




**MOTOROLA**


**Portable Cellular Phone SAR Test Report**

**Test Report #:** 19753-1F  
**Date of Report:** Dec-21-2006  
**Date of Test:** Dec-09-2006 to Dec-19-2006  
**FCC ID #:** IHDT56HB1  
**Generic Name:** MQ5-4411A12

**Laboratory:** Motorola Mobile Devices Business Product Safety & Compliance Laboratory  
600 N. US Highway 45  
Libertyville, Illinois 60048

**Report Author:** Thomas Nipple  
RF Engineer 

This laboratory is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025-1999 to perform the following tests:

<u>Tests:</u>	<u>Procedures:</u>
Electromagnetic Specific Absorption Rate	ANSI / IEEE C95.1-1992, 1999 (SAR) IEEE C95.3-1991 IEEE 1528, IEC 62209-1 FCC OET Bulletin 65 ( <i>including Supplements A, B, C</i> ) Australian Communications Authority Radio Communications (Electromagnetic Radiation – Human Exposure) Standard 2003 CENELEC EN 50361 (2001)
<b>Accreditation:</b>	
	
Simulated Tissue Preparation	WI-0247
RF Power Measurement	WI-1847, WI-1873

On the following products or types of products:  
Wireless Communications Devices (Examples): Two Way Radios; Portable Phones (including Cellular, Licensed Non-Broadcast and PCS); Low Frequency Readers; and Pagers

A2LA certificate #1651-01

**Statement of Compliance:**

Motorola declares under its sole responsibility that the portable cellular telephone model to which this declaration relates, is in conformity with the appropriate General Population/Uncontrolled RF exposure standards, recommendations and guidelines (FCC 47 CFR §2.1093) as well as with CENELEC en50360:2001 and ANSI / IEEE C95.1. It also declares that the product was tested in accordance with CENELEC en50361:2001, IEEE 1528, as well as other appropriate measurement standards, guidelines and recommended practices. Any deviations from these standards, guidelines and recommended practices are noted below:

(none)

©Motorola, Inc. 2006  
This test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

The results and statements contained herein relate only to the items tested. The names of individuals involved may be mentioned only in connection with the statements or results from this report.

Motorola encourages all feedback, both positive and negative, on this test report.

## Table of Contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2. Description of the Device Under Test</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 Antenna description	2
2.2 Device description	2
<b>3. Test Equipment Used</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1 Dosimetric System	3
3.2 Additional Equipment	3
<b>4. Electrical parameters of the tissue simulating liquid</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5. System Accuracy Verification</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>6. Test Results</b>	<b>6</b>
6.1 Head Adjacent Test Results	6
6.2 Body Worn Test Results	9
6.3 Push-to-Talk Mode Test Results	12
<b>References</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Appendix 1: SAR distribution comparison for system accuracy verification</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Appendix 2: SAR distribution plots for Phantom Head Adjacent Use</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Appendix 3: SAR distribution plots for Body Worn Configuration</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Appendix 4: SAR distribution plots for Push-To-Talk Use</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Appendix 5: Probe Calibration Certificate</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Appendix 6: Measurement Uncertainty Budget</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Appendix 7: Photographs of the device under test</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Appendix 8: Dipole Characterization Certificate</b>	<b>27</b>

## 1. Introduction

The Motorola Mobile Devices Business Product Safety Laboratory has performed measurements of the maximum potential exposure to the user of the portable cellular phone covered by this test report. The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) of this product was measured. The portable cellular phone was tested in accordance with [1], [4] and [5]. The SAR values measured for the portable cellular phone are below the maximum recommended levels of 1.6 W/kg in a 1 g average set in [3] and 2.0 W/kg in a 10 g average set in [2].

For ANSI / IEEE C95.1 (1 g), the final SAR reading for this phone is 1.06 W/kg for head adjacent use and 0.55 W/kg for body worn use. These measurements were performed using a Dasy4™ v4.7 system manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG), of Zurich Switzerland.

## 2. Description of the Device Under Test

### 2.1 Antenna description

<b>Type</b>	Internal	
<b>Location</b>	Bottom of Transceiver	
<b>Dimensions</b>	Length	37.95 mm
	Width	8.60 mm
<b>Configuration</b>	FJA	

### 2.2 Device description

<b>Serial Number</b>	<b>APMR233413</b>																			
<b>Mode(s) of Operation</b>	GSM 850	GSM 900	GSM 1800	GSM 1900	GPRS 850	GPRS 900	GPRS 1800	GPRS 1900	EDGE 850			EDGE 900	EDGE 1800	EDGE 1900			Bluetooth			
<b>Modulation Mode(s)</b>	GMSK	GMSK	GMSK	GMSK	GMSK	GMSK	GMSK	GMSK	8PSK			8PSK	8PSK	8PSK			GFSK			
<b>Maximum Output Power Setting</b>	32.30 dBm	32.50 dBm	29.50 dBm	29.50 dBm	31.30 dBm	27.30 dBm	32.50 dBm	29.50 dBm	28.50 dBm	24.50 dBm	27.50 dBm	26.50 dBm	22.50 dBm	27.50 dBm	26.50 dBm	26.50 dBm	25.50 dBm	21.50 dBm	4.0 dBm	
<b>Duty Cycle</b>	1:8	1:8	1:8	1:8	2:8	4:8	2:8	2:8	2:8	4:8	1:8	2:8	4:8	1:8	1:8	1:8	2:8	4:8	1:1	
<b>Transmitting Frequency Rang(s)</b>	824.2-848.8 MHz	880.2-914.8 MHz	1710.2-1784.8 MHz	1850.2-1909.8 MHz	824.2-848.8 MHz		880.2-914.8 MHz	1710.2-1784.8 MHz	1850.2-1909.8 MHz			824.2-848.8 MHz			880.2-914.8 MHz	1710.2-1784.8 MHz	1850.2-1909.8 MHz			2400.0 - 2483.5 MHz
<b>Production Unit or Identical Prototype (47 CFR §2.908)</b>	Identical Prototype																			
<b>Device Category</b>	Portable																			
<b>RF Exposure Limits</b>	General Population / Uncontrolled																			

### 3. Test Equipment Used

#### 3.1 Dosimetric System

The Motorola Mobile Devices Business Product Safety & Compliance Laboratory utilizes a Dosimetric Assessment System (Dasy4™ v4.7) manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™), of Zurich Switzerland. All the SAR measurements are taken within a shielded enclosure. The overall 10 g RSS uncertainty of the measurement system is  $\pm 10.8\%$  (K=1) with an expanded uncertainty of  $\pm 21.6\%$  (K=2). The overall 1 g RSS uncertainty of the measurement system is  $\pm 11.1\%$  (K=1) with an expanded uncertainty of  $\pm 22.2\%$  (K=2). The measurement uncertainty budget is given in Appendix 6. Per IEEE 1528, this uncertainty budget is applicable to the SAR range of 0.4 W/kg to 10 W/kg.

The list of calibrated equipment used for the measurements is shown in the following table.

Description	Serial Number	Cal Due Date
DASY4™ DAE V1	661	Sep-01-2007
E-Field Probe ET3DV6	1520	May-03-2007
Dipole Validation Kit, D900V2	91	May-22-2007
S.A.M. Phantom used for 800/900 MHz	TP-1005	
Dipole Validation Kit, D1800V2	259TR	
S.A.M. Phantom used for 1800/1900 MHz	TP-1139	

#### 3.2 Additional Equipment

Description	Serial Number	Cal Due Date
Signal Generator HP8648C	3847A04832	Jun-30-2007
Power Meter E4419B	GB39511082	Feb-28-2007
Power Sensor #1 – E9301A	US39210929	Sep-07-2007
Power Sensor #2 - E9301A	US39210930	Aug-17-2007
Signal Generator HP8648C	3847A04822	Jun-30-2007
Power Meter E4419B	GB39510961	Aug-05-2007
Power Sensor #1 – E9301A	US39211008	Jun-28-2007
Power Sensor #2 - E9301A	US39211009	Jun-28-2007
Network Analyzer HP8753ES	US39172529	Sep-26-2007
Dielectric Probe Kit HP85070C	US99360070	

**4. Electrical parameters of the tissue simulating liquid**

Prior to conducting SAR measurements, the relative permittivity,  $\epsilon_r$ , and the conductivity,  $\sigma$ , of the tissue simulating liquids were measured with a HP85070 Dielectric Probe Kit. These values, along with the temperature of the simulated tissue are shown in the table below. The recommended limits for permittivity and conductivity are also shown. A mass density of  $\rho = 1 \text{ g/cm}^3$  was entered into the system in all the cases. It can be seen that the measured parameters are within tolerance of the recommended limits specified in [1] and [5].

f (MHz)	Tissue type	Limits / Measured	Dielectric Parameters		
			$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)	Temp (°C)
835	Head	Measured, Dec-15-2006	43.2	0.93	19.8
		Measured, Dec-18-2006	41.3	0.93	19.8
		Measured, Dec-19-2006	42.8	0.92	19.7
		Recommended Limits	41.5 ±5%	0.90 ±5%	18-25
	Body	Measured, Dec-11-2006	53.6	0.98	19.3
		Recommended Limits	55.2 ±5%	0.97 ±5%	18-25
900	Head	Measured, Dec-13-2006	41.9	0.98	20.0
		Measured, Dec-18-2006	40.5	0.96	19.8
		Recommended Limits	42.3 ±5%	0.99 ±5%	18-25
	Body	Measured, Dec-19-2006	52.5	1.04	20.0
		Recommended Limits	55.0 ±5%	1.05 ±5%	18-25
1750	Head	Measured, Dec-9-2006	39.0	1.30	19.5
		Measured, Dec-13-2006	38.9	1.30	19.6
		Recommended Limits	40.1 ±5%	1.35 ±5%	18-25
	Body	Measured, Dec-12-2006	52.2	1.44	19.8
		Recommended Limits	53.4 ±5%	1.49 ±5%	18-25
1880	Head	Measured, Dec-10-2006	38.4	1.43	20.0
		Measured, Dec-13-2006	38.3	1.44	19.6
		Recommended Limits	40.0 ±5%	1.40 ±5%	18-25
	Body	Measured, Dec-10-2006	52.2	1.57	19.9
		Recommended Limits	53.3 ±5%	1.52 ±5%	18-25

The list of ingredients and the percent composition used for the tissue simulates are indicated in the table below.

Ingredient	835 MHz / 900 MHz Head	835 MHz / 900 MHz Body	1800MHz / 1900 MHz Head	1800 MHz / 1900 MHz Body	2450 MHz Head	2450 MHz Body
Sugar	57	44.9	--	--	--	--
DGBE	--	--	47	30.8	--	30
Diacetin	--	--	--	--	51	
Water	40.45	53.06	52.62	68.8	48.75	70
Salt	1.45	0.94	0.38	0.4	0.15	--
HEC	1	1	--	--	--	--
Bact.	0.1	0.1	--	--	0.1	--

## 5. System Accuracy Verification

A system accuracy verification of the DASY4™ was performed using the measurement equipment listed in Section 3.1. The daily system accuracy verification occurs within the flat section of the SAM phantom.

A SAR measurement was performed to verify the measured SAR was within  $\pm 10\%$  from the target SAR indicated in Section 8.3.7 Reference SAR Values in [5] or Appendix 7 for the 900 Mhz target reference SAR value. These tests were done at 900 MHz and 1800 MHz. These frequencies are within  $\pm 10\%$  of the compliance test mid-band frequency as required in [1] and [5]. The test was conducted on the same days as the measurement of the DUT. Recommended limits for permittivity and conductivity, specified in [5], are shown in the table below. The obtained results from the system accuracy verification are also displayed in the table below. SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power delivered to the dipole. It is seen that the system is operating within its specification, as the results are within acceptable tolerance of the reference values. The distributions of SAR compare well with those of the reference measurements (see Appendix 1). The tissue stimulant depth was verified to be 15.0 cm  $\pm$  0.5 cm. Z-axis scans showing the SAR penetration are also included in Appendix 1.

f (MHz)	Description	SAR (W/kg), 1 gram	Dielectric Parameters		Ambient Temp (°C)	Tissue Temp (°C)
			$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)		
900	Measured, Dec-11-2006	11.075	41.1	0.97	21.0	19.1
	Measured, Dec-13-2006	11.05	41.9	0.98	20.6	20.0
	Measured, Dec-15-2006	11.35	42.3	0.99	20.5	19.8
	Measured, Dec-18-2006	10.875	40.5	0.96	20.6	20.0
	Measured, Dec-19-2006	11.125	42.0	0.99	20.6	19.8
	<b>Recommended Limits</b>	11.3	41.5 $\pm$ 5%	0.97 $\pm$ 5%	18-25	18-25
1800	Measured, Dec-09-2006	37.75	38.7	1.35	20.7	19.5
	Measured, Dec-10-2006	37.45	38.7	1.35	20.8	20.0
	Measured, Dec-12-2006	38.80	38.5	1.34	20.7	19.8
	Measured, Dec-13-2006	37.65	38.6	1.35	20.6	19.8
	<b>Recommended Limits</b>	38.1	40.0 $\pm$ 5%	1.4 $\pm$ 5%	18-25	18-25

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for the system accuracy verification measurements:

Description	Serial Number	f (MHz)	Conversion Factor	Cal Cert pg #
E-Field Probe ET3DV6	1520	900	6.39	8 of 9
		1810	5.16	8 of 9

## 6. Test Results

The test sample was operated using an actual transmission through a base station simulator. The base station simulator was setup to the proper channel, transmitter power level and transmit mode of operation. The phone was tested in the configurations stipulated in [1], [4] and [5]. The phone was positioned into these configurations using the device holder supplied with the DASY4™ SAR measurement system. The measured dielectric constant of the material used for the device holder is less than 2.9 and the loss tangent is less than 0.02 ( $\pm 30\%$ ) at 850 MHz. The default settings for the “coarse” and “cube” scans were chosen and used for measurements. The grid spacing of the course scan was set to 15 cm as shown in the SAR plots included in Appendix 2 and 3. Please refer to the DASY manual for additional information on SAR scanning procedures and algorithms used.

The Cellular Phone model covered by this report has the following battery options:  
Model SNN5771A - 850 mAh Battery

The battery with the highest capacity is the Model SNN5771A. This battery was used to do all of the SAR testing. The phone was placed in the SAR measurement system with a fully charged battery.

### 6.1 Head Adjacent Test Results

The SAR results shown in tables 1 through 4 are maximum SAR values averaged over 1 gram of phantom tissue, to demonstrate compliance to [3] and also over 10 grams of phantom tissue, to demonstrate compliance to the [6]. Also shown are the measured conducted output power levels, the temperature of the simulated tissue after the test, the measured drift and the extrapolated SAR. The exact method of extrapolation is  $\text{New SAR} = \text{Old SAR} * 10^{(-\text{drift}/10)}$ . The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4™ measurement system can be scaled up by the measured drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process. This is the most conservative SAR because it corresponds to the average output power at the beginning of the SAR test. This extrapolation has been done because when the DUT is operating properly it may exhibit a slump in radiated power and SAR over time. This is verified by measuring the SAR drift after the test.

The left head and right head SAR contour distributions are similar. Because of this similarity, the cheek/touch and 15° tilt test conditions with the highest SAR values in each band are indicated as bold numbers in the following tables and are included in Appendix 2. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included in Appendix 2.

The SAR measurements were performed using the SAM phantoms listed in section 3.1. Since the same phantoms and simulated tissue were used for the system accuracy verification and the device SAR measurements, the Z-axis scans included in Appendix 1 are applicable for verification of simulated tissue depth to be 15.0 cm  $\pm$  0.5 cm.

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for the head adjacent measurements:

Description	Serial Number	f (MHz)	Conversion Factor	Cal Cert pg #
E-Field Probe ET3DV6	1520	900	6.39	8 of 9
		1810	5.16	8 of 9

Left Head Cheek Position								
<i>f</i> (MHz)	Description	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Temp (°C)	Drift (dB)	<i>10 g SAR value</i>		<i>1 g SAR value</i>	
					Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)
850 MHz	Channel 128	32.42						
	Channel 190	32.37	18.7	-0.049	0.269	0.27	0.481	0.49
	Channel 251	32.19						
1900 MHz	Channel 512	29.44						
	Channel 661	29.57	18.5	0.230	0.229	0.23	0.349	0.35
	Channel 810	29.47						

**Table 1: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a head cheek position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.**

Right Head Cheek Position								
<i>f</i> (MHz)	Description	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Temp (°C)	Drift (dB)	<i>10 g SAR value</i>		<i>1 g SAR value</i>	
					Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)
850 MHz	Channel 128	32.42	<b>19.5</b>	<b>0.038</b>	<b>0.47</b>	<b>0.47</b>	<b>1.08</b>	<b>1.08</b>
	Channel 190	32.37	18.7	0.212	0.428	0.43	0.999	1.00
	Channel 251	32.19	19.5	0.118	0.336	0.34	0.674	0.67
1900 MHz	Channel 512	29.44						
	Channel 661	29.57	<b>20.0</b>	<b>0.021</b>	<b>0.331</b>	<b>0.33</b>	<b>0.543</b>	<b>0.54</b>
	Channel 810	29.47						

**Table 2: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a head cheek position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.**

Left Head 15° Tilt Position								
<i>f</i> (MHz)	Description	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Temp (°C)	Drift (dB)	<i>10 g SAR value</i>		<i>1 g SAR value</i>	
					Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)
850 MHz	Channel 128	32.42						
	Channel 190	32.37	18.7	0.046	0.12	0.12	0.159	0.16
	Channel 251	32.19						
1900 MHz	Channel 512	29.44						
	Channel 661	29.57	<b>20.0</b>	<b>0.491</b>	<b>0.047</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>0.079</b>	<b>0.08</b>
	Channel 810	29.47						

**Table 3: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a head 15° Tilt position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.**

Right Head 15° Tilt Position								
<i>f</i> (MHz)	Description	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Temp (°C)	Drift (dB)	<i>10 g SAR value</i>		<i>1 g SAR value</i>	
					Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)
850 MHz	Channel 128	32.42						
	Channel 190	32.37	18.7	-0.088	0.131	0.13	0.174	0.18
	Channel 251	32.19						
1900 MHz	Channel 512	29.44						
	Channel 661	29.57	20.0	-0.019	0.0478	0.05	0.0729	0.07
	Channel 810	29.47						

**Table 4: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a head 15° Tilt position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.**

## 6.2 Body Worn Test Results

The SAR results shown in tables 5 through 9 are maximum SAR values averaged over 1 gram of phantom tissue, to demonstrate compliance to [3] and also over 10 grams of phantom tissue, to demonstrate compliance to the [6]. Also shown are the measured conducted output power levels, the temperature of the test facility during the test, the temperature of the tissue simulate after the test, the measured drift and the extrapolated SAR. The exact method of extrapolation is  $\text{New SAR} = \text{Old SAR} * 10^{(-\text{drift}/10)}$ . The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4™ measurement system can be scaled up by the measured drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process. This is the most conservative SAR because it corresponds to the average output power at the beginning of the SAR test. This extrapolation has been done because when the DUT is operating properly it may exhibit a slump in radiated power and SAR over time. This is verified by measuring the SAR drift after the test.

The test conditions that produced the highest SAR values in each band are indicated as bold numbers in the following tables and are included in Appendix 3. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included in Appendix 3.

A “flat” phantom was for the body-worn tests. This “flat” phantom is made out of 1” thick natural High Density Polyethylene with a thickness at the bottom equal to 2.0 mm. It measures 52.7 cm(long) x 26.7 cm(wide) x 21.2 cm(tall). The measured dielectric constant of the material used is less than 2.3 and the loss tangent is less than 0.0046 all the way up to 2.184 GHz.

The tissue stimulant depth was verified to be 15.0 cm ± 0.5 cm. The same device holder described in section 6 was used for positioning the phone. The functional accessories were divided into two categories, the ones with metal components and the ones with non-metal components. For non-metallic component accessories’, testing was performed on the accessory that displayed the closest proximity to the flat phantom. Each metallic component accessory, if any, was checked for uniqueness of metal component so that each is tested with the device. If multiple accessories shared an identical metal component, only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body was tested. In addition to accessory testing, the cellular phone was tested with the front and back of the phone facing the phantom. For voice mode operation, the phone was placed as a distance of 15 mm from the phantom. For data mode operation, the phone was placed as a distance of 25 mm from the phantom. The cellular phone was tested with a headset connected to the device for all body-worn SAR measurements.

This cellular phone is multislot Class 11 (3 timeslots in uplink) and Class 12 (4 timeslots in uplink) capable in GPRS and EDGE (8PSK) modes. The software within the phone has been designed to reduce the peak power of the pulse by 4 dB when Class 11 or 12 is being used. The worst case addition of two timeslots when transitioning from multislot Class 6 or 10 (already using 2 timeslots in the uplink) to Class 12 (using a total of 4 timeslots in the uplink) would add 3 dB to the average power. Since software reduces the peak power by 4 dB this overcomes the 3 dB increase to the average power to result in a net 1 dB loss of average power when using 4 timeslots in the uplink. For this reason, multislot Class 10 was used to perform SAR tests in both GPRS and EDGE modes.

There are no Body-Worn Accessories available for this phone:

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for the body worn measurements:

Description	Serial Number	f (MHz)	Conversion Factor	Cal Cert pg #
E-Field Probe ET3DV6	1520	900	6.10	8 of 9
		1810	4.73	8 of 9

<b>Body-Worn; Front of Phone 15mm from Phantom</b>								
<i>f</i> (MHz)	Description	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Temp (°C)	Drift (dB)	<i>10 g SAR value</i>		<i>1 g SAR value</i>	
					Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)
850 MHz	Channel 128	32.42						
	Channel 190	32.37	19.3	-0.006	0.329	0.33	0.461	0.46
	Channel 251	32.19						
1900 MHz	Channel 512	29.44						
	Channel 661	29.57	19.9	-0.022	0.17	0.17	0.295	0.30
	Channel 810	29.47						

**Table 5: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a body-worn position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.**

<b>Body-Worn; Back of Phone 15mm from Phantom</b>								
<i>f</i> (MHz)	Description	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Temp (°C)	Drift (dB)	<i>10 g SAR value</i>		<i>1 g SAR value</i>	
					Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)
850 MHz	Channel 128	32.42						
	Channel 190	32.37	19.3	-0.029	0.365	0.37	0.526	0.53
	Channel 251	32.19						
1900 MHz	Channel 512	29.44						
	Channel 661	29.57	19.9	-0.004	0.151	0.15	0.234	0.23
	Channel 810	29.47						

**Table 6: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a body-worn position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.**

<b>Body-Worn; Noted Facing of Phone 15 mm From Phantom with Bluetooth Mode Enabled</b>								
<i>f</i> (MHz)	Description	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Temp (°C)	Drift (dB)	<i>10 g SAR value</i>		<i>1 g SAR value</i>	
					Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)
850 MHz <i>(Back)</i>	Channel 128	32.42						
	Channel 190	32.37	<b>19.3</b>	<b>-0.017</b>	<b>0.38</b>	<b>0.38</b>	<b>0.548</b>	<b>0.55</b>
	Channel 251	32.19						
1900 MHz <i>(Front)</i>	Channel 512	29.44						
	Channel 661	29.57	<b>19.9</b>	<b>0.006</b>	<b>0.206</b>	<b>0.21</b>	<b>0.357</b>	<b>0.36</b>
	Channel 810	29.47						

**Table 7: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a body-worn position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.**

<b>Body-Worn; Noted Facing of Phone 25 mm From Phantom with EDGE Class 10 Mode Enabled</b>								
<i>f</i> (MHz)	Description	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Temp (°C)	Drift (dB)	<i>10 g SAR value</i>		<i>1 g SAR value</i>	
					Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)
<b>850 MHz</b> <i>(Back)</i>	Channel 128	27.39						
	Channel 190	27.49	19.3	-0.028	0.219	0.22	0.312	0.31
	Channel 251	27.37						
<b>1900 MHz</b> <i>(Front)</i>	Channel 512	26.47						
	Channel 661	26.46	19.9	-0.041	0.0567	0.06	0.0918	0.09
	Channel 810	26.52						

**Table 8: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a body-worn position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.**

<b>Body-Worn; Noted Facing of Phone 25 mm From Phantom with GPRS Class 10 Mode Enabled</b>								
<i>f</i> (MHz)	Description	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Temp (°C)	Drift (dB)	<i>10 g SAR value</i>		<i>1 g SAR value</i>	
					Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)
<b>850 MHz</b> <i>(Back)</i>	Channel 128	32.42						
	Channel 190	32.37	19.3	-0.027	0.205	0.21	0.294	0.30
	Channel 251	32.19						
<b>1900 MHz</b> <i>(Front)</i>	Channel 512	29.44						
	Channel 661	29.57	19.9	-0.032	0.115	0.12	0.187	0.19
	Channel 810	29.47						

**Table 9: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a body-worn position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.**

### 6.3 Push-to-Talk/Dispatch Mode Test Results

The SAR results shown in table 10 are maximum SAR values averaged over 1 gram of phantom tissue, to demonstrate compliance to [3] and also over 10 grams of phantom tissue, to demonstrate compliance to the [6]. Also shown are the measured conducted output powers, the temperature of the simulated tissue after the test, the measured drift and the extrapolated SAR. The exact method of extrapolation is  $New\ SAR = Old\ SAR * 10^{(-drift/10)}$ . The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4™ measurement system can be scaled up by the measured drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process. This is the most conservative SAR because it corresponds to the average output power at the beginning of the SAR test. This extrapolation has been done because when the DUT is operating properly it may exhibit a slump in radiated power and SAR over time. This is verified by measuring the SAR drift after the test.

A full data set output of one test condition per band with the highest SAR values from the DASY™ measurement system is included as appendix 4. The test conditions included are indicated as bold numbers in the following tables. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included.

The SAR measurements were performed using the SAM phantoms listed in section 3.1. Since the same phantoms and simulated tissue were used for the system accuracy verification and the device SAR measurements, the Z-axis scans included in Appendix 1 are applicable for verification of simulated tissue depth to be 15.0 cm ± 0.5 cm.

The test sample was operated in an over-the-air call in GPRS Class 10 mode in the GSM 850, 900, 1800, and 1900 MHz bands. For the purposes of this test the unit is commanded to the proper channel, transmitter power level and transmit mode of operation. The radio was then placed in the SAR measurement system with a fully charged battery. The radio was placed with the front of the device positioned at 2.5 cm from the flat portion of the SAM phantom, as per Supplement C 01-01 with flip open.

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for the Push-To-Talk measurements:

Description	Serial Number	f (MHz)	Conversion Factor	Cal Cert pg #
E-Field Probe ET3DV6	1520	900	6.39	8 of 9
		1810	5.16	8 of 9

Push-To-Talk with GPRS Class 10 Mode Enabled								
f (MHz)	Description	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Temp (°C)	Drift (dB)	10 g SAR value		1 g SAR value	
					Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Measured (W/kg)	Extrapolated (W/kg)
850 MHz	Channel 128	32.42						
	Channel 190	32.37	<b>19.8</b>	<b>-0.089</b>	<b>0.364</b>	<b>0.37</b>	<b>0.501</b>	<b>0.51</b>
	Channel 251	32.19						
1900 MHz	Channel 512	29.44						
	Channel 661	29.57	<b>19.7</b>	<b>-0.029</b>	<b>0.223</b>	<b>0.22</b>	<b>0.351</b>	<b>0.35</b>
	Channel 810	29.47						

**Table 10: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a Push-To-Talk position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.**

## References

- [1] CENELEC, en50361:2001 “Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300 MHz – 3 GHz)”
- [2] CENELEC, en50360:2001 “Product standard to demonstrate the compliance of mobile phones with the basic restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields (300 MHz – 3 GHz)”.
- [3] ANSI / IEEE, C95.1 1999 Edition “IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz”
- [4] FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01
- [5] IEEE 1528 2003 Edition “IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques”
- [6] ICNIRP Guidelines “Guidelines for Limiting Exposure to Time-Varying Electric, Magnetic, and Electromagnetic Fields (up to 300 GHz)”

**Appendix 1**

**SAR distribution comparison for the system accuracy verification**

Date/Time: 12/11/2006 9:51:22 AM

## Test Laboratory: Motorola - 121106 900MHz

**DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:091**

Procedure Notes: 900 MHz System Performance Check; Dipole Sn# 091; Input Power = 200 mW

Sim.Temp@meas = 19.1°C; Sim.Temp@SPC = 19.1°C; Room Temp @ SPC = 21°C

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: VALIDATION Only

Medium parameters used:  $f = 900$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.97$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 41.1$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1520; ConvF(6.39, 6.39, 6.39); Calibrated: 5/3/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 9/1/2006
- Phantom: R1: Sugar SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1005;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (4x9x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.22 mW/g

### Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 50.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.055 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.33 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 2.21 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.42 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.39 mW/g**

### Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:



Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 50.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.055 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.32 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 2.22 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.43 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.31 mW/g**

### Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):

Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.40 mW/g



Date/Time: 12/13/2006 8:48:34 AM

## Test Laboratory: Motorola - 121306 900MHz

**DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:091**

Procedure Notes: 900 MHz System Performance Check; Dipole Sn#91; Input Power = 200 mW

Sim.Temp@meas = 20°C; Sim.Temp@SPC = 20°C; Room Temp @ SPC = 20.6°C

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: VALIDATION Only

Medium parameters used:  $f = 900$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.98$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 41.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1520; ConvF(6.39, 6.39, 6.39); Calibrated: 5/3/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 9/1/2006
- Phantom: R1: Sugar SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1005;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (4x9x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.26 mW/g

### Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 50.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.029 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.36 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 2.21 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.41 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.40 mW/g**

### Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:



Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 50.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.029 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.31 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 2.21 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.42 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.31 mW/g**

### Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):

Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.41 mW/g



Date/Time: 12/15/2006 8:33:13 AM

## Test Laboratory: Motorola - 121506 900MHz

**DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:091**

Procedure Notes: 900 MHz System Performance Check; Dipole Sn# 091; Input Power = 200 mW

Sim.Temp@meas = 19.8°C; Sim.Temp@SPC = 19.8°C; Room Temp @ SPC = 20.5°C

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: VALIDATION Only

Medium parameters used:  $f = 900$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.99$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 42.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1520; ConvF(6.39, 6.39, 6.39); Calibrated: 5/3/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 9/1/2006
- Phantom: R1: Sugar SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1005;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (4x9x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.28 mW/g

### Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 50.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.098 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.41 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 2.25 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.44 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.44 mW/g**

### Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:



Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 50.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.098 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.47 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 2.29 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.47 mW/g**

### Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):

Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.43 mW/g



Date/Time: 12/18/2006 2:12:55 PM

## Test Laboratory: Motorola - 121806 900MHz

**DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:091**

Procedure Notes: 900 MHz System Performance Check; Dipole Sn# 091; Input Power = 200 mW

Sim.Temp@meas = 20°C; Sim.Temp@SPC = 20°C; Room Temp @ SPC = 20.6°C

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: VALIDATION Only

Medium parameters used:  $f = 900$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.96$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 40.5$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1520; ConvF(6.39, 6.39, 6.39); Calibrated: 5/3/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 9/1/2006
- Phantom: R1: Sugar SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1005;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (4x9x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.19 mW/g

### Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 50.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.040 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.30 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 2.17 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.39 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.36 mW/g**

### Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:



Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 50.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.040 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.28 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 2.18 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.4 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.37 mW/g**

### Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):

Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm



Date/Time: 12/19/2006 12:50:25 PM

## Test Laboratory: Motorola - 121906 900MHz

**DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:091**

Procedure Notes: 900MHz System Performance Check; Dipole Sn# 91; Input Power = 200 mW

Sim.Temp@meas = 19.7°C; Sim.Temp@SPC = 19.8°C; Room Temp @ SPC = 20.6°C

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: VALIDATION Only

Medium parameters used:  $f = 900$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.99$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 42$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1520; ConvF(6.39, 6.39, 6.39); Calibrated: 5/3/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 9/1/2006
- Phantom: R1: Sugar SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1005;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (4x9x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.21 mW/g

### Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 50.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.055 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.34 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 2.21 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.41 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.38 mW/g**

### Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:



Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 50.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.055 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.39 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 2.24 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.43 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.42 mW/g**

### Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):

Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.41 mW/g



Date/Time: 12/9/2006 9:38:34 PM

## Test Laboratory: Motorola - 120906 1800MHz

**DUT: Dipole 1800 MHz; Type: D1800V2; Serial: D1800V2 - SN:259tr**

Procedure Notes: 1800MHz System Performance Check; Dipole Sn# 259tr; Input Power = 200 mW

Sim.Temp@meas = 19.5°C; Sim.Temp@SPC = 19.5°C; Room Temp @ SPC = 20.7°C

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 1800 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: VALIDATION Only

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1800$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.35$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 38.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1520; ConvF(5.16, 5.16, 5.16); Calibrated: 5/3/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 9/1/2006
- Phantom: R1: Glycol SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1139;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (4x9x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 6.49 mW/g

### Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 83.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.051 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 12.8 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 7.5 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4.03 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 8.45 mW/g**

### Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:



Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 83.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.051 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 13.0 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 7.6 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4.09 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 7.82 mW/g**

### Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):

Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 8.36 mW/g



Date/Time: 12/10/2006 5:41:00 PM

## Test Laboratory: Motorola - 121006 1800MHz

**DUT: Dipole 1800 MHz; Type: D1800V2; Serial: D1800V2 - SN:259tr**

Procedure Notes: 1800 MHz System Performance Check; Dipole Sn# 259tr; Input Power = 200 mW

Sim.Temp@meas = 19.8°C; Sim.Temp@SPC = 20°C; Room Temp @ SPC = 20.8°C

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 1800 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: VALIDATION Only

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1800 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.35 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1520; ConvF(5.16, 5.16, 5.16); Calibrated: 5/3/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 9/1/2006
- Phantom: R1: Glycol SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1139;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (4x9x1):

Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$ ; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 7.93 mW/g

### Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 79.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.015 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 12.7 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 7.42 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 8.19 mW/g**

### Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:



Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 79.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.015 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 12.9 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 7.56 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4.06 mW/g**

### Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):

Measurement grid:  $dx=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$ ; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 8.32 mW/g



Date/Time: 12/12/2006 6:43:26 AM

## Test Laboratory: Motorola - 121206 1800MHz

**DUT: Dipole 1800 MHz; Type: D1800V2; Serial: D1800V2 - SN:259tr**

Procedure Notes: 1800 MHz System Performance Check; Dipole Sn# 259tr; Input Power = 200mW

Sim.Temp@meas = 19.9°C; Sim.Temp@SPC = 19.8°C; Room Temp @ SPC = 20.7°C

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 1800 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: VALIDATION Only

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1800 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.34 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.5$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1520; ConvF(5.16, 5.16, 5.16); Calibrated: 5/3/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 9/1/2006
- Phantom: R1: Sect.2, Amy Twin; Type: Amy Twin Flat; Serial: n/a;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (9x4x1):

Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$ ; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 8.61 mW/g

### Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$ ;

Reference Value = 74.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.116 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 13.2 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 7.74 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4.18 mW/g**; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 8.60 mW/g

### Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:



Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 74.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.116 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 13.3 W/kg;

**SAR(1 g) = 7.78 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4.18 mW/g**; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 8.29 mW/g

### Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):

Measurement grid:  $dx=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$ ; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 8.83 mW/g



Date/Time: 12/13/2006 9:17:12 AM

## Test Laboratory: Motorola - 121306 1800MHz

**DUT: Dipole 1800 MHz; Type: D1800V2; Serial: D1800V2 - SN:259tr**

Procedure Notes: 1800 MHz System Performance Check; Dipole Sn#259tr; Input Power = 200 mW

Sim.Temp@meas = 19.6°C; Sim.Temp@SPC = 19.8°C; Room Temp @ SPC = 20.6°C

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 1800 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: VALIDATION Only

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1800 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.35 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.6$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1520; ConvF(5.16, 5.16, 5.16); Calibrated: 5/3/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 9/1/2006
- Phantom: R1: Glycol SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1139;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (4x9x1):

Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$ ; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 6.57 mW/g

### Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 83.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.028 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 12.8 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 7.47 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4.02 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 8.37 mW/g**

### Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:



Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 83.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.028 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 13.1 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 7.59 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4.08 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 8.35 mW/g**

### Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):

Measurement grid:  $dx=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=20\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$ ; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 8.47 mW/g



**Appendix 2**

**SAR distribution plots for Phantom Head Adjacent Use**

Date/Time: 12/19/2006 3:12:42 PM

## Test Laboratory: Motorola - GSM 850 Cheek

Serial: APMR233413

Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: 5; Antenna Position: Internal; Accessory Model #: None

Battery Model #: SNN5771A; DEVICE POSITION (cheek or rotated): Cheek

Communication System: GSM 850; Frequency: 824.2 MHz; Channel Number: 128; Duty Cycle: 1:8

Medium: Low Freq Head

Medium parameters used:  $f = 835$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.92$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 42.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1520; ConvF(6.39, 6.39, 6.39); Calibrated: 5/3/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 9/1/2006
- Phantom: R#1 Sugar SAM (extended range), Rev.1 (25-Mar-05); Type: SAM v4.0; Serial: TP-1005;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Right Head Template/Area Scan - Normal Extended (10mm) (10x25x1):


Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.00 mW/g

### Right Head Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 28.5 V/m; Power Drift = 0.038 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 6.81 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 1.08 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.470 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.14 mW/g**



Date/Time: 12/10/2006 9:55:55 PM

## Test Laboratory: Motorola - GSM 1900 Cheek

Serial: APMR233413

Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: 0; Antenna Position: Internal; Accessory Model #: None

Battery Model #: SNN5771A; DEVICE POSITION (cheek or rotated): Cheek

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Channel Number: 661; Duty Cycle: 1:8

Medium: Regular Glycol Head

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1880$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.43$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 38.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1520; ConvF(5.16, 5.16, 5.16); Calibrated: 5/3/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 9/1/2006
- Phantom: R1: Glycol SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1139;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Right Head Template/Area Scan - Normal (10mm) (10x25x1):


Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.568 mW/g

### Right Head Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 20.9 V/m; Power Drift = 0.021 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.793 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.543 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.331 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.592 mW/g



Date/Time: 12/18/2006 6:22:43 PM

## Test Laboratory: Motorola - GSM 850 Tilt

Serial: APMR233413

Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: 5; Antenna Position: Internal; Accessory Model #: None

Battery Model #: SNN5771A; DEVICE POSITION (check or rotated): Tilted

Communication System: GSM 850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Channel Number: 190; Duty Cycle: 1:8

Medium: Low Freq Head

Medium parameters used:  $f = 835$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.93$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 41.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1520; ConvF(6.39, 6.39, 6.39); Calibrated: 5/3/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 9/1/2006
- Phantom: R#1 Sugar SAM (extended range), Rev.1 (25-Mar-05); Type: SAM v4.0; Serial: TP-1005;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Right Head Template/Area Scan - Normal (10mm) (10x25x1):


Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.181 mW/g

### Right Head Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 14.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.088 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.219 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.174 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.131 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.184 mW/g



Date/Time: 12/10/2006 9:15:21 PM

## Test Laboratory: Motorola - GSM 1900 Tilt

Serial: APMR233413

Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: 0; Antenna Position: Internal; Accessory Model #: None

Battery Model #: SNN5771A; DEVICE POSITION (check or rotated): Tilted

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Channel Number: 661; Duty Cycle: 1:8

Medium: Regular Glycol Head

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1880$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.43$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 38.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1520; ConvF(5.16, 5.16, 5.16); Calibrated: 5/3/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 9/1/2006
- Phantom: R1: Glycol SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1139;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Left Head Template/Area Scan - Normal (10mm) (10x25x1):


Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.086 mW/g

### Left Head Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 7.28 V/m; Power Drift = 0.491 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.117 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.079 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.047 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.087 mW/g**



**Appendix 3**

**SAR distribution plots for Body Worn Configuration**

Date/Time: 12/11/2006 1:40:27 PM

## Test Laboratory: Motorola - GSM 850 Body

Serial: APMR233413

Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: 5; Antenna Position: Internal; Battery Model #: SNN5771A

Device Position: Body Worn, Back of Phone 15mm from Phantom, Bluetooth Enabled

Communication System: GSM 850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Channel Number: 190; Duty Cycle: 1:8

Medium: Low Freq Body

Medium parameters used:  $f = 835$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.98$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 53.6$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1520; ConvF(6.1, 6.1, 6.1); Calibrated: 5/3/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 9/1/2006
- Phantom: R1: Sect.1, Amy Twin; Type: Amy Twin Flat; Serial: n/a;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Amy Twin Phone Template/Area Scan - Normal Body (15mm) (13x7x1):


Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.574 mW/g

### Amy Twin Phone Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 24.6 V/m; Power Drift = -0.017 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.742 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.548 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.380 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.586 mW/g**



Date/Time: 12/11/2006 12:46:27 AM

## Test Laboratory: Motorola - GSM 1900 Body

Serial: APMR233413

Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: 0; Antenna Position: Internal; Battery Model #: SNN5771A

Device Position: Body Worn, Front of Phone 15mm from Phantom, Bluetooth Enabled

Communication System: GSM 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Channel Number: 661; Duty Cycle: 1:8

Medium: Regular Glycol Body

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1880$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.57$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1520; ConvF(4.73, 4.73, 4.73); Calibrated: 5/3/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 9/1/2006
- Phantom: R1: Sect.2, Amy Twin; Type: Amy Twin Flat; Serial: n/a;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Amy Twin Phone Template/Area Scan - Normal Body (15mm) (13x7x1):


Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.389 mW/g

### Amy Twin Phone Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 13.9 V/m; Power Drift = 0.006 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.607 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.357 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.206 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.383 mW/g**



**Appendix 4**

**SAR distribution plots for Push-To-Talk Use**

Date/Time: 12/15/2006 4:02:49 PM

## Test Laboratory: Motorola - GSM 850 PTT

Serial: APMR233413

Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: 5; Antenna Position: Internal; Battery Model #: SNN5771A

Device Position: Flip Open, 25mm From Flat of Phantom, GPRS Class 10 Mode Enabled

Communication System: GPRS 850 CI 10; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Channel Number: 190; Duty Cycle: 1:4

Medium: Low Freq Head

Medium parameters used:  $f = 835$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.93$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 43.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1520; ConvF(6.39, 6.39, 6.39); Calibrated: 5/3/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 9/1/2006
- Phantom: R1: Sugar SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1005;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### SAM Phone Against Flat Section/Area Scan - Normal Body (10mm) (19x10x1):


Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.540 mW/g

### SAM Phone Against Flat Section/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 23.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.089 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.660 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.501 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.364 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.532 mW/g**



Date/Time: 12/13/2006 2:18:08 PM

## Test Laboratory: Motorola - GSM 1900 PTT

Serial: APMR233413

Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: 0; Antenna Position: Internal; Battery Model #: SNN5771A

Device Position: Flip Open, 25mm From Flat of Phantom, GPRS Class 10 Mode Enabled

Communication System: GPRS 1900 CI 10; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Channel Number: 661; Duty Cycle: 1:4

Medium: Regular Glycol Head

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1880$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.44$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 38.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1520; ConvF(5.16, 5.16, 5.16); Calibrated: 5/3/2006
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 9/1/2006
- Phantom: R1: Glycol SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1139;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### SAM Phone Against Flat Section/Area Scan - Normal Body (15mm) (13x7x1):


Measurement grid:  $dx=15$ mm,  $dy=15$ mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.378 mW/g

### SAM Phone Against Flat Section/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid:  $dx=5$ mm,  $dy=5$ mm,  $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 16.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.029 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.531 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.351 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.223 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.379 mW/g**



**Appendix 5**

**Probe Calibration Certificate**



Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **Motorola MDb**

Certificate No. **ET3-1520 May06**

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **ET3DV6 - SN: 1520**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01 v5  
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date: **May 3, 2006**

Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	5-Apr-06 (METAS, No. 251-00557)	Apr-07
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	5-Apr-06 (METAS, No. 251-00557)	Apr-07
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	5-Apr-06 (METAS, No. 251-00557)	Apr-07
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	11-Aug-05 (METAS, No. 251-00499)	Aug-06
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	4-Apr-06 (METAS, No. 251-00558)	Apr-07
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	11-Aug-05 (METAS, No. 251-00500)	Aug-06
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	2-Jan-06 (SPEAG, No. ES3-3013_Jan06)	Jan-07
DAE4	SN: 654	2-Feb-06 (SPEAG, No. DAE4-654_Feb06)	Feb-07

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-05)	In house check: Nov-07
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-05)	In house check: Nov 06

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	
Approved by:	Niels Kuster	Quality Manager	

Issued: May 3, 2006

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

### Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM <sub>x,y,z</sub>	sensitivity in free space
ConF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM <sub>x,y,z</sub>
DCP	diode compression point
Polarization $\varphi$	$\varphi$ rotation around probe axis
Polarization $\vartheta$	$\vartheta$ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- CENELEC EN 50361, "Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300 MHz - 3 GHz), July 2001

### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub>**: Assessed for E-field polarization  $\vartheta = 0$  ( $f \leq 900$  MHz in TEM-cell;  $f > 1800$  MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> does not effect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- NORM(f)<sub>x,y,z</sub>** = NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> \* *frequency\_response* (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- DCP<sub>x,y,z</sub>**: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters**: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for  $f \leq 800$  MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for  $f > 800$  MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> \* *ConvF* whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for *ConvF*. A frequency dependent *ConvF* is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from  $\pm 50$  MHz to  $\pm 100$  MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)**: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset**: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

# Probe ET3DV6

## SN:1520

Manufactured:	February 1, 2000
Last calibrated:	April 22, 2005
Modified:	April 25, 2006
Recalibrated:	May 3, 2006


Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)






# Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)




Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field:  $\pm 6.3\%$  (k=2)

### Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ), $\vartheta = 0^\circ$





**Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment:  $\pm 0.5\%$  ( $k=2$ )**

### Dynamic Range f(SAR<sub>head</sub>) (Waveguide R22, f = 1800 MHz)



Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment:  $\pm 0.6\%$  (k=2)

## Conversion Factor Assessment




f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] <sup>c</sup>	TSL	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF Uncertainty
900	± 50 / ± 100	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.57	1.82	6.39 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.53	2.49	5.16 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1950	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.54	2.61	4.77 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Head	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	0.58	2.01	4.54 ± 11.8% (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	Body	55.0 ± 5%	1.05 ± 5%	0.51	2.05	6.10 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.59	2.66	4.73 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1950	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.79	2.13	4.48 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Body	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	0.69	1.86	4.14 ± 11.8% (k=2)

<sup>c</sup> The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

# Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error ( $\phi$ ,  $\vartheta$ ),  $f = 900$  MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment:  $\pm 2.6\%$  ( $k=2$ )

**Appendix 6**

**Measurement Uncertainty Budget**

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	$e = f(d,k)$	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	$h = c \times f / e$	$i = c \times g / e$	<i>k</i>
<b>Uncertainty Component</b>	IEEE 1528 section	Tol. (± %)	Prob Dist	Div.	$c_i$ (1 g)	$c_i$ (10 g)	1 g $u_i$ (±%)	10 g $u_i$ (±%)	$v_i$
<b>Measurement System</b>									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	5.9	N	1.00	1	1	5.9	5.9	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	4.7	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	1.9	1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	9.6	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	3.9	3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.3	N	1.00	1	1	0.3	0.3	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	1.1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	1.1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	E.6.1	0.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Probe Positioner Mech. Tolerance	E.6.2	0.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w.r.t Phantom	E.6.3	1.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.8	0.8	∞
Max. SAR Evaluation (ext., int., avg.)	E.5	3.4	R	1.73	1	1	2.0	2.0	∞
<b>Test sample Related</b>									
Test Sample Positioning	E.4.2	3.2	N	1.00	1	1	3.2	3.2	29
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	4.0	N	1.00	1	1	4.0	4.0	8
SAR drift	6.6.2	5.0	R	1.73	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞
<b>Phantom and Tissue Parameters</b>									
Phantom Uncertainty	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity (target)	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity (measurement)	E.3.3	3.3	N	1.00	0.64	0.43	2.1	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity (target)	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity (measurement)	E.3.3	1.9	N	1.00	0.6	0.49	1.1	0.9	∞
<b>Combined Standard Uncertainty</b>			RSS				11.1	10.8	411
<b>Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)</b>			$k=2$				22.2	21.6	

**Appendix 7**

**Photographs of the device under test**



Figure 1 – Front of Transceiver



Figure 2 – Back of Transceiver



Figure 3 – Transceiver with Flip Open




Figure 4 – Transceiver against head, Cheek Touch position




Figure 5 – Transceiver against head, Cheek Touch position




Figure 6 – Transceiver against head, 15° Tilt position




Figure 7 – Transceiver against head, 15° Tilt position



Figure 7 – Transceiver against body, Back of Phone 15 mm from Phantom position



Figure 8 – Transceiver in Push-to-Talk position, Front of Phone 25 mm from Phantom position

**Appendix 8**

**Dipole Characterization Certificate**

# Certification of System Performance Check Targets

Based on WI-0396

-Historical Data-

900MHz	
IEEE1528 Target:	10.8 (W/kg)
Measurement Uncertainty (k=1):	9.0%
Measurement Period:	3-June-05 to 10-May-06
# of tests performed:	1571
Grand Average:	11.3 (W/kg)
% Delta (Average - IEEE1528 Target)	4.3%
Is % Delta <= Expanded Measurement Uncertainty (k=2)?	Yes
Accept/Reject <u>Average</u> as new system performance check target?	ACCEPT
<u>Applies to Dipole SN's:</u> 55, 69, 77, 78, 79, 80, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97	


-New System Performance Check Targets- per WI-0396

(based on analysis of historical data)

Frequency	SAR Target (W/kg)	Permittivity	Conductivity (S/m)
900MHz	11.3	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%


-Approvals-

Submitted by:  Date:

Signed: 

Comments:

Approved by:  Date:

Signed: 

Comments: