



January 14, 2000

Supplement to SAR Test Report for Motorola portable cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT56ZZ1).

Prepared by:

Paul Moller

Motorola Personal Communications Sector Product Safety Laboratory

Libertyville, Illinois

Contents

- 1) Introduction
- 2) Applicable Regulations
- 3) Description of Test Sample
- 4) Description of Motorola SAR Test Facility
- 5) Test Sample Conditions
- 6) Method of Measurement
- 7) Measurement Uncertainty
- 8) SAR Test Results
- 9) SAR in the Hand Measurements
- 10) Body Worn Configuration
- 11) Battery Options
- 12) Summary

Appendix A: Included data

Appendix B: Included data for Body Worn Configuration

Appendix C: Printout from the Dasy™ measurement system validation test

1. Introduction

The Motorola Personal Communications Sector Product Safety Laboratory has performed measurements of the maximum potential exposure to the user of portable cellular phone FCC ID IHDT56ZZ1. The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) of this product was measured. This report details the test setup and equipment as well as the results of those tests.

2. Applicable Regulations

Federal Communications Commission rule §2.1093(d)(2), the ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992 and the NCRP Report Number 86 specify the maximum exposure limit of 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue for portable devices being used within 20cm of the user in the uncontrolled environment.

3. Description of Test Sample

A prototype unit serial number FCD844C2 was measured. This unit is identical in physical construction, maximum radiated power levels and antenna structure to units that will be in production. It transmits in the frequency range of 824 to 849 MHz using AMPS and CDMA modes, and 1850 to 1910 MHz using CDMA mode. The unit is equipped with a telescoping antenna that serves as both a receive and transmit antenna. The antenna has a retracted and an extended operating position as shown in figures 1 and 2 respectively.



Figure 1.



Figure 2.

Figure 3 shows the test unit as it is placed onto the Motorola phantom. For the purposes of the actual SAR tests the Motorola phantom head is tilted on its side by 90 degrees so that a vertically oriented measurement probe can easily scan an area where the phone is in close contact with the phantom and the SAR will be the highest.




Figure 3. Phone against side of Phantom Head.

4. SAR Test Facility

The Motorola test facility utilized for the SAR testing of this product is the Personal Communications Sector Product Safety Laboratory, in Libertyville Illinois. The laboratory utilizes a Dosimetric Assessment System (Dasy™) SAR measurement system manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™), of Zurich Switzerland. This system utilizes a computer controlled six axis robot to move a measurement probe to measure the SAR. A photo of the Dasy™ system with the Motorola phantom is shown in figure 4. Probe serial number 1011 was used for the measurements. It was calibrated at SPEAG™, and has a calibration date July 1, 1999. Dipole Validation Kit type D835V2, serial number 414 was used to validate the system accuracy at 800MHz. The validation SAR value is 8.56 mW/g normalized to 1 Watt, and the Dasy™ system used for the test phone measured 9.28 mW/g normalized to 1 Watt. This is within the required accuracy, and thus the measured SAR values are considered correct. See appendix C for printout of the validation test from the Dasy™ measurement system. Dipole Validation Kit type D1900V2, serial number 505 was used to validate the system accuracy at 1900MHz. The validation SAR value is 40.4 mW/g normalized to 1 Watt, and the Dasy™ system used for the test phone measured 40.4 mW/g normalized to 1 Watt. This is within the required accuracy, and thus

the measured SAR values are considered correct. See appendix C for printout of the validation test from the Dasy™ measurement system.

The measurement methodology is described in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no. 3, August 1995, titled Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated users of Portable Cellular Telephones. The Dasy™ system is operated per the instructions in the Dasy™ Users Manual. The manual is available directly from SPEAG™.



Figure 4. Dasy™ System

5. Test Sample Conditions

For the purposes of these tests the subject phone was positioned on the measurement phantom per the instructions in the Motorola users manual for the subject phone. The position used for the tests is the 3-point contact position. In this position the test sample contacts the phantom's ear and cheek and is positioned with a repeatability of better than $\pm 6\%$. Since the antenna is not located on the center of the phone, the SAR was measured with the phone on both the left and right side talk positions (See figure 3). Due to the construction of the phone, the base of the antenna is 23 mm away from the phantom for the left side head, which is the closest.

The test sample is capable of operation in a test mode that allows control of the transmitter without the need to place actual phone calls. This guarantees that the unit does not change its transmitter power, and that the resultant SAR values will not be affected by external connections. For the purposes of Analog mode tests the unit is commanded to test mode and manually set to the proper channel, transmitter power level and transmit mode of operation. For the purposes of the CDMA mode tests, the unit is placed in a phone call using an HP8924 and is commanded to the highest possible power by means of the "always up" command. The phone is then placed in the SAR measurement system with a fully charged battery. At the end of each test the Dasy™ system measures the drift of the SAR at a fixed point in the phantom so as to ensure that the test sample has not changed in transmitter power. For the purposes of these tests, the transmitter was operated at the highest transmitter output and with the phone and module on both left and right side talk positions.

6. Method of Measurement

The system is instructed to scan as much of the face of the phone as is in close proximity to the phantom. Using the information gained about the general region of highest SAR, the system then automatically scans a smaller area centered around the location of peak spatial SAR. During this scan the system automatically measures the fall off of electric field strength as the measurement probe is moved away from the inner surface of the phantom in the direction of the local normal to the phantom surface. Using appropriate probe calibration techniques, the SAR in 1 gram of phantom tissue is then calculated. The 800MHz phantom head, shown in figure 3, was filled with a liquid having relative dielectric constant equal to 42 and conductivity equal to 0.87 S/m. This mixture is a good dielectric equivalent of the gray matter of the human brain. The composition of the liquid mixture is as follows: 42.5% water; 55.6.0% sugar; 0.8% salt, 1% HEC; and 0.1% bactericide. The 1900MHz phantom head, also shown in figure 3, was filled with a liquid having relative dielectric constant equal to 42.1 and conductivity equal to 1.85 S/m. This mixture is a good dielectric equivalent of the gray matter of the human brain. The composition of the liquid mixture is as follows: 45.9% water; 53.0% sugar; 0% salt, 1% HEC; and 0.1% bactericide.

7. Measurement Uncertainty

The overall RSS uncertainty of the measurement system is $\pm 12.0\%$. The breakdown of the individual uncertainties is as follows:

Probe Uncertainty	$\pm\%$
Isotropy error	7.2
Calibration error	3.3
Spatial resolution	0.5

SAR Evaluation	±%
Conductivity measurement	5.0
Environmental errors	1.0

Peak SAR Evaluation	±%
Probe positioning	1.0
Volumetric averaging	4.2
Device positioning	6.0

8. SAR Test Results

Figure 5 shows the phone overlaid with a typical contour plot. The phone is placed on the phantom's head with the center of the phone's speaker at the center of the ear, and the center line of the phone extends downward to the center of the phantom's mouth. The same orientation and phone position are used for left and right side talk positions.

The maximum SAR level for the Motorola portable cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT56ZZ1) in the 800MHz band is 0.84 W/kg and was found on the right side head. A full data set output of two test conditions with the highest SAR values from the Dasy™ measurement system is included as appendix A. The test conditions included are indicated as bold numbers in the following table. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included. Note that digital mode SAR data was measured only for the test conditions that resulted in the highest analog SAR values. This is because the only difference between analog and digital modes that can impact SAR is the average transmitter power.

Analog 800 Channel	Left side head		Right side head		Conducted Power (Watts)
	Ant Ret	Ant Ext	Ant Ret	Ant Ext	
991	0.84	0.57	0.61	0.46	0.54
384	0.54	0.42	0.46	0.47	0.54
799	0.54	0.46	0.40	0.47	0.54

CDMA 800 Channel	Left side head		Right side head		Conducted Power (Watts)
	Ant Ret	Ant Ext	Ant Ret	Ant Ext	
1013	0.71	0.99			0.32
384					0.32
777					0.32



Figure 5. 800MHz Contour Plot Overlaid on Face of Phone.

The maximum SAR level for the Motorola portable cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT56ZZ1) in the 1900MHz band is 1.53 W/kg and was found on the left side head. A full data set output of two test conditions with the highest SAR values from the Dasy™ measurement system is included as appendix A. The test condition included is indicated as a bold number in the following table. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included. Figure 6 shows the contour plot of the highest test condition overlaid onto a picture of the phone.

CDMA 1900 Channel	Left side head		Right side head		Conducted Power (Watts)
	Ant Ret	Ant Ext	Ant Ret	Ant Ext	
25	0.84	1.40	1.03	1.45	0.32
600	0.69	1.53	0.51	0.56	0.32
1175	0.48	0.86	0.97	0.67	0.32



Figure 6. 1900MHz Contour Plot Overlaid on Face of Phone.

9. SAR in the Hand Measurements

The Motorola Portable cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT56ZZ1) was measured for total radiated power in the presence of a human phantom complete with a hand holding the phone. The phone was positioned on a full body measurement phantom per the instructions in the Motorola users manual for the subject phone. Total radiated power was measured without a hand holding the phone, and then as a second measurement with a phantom hand holding the phone in a normal position. One can see the placement of the phantom hand relative to the subject phone in figure 7. The phantom hand has the same dimensions as a real human hand, and is made of a pliable shell that is filled with tissue simulant. The tissue simulant is the same as is used in the head phantom. The dielectric constant is 43.0 and the conductivity is 0.85 S/m at 800MHz and relative dielectric constant equal to 41 and conductivity equal to 1.86 S/m at 1900MHz. The composition of the liquid mixture is as follows: 42.5% water; 55.6.0% sugar; 0.8% salt, 1% HEC; and 0.1% bactericide. The phantom is placed inside of an anechoic chamber capable of performing full spherical scans of the phones radiation characteristics, specifically total radiated power. The difference in total radiated with and without the phantom hand is then measured for both the antenna retracted and extended cases. This difference in total radiated power is then the maximum power that is deposited in the hand. The phone was set to transmit on maximum power (0.54 Watt) in analog mode and maximum power (0.32 Watt) in CDMA mode.

Federal Communications Commission rule §2.1093(d)(2), the ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992 and the NCRP Report Number 86 specify the maximum exposure limit in the hand of 4 W/kg as averaged over any 10 grams of tissue for portable devices being used within 20cm of the user in the uncontrolled environment. More than 40mW of total power deposited in the hand would be required for the limit of 4 W/kg averaged over 10 grams to be exceeded. The maximum total power deposited in the hand for the test unit was 29mW at 800MHz and 27mW at 1900MHz. Since the total power deposited in the hand for the test phone is less than 40 mW, the standard is not exceeded. Included are two pictures. Figure 7 shows the subject phone in the normal talk position with the phantom hand in the test position. Figure 8 shows the full body phantom in the anechoic chamber.



Figure 7. Simulated Hand Against Phantom Head.




Figure 8. Phantom in Anechoic Chamber.

10. Body Worn Configuration

The cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT56ZZ1) can be used in a body-worn configuration using either the "normal" or the "slim" supplied belt clips. We have performed an evaluation to show RF exposure compliance when used with either of the belt clips. Figure 9 shows the test unit as it is placed onto the phantom. The 800MHz phantom was filled with a liquid having relative dielectric constant equal to 54 and conductivity equal to 1.2 S/m. The composition of the liquid mixture is as

follows: 52.5% water; 45.0% sugar; 1.3% salt, 1% HEC; and 0.2% bactericide. The 1900MHz phantom was filled with a liquid having relative dielectric constant equal to 40.7 and conductivity equal to 1.83 S/m. The composition of the liquid mixture is as follows: 45.9% water; 53.0% sugar; 0% salt, 1% HEC; and 0.1% bactericide.




Figure 9. Phone In "Normal" Belt Clip Against Phantom

The following table shows the SAR values for the body worn condition for 800MHz and 1900MHz using the "normal" belt clip. A full data set output of two test conditions with the highest SAR values from the Dasy™ measurement system in each frequency band is included as appendix B. The test conditions included are indicated as a bold number in the following table. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included.

Analog 800 Channel	Ant Ret	Ant Ext	CDMA 1900 Channel	Ant Ret	Ant Ext
991	0.43	0.88	25	0.24	0.50
384	0.35	0.66	600	0.36	0.42
799	0.44	0.56	1175	0.14	0.36



Figure 10. Phone In "Slim" Belt Clip

The following table shows the SAR values for the body worn condition for 800MHz and 1900MHz using the "slim" belt clip shown in figure 10 above. A full data set output of two test conditions with the highest SAR values from the Dasy™ measurement system in each frequency band is included as appendix B. The test conditions included are indicated as a bold number in the following table. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included.

Analog 800 Channel	Ant Ret	Ant Ext	CDMA 1900 Channel	Ant Ret	Ant Ext
991	0.87	1.56	25	0.74	0.34
384	0.66	1.37	600	0.58	1.36
799	0.68	1.28	1175	0.31	1.01

11. Battery Options

The cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT56ZZ1) does have several battery options when used in a body-worn configuration. There are two 'main' battery options that fit in the pocket of the upper portion of the phone which, when the phone is closed and placed in the supplied belt clip. The batteries do not change the distance between the phone and body, thus the SAR should not be affected.

12. Summary

The SAR values found for the portable cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT56ZZ1) are below the maximum recommended levels of 1.6 W/kg.

Appendix A

The following pages are printouts from the Dasy™ measurement system of the data as indicated.

01/11/00

s/n FCD844C2

Ch991/ Pwr 02/ Antenna Retracted

Mork(left) Phantom; Left Head Section; Position: (80°,180°); Frequency: 824 MHz


Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1011; ConvF(5.72,5.72,5.72); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 800MHz: $\sigma = 0.87$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 42.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.840 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.586 mW/g * Max outside, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 9.5, Dy = 12.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 19.3 (19.0, 19.4) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.12 dB



01/11/00

s/n FCD844C2

Ch991/ Pwr 02/ Antenna Extended

Mork(left) Phantom; Left Head Section; Position: (80°,180°); Frequency: 824 MHz


Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1011; ConvF(5.72,5.72,5.72); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 800MHz: $\sigma = 0.87$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 42.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.574 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.377 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 9.5, Dy = 10.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 12.8 (11.4, 14.6) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.09 dB



01/13/00

s/n FCD844C2

Ch1013/ Pwr 02/ CDMA 800 Mhz/ Antenna Extended

Mork(left) Phantom; Left Head Section; Position: (80°,180°); Frequency: 825 MHz


Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1011; ConvF(5.72,5.72,5.72); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 835 MHz : $\sigma = 0.87$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 42.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.985 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.622 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 9.5, Dy = 12.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 12.9 (11.4, 14.7) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.17 dB



01/13/00

s/n FCD844C2

Ch1013/ Pwr 02/ CDMA 800 Mhz/ Antenna Retracted

Mork(left) Phantom; Left Head Section; Position: (80°,180°); Frequency: 825 MHz


Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1011; ConvF(5.72,5.72,5.72); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 835 MHz : $\sigma = 0.87$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 42.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.709 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.235 mW/g * Max outside, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 9.5, Dy = 12.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 21.7 (15.2, 28.9) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.33 dB



01/11/00

s/n FCD844C2

Ch 600/ Pwr 02/ Antenna Extended

Clyde (left) Phantom; Left Head Section; Position: (80°,180°); Frequency: 1880 MHz


Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1011; ConvF(4.86,4.86,4.86); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.85$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 42.1$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.53 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.798 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 12.0, Dy = 10.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 13.1 (11.6, 14.4) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.25 dB



01/12/00

s/n FCD844C2

Ch 25/ Pwr 02/ Antenna Retracted

Validation Chamber 1, Goofy Phantom; Flat Phantom Section; Position: (80°,180°); Frequency: 1851 MHz


Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1011; ConvF(4.86,4.86,4.86); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.77$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 39.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.03 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.561 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 12.0, Dy = 12.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 8.7 (8.6, 8.8) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.04 dB



Appendix B

The following pages are printouts from the Dasy™ measurement system of the data as indicated

01/13/00

s/n FCD844C2

Ch 991/ Pwr 02/ Antenna Extended/ "Normal" Belt-Clip

Validation Chamber 1, Goofy Phantom; Flat Phantom Section; Position: (80°,180°); Frequency: 824 MHz


Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1011; ConvF(5.72,5.72,5.72); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 835 MHz : $\sigma = 0.80$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 43.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.879 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.627 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 12.0, Dy = 12.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 15.3 (14.3, 16.4) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.01 dB



01/13/00

s/n FCD844C2

Ch 799/ Pwr 02/ Antenna: Retracted/ "Normal" Belt-Clip

Validation Chamber 1, Goofy Phantom; Flat Phantom Section; Position: (80°,180°); Frequency: 849 MHz


Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1011; ConvF(5.72,5.72,5.72); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 835 MHz : $\sigma = 0.80$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 43.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.438 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.317 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 12.0, Dy = 12.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 16.7 (15.7, 17.6) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.19 dB



01/12/00

s/n FCD844C2

Ch 25/ Pwr 02/ Antenna extended/ "Normal" Belt-Clip

Validation Chamber 1, Goofy Phantom; Flat Phantom Section; Position: (80°,220°); Frequency: 1851 MHz


Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1011; ConvF(4.86,4.86,4.86); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.83$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 39.5$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.501 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.289 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 10.0, Dy = 10.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 8.6 (8.1, 9.4) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.21 dB



01/14/00

s/n FCD844C2

Ch 600 / Pwr 02/ Antenna Retracted

Validation Chamber 1, Goofy Phantom; Flat Phantom Section; Position: (80°,220°); Frequency: 1880 MHz


Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1011; ConvF(4.86,4.86,4.86); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.77$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 39.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.364 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.202 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 12.0, Dy = 12.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 8.4 (8.0, 9.3) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.45 dB



01/14/00

s/n FCD844C2

Ch 991 Pwr 02/ Antenna Extended/ "Slim" Belt-Clip

Validation Chamber 1, Goofy Phantom; Flat Phantom Section; Position: (80°,220°); Frequency: 824 MHz


Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1011; ConvF(5.72,5.72,5.72); Crest factor: 1.0; Muscle 835 MHz: $\sigma = 1.10$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 52.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.56 mW/g, SAR (10g): 1.03 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 12.2 (11.4, 13.5) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.01 dB



01/12/00

s/n FCD844C2

Ch 991/ Pwr 02/ Antenna Retracted/ "Slim" Belt-Clip

Chamber #2 Goofy Phantom; Validatin 835Mhz Chamber 2 Section; Position: (80°,220°); Frequency: 824 MHz


Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1011; ConvF(5.72,5.72,5.72); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 835 MHz : $\sigma = 0.80$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 43.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.865 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.604 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 15.5 (14.6, 16.5) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.01 dB



01/14/00

s/n FCD844C2

Ch 600/ Pwr 02/ Antenna Extended/ "Slim" Belt-Clip

Validation Chamber 1, Goofy Phantom; Flat Phantom Section; Position: (80°,220°); Frequency: 1880 MHz


Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1011; ConvF(4.86,4.86,4.86); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.77$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 39.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.36 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.750 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 12.0, Dy = 12.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 7.7 (7.3, 8.6) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.05 dB



01/12/00

s/n FCD844C2

Ch 25/ Pwr 02/ Antenna Retracted/ "Slim" Belt-Clip

Validation Chamber 1, Goofy Phantom; Flat Phantom Section; Position: (80°,220°); Frequency: 1851 MHz


Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1011; ConvF(4.86,4.86,4.86); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.77$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 39.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.740 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.389 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 14.0, Dy = 14.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 7.5 (7.1, 8.5) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.26 dB



Appendix C

The following page is the printout from the Dasy™ measurement system validation tests

Dipole 835 MHz


Chamber #2 Goofy; Validatin 835Mhz Chamber 2

Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1011; ConvF(5.72,5.72,5.72); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 835 MHz : $\sigma = 0.80$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 43.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cubes (2): Peak: 3.59 mW/g ± 0.12 dB, SAR (1g): 2.32 mW/g ± 0.12 dB, SAR (10g): 1.51 mW/g ± 0.12 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 12.8 (11.5, 14.4) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.03 dB



Dipole 1900 MHz

Validation Chamber 1, Goofy; Flat Phantom

Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1011; ConvF(4.86,4.86,4.86); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.77$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 39.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cubes (2): Peak: 20.1 mW/g ± 0.02 dB, SAR (1g): 10.1 mW/g ± 0.00 dB, SAR (10g): 4.97 mW/g ± 0.01 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 6.9 (6.6, 7.7) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.01 dB

