



November 12, 1999
SAR Test Report for Motorola portable cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT56ZV1).

Prepared by:
Paul Moller, Principal Staff Engineer
Motorola Personal Communications Sector Product Safety Laboratory
Libertyville, Illinois

Contents

- 1) Introduction
- 2) Applicable Regulations
- 3) Description of Test Sample
- 4) Description of Motorola SAR Test Facility
- 5) Test Sample Conditions
- 6) Method of Measurement
- 7) Measurement Uncertainty
- 8) SAR Test Results
- 9) Body Worn Configuration
- 10) Battery Options
- 11) Summary

Appendix A: Included data

Appendix B: Included beltclip data

Appendix C: Measurement Probe S/N 1103 Calibration Certificate

Appendix D: Measurement Probe S/N 1393 Calibration Certificate

Appendix E: Printout from the Dasy™ measurement system validation test

1. Introduction

The Motorola Personal Communications Sector Product Safety Laboratory has performed measurements of the maximum potential exposure to the user of portable cellular phone FCC ID IHDT56ZV1. The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) of this product was measured. This report details the test setup and equipment as well as the results of those tests.

2. Applicable Regulations

Federal Communications Commission rule §2.1093(d)(2), the ANSI/IEEE C95.1 1992 and the NCRP Report Number 86 specify the maximum exposure limit of 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue for portable devices being used within 20cm of the user in the uncontrolled environment.

3. Description of Test Sample

A prototype unit serial number FCC777F0 was measured. This unit is identical in physical construction, maximum radiated power levels and antenna structure to units that will be in production. It transmits in the frequency range of 824 to 849 MHz using AMPS mode, and 1850 to 1910 MHz using CDMA mode. The unit was tested at its maximum transmitter power. The unit is equipped with a fixed antenna that serves as both a receive and transmit antenna. The antenna has a single operating position as shown in figure 1 and figure 2.



Figure 1. Front of Phone



Figure 2. Side of Phone

Figure 3 shows the test unit as it is placed onto the Motorola phantom. For the purposes of the actual SAR tests the Motorola phantom head is tilted on its side by 90 degrees so that a vertically

oriented measurement probe can easily scan an area where the phone is in close contact with the phantom and the SAR will be the highest.



Figure 3. Phone against side of Phantom Head.

4. SAR Test Facility

The Motorola test facility utilized for the SAR testing of this product is the Personal Communications Sector Product Safety Laboratory, in Libertyville Illinois. The laboratory utilizes a Dosimetric Assessment System (Dasy™) SAR measurement system manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™), of Zurich Switzerland. This system utilizes a computer controlled six axis robot to move a measurement probe to measure the SAR. A photo of the Dasy™ system with the Motorola phantom is shown in figure 4. Probe serial number 1103 was used for the 800 MHz measurements. It was calibrated at SPEAG™, and has a calibration date April 20, 1999. A copy of the calibration certificate is included as appendix C. Dipole Validation Kit type D900V2, serial number 036 was used to validate the system accuracy at 800MHz. The validation SAR value is 9.56 mW/g normalized to 1 Watt, and the Dasy™ system used for the test phone measured 9.44 mW/g normalized to 1 Watt. This is within the required accuracy, and thus the measured SAR values are considered correct. See appendix E for printout of the validation test from the Dasy™ measurement system. Probe serial number 1393 was used for the 1900MHz measurements. It

was calibrated at SPEAG™, and has a calibration date October 6, 1999. A copy of the calibration certificate is included as appendix D. Dipole Validation Kit type D1800V2, serial number 246 was used to validate the system accuracy at 1900MHz. The validation SAR value is 37.7 mW/g normalized to 1 Watt, and the Dasy™ system used for the test phone measured 38.0 mW/g normalized to 1 Watt. This is within the required accuracy, and thus the measured SAR values are considered correct. See appendix E for printout of the validation test from the Dasy™ measurement system.

The measurement methodology is described in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no. 3, August 1995, titled Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated users of Portable Cellular Telephones. The Dasy™ system is operated per the instructions in the Dasy™ Users Manual. The entire manual is available directly from SPEAG™.



Figure 4. Dasy™ System

5. Test Sample Conditions

For the purposes of these tests the subject phone was positioned on the measurement phantom per the instructions in the Motorola users manual for the subject phone. The position used for the tests is the 3-point contact position. In this position the test sample contacts the phantom's ear and cheek and is positioned with a repeatability of better than $\pm 6\%$. Since the antenna is not located on the center of the phone, the SAR was measured with the phone on both the left and right side talk positions (See figure 3). Due to the construction of the phone, the base of the antenna is 26 mm away from the phantom for the left side head, which is the closest.

The test sample is capable of operation in a test mode that allows control of the transmitter without the need to place actual phone calls. This guarantees that the unit does not change its transmitter power, and that the resultant SAR values will not be affected by external connections. For the purposes of this test the unit is commanded to test mode and manually set to the proper channel, transmitter power level and transmit mode of operation. The phone is then placed in the SAR measurement system with a fully charged battery. At the end of each test the Dasy™ system measures the drift of the SAR at a fixed point in the phantom so as to ensure that the test sample has not changed in transmitter power. For the purposes of these tests, the transmitter was operated at the highest transmitter output and with the phone and module on both left and right side talk positions.

6. Method of Measurement

The system is instructed to scan as much of the face of the phone as is in close proximity to the phantom. Using the information gained about the general region of highest SAR, the system then automatically scans a smaller area centered around the location of peak spatial SAR. During this scan the system automatically measures the fall off of electric field strength as the measurement probe is moved away from the inner surface of the phantom in the direction of the local normal to the phantom surface. Using appropriate probe calibration techniques, the SAR in 1 gram of phantom tissue is then calculated. The 800MHz phantom head, shown in figure 3, was filled with a liquid having relative dielectric constant equal to 42 and conductivity equal to 0.77 S/m. This mixture is a good dielectric equivalent of the gray matter of the human brain. The composition of the liquid mixture is as follows: 42.5% water; 55.6.0% sugar; 0.8% salt, 1% HEC; and 0.1% bactericide. The 1900MHz phantom head, also shown in figure 3, was filled with a liquid having relative dielectric constant equal to 40 and conductivity equal to 1.80 S/m. This mixture is a good dielectric equivalent of the gray matter of the human brain. The composition of the liquid mixture is as follows: 45.9% water; 53.0% sugar; 0% salt, 1% HEC; and 0.1% bactericide.

7. Measurement Uncertainty

The overall RSS uncertainty of the measurement system is $\pm 12.0\%$. The breakdown of the individual uncertainties is as follows:

Probe Uncertainty	$\pm\%$
Isotropy error	7.2
Calibration error	3.3
Spatial resolution	0.5

SAR Evaluation	±%
Conductivity measurement	5.0
Environmental errors	1.0

Peak SAR Evaluation	±%
Probe positioning	1.0
Volumetric averaging	4.2
Device positioning	6.0

8. SAR Test Results

Figure 5 shows the phone overlaid with a typical contour plot. The phone is placed on the phantom's head with the center of the phone's speaker at the center of the ear, and the center line of the phone extends downward to the center of the phantom's mouth. The same orientation and phone position are used for left and right side talk positions.

The maximum SAR level for the Motorola portable cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT56ZV1) in the 800MHz band is 0.99 W/kg and was found on the right side head. A full data set output of two test conditions with the highest SAR values from the Dasy™ measurement system are included as appendix A. The test conditions included are indicated as bold numbers in the following table. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included.

Analog 800 Channel	Right side head	Left side head	Conducted Power (Watts)
991	0.99	0.94	0.40
384	0.81	0.78	0.41
799	0.28	0.25	0.40



Figure 5. 800MHz Contour Plot Overlaid on Face of Phone.

The maximum SAR level for the Motorola portable cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT56ZV1) in the 1900MHz band is 1.24 W/kg and was found on the left side head. A full data set output of two test conditions with the highest SAR values from the Dasy™ measurement system is included as appendix A. The test conditions included are indicated as bold numbers in the following table. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included. Figure 6 shows the contour plot of the highest test condition overlaid onto a picture of the phone.

Digital 1900 Channel	Right side head	Left side head	Conducted Power (Watts)
25	1.23	1.19	0.24
600	1.23	1.24	0.21
1175	1.18	1.18	0.25



Figure 6. 1900MHz Contour Plot Overlaid on Face of Phone.

9. Body Worn Configuration

The cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT56ZV1) can be used in a body-worn configuration using the supplied belt clip. We have performed an evaluation to show RF exposure compliance when used with the belt clip. Figure 7 shows the test unit as it is placed onto the phantom.



Figure 7. Phone In Supplied Belt Clip Against Phantom

The following table shows the SAR values for the body worn condition for 800MHz analog mode. A full data set output of the test condition with the highest SAR values from the Dasy™ measurement system is included as appendix B. The test condition included is as indicated as a bold number in the following table. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included.

Analog 800 Channel	Belt Clip
991	0.46
384	0.44
799	0.29

The following table shows the SAR values for the body worn condition for 1900MHz CDMA mode. A full data set output of the test condition with the highest SAR values from the Dasy™ measurement system is included as appendix B. The test condition included is as indicated as a bold number in the following table. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included.

Digital 1900 Channel	Belt Clip
25	0.71
600	1.56
1175	0.93

10. Battery Options

The cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT56ZV1) uses only one battery model. This model used for all testing. There are no other battery options for this cellular phone.

11. Summary

The SAR values found for the portable cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT56ZV1) are below the maximum recommended levels of 1.6 W/kg.

Appendix A

The following pages are printouts from the Dasy™ measurement system of the data as indicated.

10/25/99

s/n FCC777F0

Chan:991/ Pwr:02

Thelma Lou Phantom; Right Head Section; Position: (80°,180°); Frequency: 824 MHz


Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1103; ConvF(5.70,5.70,5.70); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 835 MHz: $\sigma = 0.77$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 42.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.985 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.682 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 16.8 (15.0, 18.7) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.10 dB



10/25/99

s/n FCC777F0

chan:991/ Pwr:02


Barney Phantom; Left Head Section; Position: (80°,180°); Frequency: 824 MHz

Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1103; ConvF(5.70,5.70,5.70); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 835 MHz: $\sigma = 0.77$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 42.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.941 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.656 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 0.0

Powerdrift: -0.15 dB



11/02/99

S/N FCC777F0

Ch600/ Pwr 00/JL

AI Phantom; Left Section; Position: (80°,175°); Frequency: 1880 MHz


Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1393; ConvF(5.87,5.87,5.87); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.80$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 40.0$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.24 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.711 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 8.7 (8.4, 9.4) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.19 dB



11/02/99

S/N FCC777F0

Ch600/ Pwr 00/JL

Peg Phantom; Right Section; Position: (80°,175°); Frequency: 1880 MHz


Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1393; ConvF(5.87,5.87,5.87); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.80$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 40.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.23 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.714 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 0.0

Penetration depth: 9.1 (8.8, 9.5) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.16 dB



Appendix B

The following pages are printouts from the Dasy™ measurement system of the data as indicated.

S/N FCC777F0

Ch991 / Pwr 02 / dg


Amy Twin Phantom Phantom; Section 1 Section; Position: (80°,220°); Frequency: 824 MHz

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1393; ConvF(6.61,6.61,6.61); Crest factor: 1.0; Muscle 900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.15$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 56.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³


Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.460 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.319 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0

Powerdrift: -0.07 dB



SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]



S/N FCC777F0

Ch600 / JL


Amy Twin Phantom Phantom; Section 1 Section; Position: (80°,220°); Frequency: 1880 MHz

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1393; ConvF(5.87,5.87,5.87); Crest factor: 1.0; Muscle 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.86$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 49.9$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³


Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.56 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.783 mW/g * Max outside, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0

Powerdrift: 0.01 dB



SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]



Appendix C

The following page is a copy of the Calibration Certificate for Dasy™ probe serial number 1103.

Calibration Certificate

Dosimetric E-Field Probe

Type:

ET3DV4

Serial Number:

1103

Place of Calibration:

Zurich

Date of Calibration:

April 20, 1999


Calibration Interval:

12 months


Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies, that this device has been calibrated on the date indicated above. The test results were within published specifications.

The calibration was performed in accordance with specifications and procedures of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG. Wherever applicable, the standards used in the calibration process are traceable to international standards. In all other cases the standards of the Laboratory for EMF and Microwave Electronics at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) in Zurich, Switzerland have been applied.

Calibrated by:



Approved by:



Appendix D

The following page is a copy of the Calibration Certificate for Dasy™ probe serial number 1393.

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

Staffelstrasse 8, 8045 Zurich, Switzerland, Telefon +41 1 280 08 60, Fax +41 1 280 08 64

Calibration Certificate

Dosimetric E-Field Probe

Type:

ET3DV6

Serial Number:

1393

Place of Calibration:

Zurich

Date of Calibration:

October 6, 1999

Calibration Interval:

12 months

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies, that this device has been calibrated on the date indicated above. The calibration was performed in accordance with specifications and procedures of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

Wherever applicable, the standards used in the calibration process are traceable to international standards. In all other cases the standards of the Laboratory for EMF and Microwave Electronics at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) in Zurich, Switzerland have been applied.

Calibrated by:

C. Schmid

Approved by:

Thomas Schmid

Appendix E

The following page is the printout from the Dasy™ measurement system validation tests.


Dipole 900MHz

Generic Twin; Flat

Probe: ET3DV4 - SN1103; ConvF(5.70,5.70,5.70); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 900 MHz: $\sigma = 0.82$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 42.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cubes (2): Peak: 3.67 mW/g ± 0.30 dB, SAR (1g): 2.36 mW/g ± 0.25 dB, SAR (10g): 1.56 mW/g ± 0.23 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 13.5 (11.8, 15.5) [mm]



Dipole 1800 MHz


Generic Twin; Flat

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1393; ConvF(5.87,5.87,5.87); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 1800 MHz: $\sigma = 1.72$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 40.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cubes (2): Peak: 18.3 mW/g ± 0.07 dB, SAR (1g): 9.50 mW/g ± 0.05 dB, SAR (10g): 4.78 mW/g ± 0.02 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 7.2 (7.0, 7.8) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.15 dB



SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]

