



MOTOROLA

Portable Cellular Phone SAR Test Report

Test Report #: 18516-1F
Date of Report: 8/4/2006
Date of Test: 5/31/2006 – 6/13/2006
FCC ID #: **IHDT56GX1**
Generic Name: **MJ4-33A11**
Laboratory: Motorola Mobile Devices Business Product Safety & Compliance Laboratory
600 N. US Highway 45
Libertyville, Illinois 60048

Report Author: Paul Ma
RF Engineer

This laboratory is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025-1999 to perform the following tests:

| | |
|--|--|
| <u>Tests:</u> | <u>Procedures:</u> |
| Electromagnetic Specific Absorption Rate | ANSI / IEEE C95.1-1992, 1999 (SAR) IEEE C95.3-1991 IEEE 1528, IEC 62209-1 FCC OET Bulletin 65 (including Supplements A, B, C) Australian Communications Authority Radio Communications (Electromagnetic Radiation – Human Exposure) Standard 2003 CENELEC EN 50361 (2001) APP-0247 DOI-0876, 0900, 0902, 0904, 0915 |

Accreditation:



Simulated Tissue Preparation
RF Power Measurement

On the following products or types of products:
Wireless Communications Devices (Examples): Two Way Radios; Portable Phones (including Cellular, Licensed Non-Broadcast and PCS); Low Frequency Readers; and Pagers

A2LA certificate #1651-01

Statement of Compliance:

Motorola declares under its sole responsibility that the portable cellular telephone model to which this declaration relates, is in conformity with the appropriate General Population/Uncontrolled RF exposure standards, recommendations and guidelines (FCC 47 CFR §2.1093) as well as with CENELEC en50360:2001 and ANSI / IEEE C95.1. It also declares that the product was tested in accordance with CENELEC en50361:2001, IEEE 1528, as well as other appropriate measurement standards, guidelines and recommended practices. Any deviations from these standards, guidelines and recommended practices are noted below:

(none)

©Motorola, Inc. 2006

This test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

The results and statements contained herein relate only to the items tested. The names of individuals involved may be mentioned only in connection with the statements or results from this report.

Motorola encourages all feedback, both positive and negative, on this test report.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 1. Introduction | 2 |
| 2. Description of the Device Under Test | 2 |
| <i>2.1 Antenna description</i> | 2 |
| <i>2.2 Device description</i> | 2 |
| 3. Test Equipment Used | 3 |
| <i>3.1 Dosimetric System</i> | 3 |
| <i>3.2 Additional Equipment</i> | 3 |
| 4. Electrical parameters of the tissue simulating liquid | 4 |
| 5. System Accuracy Verification | 5 |
| 6. Test Results | 6 |
| <i>6.1 Head Adjacent Test Results</i> | 6 |
| <i>6.2 Body Worn Test Results</i> | 8 |
| References | 10 |
| Appendix 1: SAR distribution comparison for system accuracy verification | 11 |
| Appendix 2: SAR distribution plots for Phantom Head Adjacent Use | 12 |
| Appendix 3: SAR distribution plots for Body Worn Configuration | 13 |
| Appendix 4: Probe Calibration Certificate | 14 |
| Appendix 5: Measurement Uncertainty Budget | 15 |
| Appendix 6: Photographs of the device under test | 17 |
| Appendix 7: Dipole Characterization Certificate | 22 |

1. Introduction

The Motorola Mobile Devices Business Product Safety Laboratory has performed measurements of the maximum potential exposure to the user of the portable cellular phone covered by this test report. The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) of this product was measured. The portable cellular phone was tested in accordance with [1], [4] and [5]. The SAR values measured for the portable cellular phone are below the maximum recommended levels of 1.6 W/kg in a 1g average set in [3] and 2.0W/kg in a 10g average set in [2].

2. Description of the Device Under Test

2.1 Antenna description

| | | |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------|
| Type | Internal | |
| Location | Bottom of Phone | |
| Dimensions | Length | 7.5 mm |
| | Width | 38 mm |
| Configuration | FJA Antenna | |

2.2 Device description

| | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Serial number | 004401021174558 | | |
| Mode(s) of Operation | UMTS 800 | UMTS 850 | UMTS 2100 |
| Modulation Mode(s) | QPSK | QPSK | QPSK |
| Maximum Output Power Setting | 24.00 dBm | 24.00 dBm | 24.00 dBm |
| Duty Cycle | 1:1 | 1:1 | 1:1 |
| Transmitting Frequency Rang(s) | 830.3- 839.7 MHz | 824.3- 848.7 MHz | 1920.3-1979.7 MHz |
| Production Unit or Identical Prototype (47 CFR §2.908) | Identical Prototype | | |
| Device Category | Portable | | |
| RF Exposure Limits | General Population / Uncontrolled | | |

3. Test Equipment Used

3.1 Dosimetric System

The Motorola Mobile Devices Business Product Safety & Compliance Laboratory utilizes a Dosimetric Assessment System (Dasy4™ v4.6) manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™), of Zurich Switzerland. All the SAR measurements are taken within a shielded enclosure. The overall 10g RSS uncertainty of the measurement system is $\pm 10.8\%$ (K=1) with an expanded uncertainty of $\pm 21.6\%$ (K=2). The overall 1g RSS uncertainty of the measurement system is $\pm 11.1\%$ (K=1) with an expanded uncertainty of $\pm 22.2\%$ (K=2). The measurement uncertainty budget is given in Appendix 6. Per IEEE 1528, this uncertainty budget is applicable to the SAR range of 0.4W/kg to 10W/kg.

The list of calibrated equipment used for the measurements is shown in the following table.

| Description | Serial Number | Cal Due Date |
|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| DASY4 DAE4 | 661 | 8/26/2006 |
| E-Field Probe ET3DV6R | 1513 | 9/19/2006 |
| Dipole Validation Kit, D900V2 | 80 | |
| Dipole Validation Kit, D1900V2 | 533TR | |
| S.A.M. Phantom used for 800MHz | TP-1153 | |
| S.A.M. Phantom used for 1900MHz | TP-1236 | |

3.2 Additional Equipment

| Description | Serial Number | Cal Due Date |
|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Signal Generator HP8648C | 3847A04845 | 8/22/2006 |
| Power Meter E4419B | US39250622 | 8/19/2006 |
| Power Sensor #1 - 9301A | US39210917 | 11/3/2006 |
| Power Sensor #2 - 9301A | US39210929 | 10/5/2006 |
| Network Analyzer HP8753ES | US39171846 | 8/22/2006 |
| Dielectric Probe Kit HP85070B | US99360070 | N/A |

4. Electrical parameters of the tissue simulating liquid

Prior to conducting SAR measurements, the relative permittivity, ϵ_r , and the conductivity, σ , of the tissue simulating liquids were measured with a HP85070 Dielectric Probe Kit. These values, along with the temperature of the simulated tissue are shown in the table below. The recommended limits for permittivity and conductivity are also shown. A mass density of $\rho=1\text{g/cm}^3$ was entered into the system in all the cases. It can be seen that the measured parameters are within tolerance of the recommended limits specified in [1] and [5].

| f (MHz) | Tissue type | Limits / Measured | Dielectric Parameters | | |
|--------------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------|
| | | | ϵ_r | σ (S/m) | Temp (°C) |
| 800 | Head | Measured, 6/3/2006 | 41.6 | 0.91 | 20.5 |
| | | Recommended Limits | 41.5 ±5% | 0.90 ±5% | 18-25 |
| | Body | Measured, 6/12/2006 | 54.1 | 0.97 | 19.9 |
| | | Recommended Limits | 55.2 ±5% | 0.97 ±5% | 18-25 |
| 835 | Head | Measured, 6/1/2006 | 42.0 | 0.91 | 20.3 |
| | | Measured, 6/2/2006 | 41.5 | 0.91 | 19.8 |
| | | Recommended Limits | 41.5 ±5% | 0.90 ±5% | 18-25 |
| | Body | Measured, 6/2/2006 | 54.4 | 0.98 | 20.0 |
| Recommended Limits | | 55.2 ±5% | 0.97 ±5% | 18-25 | |
| 1950 | Head | Measured, 6/4/2006 | 38.4 | 1.54 | 20.5 |
| | | Recommended Limits | 40.0 ±5% | 1.40 ±5% | 18-25 |
| | Body | Measured, 6/13/2006 | 53.2 | 1.56 | 20.0 |
| | | Recommended Limits | 53.3 ±5% | 1.52 ±5% | 18-25 |

The list of ingredients and the percent composition used for the tissue simulates are indicated in the table below.

| Ingredient | 835MHz / 900 MHz Head | 835MHz / 900 MHz Body | 1800MHz / 1900 MHz Head | 1800 MHz / 1900 MHz Body | 2450MHz Head | 2450 MHz Body |
|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Sugar | 57 | 44.9 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| DGBE | -- | -- | 47 | 30.8 | -- | 30 |
| Diacetin | -- | -- | -- | -- | 51 | -- |
| Water | 40.45 | 53.06 | 52.62 | 68.8 | 48.75 | 70 |
| Salt | 1.45 | 0.94 | 0.38 | 0.4 | 0.15 | -- |
| HEC | 1 | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Bact. | 0.1 | 0.1 | -- | -- | 0.1 | -- |

5. System Accuracy Verification

A system accuracy verification of the DASY4™ was performed using the measurement equipment listed in Section 3.1. The daily system accuracy verification occurs within the flat section of the SAM phantom.

A SAR measurement was performed to verify the measured SAR was within $\pm 10\%$ from the target SAR indicated in Section 8.3.7 Reference SAR Values in [5] or Appendix 7 for the 900MHz and/or 1900MHz target reference SAR value. These tests were done at 900MHz and/or 1800MHz. These frequencies are within $\pm 10\%$ of the compliance test mid-band frequency as required in [1] and [5]. The test was conducted on the same days as the measurement of the DUT. Recommended limits for permittivity and conductivity, specified in [5], are shown in the table below. The obtained results from the system accuracy verification are also displayed in the table below. SAR values are normalized to 1W forward power delivered to the dipole. It is seen that the system is operating within its specification, as the results are within acceptable tolerance of the reference values. The distributions of SAR compare well with those of the reference measurements (see Appendix 1). The tissue stimulant depth was verified to be 15.0cm ± 0.5 cm. Z-axis scans showing the SAR penetration are also included in Appendix 1.

| f (MHz) | Description | SAR (W/kg), 1gram | Dielectric Parameters | | Ambient Temp (°C) | Tissue Temp (°C) |
|---------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | | | ϵ_r | σ (S/m) | | |
| 900 | Measured, 5/31/2006 | 11.5 | 41.3 | 0.98 | 21.1 | 20.7 |
| | Measured, 6/2/2006 | 11.4 | 40.7 | 0.97 | 21.1 | 20.5 |
| | Measured, 6/3/2006 | 11.4 | 41.0 | 0.98 | 21.0 | 20.2 |
| | Measured, 6/12/2006 | 11.3 | 40.1 | 0.96 | 21.5 | 20.0 |
| | Recommended Limits | 11.3 | 41.5 $\pm 5\%$ | 0.97 $\pm 5\%$ | 18-25 | 18-25 |
| 1900 | Measured, 6/4/2006 | 41.8 | 38.7 | 1.47 | 21.0 | 20.5 |
| | Measured, 6/13/2006 | 40.8 | 38.1 | 1.47 | 21.5 | 20.0 |
| | Recommended Limits | 40.9 | 40.0 $\pm 5\%$ | 1.4 $\pm 5\%$ | 18-25 | 18-25 |

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for the system accuracy verification measurements:

| Description | Serial Number | f (MHz) | Conversion Factor | Cal Cert pg # |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|
| E-Field Probe ET3DV6R | SN1513 | 900 | 5.83 | 8 of 9 |
| | | 1950 | 4.41 | 8 of 9 |

6. Test Results

The test sample was operated using an actual transmission through a base station simulator. The base station simulator was setup to the proper channel, transmitter power level and transmit mode of operation. The phone was tested in the configurations stipulated in [1], [4] and [5]. The phone was positioned into these configurations using the device holder supplied with the DASY4™ SAR measurement system. The measured dielectric constant of the material used for the device holder is less than 2.9 and the loss tangent is less than 0.02 ($\pm 30\%$) at 850MHz. The default settings for the “coarse” and “cube” scans were chosen and used for measurements. The grid spacing of the course scan was set to 15cm as shown in the SAR plots included in Appendix 2 and 3. Please refer to the DASY manual for additional information on SAR scanning procedures and algorithms used.

The Cellular Phone model covered by this report has the following battery options:

Model #1 – SNN5790A - 940 mAH Battery

Model #2 – SNN5696B - 710 mAH Battery

The battery with the highest capacity is the SNN5790A. This battery was used to do most of the SAR testing. The phone was placed in the SAR measurement system with a fully charged battery. The configuration that resulted in the highest SAR values were tested using the other batteries listed above.

6.1 Head Adjacent Test Results

The SAR results shown in tables 1 through 6 are maximum SAR values averaged over 1 gram of phantom tissue, to demonstrate compliance to [3] and also over 10 grams of phantom tissue, to demonstrate compliance to the [6]. Also shown are the measured conducted output powers, the temperature of the simulated tissue after the test, the measured drift and the extrapolated SAR. The exact method of extrapolation is $\text{New SAR} = \text{Old SAR} * 10^{(-\text{drift}/10)}$. The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4™ measurement system can be scaled up by the measured drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process. This is the most conservative SAR because it corresponds to the average output power at the beginning of the SAR test. This extrapolation has been done because when the DUT is operating properly it may exhibit a slump in radiated power and SAR over time. This is verified by measuring the SAR drift after the test.

The left head and right head SAR contour distributions are similar. Because of this similarity, the cheek/touch and 15° tilt test conditions with the highest SAR values in each band are indicated as bold numbers in the following tables and are included in Appendix 2. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included in Appendix 2.

The SAR measurements were performed using the SAM phantoms listed in section 3.1. Since the same phantoms and simulated tissue were used for the system accuracy verification and the device SAR measurements, the Z-axis scans included in Appendix 1 are applicable for verification of simulated tissue depth to be 15.0cm \pm 0.5cm.

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for the head adjacent measurements:

| Description | Serial Number | f (MHz) | Conversion Factor | Cal Cert pg # |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|
| E-Field Probe ET3DV6R | SN1513 | 900 | 5.83 | 8 of 9 |
| | | 1950 | 4.41 | 8 of 9 |

| Left Head Cheek Position | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| <i>f</i> (MHz) | Description | Conducted Output Power (dBm) | Temp (°C) | Drift (dB) | <i>10g SAR value</i> | | <i>1g SAR value</i> | |
| | | | | | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) |
| UMTS 850MHz | Channel 4132 | 23.81 | | | | | | |
| | Channel 4180 | 24.06 | 20.2 | -0.06 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| | Channel 4233 | 24.00 | | | | | | |

Table 1: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a head cheek position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.

| Right Head Cheek Position | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| <i>f</i> (MHz) | Description | Conducted Output Power (dBm) | Temp (°C) | Drift (dB) | <i>10g SAR value</i> | | <i>1g SAR value</i> | |
| | | | | | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) |
| UMTS 850MHz | Channel 4132 | 23.81 | | | | | | |
| | Channel 4180 | 24.06 | 20.6 | -0.01 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| | Channel 4233 | 24.00 | | | | | | |

Table 2: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a head cheek position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.

| Left Head 15° Tilt Position | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| <i>f</i> (MHz) | Description | Conducted Output Power (dBm) | Temp (°C) | Drift (dB) | <i>10g SAR value</i> | | <i>1g SAR value</i> | |
| | | | | | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) |
| UMTS 850MHz | Channel 4132 | 23.81 | | | | | | |
| | Channel 4180 | 24.06 | 19.9 | -0.02 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| | Channel 4233 | 24.00 | | | | | | |

Table 3: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a head 15° Tilt position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.

| Right Head 15° Tilt Position | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| <i>f</i> (MHz) | Description | Conducted Output Power (dBm) | Temp (°C) | Drift (dB) | <i>10g SAR value</i> | | <i>1g SAR value</i> | |
| | | | | | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) |
| UMTS 850MHz | Channel 4132 | 23.81 | | | | | | |
| | Channel 4180 | 24.06 | 20.4 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| | Channel 4233 | 24.00 | | | | | | |

Table 4: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a head 15° Tilt position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.

| Highest Head Cheek Position (Right Head) with Battery SNN5696B | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| f (MHz) | Description | Conducted Output Power (dBm) | Temp (°C) | Drift (dB) | 10g SAR value | | 1g SAR value | |
| | | | | | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) |
| UMTS 850MHz | Channel 4132 | 23.81 | | | | | | |
| | Channel 4180 | 24.06 | 20.3 | -0.02 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.46 | 0.47 |
| | Channel 4233 | 24.00 | | | | | | |

Table 5: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a head cheek position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.

| Highest Head 15° Tilt Position (Left Head) with Battery SNN5696B | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| f (MHz) | Description | Conducted Output Power (dBm) | Temp (°C) | Drift (dB) | 10g SAR value | | 1g SAR value | |
| | | | | | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) |
| UMTS 850MHz | Channel 4132 | 23.81 | | | | | | |
| | Channel 4180 | 24.06 | 19.8 | -0.09 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.22 |
| | Channel 4233 | 24.00 | | | | | | |

Table 6: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a head 15° Tilt position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit

6.2 Body Worn Test Results

The SAR results shown in tables 7 through 10 are maximum SAR values averaged over 1 gram of phantom tissue, to demonstrate compliance to [3] and also over 10 grams of phantom tissue, to demonstrate compliance to the [6]. Also shown are the measured conducted output powers, the temperature of the test facility during the test, the temperature of the tissue simulate after the test, the measured drift and the extrapolated SAR. The exact method of extrapolation is $New\ SAR = Old\ SAR * 10^{(-drift/10)}$. The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4™ measurement system can be scaled up by the measured drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process. This is the most conservative SAR because it corresponds to the average output power at the beginning of the SAR test. This extrapolation has been done because when the DUT is operating properly it may exhibit a slump in radiated power and SAR over time. This is verified by measuring the SAR drift after the test.

The test conditions that produced the highest SAR values in each band are indicated as bold numbers in the following tables and are included in Appendix 3. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included in Appendix 3.

A “flat” phantom was for the body-worn tests. This “flat” phantom is made out of 1” thick natural High Density Polyethylene with a thickness at the bottom equal to 2.0mm. It measures 52.7cm(long) x 26.7cm(wide) x 21.2cm(tall). The measured dielectric constant of the material used is less than 2.3 and the loss tangent is less than 0.0046 all the way up to 2.184GHz.

The tissue stimulant depth was verified to be 15.0cm ±0.5cm. The same device holder described in section 6 was used for positioning the phone. The functional accessories were divided into two categories, the ones with metal components and the ones with non-metal components. For non-metallic component accessories’, testing was performed on the accessory that displayed the closest proximity to the flat phantom. Each metallic component accessory, if any, was checked for uniqueness of metal component so that each is tested with the device. If multiple accessories shared an identical metal component, only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body was tested. In addition to accessory testing, the cellular phone was tested with the front and back of the phone facing the phantom. For voice mode operation, the phone was placed as a distance of 15mm from the phantom.

MOTOROLA, INC. Portable Cellular Phone SAR Test Report Number: **18516-1F**

For data mode operation, the phone was placed as a distance of 25mm from the phantom. The cellular phone was tested with a headset connected to the device for all body-worn SAR measurements.

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for the body worn measurements:

| Description | Serial Number | f (MHz) | Conversion Factor | Cal Cert pg # |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|
| E-Field Probe ET3DV6R | SN1513 | 900 | 5.66 | 8 of 9 |
| | | 1950 | 3.99 | 8 of 9 |

| Body-Worn; Front of Phone 15mm from Phantom | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| f (MHz) | Description | Conducted Output Power (dBm) | Temp (°C) | Drift (dB) | 10g SAR value | | 1g SAR value | |
| | | | | | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) |
| UMTS 850MHz | Channel 4132 | 23.81 | | | | | | |
| | Channel 4180 | 24.06 | 20.1 | -0.07 | 0.2 | 0.21 | 0.28 | 0.29 |
| | Channel 4233 | 24.00 | | | | | | |

Table 7: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a body-worn position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.

| Body-Worn; Back of Phone 15mm from Phantom | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| f (MHz) | Description | Conducted Output Power (dBm) | Temp (°C) | Drift (dB) | 10g SAR value | | 1g SAR value | |
| | | | | | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) |
| UMTS 850MHz | Channel 4132 | 23.81 | | | | | | |
| | Channel 4180 | 24.06 | 20.0 | -0.02 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.42 | 0.42 |
| | Channel 4233 | 24.00 | | | | | | |

Table 8: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a body-worn position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.

| Body-Worn; Bluetooth Back of Phone 15mm from Phantom | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| f (MHz) | Description | Conducted Output Power (dBm) | Temp (°C) | Drift (dB) | 10g SAR value | | 1g SAR value | |
| | | | | | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) |
| UMTS 850MHz | Channel 4132 | 23.81 | | | | | | |
| | Channel 4180 | 24.06 | 20.0 | -0.04 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.61 | 0.61 |
| | Channel 4233 | 24.00 | | | | | | |

Table 9: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a body-worn position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.

| Body-Worn; Highest Bodyworn (Bluetooth 15mm Back of Phone) Configuration with Battery SNN5696B | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| <i>f</i> (MHz) | Description | Conducted Output Power (dBm) | Temp (°C) | Drift (dB) | <i>10g SAR value</i> | | <i>1g SAR value</i> | |
| | | | | | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) | Measured (W/kg) | Extrapolated (W/kg) |
| UMTS 850MHz | Channel 4132 | 23.81 | | | | | | |
| | Channel 4180 | 24.06 | 19.9 | -0.03 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.58 | 0.58 |
| | Channel 4233 | 24.00 | | | | | | |

Table 10: SAR measurement results at the highest possible output power, measured in a body-worn position against the ICNIRP and ANSI SAR Limit.

References

- [1] CENELEC, en50361:2001 “Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300MHz – 3GHz)”
- [2] CENELEC, en50360:2001 “Product standard to demonstrate the compliance of mobile phones with the basic restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields (300MHz – 3GHz)”.
- [3] ANSI / IEEE, C95.1 1999 Edition “IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3kHz to 300GHz”
- [4] FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01
- [5] IEEE 1528 2003 Edition “IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques”
- [6] ICNIRP Guidelines “Guidelines for Limiting Exposure to Time-Varying Electric, Magnetic, and Electromagnetic Fields (up to 300 GHz)”

Appendix 1

SAR distribution comparison for the system accuracy verification

Test Laboratory: Motorola

053106 900Mhz GOOD 1.3%

DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:080

Procedure Notes: 900 MHz System Performance Check / Dipole Sn# 080

PM1 Power = 200 mW Sim.Temp@meas = 20.7C Sim.Temp@SPC = 20.7C Room Temp @ SPC = 21.1C

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 900 MHz; Channel Number: 4; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: VALIDATION Only; Medium parameters used: $f = 900$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.98$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1513; ConvF(5.83, 5.83, 5.83); Calibrated: 9/19/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 8/26/2005
- Phantom: R3: Sugar Water SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1153;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 23; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (4x9x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.38 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 50.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.007 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.47 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.25 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.43 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.43 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 50.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.007 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.57 W/kg



SAR(1 g) = 2.33 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.48 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.50 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):

Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.44 mW/g



Test Laboratory: Motorola

060206 900MHz Good at 0.4%

DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:080

Procedure Notes: 900 MHz System Performance Check / Dipole Sn# 080

PM1 Power = 200 mW Sim.Temp@meas = 20.5*C Sim.Temp@SPC = 20.5*C Room Temp @ SPC = 21.1C

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 900 MHz; Channel Number: 4; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: VALIDATION Only; Medium parameters used: $f = 900$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.97$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1513; ConvF(5.83, 5.83, 5.83); Calibrated: 9/19/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 8/26/2005
- Phantom: R3: Sugar Water SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1153;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 23; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (4x9x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.23 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 51.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.025 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.44 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.24 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.43 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.43 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 51.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.025 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.49 W/kg



SAR(1 g) = 2.3 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.46 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.50 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):

Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.42 mW/g



Test Laboratory: Motorola

060306 900MHz Good at 1.1%

DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:80

Procedure Notes: 900 MHz System Performance Check / Dipole Sn# 80

PM1 Power = 200 mW Sim.Temp@meas = 20.2 Sim.Temp@SPC = 20.2 Room Temp @ SPC = 21

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 900 MHz; Channel Number: 4; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: VALIDATION Only; Medium parameters used: $f = 900$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.98$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1513; ConvF(5.83, 5.83, 5.83); Calibrated: 9/19/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 8/26/2005
- Phantom: R3: Sugar Water SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1153;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 23; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (4x9x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.21 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 51.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.020 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.49 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.27 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.44 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.43 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 51.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.020 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.53 W/kg



SAR(1 g) = 2.3 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.46 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.47 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):

Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.44 mW/g



Test Laboratory: Motorola

061206 900MHz Good at 0.2%

DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:080

Procedure Notes: 900 MHz System Performance Check / Dipole Sn# 080

PM1 Power = 200 mW Sim.Temp@meas = 20°C Sim.Temp@SPC = 20.°C Room Temp @ SPC = 21.5°C

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 900 MHz; Channel Number: 4; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: VALIDATION Only; Medium parameters used: $f = 900$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.96$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1513; ConvF(5.83, 5.83, 5.83); Calibrated: 9/19/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 8/26/2005
- Phantom: R3: Sugar Water SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1153;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 23; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (4x9x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.17 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 51.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.014 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.39 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.21 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.41 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.40 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 51.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.014 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.56 W/kg



SAR(1 g) = 2.32 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.47 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.46 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):

Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.42 mW/g



Test Laboratory: Motorola

060406 1900MHz Good at 2.1%

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN:533tr

Procedure Notes: 1900MHz System Performance Check / Dipole Sn# 533tr

PM1 Power = 200mW Sim.Temp@meas = 20.2 Sim.Temp@SPC = 20.5 Room Temp @ SPC = 21

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Channel Number: 10; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: VALIDATION Only; Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.47$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1513; ConvF(4.76, 4.76, 4.76); Calibrated: 9/19/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 8/26/2005
- Phantom: R3: Glycol SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1236;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 23; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (4x9x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 7.25 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 84.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.007 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 15.1 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.44 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4.36 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 9.50 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 84.3 V/m; Power Drift = -0.007 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 14.6 W/kg



SAR(1 g) = 8.27 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4.36 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 8.78 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):

Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 9.53 mW/g



Test Laboratory: Motorola

061306 1900MHz Good at -0.3%

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN:533tr

Procedure Notes: 1900 MHz System Performance Check / Dipole Sn# 533tr

PM1 Power = 200 mW Sim.Temp@meas = 20.0C Sim.Temp@SPC = 20.0C Room Temp @ SPC = 21.5C

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Channel Number: 10; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: VALIDATION Only; Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.47$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1513; ConvF(4.76, 4.76, 4.76); Calibrated: 9/19/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 8/26/2005
- Phantom: R3: Sect.1, Amy Twin; Type: Amy Twin Flat; Serial: n/a;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 23; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (9x4x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 6.66 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 82.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.057 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 14.8 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.1 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4.14 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 9.13 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 82.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.057 dB



Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 14.8 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.21 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 4.24 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):

Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 9.07 mW/g



Appendix 2

SAR distribution plots for Phantom Head Adjacent Use

Test Laboratory: Motorola**850 RH Cheek**

Serial: 4401021174558

Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: all up bits Antenna Position: internal**Battery Model #: SNN5696B****DEVICE POSITION (cheek or rotated): cheek**

Communication System: 3G-WCDMA 850; Frequency: 836 MHz; Channel Number: 4180; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: Low Freq Head; Medium parameters used: $f = 835$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.91$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 42$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1513; ConvF(5.83, 5.83, 5.83); Calibrated: 9/19/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 8/26/2005
- Phantom: R3: Sugar Water SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1153;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 23; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

Right Head Template/Area Scan - Normal (15mm) (7x17x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.400 mW/g

Right Head Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 17.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.016 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.69 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.464 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.235 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.552 mW/g



Test Laboratory: Motorola

850 LH Tilt

Serial: 4401021174558

Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: all up bits Antenna Position: internal**Battery Model #: SNN5696B****DEVICE POSITION (cheek or rotated): rotated**

Communication System: 3G-WCDMA 850; Frequency: 836 MHz; Channel Number: 4180; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: Low Freq Head; Medium parameters used: $f = 835$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.91$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1513; ConvF(5.83, 5.83, 5.83); Calibrated: 9/19/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 8/26/2005
- Phantom: R3: Sugar Water SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1153;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 23; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

Left Head Template/Area Scan - Normal (15mm) (7x17x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.212 mW/g

Left Head Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 16.0 V/m; Power Drift = -0.086 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.265 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.211 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.160 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.223 mW/g



Appendix 3

SAR distribution plots for Body Worn Configuration

Test Laboratory: Motorola**850 Bodyworn**

Serial: 4401021174558

Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: all up bits Antenna Position: internal**Battery Model #: SNN5790A****Accessory Model # = Bluetooth Bodyworn (Back) 15mm Away From Phantom**

Communication System: 3G-WCDMA 850; Frequency: 836 MHz; Channel Number: 4180; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: Low Freq Body; Medium parameters used: $f = 835$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.98$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 54.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6R - SN1513; ConvF(5.66, 5.66, 5.66); Calibrated: 9/19/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn661; Calibrated: 8/26/2005
- Phantom: R3: Sect.2, Amy Twin; Type: Amy Twin Flat; Serial: n/a;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 23; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

Amy Twin Phone Template/Area Scan - Normal Body (15mm) (13x7x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.621 mW/g

Amy Twin Phone Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 23.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.039 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.860 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.607 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.412 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.653 mW/g



Appendix 4

Probe Calibration Certificate



Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **Motorola MDb**

Certificate No: **ET3-1513_Sep05**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **ET3DV6R - SN:1513**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v5
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date: **September 19, 2005**

Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

| Primary Standards | ID # | Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.) | Scheduled Calibration |
|----------------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------------|
| Power meter E4419B | GB41293874 | 3-May-05 (METAS, No. 251-00466) | May-06 |
| Power sensor E4412A | MY41495277 | 3-May-05 (METAS, No. 251-00466) | May-06 |
| Power sensor E4412A | MY41498087 | 3-May-05 (METAS, No. 251-00466) | May-06 |
| Reference 3 dB Attenuator | SN: S5054 (3c) | 11-Aug-05 (METAS, No. 251-00499) | Aug-06 |
| Reference 20 dB Attenuator | SN: S5086 (20b) | 3-May-05 (METAS, No. 251-00467) | May-06 |
| Reference 30 dB Attenuator | SN: S5129 (30b) | 11-Aug-05 (METAS, No. 251-00500) | Aug-06 |
| Reference Probe ES3DV2 | SN: 3013 | 7-Jan-05 (SPEAG, No. ES3-3013_Jan05) | Jan-06 |
| DAE4 | SN: 654 | 29-Nov-04 (SPEAG, No. DAE4-654_Nov04) | Nov-05 |

| Secondary Standards | ID # | Check Date (in house) | Scheduled Check |
|---------------------------|--------------|--|------------------------|
| RF generator HP 8648C | US3642U01700 | 4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Dec-03) | In house check: Dec-05 |
| Network Analyzer HP 8753E | US37390585 | 18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-04) | In house check: Nov 05 |

| | Name | Function | Signature |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Calibrated by: | Nico Vetterli | Laboratory Technician | |
| Approved by: | Katja Pokovic | Technical Manager | |

Issued: September 19, 2005

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Glossary:

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| TSL | tissue simulating liquid |
| NORM _{x,y,z} | sensitivity in free space |
| ConF | sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z} |
| DCP | diode compression point |
| Polarization φ | φ rotation around probe axis |
| Polarization ϑ | ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis |

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- CENELEC EN 50361, "Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300 MHz - 3 GHz), July 2001

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM_{x,y,z}**: Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM_{x,y,z} are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM_{x,y,z} does not effect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- NORM(f)_{x,y,z} = NORM_{x,y,z} * frequency_response** (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- DCP_{x,y,z}**: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters**: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM_{x,y,z} * *ConvF* whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for *ConvF*. A frequency dependent *ConvF* is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)**: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset**: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

Probe ET3DV6R

SN:1513

| | |
|------------------|--------------------|
| Manufactured: | May 3, 2002 |
| Last calibrated: | September 24, 2004 |
| Recalibrated: | September 19, 2005 |

Calibrated for DASYS Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASYS2 system!)

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6R SN:1513**Sensitivity in Free Space^A**

| | | | | |
|-------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|--------------|
| NormX | 2.31 ± 10.1% | $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$ | DCP X | 94 mV |
| NormY | 1.98 ± 10.1% | $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$ | DCP Y | 94 mV |
| NormZ | 2.11 ± 10.1% | $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$ | DCP Z | 94 mV |

Diode Compression^B**Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)**

Please see Page 8.

Boundary Effect**TSL 900 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm**

| | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance | | 3.7 mm | 4.7 mm |
| SAR _{be} [%] | Without Correction Algorithm | 8.7 | 4.7 |
| SAR _{be} [%] | With Correction Algorithm | 0.1 | 0.2 |

TSL 1810 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm

| | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance | | 3.7 mm | 4.7 mm |
| SAR _{be} [%] | Without Correction Algorithm | 13.1 | 8.7 |
| SAR _{be} [%] | With Correction Algorithm | 1.0 | 0.1 |

Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center **2.7 mm**


The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 8).

^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.




Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)





Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 6.3\%$ ($k=2$)

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\vartheta = 0^\circ$





Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 0.5\%$ ($k=2$)

Dynamic Range $f(\text{SAR}_{\text{head}})$ (Waveguide R22, $f = 1800 \text{ MHz}$)



Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: $\pm 0.6\%$ ($k=2$)

Conversion Factor Assessment




| f [MHz] | Validity [MHz] ^c | TSL | Permittivity | Conductivity | Alpha | Depth | ConvF Uncertainty |
|---------|-----------------------------|------|--------------|--------------|-------|-------|--------------------|
| 900 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Head | 41.5 ± 5% | 0.97 ± 5% | 0.57 | 1.87 | 5.83 ± 11.0% (k=2) |
| 1810 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Head | 40.0 ± 5% | 1.40 ± 5% | 0.66 | 2.22 | 4.76 ± 11.0% (k=2) |
| 1950 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Head | 40.0 ± 5% | 1.40 ± 5% | 0.61 | 2.40 | 4.41 ± 11.0% (k=2) |
| 2450 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Head | 39.2 ± 5% | 1.80 ± 5% | 0.77 | 2.04 | 4.10 ± 11.8% (k=2) |
| 900 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Body | 55.0 ± 5% | 1.05 ± 5% | 0.53 | 2.03 | 5.66 ± 11.0% (k=2) |
| 1810 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Body | 53.3 ± 5% | 1.52 ± 5% | 0.60 | 2.59 | 4.16 ± 11.0% (k=2) |
| 1950 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Body | 53.3 ± 5% | 1.52 ± 5% | 0.66 | 2.37 | 3.99 ± 11.0% (k=2) |
| 2450 | ± 50 / ± 100 | Body | 52.7 ± 5% | 1.95 ± 5% | 0.92 | 1.69 | 3.90 ± 11.8% (k=2) |

^c The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (ϕ , ϑ), $f = 900$ MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 2.6\%$ ($k=2$)

Appendix 5

Measurement Uncertainty Budget

| <i>a</i> | <i>b</i> | <i>c</i> | <i>d</i> | $e = f(d,k)$ | <i>f</i> | <i>g</i> | $h = c \times f / e$ | $i = c \times g / e$ | <i>k</i> |
|--|-------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Uncertainty Component | IEEE 1528 section | Tol. (± %) | Prob Dist | Div. | c_i (1 g) | c_i (10 g) | 1 g u_i (±%) | 10 g u_i (±%) | v_i |
| Measurement System | | | | | | | | | |
| Probe Calibration | E.2.1 | 5.9 | N | 1.00 | 1 | 1 | 5.9 | 5.9 | ∞ |
| Axial Isotropy | E.2.2 | 4.7 | R | 1.73 | 0.707 | 0.707 | 1.9 | 1.9 | ∞ |
| Hemispherical Isotropy | E.2.2 | 9.6 | R | 1.73 | 0.707 | 0.707 | 3.9 | 3.9 | ∞ |
| Boundary Effect | E.2.3 | 1.0 | R | 1.73 | 1 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | ∞ |
| Linearity | E.2.4 | 4.7 | R | 1.73 | 1 | 1 | 2.7 | 2.7 | ∞ |
| System Detection Limits | E.2.5 | 1.0 | R | 1.73 | 1 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | ∞ |
| Readout Electronics | E.2.6 | 0.3 | N | 1.00 | 1 | 1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | ∞ |
| Response Time | E.2.7 | 1.1 | R | 1.73 | 1 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | ∞ |
| Integration Time | E.2.8 | 1.1 | R | 1.73 | 1 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | ∞ |
| RF Ambient Conditions - Noise | E.6.1 | 3.0 | R | 1.73 | 1 | 1 | 1.7 | 1.7 | ∞ |
| RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections | E.6.1 | 0.0 | R | 1.73 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ∞ |
| Probe Positioner Mech. Tolerance | E.6.2 | 0.4 | R | 1.73 | 1 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | ∞ |
| Probe Positioning w.r.t Phantom | E.6.3 | 1.4 | R | 1.73 | 1 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | ∞ |
| Max. SAR Evaluation (ext., int., avg.) | E.5 | 3.4 | R | 1.73 | 1 | 1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | ∞ |
| Test sample Related | | | | | | | | | |
| Test Sample Positioning | E.4.2 | 3.2 | N | 1.00 | 1 | 1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 29 |
| Device Holder Uncertainty | E.4.1 | 4.0 | N | 1.00 | 1 | 1 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 8 |
| SAR drift | 6.6.2 | 5.0 | R | 1.73 | 1 | 1 | 2.9 | 2.9 | ∞ |
| Phantom and Tissue Parameters | | | | | | | | | |
| Phantom Uncertainty | E.3.1 | 4.0 | R | 1.73 | 1 | 1 | 2.3 | 2.3 | ∞ |
| Liquid Conductivity (target) | E.3.2 | 5.0 | R | 1.73 | 0.64 | 0.43 | 1.8 | 1.2 | ∞ |
| Liquid Conductivity (measurement) | E.3.3 | 3.3 | N | 1.00 | 0.64 | 0.43 | 2.1 | 1.4 | ∞ |
| Liquid Permittivity (target) | E.3.2 | 5.0 | R | 1.73 | 0.6 | 0.49 | 1.7 | 1.4 | ∞ |
| Liquid Permittivity (measurement) | E.3.3 | 1.9 | N | 1.00 | 0.6 | 0.49 | 1.1 | 0.9 | ∞ |
| Combined Standard Uncertainty | | | RSS | | | | 11.1 | 10.8 | 411 |
| Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL) | | | $k=2$ | | | | 22.2 | 21.6 | |

Appendix 6

Photographs of the device under test

REFER TO FCC EXHIBIT 7A

Appendix 7

Dipole Characterization Certificate

Certification of System Performance Check Targets

Based on WI-0396

-Historical Data-

| 900MHz | |
|--|------------------------|
| IEEE1528 Target: | 10.8 (W/kg) |
| Measurement Uncertainty (k=1): | 9.0% |
| Measurement Period: | 3-June-05 to 10-May-06 |
| # of tests performed: | 1571 |
| Grand Average: | 11.3 (W/kg) |
| % Delta (Average - IEEE1528 Target) | 4.3% |
| Is % Delta <= Expanded Measurement Uncertainty (k=2)? | Yes |
| Accept/Reject <u>Average</u> as new system performance check target? | ACCEPT |
| Applies to Dipole SN's: 55, 69, 77, 78, 79, 80, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97 | |


-New System Performance Check Targets- per WI-0396

(based on analysis of historical data)

| Frequency | SAR Target (W/kg) | Permittivity | Conductivity (S/m) |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| 900MHz | 11.3 | 41.5 ± 5% | 0.97 ± 5% |

-Approvals-

Submitted by: Date:

Signed: 

Comments:

Approved by: Date:

Signed: 

Comments: