



June 27, 2000

SAR Test Report for Motorola portable cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT6ZD1), Class II Permissive Change.

Prepared by:

Paul Moller, Principal Staff Engineer

Motorola Personal Communications Sector Product Safety Laboratory

Libertyville, Illinois

Contents

- 1) Introduction
- 2) Applicable Regulations
- 3) Description of Test Sample
- 4) Description of Motorola SAR Test Facility
- 5) Test Sample Conditions
- 6) Method of Measurement
- 7) Measurement Uncertainty
- 8) SAR Test Results
- 9) Body Worn Configuration
- 10) Summary

Appendix A: Included data

Appendix B: Printout from the Dasy™ measurement system validation test

1. Introduction

The Motorola Personal Communications Sector Product Safety Laboratory has performed measurements of the maximum potential exposure to the user of portable cellular phone FCC ID IHDT6ZD1. The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) of this product was measured. This report details the test setup and equipment as well as the results of those tests.

2. Applicable Regulations

Federal Communications Commission rule §2.1093(d)(2), the ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992 and the NCRP Report Number 86 specify the maximum exposure limit of 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue for portable devices being used within 20cm of the user in the uncontrolled environment.

3. Description of Test Sample

A prototype unit serial number 447266673174230 was measured. This unit is identical in physical construction, maximum radiated power levels and antenna structure to units that will be in production. It transmits in the frequency range of 1850 to 1910 MHz using GSM digital mode only. The reported maximum peak transmit EIRP for this test unit is 1.7 Watts as defined in FCC Rule §24.232. The unit was tested at its maximum transmitter power, which is nominally a peak conducted power of 1.0 Watt (average conducted power of 0.125 Watt using the 1/8 duty cycle of the GSM system). The unit is equipped with a fixed antenna that serves as both a receive and transmit antenna. The antenna has a single operating position as shown in figure 1.



Figure 1. Front of Phone

Figure 2 shows the test unit as it is placed onto the phantom. For the purposes of the actual SAR tests the Motorola phantom head is tilted on its side by 90 degrees so that a vertically oriented measurement probe can easily scan an area where the phone is in close contact with the phantom and the SAR will be the highest.



Figure 2. Phone against side of Phantom Head.

4. SAR Test Facility

The Motorola test facility utilized for the SAR testing of this product is the Personal Communications Sector Product Safety Laboratory, in Libertyville Illinois. The laboratory utilizes a Dosimetric Assessment System (Dasy™) SAR measurement system manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™), of Zurich Switzerland. This system utilizes a computer controlled six axis robot to move a measurement probe to measure the SAR. A photo of the Dasy™ system with the Motorola phantom is shown in figure 3. Probe serial number 1502 was used for the measurements. It was calibrated at SPEAG™, and has a calibration date October 28,1999. Dipole Validation Kit type D1800V2, serial number 246 was used to validate the system accuracy. The validation SAR value is 38.39 mW/g normalized to 1 Watt, and the Dasy™ system

used for the test phone measured 39.0 mW/g normalized to 1 Watt. This is within the required accuracy, and thus the measured SAR values are considered correct. See appendix B for printout of the validation test from the Dasy™ measurement system. The measurement methodology is described in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no. 3, August 1995, titled Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated users of Portable Cellular Telephones. The Dasy™ system is operated per the instructions in the Dasy™ Users Manual. The manual is available directly from SPEAG™.



Figure 3. Dasy™ System

5. Test Sample Conditions

For the purposes of these tests the subject phone was positioned on the measurement phantom per the instructions in the Motorola users manual for the subject phone. The position used for the tests is the 3-point contact position. In this position the test sample contacts the phantom's ear and cheek and is positioned with a repeatability of better than $\pm 6\%$. Since the antenna is not located on the center of the phone, the SAR was measured with the phone on both the left and right side talk positions (See figure 2). Due to the construction of the phone, the base of the antenna is 20 mm away from the phantom for the left side head, which is the closest.

The test sample is capable of operation in a test mode that allows control of the transmitter without the need to place actual phone calls. This guarantees that the unit does not change its transmitter power, and that the resultant SAR values will not be affected by external connections. For the purposes of this test the unit is commanded to test mode and manually set to the proper channel, transmitter power level and transmit mode of operation. Since the test sample uses the 1/8 duty cycle of the GSM system, the crest factor (the ratio between peak and average power) is set to 8. The phone is then placed in the SAR measurement system with a fully charged battery. At the end of each test the Dasy™ system measures the drift of the SAR at a fixed point in the phantom so as to ensure that the test sample has not changed in transmitter power. For the purposes of these tests, the transmitter was operated at the highest transmitter output and with the phone on both left and right side talk positions.

6. Method of Measurement

The system is instructed to scan as much of the face of the phone as is in close proximity to the phantom. Using the information gained about the general region of highest SAR, the system then automatically scans a smaller area centered around the location of peak spatial SAR. During this scan the system automatically measures the fall off of electric field strength as the measurement probe is moved away from the inner surface of the phantom in the direction of the local normal to the phantom surface. Using appropriate probe calibration techniques, the SAR in 1 gram of phantom tissue is then calculated. The phantom head, shown in figure 2, was filled with a liquid having relative dielectric constant equal to 36.68 and conductivity equal to 1.76 S/m. This mixture is a good dielectric equivalent of the gray matter of the human brain. The composition of the liquid mixture is as follows: 45.9% water; 53.0% sugar; 0% salt, 1% HEC; and 0.1% bactericide.

7. Measurement Uncertainty

The overall RMS uncertainty of the measurement system is $\pm 12.0\%$ (K=1). The breakdown of the individual uncertainties is as follows:

<u>Probe Uncertainty</u>	<u>±%</u>
Isotropy error	7.2
Calibration error	3.3
Spatial resolution	0.5
<u>SAR Evaluation</u>	<u>±%</u>
Conductivity measurement	5.0
Environmental errors	1.0
<u>Peak SAR Evaluation</u>	<u>±%</u>
Probe positioning	1.0
Volumetric averaging	4.2
Device positioning	6.0

8. SAR Test Results

Figure 4 shows the phone overlaid with a typical contour plot. The phone is placed on the phantom's head with the center of the phone's speaker at the center of the ear, and the center line of the phone extends downward to the center of the phantom's mouth. The same orientation and phone position are used for left and right side talk positions.

The previous maximum SAR level for the Motorola portable cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT6ZD1) was 1.00 W/kg and was found on the left side head. The new maximum SAR level for the Motorola portable cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT6ZD1) is 1.22 W/kg and was found on the right side head. A full data set output of two test conditions with the highest SAR values from the Dasy™ measurement system is included as appendix A. The test conditions included are indicated as bold numbers in the following table. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included. Figure 4 shows the contour plot of the highest test condition overlaid onto a picture of the phone.

GSM 1900 Channel	Left side head	Right side head	Conducted Power (Watts)
512	0.88	1.22	0.89
661	0.83	1.19	0.89
810	0.84	1.08	0.89



Figure 4. Contour Plot Overlaid on Face of Phone.

9. Body Worn Configuration

The cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT6ZD1) can be used in a body-worn configuration using the supplied belt clip. We have performed an evaluation to show RF exposure compliance when used with the belt clip. Figure 4 shows the test unit as it is placed onto the phantom. The phantom was filled with a liquid having relative dielectric constant equal to 41.13 and conductivity equal to 1.8 S/m.




Figure 4. Phone In Supplied Belt Clip Against Phantom

The following table shows the SAR values for the body worn condition for analog mode. A full data set output of the test condition with the highest SAR values from the Dasy™ measurement system is included as appendix A. The test conditions included are indicated as bold numbers in the following table. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included. The location of highest SAR was the area near the antenna.

GSM 1900 Channel	Belt Clip
512	0.38
700	0.35
885	0.39

10. Summary

The SAR values found for the portable cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT6ZD1) are below the maximum recommended levels of 1.6 W/kg.

Appendix A

The following pages are printouts from the Dasy™ measurement system of the data as indicated.

s/n 447266673174230

Ch#512/ Pwr 00

Wilma Phantom; Right Head Section; Position: (80°,180°); Frequency: 1850 MHz


Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1502 - Muscle; ConvF(5.79,5.79,5.79); Crest factor: 8.0; Brain 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.76$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 36.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.22 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.674 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 8.7 (8.3, 9.5) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.03 dB



s/n 447266673174230

Ch#512/ Pwr 00

Fred Phantom; Left Head Section; Position: (0°,0°); Frequency: 1850 MHz


Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1502 - Muscle; ConvF(5.79,5.79,5.79); Crest factor: 8.0; Brain 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.73$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 36.5$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.880 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.520 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 22.0, Dy = 22.0, Dz = 10.0

Penetration depth: 9.4 (9.0, 10.1) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.01 dB



s/n 447266673174230

Ch# 810/ Pwr Step:00

Amy Twin Phantom Phantom; Section 1 Section; Position: (0°,0°); Frequency: 1910 MHz


Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1502 - Muscle; ConvF(5.79,5.79,5.79); Crest factor: 8.0; Muscle 1800 MHz: $\sigma = 1.80$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 41.1$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.385 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.219 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)


Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 8.0 (7.6, 8.8) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.24 dB



SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]



Appendix B

The following page is the printout from the Dasy™ measurement system validation tests.

Dipole 1800 MHz

1800MHz Dipole Validation Dipole Sn# 246 / Forward


Amy Twin Phantom; Section 1

Probe: ET3DVG6 - SN1502 - Muscle; ConvF(5.79,5.79,5.79); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 1800 MHz: $\sigma = 1.72$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 41.1$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cubes (2): Peak: 19.0 mW/g ± 0.10 dB, SAR (1g): 9.75 mW/g ± 0.11 dB, SAR (10g): 4.85 mW/g ± 0.11 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 7.2 (7.0, 7.8) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.17 dB



SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

