3 Radiated Test Setup, Position 3**

## 4. SYSTEM SCHEMATICS

See attachment: schematic.pdf

**Figure 4.1 EUT Schematics**

## 5. RADIATED EMISSION DATA

### 5.1 Field Strength Calculation

The corrected field strength is automatically calculated by EMI Receiver using following:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF + AG$$

where FS: Corrected Field Strength in dB $\mu$ V/m

RA: Amplitude of EMI Receiver before correction in dB $\mu$ V

AF: Antenna Factor in dB/m

CF: Cable Attenuation Factor in dB

AG: Built-in Preamplifier Gain in dB (Stored in receiver as part of the calibration data)

### 5.2 Test Methods and Conditions

The initial step in collecting radiated data is a EMI Receiver scan of the measurement range below 30MHz using peak detector and 9KHz IF bandwidth / 30KHz video bandwidth. For the range 30MHz - 5GHz, IF bandwidth / 30KHz video bandwidth are used. Both bandwidths are 1MHz for above 1GHz measurement.

### 5.3 Test Data

The following data lists the significant emission frequencies, polarity and position, peak reading of the EMI Receiver, the FCC limit, and the difference between the peak reading and the limit. Explanation of the correction and calculation are given in section 5.1.

Test Personnel:

Tester Signature



Typed/Printed Name: David Tu

Date: October 01, 2001

### Radiated Test Data (CH-303MHz)

Frequency (MHz)	Polarity [H, V] Position	Height (m)	Azimuth (Degree)	Peak(2) Reading (dBmV/m)	Class B(1) 3m Limit (dBmV/m)	Difference from limit (dB)
303.2	X,H	1.0	0	69.1	74.9	-5.8
606.6	X,H	1.0	25	42.1	54.9	-12.8
910.2	X,H	1.0	70	42.0	54.9	-12.9
1213.0	X,H	1.0	70	47.0	54.0	-7
303.2	X,V	1.0	0	51.1	74.9	-23.8
606.6	X,V	1.0	0	42.2	54.9	-12.7
910.2	X,V	1.0	20	39.2	54.9	-15.7
303.2	Y,H	1.5	90	52.4	74.9	-22.5
606.6	Y,H	1.2	30	42.4	54.9	-12.5
910.1	Y,H	1.0	20	42.7	54.9	-12.2
303.2	Y,V	2.3	30	64.1	74.9	-10.8
606.7	Y,V	1.9	50	38.9	54.9	-16
909.1	Y,V	1.3	20	43.0	54.9	-11.9
1213.0	Y,V	1.0	40	48.0	54.0	-6
303.2	Z,H	2.5	330	59.7	74.9	-15.2
606.8	Z,H	1.5	340	39.8	54.9	-15.1
910.4	Z,H	1.5	20	40.6	54.9	-14.3
303.2	Z,V	2.3	70	66.2	74.9	-8.7
606.8	Z,V	1.0	40	46.0	54.9	-8.9
910.4	Z,V	1.3	0	42.7	54.9	-12.2
1213.1	Z,V	1.5	10	46.4	54.0	-7.6

(1) See Figure 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 for definition of position X-1, Y-2, Z-3.

(2) Restricted band.

(3) Fundamental limit is 3750-12500 microvolts/meter linear interpolations.

(4) Spurious limit is 375-1250 microvolts/meter linear interpolations.

(5) Because each peak reading is less than the FCC average limit, it is not necessary to show the calculated average reading based on the pulse train characteristics.

## **6. PHOTOS OF TESTED EUT**

The following photos show the inside details of the EUT.

See Attachments: external.pdf, internal.pdf