



MOTOROLA

Portable Cellular Phone SAR Test Report

Tests Requested By: Motorola Mobility, Inc.
600 N. US Highway 45
Libertyville, IL 60048

Test Report #: 25058-1F Rev 0
Date of Report: Jul 19, 2012
Date of Test: Jun 12, 2012 to Jul 18, 2012
FCC ID #: IHDT56NP1
Generic Name: N/A

Test Laboratory: Motorola Mobility, Inc. - ADR Test Services Laboratory
600 N. US Highway 45
Libertyville, IL 60048

Report Author: Ketal Patel
RF Engineer

This laboratory is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025-2005 to perform the following tests:

Accreditation:



2404

<u>Tests:</u>	<u>Procedures:</u>
Electromagnetic Specific Absorption Rate	IEC 62209-1
	RSS-102
	IEEE 1528 - 2003
	FCC OET Bulletin 65 (<i>including Supplement C</i>)
	Australian Communications Authority Radio
	Communications (Electromagnetic Radiation –
	Human Exposure) Standard 2003
	CENELEC EN 50360
	ARIB Std. T-56 (2002)

On the following products or types of products:

Wireless Communications Devices (Examples): Two Way Radios; Portable Phones (including Cellular, Licensed Non-Broadcast and PCS); Low Frequency Readers; and Pagers

Statement of Compliance:

Motorola declares under its sole responsibility that the portable cellular telephone model to which this declaration relates, is in conformity with the appropriate General Population/Uncontrolled RF exposure standards, recommendations and guidelines (FCC 47 CFR §2.1093) as well as with CENELEC en50360:2001 and ANSI / IEEE C95.1. It also declares that the product was tested in accordance with IEEE 1528 / CENELEC EN62209-1 (2006), as well as other appropriate measurement standards, guidelines and recommended practices. Any deviations from these standards, guidelines and recommended practices are noted below:

(none)

©Motorola Mobility, Inc. 2012

This test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory. The results and statements contained herein relate only to the items tested. The names of individuals involved may be mentioned only in connection with the statements or results from this report. Motorola encourages all feedback, both positive and negative, on this test report.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction3

2. Description of the Device Under Test4

 2.1 Antenna description..... 4

 2.2 Device Signaling..... 4

 2.3 Device Conducted Power Measurements 5

 2.3.1 iDEN modes 5

 2.3.6 Bluetooth modes and test exclusion 5

3. Test Equipment Used6

 3.1 Dosimetric System 6

 3.2 Additional Equipment 6

4. Electrical parameters of the tissue simulating liquid7

5. System Accuracy Verifications.....8

6. Test Results9

 6.1 Head Adjacent Test Results 9

 6.2 Dispatch/Push-to-Talk Test Results..... 11

 6.3 Body Worn Test Results 12

 6.4 Description and Evaluation of Simultaneous Transmitters..... 14

References15

Appendix 1: SAR distribution comparisons for System Accuracy Verifications

Appendix 2: SAR distribution plots for Head Adjacent Test Results

Appendix 3: SAR distribution plots for Dispatch/Push-to-Talk Test Results

Appendix 4: SAR distribution plots for Body Worn Test Results

Appendix 5: Measurement Uncertainty Budget

Appendix 6: Probe Calibration Certificate

Appendix 7: Dipole Characterization Certificate

Revision History

Revision Version	Date	Notes
Rev. 0	Jul-18-2012	Initial report release

1. Introduction

The Motorola Mobility ADR Test Services Laboratory has performed measurements of the maximum potential exposure to the user of the portable cellular phone covered by this test report. The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) of this product was measured. The portable cellular phone was tested in accordance with [1], [4] and [5]. The SAR values measured for the portable cellular phone are below the maximum recommended levels of 1.6 W/kg in a 1 g average set in [3] and 2.0 W/kg in a 10 g average set in [2].

For ANSI / IEEE C95.1 (1 g), the final stand-alone SAR readings for this phone are given in the table below. For ANSI / IEEE C95.1 (1 g), the final simultaneous-transmission SAR readings for this phone are 1.17 W/kg for head-adjacent use and 1.38 W/kg for body-worn use. These measurements were performed using a DASy4™ v4.7 or DASy52™ system manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG), of Zurich Switzerland.

Transmit Band	Head SAR (1 g^w/kg)	Dispatch/ Push-to-Talk SAR (1 g^w/kg)	Body SAR (1 g^w/kg)
iDEN 800	0.64	0.34	1.38
iDEN 900	1.17	0.43	1.22

2. Description of the Device Under Test


2.1 Antenna description

iDEN (/800/900 MHz) Antenna

Type	Internal	
Location	Bottom of Transceiver	
Dimensions	Width	13.56 mm
	Length	40.49 mm

Bluetooth Antenna

Type	Internal	
Location	Right-Side Rear of Transceiver	
Dimensions	Width	5.5 mm
	Length	21.9 mm



2.2 Device Signaling

Serial Number(s) (Functional Use)	364BNJ18WX (iDEN conducted power measurements, iDEN head/body SAR testing)
Production Unit or Identical Prototype (47 CFR §2.908)	Identical Prototype
Device Category	Portable (Mobile Station Class B)
RF Exposure Limits	General Population / Uncontrolled

Mode(s) of Operation	Modulation Mode(s)	Maximum Output Power Setting	Duty Cycle	Transmitting Frequency Range(s)
iDEN 800 (Interconnect / Dispatch)	M16-QAM	28.06 dBm	2:6 / 1:6	806.0125 - 824.9875 MHz
iDEN 800 (Packet Data)	M64-QAM, M16-QAM, QPSK	28.06 dBm	81:120	806.0125 - 824.9875 MHz
iDEN 900 (Interconnect / Dispatch)	M16-QAM	28.06 dBm	2:6 / 1:6	896.01875 - 901.98125 MHz
iDEN 900 (Packet Data)	M64-QAM, M16-QAM, QPSK	28.06 dBm	81:120	896.01875 - 901.98125 MHz
Bluetooth	GFSK	9.66 dBm	1:1	2402.0 – 2480.0 MHz

2.3 Device Conducted Power Measurements

2.3.1 iDEN modes

Conducted power for iDEN modes (dBm)				
Band	Frequency (MHz)	Interconnect	Interconnect/Dispatch	Packet Data
		2:6	1:6	81:120
iDEN 800	806.0125	27.99	27.98	27.98
	815.5125	28.04	28.03	28.01
	824.9875	28.09	28.08	28.13
iDEN 900	896.01875	27.99	28.03	28.04
	898.99375	28.04	28.01	28.02
	901.98125	28.01	27.98	27.99

2.3.2 Bluetooth modes and test exclusion

Frequency [MHz]	Modulation	Channel Number	Average Conducted Power [mW]
2402	GFSK	0	9.319
2441	GFSK	39	9.530
2480	GFSK	78	9.666
2402	2DH5	0	7.091
2441	2DH5	39	7.286
2480	2DH5	78	7.426
2402	3DH5	0	7.075
2441	3DH5	39	7.277
2480	3DH5	78	7.423

The Bluetooth transmitter of the device under test can be excluded from stand-alone and simultaneous SAR evaluation, per the highlighted requirements from FCC KDB 648474 D01, as follows:

1. The highest output conducted power measured for Bluetooth on the device under test is 9.66 mW [≤ 12 mW]
2. The separation distance between the Bluetooth antenna and the main antenna is 4.95 cm [≥ 2.5 cm]

Pictorial representation of the antenna locations and separation distances are given in Exhibit 7d.

3. Test Equipment Used

3.1 Dosimetric System

The Motorola Mobility ADR Test Services Laboratory utilizes a Dosimetric Assessment System (DASY4™ v4.7 or DASY52™) manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™), of Zurich Switzerland. All the SAR measurements are taken within a shielded enclosure. The overall 10 g RSS uncertainty of the measurement system is $\pm 10.8\%$ (K=1) with an expanded uncertainty of $\pm 21.6\%$ (K=2). The overall 1 g RSS uncertainty of the measurement system is $\pm 11.1\%$ (K=1) with an expanded uncertainty of $\pm 22.2\%$ (K=2). The measurement uncertainty budget is given in Appendix 6. Per IEEE 1528, this uncertainty budget is applicable to the SAR range of 0.4 W/kg to 10 W/kg.

The list of calibrated equipment used for the measurements is shown in the following table.

Description	Serial Number	Cal Date	Cal Due Date
DASY4™ DAE V1	699	Sep-22-2011	Sep-22-2012
E-Field Probe ES3DV3	3115	Jan-12-2012	Jan-11-2013
DASY4™ DAE V1	376	Aug-31-2011	Aug-31-2012
E-Field Probe ES3DV3	3124	Aug-23-2011	Aug-23-2012
S.A.M. Phantom used for 800/900 MHz	TP-1235		
Dipole Validation Kit, DV835V2	436TR	Mar-18-2011	Mar-18-2013

3.2 Additional Equipment

Description	Serial Number	Cal Date	Cal Due Date
Signal Generator HP8648C	3847A04632	Aug-15-2011	Aug-15-2013
Power Meter E4419B	GB39511086	Nov-15-2011	Nov-15-2013
Power Sensor #1 - E9301A	US39210915	Sep-15-2011	Sep-15-2013
Power Sensor #2 - E9301A	US39210916	Sep-15-2011	Sep-15-2013
Signal Generator HP8648C	3847M01245	Aug-23-2011	Aug-23-2013
Power Meter E4419B	GB39511084	Mar-28-2011	Mar-28-2013
Power Sensor #1 - E9301A	US39210931	Jan-19-2012	Jan-19-2013
Power Sensor #2 - E9301A	US39210932	Jan-19-2012	Jan-19-2013
Network Analyzer HP8753ES	US39171846	May-19-2011	May-19-2012
Network Analyzer E5071C	MY46212851	May-10-2012	May-10-2013
Dielectric Probe Kit DAK-3.5	1030		

4. Electrical parameters of the tissue simulating liquid

Prior to conducting SAR measurements, the relative permittivity, ϵ_r , and the conductivity, σ , of the tissue simulating liquids were measured with a HP85070 Dielectric Probe Kit. These values, along with the temperature of the simulated tissue are shown in the table below. The recommended limits for permittivity and conductivity are also shown. A mass density of $\rho = 1 \text{ g/cm}^3$ was entered into the system in all the cases. It can be seen that the measured parameters are within tolerance of the recommended limits specified in [1] and [5].

f (MHz)	Tissue type	Limits / Measured	Dielectric Parameters		
			ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	Temp (°C)
815	Head	Measured, Jun-12-2012	40.9	0.89	19.1
		Recommended Limits	41.6 ±5%	0.898 ±5%	18-25
	Body	Measured, Jul-18-2012	54.6	0.99	19.1
		Recommended Limits	55.3 ±5%	0.968 ±5%	18-25
898	Head	Measured, Jun-12-2012	39.8	0.97	19.1
		Recommended Limits	41.5 ±5%	0.97 ±5%	18-25
	Body	Measured, Jul-18-2012	53.7	1.08	19.1
		Recommended Limits	55.0 ±5%	1.05 ±5%	18-25

The list of ingredients and the percent composition used for the simulated tissues are indicated in the table below.

Ingredient	782 / 835 / 900 MHz Head	782 / 835 / 900 MHz Body	1800 MHz / 1900 MHz Head	1800 MHz / 1900 MHz Body	2450 MHz Head	2450 MHz Body
Sugar	57	44.9	--	--	--	--
DGBE	--	--	47	30.8	--	30
Diacetin	--	--	--	--	51	--
Water	40.45	53.06	52.62	68.8	48.75	70
Salt	1.45	0.94	0.38	0.4	0.15	--
HEC	1	1	--	--	--	--
Bact.	0.1	0.1	--	--	0.1	--

5. System Accuracy Verifications

A system accuracy verification of the DASY4™ was performed using the measurement equipment listed in Section 3.1. The daily system accuracy verification occurs within the flat section of the SAM phantom.

A SAR measurement was performed to verify the measured SAR was within $\pm 10\%$ from the target SAR indicated in Appendix 7. These frequencies are within $\pm 10\%$ of the compliance test mid-band frequency as required in [1] and [5]. The test was conducted on the same days as the measurement of the DUT. Recommended limits for permittivity and conductivity, specified in [5], are shown in the table below. The obtained results from the system accuracy verification are also displayed in the table below. SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power delivered to the dipole. It is seen that the system is operating within its specification, as the results are within acceptable tolerance of the reference values. The distributions of SAR compare well with those of the reference measurements (see Appendix 1). For frequencies below 3 GHz, the simulated tissue depth was verified to be $15.0 \text{ cm} \pm 0.5 \text{ cm}$. Z-axis scans showing the SAR penetration are also included in Appendix 1.

System Accuracy Verification Measurements for Head SAR Measurements							
f (MHz)	Description	Dipole	SAR (W/kg), 1 gram	Dielectric Parameters		Ambient Temp (°C)	Tissue Temp (°C)
				ϵ_r	σ (S/m)		
835	Measured, Jun-12-2012	436TR	10.25	40.6	0.91	21.7	19.1
	Recommended Limits		9.73	41.5 $\pm 5\%$	0.90 $\pm 5\%$	18-25	18-25

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used with the system accuracy verification measurements for head SAR measurements:

Description	Serial Number	f (MHz)	Conversion Factor	Cal Cert pg #
E-Field Probe ES3DV3	3115	835	5.83	5 of 11

System Accuracy Verification Measurements for Body SAR Measurements							
f (MHz)	Description	Dipole	SAR (W/kg), 1 gram	Dielectric Parameters		Ambient Temp (°C)	Tissue Temp (°C)
				ϵ_r	σ (S/m)		
835	Measured, Jul-18-2012	436TR	10.4	54.4	1.01	21.2	19.1
	Recommended Limits		10.1	55.2 $\pm 5\%$	0.97 $\pm 5\%$	18-25	18-25

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used with the system accuracy verification measurements for body SAR measurements:

Description	Serial Number	f (MHz)	Conversion Factor	Cal Cert pg #
E-Field Probe ES3DV3	3124	835	6.04	6 of 11

6. Test Results

The DUT is capable of iDEN operation in a test mode that allows control of the transmitter without the need to place actual phone calls. This guarantees that the unit does not change its transmitter power, and that the resultant measured field values will not be affected by external connections. Wi-Fi testing was conducted using manufacturer test mode software, per guidance given in FCC KDB 248227. The test software was set up for the proper channels, transmitter power levels and transmit modes of operation.

The phone was tested in the configurations stipulated in [1], [4] and [5]. The phone was positioned into these configurations using the device holder supplied with the DASY4™ SAR measurement system. The default settings for the “coarse” and “cube” scans were chosen and used for measurements. The grid spacing of the coarse scan was set to 15 mm or less as shown in the SAR plots included in Appendices 2 through 4. Please refer to the DASY4™ manual for additional information on SAR scanning procedures and algorithms used.

The Cellular Phone model covered by this report has the following battery options:

Model SNN5837A - 1170 mAH battery

This battery was used to do all of the SAR testing. The phone was placed in the SAR measurement system with a fully charged battery.

6.1 Head Adjacent Test Results

The SAR results shown in tables 1 through 4 are maximum SAR values averaged over 1 gram of phantom tissue, to demonstrate compliance to [3] and also over 10 grams of phantom tissue, to demonstrate compliance to the [6]. Also shown are the temperatures of the simulated tissue after the test, the measured drift and the extrapolated SAR. The exact method of extrapolation is:

$$\text{Extrapolated SAR} = (\text{Measured SAR}) * 10^{(-\text{drift}/10)}$$

The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4™ measurement system can be scaled up by the measured drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process. This is the most conservative SAR because it corresponds to the average output power at the beginning of the SAR test. This extrapolation has been done because when the DUT is operating properly it may exhibit a slump in radiated power and SAR over time. This is verified by measuring the SAR drift after the test.

The left head and right head SAR contour distributions are similar. Because of this similarity, the cheek/touch and 15° tilt test conditions with the highest SAR values in each band are indicated as bold numbers in the following tables and are included in Appendix 2. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included in Appendix 2.

The SAR measurements were performed using the SAM phantoms listed in section 3.1. Since the same phantoms and simulated tissue were used for the system accuracy verification and the device SAR measurements, the Z-axis scans included in Appendix 1 are applicable for verification of simulated tissue depth.

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for head-adjacent measurements:

Description	Serial Number	f (MHz)	Conversion Factor	Cal Cert pg #
E-Field Probe ES3DV3	3115	835	5.83	5 of 11

Left Head Cheek Position												
f (MHz)	Mode	Battery/Accessory	Channel or Freq. (MHz)	Temp (°C)	Drift (dB)	DUT Power	10 g SAR value		1 g SAR value		Test Plot	
						Measured (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Grid	Plot Page
815	iDEN 800 Interconnect 2:6	SNN5837A	806.0125									
			815.5125	19	0.04	28.04	0.457	0.46	0.619	0.62		
			824.9875									
898	iDEN 900 Interconnect 2:6		896.01875	19	-0.03	27.99	0.780	0.79	1.08	1.09		
			898.99375	19	-0.06	28.04	0.749	0.76	1.02	1.03		
			901.98125	19	0.03	28.01	0.766	0.77	1.06	1.06		

Table 1: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a head cheek position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.

Right Head Cheek Position												
f (MHz)	Mode	Battery/Accessory	Channel or Freq. (MHz)	Temp (°C)	Drift (dB)	DUT Power	10 g SAR value		1 g SAR value		Test Plot	
						Measured (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Grid	Plot Page
815	iDEN 800 Interconnect 2:6	SNN5837A	806.0125									
			815.5125	19	0	28.04	0.478	0.48	0.643	0.64	5x5x7	A9
			824.9875									
898	iDEN 900 Interconnect 2:6		896.01875	19	0.01	27.99	0.841	0.84	1.15	1.15		
			898.99375	19	0.02	28.04	0.817	0.82	1.12	1.12		
			901.98125	19	-0.10	28.01	0.841	0.86	1.14	1.17	5x5x7	A10

Table 2: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a head cheek position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.

Left Head 15° Tilt Position												
f (MHz)	Mode	Battery/Accessory	Channel or Freq. (MHz)	Temp (°C)	Drift (dB)	DUT Power	10 g SAR value		1 g SAR value		Test Plot	
						Measured (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Grid	Plot Page
815	iDEN 800 Interconnect 2:6	SNN5837A	806.0125									
			815.5125	19	-0.05	28.04	0.264	0.27	0.356	0.36	5x5x7	A11
			824.9875									
898	iDEN 900 Interconnect 2:6		896.01875									
			898.99375	19	0.09	28.04	0.405	0.41	0.563	0.56		
			901.98125									

Table 3: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a head tilt position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.

Right Head 15° Tilt Position												
f (MHz)	Mode	Battery/Accessory	Channel or Freq. (MHz)	Temp (°C)	Drift (dB)	DUT Power	10 g SAR value		1 g SAR value		Test Plot	
						Measured (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Grid	Plot Page
815	iDEN 800 Interconnect 2:6	SNN5837A	806.0125									
			815.5125	19	0.02	28.04	0.265	0.27	0.355	0.36		
			824.9875									
898	iDEN 900 Interconnect 2:6		896.01875									
			898.99375	19	0.04	28.04	0.410	0.41	0.567	0.57	5x5x7	A12
			901.98125									

Table 4: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a head tilt position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.

6.2 Dispatch/Push-to-Talk Test Results

The SAR results shown in table 5 are maximum SAR values averaged over 1 gram of phantom tissue, to demonstrate compliance to [3] and also over 10 grams of phantom tissue, to demonstrate compliance to the [6]. Also shown are the measured conducted output powers, the temperature of the simulated tissue after the test, the measured drift and the extrapolated SAR. The exact method of extrapolation is:

$$\text{Extrapolated SAR} = \text{Measured SAR} * 10^{(-\text{drift}/10)}$$

The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4™ measurement system can be scaled up by the measured drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process. This is the most conservative SAR because it corresponds to the average output power at the beginning of the SAR test. This extrapolation has been done because when the DUT is operating properly it may exhibit a slump in radiated power and SAR over time. This is verified by measuring the SAR drift after the test.

A full data set output of one test condition per band with the highest SAR values from the DASY™ measurement system is included as Appendix 3. The test conditions included are indicated as bold numbers in the following tables. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included.

The SAR measurements were performed using the SAM phantoms listed in section 3.1. Since the same phantoms and simulated tissue were used for the system accuracy verification and the device SAR measurements, the Z-axis scans included in Appendix 1 are applicable for verification of simulated tissue depth to be 15.0 cm ± 0.5 cm.

For the purposes of these tests the DUT is commanded to the proper channel, transmitter power level and transmit mode of operation. The DUT was then placed in the SAR measurement system with a fully charged battery. The DUT was placed with the front of the device positioned at 2.5 cm from the flat portion of the SAM phantom, as per Supplement C 01-01.

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for the Dispatch/Push-To-Talk measurements:

Description	Serial Number	f (MHz)	Conversion Factor	Cal Cert pg #
E-Field Probe ES3DV3	3115	835	5.83	5 of 11

Dispatch/Push-To-Talk, Front of Phone 25 mm from Phantom													
f (MHz)	Mode	Battery/Accessory	Channel or Freq. (MHz)	Temp (°C)	Drift (dB)	DUT Power		10 g SAR value		1 g SAR value		Test Plot	
						Measured (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Grid	Plot Page
815	iDEN 800 Dispatch 1:6	SNN5837A	806.0125										
			815.5125	19	-0.01	28.03	0.253	0.25	0.341	0.34	5x5x7	A14	
			824.9875										
898	iDEN 900 Dispatch 1:6		896.01875										
			898.99375	19	-0.06	28.01	0.302	0.31	0.424	0.43	5x5x7	A15	
			901.98125										

Table 5: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a Push-to-Talk position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.

6.3 Body Worn Test Results

The SAR results shown in tables 6 through 7 are maximum SAR values averaged over 1 gram of phantom tissue, to demonstrate compliance to [3] and also over 10 grams of phantom tissue, to demonstrate compliance to [6]. Also shown are the temperature of the simulated tissue after the test, the measured drift and the extrapolated SAR. The exact method of extrapolation is:

$$\text{Extrapolated SAR} = (\text{Measured SAR}) * 10^{(-\text{drift}/10)}$$

The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4™ measurement system can be scaled up by the measured drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process. This is the most conservative SAR because it corresponds to the average output power at the beginning of the SAR test. This extrapolation has been done because when the DUT is operating properly it may exhibit a slump in radiated power and SAR over time. This is verified by measuring the SAR drift after the test.

The test conditions that produced the highest SAR values in each band are indicated as bold numbers in the following tables and are included in Appendix 4. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included in Appendix 4.

A SPEAG™ MFP V5.1 C Triple Modular Phantom was used for the body-worn tests. The triple modular phantom consists of three identical modules that can be installed and removed separately without emptying the liquid. Each module of the triple phantom is constructed of glass-fiber reinforced vinylester (VG-GF) with a thickness at the bottom of 2.0 mm. It measures 29.2 cm(long) by 17.8 cm(wide) by 17.8 cm(tall).

The simulated tissue depth was verified to be 15.0 cm ± 0.5 cm for frequencies less than 3 GHz, or 10.0 cm ± 0.5 cm for frequencies greater than 3 GHz. The same device holder described in section 6 was used for positioning the phone. Functional accessories were divided into two categories, the ones with metal components and the ones with non-metal components. For non-metallic component accessories, testing was performed on the accessory that displayed the closest proximity to the flat phantom. Each metallic component accessory, if any, was checked for uniqueness of metal component so that each is tested with the device. If multiple accessories shared an identical metal component, only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body was tested. The cellular phone was tested with a headset connected to the device for all body-worn SAR measurements.

The device was tested per the Supplement C testing guidelines. A separation distance of 25 mm between the device and the flat phantom was used for testing body-worn SAR. The chosen separation distance of 25 mm is utilized in order to support any case or holder accessories offered or to be offered by Motorola for this product. The device was tested with the front and back of the device facing the phantom. Both sides of the device were tested for Body SAR for the purpose of including the SAR evaluation for body-worn accessories that support the device with the front side facing the user.

The cellular phone was also tested in data mode operations. For these tests, a separation distance of 25 mm between the device and the flat phantom was used. The device was tested in the worst-case SAR position and channel configuration from the voice-mode body-worn testing.

There is an aftermarket carry solution Accessories available for this phone:

A Plastic Holster and Belt Clip: Model # 01017303001

The configuration that resulted in the highest measured SAR for body worn is repeated with this plastic holster and belt clip.

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for the body-worn measurements:

Description	Serial Number	f (MHz)	Conversion Factor	Cal Cert pg #
E-Field Probe ES3DV3	3124	835	6.04	6 of 11

Body-Worn, Front of Phone 25 mm from Phantom													
f (MHz)	Mode	Battery/Accessory	Channel or Freq. (MHz)	Temp (°C)	Drift (dB)	DUT Power	10 g SAR value		1 g SAR value		Test Plot		
						Measured (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Grid	Plot Page	
815	iDEN 800 Interconnect 2:6	SNN5837A	806.0125										
			815.5125	19.1	0.1	28.04	0.077	0.08	0.107	0.11			
			824.9875										
	iDEN 800 Packet Data 81:120		806.0125										
			815.5125	19.2	-0.32	28.01	0.343	0.37	0.463	0.50			
			824.9875										
898	iDEN 900 Interconnect 2:6	896.01875											
		898.99375	19.1	-0.1	28.04	0.141	0.14	0.192	0.20				
		901.98125											
	iDEN 900 Packet Data 81:120	896.01875											
		898.99375	19.3	-0.08	28.02	0.517	0.53	0.713	0.73				
		901.98125											

Table 6: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a body-worn position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.

Body-Worn, Back of Phone 25 mm from Phantom													
f (MHz)	Mode	Battery/Accessory	Channel or Freq. (MHz)	Temp (°C)	Drift (dB)	DUT Power	10 g SAR value		1 g SAR value		Test Plot		
						Measured (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Grid	Plot Page	
815	iDEN 800 Interconnect 2:6	SNN5837A	806.0125										
			815.5125	19.1	-0.02	28.04	0.093	0.09	0.128	0.13			
			824.9875										
	iDEN 800 Packet Data 81:120		806.0125										
			815.5125	19.2	-0.26	28.01	0.389	0.41	0.526	0.56			
			824.9875										
	iDEN 800 Packet Data 81:120 with Holster & Belt Clip		806.0125	19.1	-0.27	27.98	0.805	0.86	1.09	1.16			
			815.5125	19.1	-0.1	28.01	0.881	0.90	1.19	1.22			
			824.9875	19.1	-0.2	28.13	0.98	1.03	1.32	1.38	5x5x7	A17	
898	iDEN 900 Interconnect 2:6	896.01875											
		898.99375	19.1	-0.03	28.04	0.159	0.16	0.22	0.22				
		901.98125											
	iDEN 900 Packet Data 81:120	896.01875											
		898.99375	19.3	-0.0	28.02	0.692	0.69	0.503	0.50				
		901.98125											
	iDEN 800 Packet Data 81:120 with Holster & Belt Clip	806.0125	19.1	-0.01	28.04	0.877	0.88	1.2	1.20				
		815.5125	19.1	0.05	28.02	0.866	0.87	1.22	1.22	5x5x7	A18		
		824.9875	19.1	0.03	27.99	0.832	0.83	1.16	1.16				

Table 7: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a body-worn position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.

6.4 Description and Evaluation of Simultaneous Transmitters

Per "SAR Evaluation Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas" (FCC KDB 648474), the necessity of stand-alone and simultaneous SAR testing was evaluated for the licensed and unlicensed transmitters of the device under test.

By device design the DUT supports the following simultaneous transmission combinations:

Description of Simultaneous Transmit Capabilities			
Transmitter Combinations		Scenario Supported?	Notes
#1	iDEN (Interconnect/Dispatch) + iDEN (Packet Data)	No	DUT system architecture does not support simultaneous voice and data during an iDEN session on the cellular network
#2	iDEN + Bluetooth	Yes	No testing required for Bluetooth per notes below
#3	Wi-Fi + Bluetooth	No	

The Bluetooth transmitter of the device under test can be excluded from stand-alone and simultaneous SAR evaluation, per the highlighted requirements from FCC KDB 648474 D01, as follows. Note that Bluetooth mode is not intended for use in configurations against the head, and this evaluation considers only the body-worn configurations:

1. The highest output conducted power measured for Bluetooth on the device under test is 9.66 mW [$\leq 12 \text{ mW}$]
2. The separation distance between the Bluetooth antenna and the main antenna is 4.95 cm [$\geq 2.5 \text{ cm}$]

Pictorial representation of the antenna locations and separation distances are given in Exhibit 7d.

References

- [1] CENELEC, en62209-1:2006 “Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Fields From Hand - Held and Body - Mounted Wireless Communication Devices – Human Models, Instrumentation, and Procedures”
- [2] CENELEC, en50360:2001 “Product standard to demonstrate the compliance of mobile phones with the basic restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields (300 MHz – 3 GHz)”.
- [3] ANSI / IEEE, C95.1 1992 Edition “IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz”
- [4] FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01
- [5] IEEE 1528 2003 Edition “IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques”
- [6] ICNIRP Guidelines “Guidelines for Limiting Exposure to Time-Varying Electric, Magnetic, and Electromagnetic Fields (up to 300 GHz)”