
ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992





Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

FCC SAR TEST REPORT

For

Netbook Computer

Model: 11N3G

Trade Name: Lenovo

Issued to

Quanta Computer Inc No.188 Wen Hwa 2nd Rd., Kuei Shan Hsiang , Tao Yuan Shien 333, Taiwan

Issued by

Compliance Certification Services Inc.
No. 11, Wugong 6th Rd., Wugu Industrial Park,
Taipei Hsien, 248 Taiwan.
http://www.ccsemc.com.tw
service@tw.ccsemc.com



Note: This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Compliance Certification Services Inc. This document may be altered or revised by Compliance Certification Services Inc. personnel only, and shall be noted in the revision section of the document.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)	3
2.	EUT DESCRIPTION	4
3.	REQUIREMENTS FOR COMPLIANCE TESTING DEFINED BY THE FCC	6
4.	DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT SETUP	6
	4.1 MEASUREMENT SYSTEM DIAGRAM	7
	4.2 SYSTEM COMPONENTS	8
5.	EVALUATION PROCEDURES	11
6.	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	15
7.	EXPOSURE LIMIT	16
8.	EUT ARRANGEMENT	17
	8.1 ANTHROPOMORPHIC HEAD PHANTOM	17
	8.2 DEFINITION OF THE "CHEEK/TOUCH" POSITION	18
	8.3 DEFINITION OF THE "TILTED" POSITION	19
9.	MEASUREMENT RESULTS	20
	9.1 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK	20
	9.2 TEST LIQUIDS CONFIRMATION	20
	9.3 EUT TUNE-UP PROCEDURES	27
	9.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	36
10.	EUT PHOTOS	41
11.	EQUIPMENT LIST & CALIBRATION STATUS	43
12.	FACILITIES	44
13.	REFERENCES	44
14	ATTACHMENTS	45

1. CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)

Applicant Quanta Computer Inc

No.188 Wen Hwa 2nd Rd., Kuei Shan Hsiang,

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

Tao Yuan Shien 333, Taiwan

Equipment Under Test: Netbook Computer

Trade Name: Lenovo
Model Number: 11N3G

Machine type: 4231XXXX, 4329XXXX, 4333XXXX, 20013XXXX,

20014XXXX, 20015XXXX (X= 0~9, A~Z or blank)

Date of Test: January 10 ~ April 09, 2009

Device Category: PORTABLE DEVICES

Exposure Category: GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE

APPLICABLE STANDARDS								
STANDARD TEST RESULT								
FCC OET 65 Supplement C	No non-compliance noted							
Deviation from Applicable Standard								
None								

The device was tested by Compliance Certification Services Inc. in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C(Edition 01-01). The test results in this report apply only to the tested sample of the stated device/equipment. Other similar device/equipment will not necessarily produce the same results due to production tolerance and measurement uncertainties.

Approved by:

Rex Lai

Section Manager

Compliance Certification Services Inc.

lex. / n.

Page 3 Rev. 00

2. EUT DESCRIPTION

Product	Netbook Computer						
Trade Name	Lenovo						
Model Number	11N3G						
Model Discrepancy	The EUT comes with two different external colors (Black and White); please refer to the external photos for reference.						
Frequency Range	GSM / GPRS / EGPRS: 850: 824 ~ 849 MHz GSM / GPRS / EGPRS: 1900: 1850 ~ 1910 MHz WCDMA band II: 1852 ~ 1908 MHz WCDMA band V: 826 ~ 850 MHz 802.11a: 5150 ~ 5250 MHz / 5250 ~ 5350 MHz 5470 ~ 5725 MHz / 5725 ~ 5850 MHz 802.11b / g: 2400 ~ 2483.5 MHz Bluetooth: 2400 ~ 2483.5 MHz						
Transmit Power(Average)	850 Band: GSM 850: 32.8 dBm GPRS 850: 32.8 dBm EDGE850: 27.9 dBm WCDMA band V: 23.74 dBm HSDPA band V: 23.62 dBm HSUPA band V: 23.26 dBm 802.11a 5150 ~ 5250 MHz: 16.6 dBm 802.11a 5150 ~ 5250 MHz HT20: 16.5 d 802.11a 5150 ~ 5250 MHz HT40: 16.6 dBm 802.11a 5250 ~ 5350 MHz: 16.6 dBm 802.11a 5250 ~ 5350 MHz: 16.6 dBm 802.11a 5250 ~ 5350 MHz: 16.6 dBm 802.11a 5250 ~ 5350 MHz HT40: 16.7 d 802.11a 5250 ~ 5350 MHz HT40: 16.7 d 802.11a 5470 ~ 5725 MHz: 19.1 dBm 802.11a 5470 ~ 5725 MHz HT20: 16.6 d 802.11a 5470 ~ 5725 MHz HT40: 16.9 d 802.11a 5725 ~ 5850 MHz HT40: 16.5 d 802.11a 5725 ~ 5850 MHz HT20: 16.5 d 802.11a 5725 ~ 5850 MHz HT40: 16.5 d 802.11a 5725 ~ 5850 MHz HT40: 16.5 d 802.11a 5725 ~ 5850 MHz HT40: 16.5 d 802.11g: 17.0 dBm 802.11g HT40: 16.5 dBm Bluetooth DATA1: -1.14 dBm Bluetooth DATA3: 1.09 dBm	IBm IBm IBm IBm					

Page 4 Rev. 00

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

	GSM 850:					
	GSM: 0.015 W/kg (Body position)					
	GPRS: 0.022 W/kg (Body position)					
	EDGE: 0.017 W/kg (Body position)					
	PCS1900:					
	GSM: 0.026 W/kg (Body position)					
	GPRS: 0.080 W/kg (Body position)					
	EDGE: 0.040 W/kg (Body position)					
	WCDMA band II:					
	WCDMA: 0.068 W/kg (Body position)					
	HSDPA: 0.059 W/kg (Body position)					
	HSUPA: 0.068 W/kg (Body position)					
Max. SAR (1g):	WCDMA band V:					
	WCDMA: 0.016 W/kg (Body position)					
	HSDPA: 0.013 W/kg (Body position)					
	HSUPA: 0.020 W/kg (Body position)					
	WLAN:					
	802.11b: 0.678 W/kg (Body position)					
	802.11g: 0.178 W/kg (Body position)					
	802.11g HT20: 0.110 W/kg (Body position)					
	802.11g HT40: 0.112 W/kg (Body position)					
	802.11a: 0.215 W/kg (Body position)					
	802.11a HT20: 0.422 W/kg (Body position)					
	802.11a HT20: 0.422 W/kg (Body position)					
	GSM / PCS / CDMA / WCDMA: TDMA					
Modulation Technique	802.11b: Direct Sequence Spread Spectrum (DSSS)					
modulation rechinque	802.11g: Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM)					
	Bluetooth: GFSK for 1Mbps; π/4-DQPSK for 2Mbps; 8DPSK for 3Mbps					
	Antenna. Type:					
Antenna Specification	GSM / WCDMA: PIFA antenna					
-	WLAN: PIFA antenna / Bluetooth: PIFA antenna					

Page 5 Rev. 00

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

3. REQUIREMENTS FOR COMPLIANCE TESTING DEFINED BY THE FCC

The US Federal Communications Commission has released the report and order "Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of RF Radiation", ET Docket No. 93-62 in August 1996. The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g for an uncontrolled environment and 8.0 mW/g for an occupational/controlled environment as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992. According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

4. DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT SETUP


These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY4 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The system is based on a high precision robot (working range greater than 0.9 m), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than \pm 0.02 mm. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit. The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe EX3DV4-SN:3554 (manufactured by SPEAG), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure described in [7] with accuracy of better than \pm 10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in [8] and found to be better than \pm 0.25 dB. The phantom used was the SAM Twin Phantom as described in FCC supplement C, IEE P1528 and CENELEC EN50361.

The Tissue simulation liquid used for each test is in according with the FCC OET65 supplement C as listed below.

Ingredients		Frequency (MHz)								
(% by weight)	45	50	8:	35	9	15	19	000	24	50
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2
Salt (NaCl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triton X-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5
Conductivity (S/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78

Page 6 Rev. 00

4.1 MEASUREMENT SYSTEM DIAGRAM



Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

The DASY4 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (St aubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, ADconversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery
 powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion between optical and electrical of the signals
 for the digital communication to the DAE and for the analog signal from the optical surface detection. The
 EOC is connected to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 2000 or Windows XP.
- DASY4 software.
- Remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- Validation dipole kits allowing validating the proper functioning of the system.]

Page 7 Rev. 00

4.2 SYSTEM COMPONENTS

DASY4 Measurement Server



The DASY4 measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with a 166MHz low-power Pentium, 32MB chip disk and 64MB RAM. The necessary circuits for communication with either the DAE3 electronic box as well as the 16-bit AD-converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY4 I/O-board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.

The measurement server performs all real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operation.

The PC-operating system cannot interfere with these time critical processes. All connections are supervised by a watchdog, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program-controlled robot movements. Furthermore, the measurement server is equipped with two expansion slots which are reserved for future applications. Please note that the expansion slots do not have a standardized pinout and therefore only the expansion cards provided by SPEAG can be inserted. Expansion cards from any other supplier could seriously damage the measurement server. Calibration: No calibration required.

Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)

The data acquisition electronics (DAE3) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The input impedance of the DAE3 box is 200MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.



EX3DV4 Isotropic E-Field Probe for Dosimetric Measurements

Construction: Symmetrical design with triangular core

Built-in shielding against static charges

PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)

Calibration: Basic Broad Band Calibration in air: 10-3000 MHz.

Conversion Factors (CF) for HSL 900 and HSL 1800

CF-Calibration for other liquids and frequencies upon request.

Frequency: 10 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity: $\pm 0.2 \text{ dB}$ (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

Directivity: ± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis)

 $\pm~0.5~dB$ in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)

Dynamic Range: $10 \ \mu W/g \ to > 100 \ mW/g;$ Linearity: $\pm \ 0.2 \ dB$

(noise: typically $< 1 \mu W/g$)

Dimensions: Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 20 mm)

Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm)

Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm

Application: High precision dosimetric measurements in any

exposure scenario (e.g., very strong gradient fields). Only probe which enables compliance testing for frequencies up to 6 GHz with precision

of better 30%.



Interior of probe



Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

E-Field probe EX3DV4

Page 8 Rev. 00

SAM Phantom (V4.0)

Construction: The shell corresponds to the specifications of the

Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528-200X, CENELEC 50361 and IEC 62209. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points with the robot.

Shell Thickness: $2 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm}$ **Filling Volume:** Approx. 25 liters

Dimensions: Height: 810mm; Length: 1000mm; Width:

500mm

SAM Phantom (ELI4) Description

Construction: Phantom for compliance testing of handheld and

body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz. ELI4 is fully compatible with the latest draft of the standard IEC 62209 Part II and all known tissue simulating liquids. ELI4 has been optimized regarding its performance and can be integrated into our standard phantom tables. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow installation of the complete setup, including all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids, by teaching three points. The phantom is supported by software version DASY4.5 and higher and is compatible with all

SPEAG dosimetric probes and dipoles

Filling Volume: Approx. 25 liters

Dimensions: Major ellipse axis: 600 mm

Minor axis: 400 mm 500mm

Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom

Shell Thickness: 2.0 ± 0.2 mm (sagging: <1%)

Construction: In combination with the Twin SAM Phantom V4.0 or Twin SAM, the Mounting

Device (made from POM) enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates, whereby the rotation point is the ear opening. The devices can be easily and accurately positioned according to IEC, IEEE, CENELEC, FCC or other specifications. The device holder can be locked at different

phantom locations (left head, right head, and flat phantom).



Date of Issue: April 09, 2009





Page 9 Rev. 00

System Validation Kits for SAM Phantom (V4.0)

Construction: Symmetrical dipole with 1/4 balun Enables measurement of

feedpoint impedance with NWA Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with brain simulating solutions Includes distance

holder and tripod adaptor.

Frequency: 450, 900, 1800, 2450, 5800 MHzReturn loss: > 20 dB at specified validation position}

Power capability: > 100 W (f < 1 GHz); > 40 W (f > 1 GHz)

Dimensions: D450V2: dipole length: 270 mm; overall height: 330 mm

D835V2: dipole length: 161 mm; overall height: 340 mm D900V2: dipole length: 148.5 mm; overall height: 340 mm D1800V2: dipole length: 72.5 mm; overall height: 300 mm D1900V2: dipole length: 67.7 mm; overall height: 300 mm D1900V3: dipole length: 67.0 mm; overall height: 300 mm D2450V2: dipole length: 51.5 mm; overall height: 290 mm D5GHzV2: dipole length: 20.6 mm; overall height: 300 mm



Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

System Validation Kits for ELI4 phantom

Construction: Symmetrical dipole with 1/4 balun Enables measurement of

feedpoint impedance with NWA Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with brain simulating solutions Includes distance

holder and tripod adaptor.

Frequency: 450, 900, 1800, 2450, 5800 MHz **Return loss:** > 20 dB at specified validation position **Power capability:** > 100 W (f < 1GHz); > 40 W (f > 1GHz)

Dimensions: D450V2: dipole length: 270 mm; overall height: 330 mm

D835V2: dipole length: 161 mm; overall height: 340 mm D900V2: dipole length: 148.5 mm; overall height: 340 mm D1800V2: dipole length: 72.5 mm; overall height: 300 mm D1900V2: dipole length: 67.7 mm; overall height: 300 mm D1900V3: dipole length: 67.0 mm; overall height: 300 mm D2450V2: dipole length: 51.5 mm; overall height: 290 mm D5GHzV2: dipole length: 20.6 mm; overall height: 300 mm



Page 10 Rev. 00

5. EVALUATION PROCEDURES

DATA EVALUATION

The DASY4 post processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

Probe parameters: - Sensitivity $Norm_i$, a_{i0} , a_{i1} , a_{i2}

> - Conversion factor $ConvF_i$

- Diode compression point dcp_i

Device parameters: - Frequency

> - Crest factor cf

Media parameters: - Conductivity σ

> - Density ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY components. In the direct measuring mode of the multi-meter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DCtransmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

= Compensated signal of channel i with

(i = x, y, z)= Input signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

= Crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter) dcp_i = Diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-field probes:
$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

H-field probes:

$$H_i = \sqrt{Vi} \cdot \frac{a_{i10} + a_{i11}f + a_{i12}f^2}{f}$$

with = Compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

> $Norm_i$ = Sensor sensitivity of channel i (i = x, y, z)

> > $\mu V/(V/m)^2$ for E0field Probes

ConvF = Sensitivity enhancement in solution

aij = Sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

= Carrier frequency (GHz) f

Ei = Electric field strength of channel i in V/m

= Magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{tot} = \sqrt{\boldsymbol{E}_{x}^{2} + \boldsymbol{E}_{y}^{2} + \boldsymbol{E}_{z}^{2}}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

Page 11 Rev. 00

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

 E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

 σ = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

 ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm³

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field as a free space field.

$$P_{pwe} = \frac{E_{tot}^2}{3770}$$
 or $P_{pwe} = H_{tot}^2 \cdot 37.7$

with P_{pwe} = Equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm²

 E_{tot} = total electric field strength in V/m

 H_{tot} = total magnetic field strength in A/m

Page 12 Rev. 00

SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURES

The procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

• Power Reference Measurement

The reference and drift jobs are useful jobs for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. Both jobs measure the field at a specified reference position, at a selectable distance from the phantom surface. The reference position can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section. The reference job projects the selected point onto the phantom surface, orients the probe perpendicularly to the surface, and approaches the surface using the selected detection method.

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

Area Scan

The area scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in DASY4 software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. The scan area is defined by an editable grid. This grid is anchored at the grid reference point of the selected section in the phantom. When the area scan's property sheet is brought-up, grid was at to 15 mm by 15 mm and can be edited by a user.

Zoom Scan

Zoom scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The default zoom scan measures 7 x 7 x 9 points within a cube whose base faces are centered around the maximum found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. If the preceding Area Scan job indicates more then one maximum, the number of Zoom Scans has to be enlarged accordingly (The default number inserted is 1).

• Power Drift measurement

The drift job measures the field at the same location as the most recent reference job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last reference measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for one reference measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. In the properties of the Drift job, the user can specify a limit for the drift and have DASY4 software stop the measurements if this limit is exceeded.

Page 13 Rev. 00

SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the IEEE1529 standard. It can be conducted for 1 g and 10 g.

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

The DASY4 system allows evaluations that combine measured data and robot positions, such as:

- · maximum search
- extrapolation
- boundary correction
- peak search for averaged SAR

During a maximum search, global and local maximum searches are automatically performed in 2-D after each Area Scan measurement with at least 6 measurement points. It is based on the evaluation of the local SAR gradient calculated by the Quadratic Shepard's method. The algorithm will find the global maximum and all local maxima within -2 dB of the global maxima for all SAR distributions.

Extrapolation

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. Several measurements at different distances are necessary for the extrapolation.

Extrapolation routines require at least 10 measurement points in 3-D space. They are used in the Cube Scan to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The routine uses the modified Quadratic Shepard's method for extrapolation. For a grid using 7x7x9 measurement points with 5mm resolution amounting to 441 measurement points, the uncertainty of the extrapolation routines is less than 1% for 1 g and 10 g cubes.

Boundary effect

For measurements in the immediate vicinity of a phantom surface, the field coupling effects between the probe and the boundary influence the probe characteristics. Boundary effect errors of different dosimetric probe types have been analyzed by measurements and using a numerical probe model. As expected, both methods showed an enhanced sensitivity in the immediate vicinity of the boundary. The effect strongly depends on the probe dimensions and disappears with increasing distance from the boundary. The sensitivity can be approximately given as:

$$S \approx S_o + S_b exp(-\frac{z}{a})cos(\pi \frac{z}{\lambda})$$

Since the decay of the boundary effect dominates for small probes (a $<<\lambda$), the cos-term can be omitted. Factors Sb (parameter Alpha in the DASY4 software) and a (parameter Delta in the DASY4 software) are assessed during probe calibration and used for numerical compensation of the boundary effect. Several simulations and measurements have confirmed that the compensation is valid for different field and boundary configurations.

This simple compensation procedure can largely reduce the probe uncertainty near boundaries. It works well as long as:

- the boundary curvature is small
- the probe axis is angled less than 30 to the boundary normal
- the distance between probe and boundary is larger than 25% of the probe diameter
- the probe is symmetric (all sensors have the same offset from the probe tip)

Since all of these requirements are fulfilled in a DASY4 system, the correction of the probe boundary effect in the vicinity of the phantom surface is performed in a fully automated manner via the measurement data extraction during postprocessing.

Page 14 Rev. 00

6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

UNCERTAINTY BUDGE ACCORDING TO IEEE P1528											
Error Description	Uncertainty Value ±%	Probability distribution	Divisor	C ₁ 1g	Standard unc.(1g/10g) ±%	V ₁ or V _{eff}					
Measurement System											
Probe calibration	±4.8	normal	1	1	±4.8	8					
Axial isotropy of probe	±4.6	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-Cp)^{1/2}$	±1.9	8					
Sph. Isotropy of probe	±9.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(Cp)^{1/2}$	±3.9	8					
Probe linearity	±4.5	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±2.7	8					
Detection Limit	±0.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0.6	8					
Boundary effects	±8.5	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±4.8	8					
Readoutelectronics	±1.0	normal	1	1	±1.0	8					
Response time	±0.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0.5	8					
Integration time	±1.2	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0.8	8					
Mech Constrains of robot	±0.5	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0.2	8					
Probe positioning	±2.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±1.7	8					
Extrap. And integration	±4.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±2.3	8					
RF ambient conditiona	±0.54	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±0.43	8					
Test Sample Related											
Device positioning	±2.2	normal	1	1	±2.23	11					
Device holder uncertainty	±5	normal	1	1	±5.0	7					
Power drift	±5	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±2.9	8					
Phantom and Set up											
Phantom uncertainty	±4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	±2.3	8					
Liquid conductivity	±5	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	±1.7	8					
Liquid conductivity	±5	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	±3.5/1.7	8					
Liquid permittivity	±5		_	0.6	±1.7	8					
Liquid permittivity	±5	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	±1.7	8					
Combined Standard Uncertainty					±12.14/11.76						
Coverage Factor for 95%		kp=2									
Expanded Standard Uncertainty					±24.29/23.51						

Table: Worst-case uncertainty for DASY4 assessed according to IEEE P1528.

The budge is valid for the frequency range 300 MHz to 6G Hz and represents a worst-case analysis.

Page 15 Rev. 00

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

7. EXPOSURE LIMIT

(A).Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body Partial-Body Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles

0.4 8.0 2.0

(B).Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body Partial-Body Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles

0.08 1.6 4.0

NOTE: Whole-Body SAR is averaged over the entire body, partial-body SAR is averaged over any

1 gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube. SAR for hands, wrists, feet and ankles is averaged over any 10 grams of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

shape of a cube.

Population/Uncontrolled Environments:

are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments:

are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

NOTE GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE PARTIAL BODY LIMIT 1.6 W/kg

Page 16 Rev. 00

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

8. EUTARRANGEMENT

Please refer to IEEE P1528 illustration below.

8.1 ANTHROPOMORPHIC HEAD PHANTOM

Figure 7-1a shows the front, back and side views of SAM. The point "M" is the reference point for the center of mouth, "LE" is the left ear reference point (ERP), and "RE" is the right ERP. The ERPs are 15 mm posterior to the entrance to ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 7-1b. The plane passing through the two ear reference points and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Figure 7-1c). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines should be marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning. Posterior to the N-F line, the thickness of the phantom shell with the shape of an ear is a flat surface 6 mm thick at the ERPs. Anterior to the N-F line, the ear is truncated as illustrated in Figure 7-1b. The ear truncation is introduced to avoid the handset from touching the ear lobe, which can cause unstable handset positioning at the cheek.

> Figure 7-1a Front, back and side view of SAM (model for the phantom shell)



Figure 7-1b Close up side view of phantom showing the ear region




Figure 7-1b Close up side view of phantom showing the ear region

Figure 7-1c Side view of the phantom showing relevant markings and the 7 cross sectional plane locations




Figure 7-1c Side view of the phantom showing relevant markings and the 7 cross sectional plane locations

Page 17 Rev. 00

8.2 DEFINITION OF THE "CHEEK/TOUCH" POSITION

The "cheek" or "touch" position is defined as follows:

a. Ready the handset for talk operation, if necessary. For example, for handsets with a cover piece, open the cover. (If the handset can also be used with the cover closed both configurations must be tested.)

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

- b. Define two imaginary lines on the handset: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The vertical centerline passes through two points on the front side of the handset: the midpoint of the width wt of the handset at the level of the acoustic output (point A on Figures 7-2a and 7-2b), and the midpoint of the width wb of the bottom of the handset (point B). The horizontal line is perpendicular to the vertical centerline and passes through the center of the acoustic output (see Figure 7-2a). The two lines intersect at point A. Note that for many handsets, point A coincides with the center of the acoustic output. However, the acoustic output may be located elsewhere on the horizontal line. Also note that the vertical centerline is not necessarily parallel to the front face of the handset (see Figure 7-2b), especially for clamshell handsets, handsets with flip pieces, and other irregularly-shaped handsets.
- c. Position the handset close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure 7-2c), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the handset is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.
- d. Translate the handset towards the phantom along the line passing through RE and LE until the handset touches the pinna.
- e. e) While maintaining the handset in this plane, rotate it around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline is in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (called the reference plane).
- f. Rotate the handset around the vertical centerline until the handset (horizontal line) is symmetrical with respect to the line NF.
- g. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE and maintaining the handset contact with the pinna, rotate the handset about the line NF until any point on the handset is in contact with a phantom point below the pinna (cheek). See Figure 7-2c. The physical angles of rotation should be noted.




Figure 7.2c

Phone "cheek" or "touch" position. The reference points for the right ear (RE), left ear (LE) and mouth (M), which define the reference plane for handset positioning, are indicated.

Page 18 Rev. 00




Figure 7.2a Figure 7.2b

8.3 DEFINITION OF THE "TILTED" POSITION

The "tilted" position is defined as follows:

- a. Repeat steps (a) (g) of 7.2 to place the device in the "cheek position."
- b. While maintaining the orientation of the handset move the handset away from the pinna along the line passing through RE and LE in order to enable a rotation of the handset by 15 degrees.
- c. Rotate the handset around the horizontal line by 15 degrees.
- d. While maintaining the orientation of the handset, move the handset towards the phantom on a line passing through RE and LE until any part of the handset touches the ear. The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact is at any location other than the pinna (e.g., the antenna with the back of the phantom head), the angle of the handset should be reduced. In this case, the tilted position is obtained if any part of the handset is in contact with the pinna as well as a second part of the handset is contact with the phantom (e.g., the antenna with the back of the head).




Figure 7-3
Phone "tilted" position. The reference points for the right ear (RE), left ear (LE) and mouth (M), which define the reference plane for handset positioning, are indicated.

Page 19 Rev. 00

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

9. MEASUREMENT RESULTS

9.1 TEST LIQUIDS CONFIRMATION

SIMULATING LIQUIDS PARAMETER CHECK

The simulating liquids should be checked at the beginning of a series of SAR measurements to determine of the dielectric parameters are within the tolerances of the specified target values

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

The relative permittivity and conductivity of the tissue material should be within \pm 5% of the values given in the table below. 5% may not be easily achieved at certain frequencies. Under such circumstances, 10% tolerance may be used until more precise tissue recipes are available

IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 P1528 RECOMMENDED TISSUE DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528

Target Frequency	Не	ad	Body		
(MHz)	$\epsilon_{ m r}$	σ (S/m)	$\epsilon_{ m r}$	σ (S/m)	
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80	
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92	
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94	
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97	
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05	
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06	
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30	
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40	
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52	
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95	
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73	
5800	45.3	5.27	48.2	6.00	


 $(\varepsilon_r = \text{relative permittivity}, \sigma = \text{conductivity and } \rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3)$

Page 20 Rev. 00

LIQUID MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Date: January 5, 2009 **Ambient condition:** Temperature 24.6°C; Relative humidity: 53%

Body Simulatinf Liquid		Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]	
Frequency	Temp. [°C]	Depth [cm]	Farameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
925 MHz	835 MHz 23.60 15.00	Permitivity:	55.20	54.20	-1.81	±5	
OSS WITZ		15.00	Conductivity:	0.97	0.941	-2.99	± 5



Date: January 6, 2009 Ambient condition: Temperature 24.6°C; Relative humidity: 53%

Body Simulating Liquid		Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limitad[0/]	
Frequency	Temp. [°C]	Depth (cm)	Farameters	Target	Wieasured	Deviation[%]	Lilliteu[%]
1900 MHz	23.60	15.00	Permitivity:	53.30	51.50	-3.38	± 5
		15.00	Conductivity:	1.52	1.51	-0.66	± 5



Page 21 Rev. 00


Date: January 7, 2009 **Ambient condition:** Temperature 24.6°C; Relative humidity: 55%

Body Simulating Liquid		Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]	
f (MHz)	Temp. [°C]	Depth (cm)	Farameters	Target	Wieasureu	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
2450.00	23.40	23.40 15.00	Permitivity:	52.70	51.50	-2.28	± 5
2430.00			Conductivity:	1.95	1.98	1.54	± 5

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

Date: April 08, 2009 **Ambient condition:** Temperature 24.6°C; Relative humidity: 54%


Body Simulating Liquid		Danamatana	Target	Maggurad	Daviation[0/]	Limitad[0/]	
f (MHz)	Temp. [°C]	Depth (cm)	Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
2450.00	23.60	15.00	Permitivity:	52.70	51.70	-1.90	± 5
2450.00		15.00	Conductivity:	1.95	1.98	1.54	± 5



Page 22 Rev. 00


Date: January 8, 2009 **Ambient condition:** Temperature 24.5°C; Relative humidity: 54%

Body Simulating Liquid		Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]	
f (MHz)	Temp. [°C]	Depth (cm)	Farameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Lilliteu[%]
5200.00	23.50	15.00	Permitivity:	49.02	48.20	-1.67	± 5
5200.00		15.00	Conductivity:	5.29	5.40	2.08	± 5



Date: January 8, 2009 **Ambient condition:** Temperature 24.5°C; Relative humidity: 54%

Body Simulating Liquid		Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]	
f (MHz)	Temp. [°C]	Depth (cm)	1 arameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[70]	Limited[70]
5800.00	23.50	15.00	Permitivity:	48.20	47.20	-2.07	± 5
3800.00	23.30	15.00	Conductivity:	6.00	6.27	4.50	± 5



Page 23 Rev. 00

9.2 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

The system performance check is performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications of $\pm 10\%$. The system performance check results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

IEEE P1528 Recommended Reference Value

Frequency (MHz)	1 g SAR	10 g SAR	Local SAR at surface (Above feed point)	Local SAR at surface (y=2cm offset from feed point)
300	3.0	2.0	4.4	2.1
450	4.9	3.3	7.2	3.2
835	9.5	6.2	14.1	4.9
900	10.8	6.9	16.4	5.4
1450	29.0	16.0	50.2	6.5
1800	38.1	19.8	69.5	6.8
1900	38.8	20.4	67.6	6.6
2000	41.1	21.1	74.6	6.5
2450	52.4	24.0	104.2	7.7
3000	63.8	25.7	140.2	9.5

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK MEASUREMENT CONDITIONS

- The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with Bodysimulating liquid of the following parameters.
- The DASY4 system with an E-fileld probe EX3DV4 SN: 3554 was used for the measurements.
- The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feed point was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 15 mm (below 1 GHz) and 10 mm (above 1 GHz) from dipole center to the simulating liquid surface.
- The dipole input power (forward power) was 250 mW.
- The 1g and 10 g spatial average SAR values normalized to 1 W dipole input power give reference data for comparisons and it's equal to 4x(dipole forward power).

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK RESULTS

Dipole: D835V2-SN4d015

Date: January 5, 2009 **Ambient condition:** Temperature 24.6°C; Relative humidity: 53%

Body Simulatinf Liquid		Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]	
Frequency	Temp. [°C]	Depth [cm]	Farameters	rarget	Weasured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
	835.00 23.60	15.00	Permitivity:	55.20	54.20	-1.81	±5
835.00			Conductivity:	0.97	0.941	-2.99	± 5
			1g SAR:	10.00	9.84	-1.60	± 5

ps. 1g SAR is equal 4x2.46(250mW forward power SAR value)

Page 24 Rev. 00

Dipole: D1900V2 SN: 5d056

Date: January 6, 2009 Ambient condition: Temperature 24.6°C; Relative humidity: 53%

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

Body Simulatinf Liquid		Parameters Target M	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]		
Frequency	Temp. [°C]	Depth [cm]	Farameters	rarget	Wieasuieu	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
			Permitivity:	53.30	51.50	-3.38	±5
1900.00	23.60	15.00	Conductivity:	1.52	1.51	-0.66	± 5
			1g SAR:	41.20	40.40	-1.94	± 5

ps. 1g SAR is equal 4x10.1(250mW forward power SAR value)

Dipole: D2450V2 SN: 728

Date: January 7, 2009 Ambient condition: Temperature 24.4°C; Relative humidity: 54%

Body Simulating Liquid		Parameters Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]		
f(MHz)	Temp. [°C]	Depth [cm]	1 arameters	rarget	Weasured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
		15.00	Permitivity:	52.70	51.50	-2.28	± 5
2450.00	23.40		Conductivity:	1.95	1.98	1.54	± 5
			1g SAR:	52.80	54.00	2.27	± 5

ps. 1g SAR is equal 4x13.5(250mW forward power SAR value)

Dipole: D2450V2 SN: 728

Date: April 08, 2009 **Ambient condition:** Temperature 24.6°C; Relative humidity: 54%

Body Simulating Liquid		Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]	
f(MHz)	Temp. [°C]	Depth [cm]	Farameters	Target	Weasured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
	2450.00 23.60 15.00		Permitivity:	52.70	51.70	-1.90	± 5
2450.00		15.00	Conductivity:	1.95	1.98	1.54	± 5
			1g SAR:	52.80	52.40	-0.76	± 5

ps. 1g SAR is equal 4x13.1(250mW forward power SAR value)

Dipole: D5GMHz SN:1004

Date: January 8, 2009 Ambient condition: Temperature 24.5°C; Relative humidity: 54%

Body Simulating Liquid		Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]	
f(MHz)	Temp. [°C]	Depth [cm]	Farameters	Target	Wieasuieu	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
	5200.00 23.50 15.00	Permitivity:	49.02	48.20	-1.67	± 5	
5200.00		15.00	Conductivity:	5.29	5.40	2.08	± 5
			1g SAR:	77.50	75.20	-2.97	± 5

ps. 1g SAR is equal 4x18.8 (250mW forward power SAR value)

Dipole: D5GMHz SN:1004

Date: January 8, 2009 Ambient condition: Temperature 24.5°C; Relative humidity: 54%

Body Simulating Liquid		Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]	
f(MHz)	Temp. [°C]	Depth [cm]	Farameters	Target	Wieasuieu	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
	5800.00 23.50 15.00		Permitivity:	48.20	47.20	-2.07	± 5
5800.00		15.00	Conductivity:	6.00	6.27	4.50	± 5
			1g SAR:	71.00	69.20	-2.54	± 5

ps. 1g SAR is equal 4x17.3(250mW forward power SAR value)

Page 25 Rev. 00

Dipole: D5GMHz SN:1004

Date: April 09, 2009 **Ambient condition:** Temperature 24.6°C; Relative humidity: 54%

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

Body Simulating Liquid		Parameters Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]		
f(MHz)	Temp. [°C]	Depth [cm]	1 arameters	Target	Wieasuieu	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
			Permitivity:	49.02	48.30	-1.47	± 5
5200.00	5200.00 23.60 15.00	15.00	Conductivity:	5.29	5.38	1.70	± 5
			1g SAR:	77.50	75.60	-2.45	± 5

ps. 1g SAR is equal 4x18.9 (250mW forward power SAR value)

Dipole: D5GMHz SN:1004

Date: April 09, 2009 **Ambient condition:** Temperature 24.6°C; Relative humidity: 54%

Body	Body Simulating Liquid		Parameters Target	Target	Target Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
f(MHz)	Temp. [°C]	Depth [cm]	Farameters	rarget	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
		15.00	Permitivity:	48.20	47.20	-2.07	± 5
5800.00	23.60		Conductivity:	6.00	6.25	4.17	± 5
			1g SAR:	71.00	68.80	-3.10	± 5

ps. 1g SAR is equal 4x17.2(250mW forward power SAR value)

Page 26 Rev. 00

9.3 EUT TUNE-UP PROCEDURES

The following procedures had been used to prepare the EUT for the SAR test.

• To setup the desire channel frequency and the maximum output power. A Radio Communication Tester "ROHDE SCHWARZ CMU-200" was used to program the EUT.

• During SAR test, test highest output power channel first, and measurement output power before test.

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

GSM 850 / GSM 1900

Network Support: *GSM only* Main Service: *Circuit Switched* Power Setting: *33dBm/30dBm*

Class: A

GPRS850/EDGE850 / GPRS1900/EDGE1900

Network Support: *GPRS/EDGE* Main Service: Packet data

Power Setting: 33dBm/27dBm / 30dBm/26dBm

Class: 10 (2 Up / 3 Down)

Conducted power (Average)(dBm)

Conducted power (Average)(dbiii)								
GSM		GSM mode	GPRS mode	EDGE mode				
	Ch 128	32.8	32.8	27.9				
GSM850 band	Ch 190	32.8	32.8	27.8				
3 33-2	Ch 251	32.8	32.8	27.8				
_ ~~	Ch 512	29.2	29.2	25.5				
PCS1900 band	Ch 661	29.0	29.0	25.5				
	Ch 810	29.3	29.3	25.1				

Page 27 Rev. 00

RF POWER OUTPUT FOR UMTS REL99

The following tests were completed according to the test requirements outlined in section 5.2 of the 3GPP TS34.121-1 V7.5.0 specification. The EUT supports power Class 3, which has a nominal maximum output power of 24 dBm (+1.7/-3.7) 12.2kps RMC is used for this testing. Power control set to All bits up. A summary of these settings are illustrated below:

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

<u> </u>			
	Mode	Rel99	
	Subtest	•	
	Loopback Mode	Test Mode 1	
	Rel99 RMC	12.2kbps RMC	
	HSDPA FRC	Not Applicable	
	HSUPA Test	Not Applicable	
WCDMA General	Power Control Algorithm	Algorithm2	
Settings	βc	Not Applicable	
Settings	βd	Not Applicable	
	βес	Not Applicable	
	βc/βd	8/15	
	βhs	Not Applicable	
	βed	Not Applicable	

REL 99

D 1	HI CI DI CI		E	Conducted output power (dBm)		
Band	UL Ch	DL Ch	Frequency	Average	Peak	
UMTS850	4132	4357	826.4	23.43	26.11	
(Band V)	4182	4407	836.4	23.74	26.43	
(Balld V)	4233	4458	846.6	23.52	26.13	
UMTS1900	9262	9662	1852.4	22.93	26.24	
(Band II)	9400	9800	1880	22.73	25.82	
(Band II)	9538	9938	1907.6	22.77	25.61	

Page 28 Rev. 00

RF POWER OUTPUT FOR UMTS Rel 6 HSDPA

The following Sub-Tests were completed according to the test requirements outlined in section 5.2A of the 3GPP TS34.121-1 V7.5.0 specification. All TX RMS and Peak power requirements for Power Class 3 were met according to table 5.2AA.5 and achieved through the outlined test procedure in section 5.2AA.4.2. A summary of these settings are illustrated below:

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

	Mode	Rel6 HSDPA	Rel6 HSDPA	Rel6 HSDPA	Rel6 HSDPA		
	Subtest	1	2	3	4		
	Loopback Mode	Test Mode 1					
	Rel99 RMC	12.2kbps RMC					
	HSDPA FRC	H-Set1					
	HSUPA Test	Not Applicable					
	Power Control Algorithm	Algorithm 2					
WCDMA	βc	2/15	12/15	15/15	15/15		
General	βd	15/15	15/15	8/15	4/15		
Settings	βес	-	-	-	-		
	βc/βd	2/15	12/15	15/8	15/4		
	βhs	4/15	24/15	30/15	30/15		
	βed	Not Applicable					
	CM(dB)	0.0	1.0	1.5	1.5		
	MPR(dB)	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5		
	DACK	8					
	DNAK	8					
HSDPA	DCQI	8					
Specific	Ack-Nack repetition factor	3					
Settings	CQI Feedback (Table 5.2B.4)	4ms					
	CQI Repetition Factor (Table 5.2B.4)	2	•	•			
	Ahs = βhs/βc	30/15					

Result

REL 6 HSDPA

					Conducted outp	ut power (dBm)	MPR
Band	Subtest	UL Ch	DL Ch	Frequency	Average	Peak	IVII IX
		4132	4357	826.4	23.35	26.32	
	1*	4182	4407	836.4	23.62	26.41	#0 MPR=0dB
_		4233	4458	846.6	23.31	25.92	
		4132	4357	826.4	22.83	26.45	
	2	4182	4407	836.4	23.02	26.41	#2 MPR=0dB
UMTS850		4233	4458	846.6	22.61	25.99	
(Band V)		4132	4357	826.4	22.47	26.95	
	3	4182	4407	836.4	22.65	26.82	#3 MPR=0.5dB
_		4233	4458	846.6	22.18	26.36	
	4	4132	4357	826.4	22.57	27.15	#4 MPR=0.5dB
		4182	4407	836.4	22.55	26.98	
		4233	4458	846.6	22.11	26.47	
		9262	9662	1852.4	23.27	26.27	
	1*	9400	9800	1880	22.98	26.06	#0 MPR=0dB
_		9538	9938	1907.6	23.11	25.78	
		9262	9662	1852.4	22.76	26.45	
	2	9400	9800	1880	22.52	26.28	#2 MPR=0dB
		9538	9938	1907.6	22.64	26.04	
T. T. T. T. C.	3	9262	9662	1852.4	22.52	26.82	#3 MPR=0.5dB
UMTS1900 (Band II)		9400	9800	1880	22.24	26.66	

Page 29 Rev. 00

ſ	ſ	İ	_	İ	_	Ì	i i
		9538	9938	1907.6	22.34	26.44	
		9262	9662	1852.4	22.53	27.09	
	4	9400	9800	1880	22.33	26.97	#4 MPR=0.5dB
		9538	9938	1907.6	22.28	26.57	

Rel6 HSDPA Subtest 1 is worst mode.

The following tables taken from FCC 3G SAR procedures (KDB 941225 D01 SAR test for 3G devices v02) below were applied using an Agilent 8960 series 10 wireless communications test set which supports 3G / HSDPA release 5 / HSPA release 6.

Page 30 Rev. 00

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

^{*} Prior to commencement of SAR testing the module power levels were measured in both HSPA and 3G RMC 12.2kbps modes and compared to ensure the correct mode of operation had been established.

RF POWER OUTPUT UMTS Rel 6 HSPA (HSDPA & HSUPA)

The following 5 Sub-Tests were completed according to the test requirements outlined in section 5.2B of the 3GPP TS34.121-1 V7.5.0 specification. All TX RMS and Peak power requirements were met according to table 5.2B.5 and achieved through the outlined test procedure in section 5.2B.4.2. A summary of these settings are illustrated below:

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

below.	Mode	Rel6 HSUPA	Rel6 HSUPA	Rel6 HSUPA	Rel6 HSUPA	Rel6 HSUPA			
	Subtest	1	2	3	4	5			
	Loopback Mode	Test Mode 1							
	Rel99 RMC	12.2kbps RMC	12.2kbps RMC						
	HSDPA FRC	H-Set1							
	HSUPA Test HSUPA Loopback								
	Power Control Algorithm	Algorithm2							
WCDMA	βс	11/15	6/15	15/15	2/15	15/15			
General	βd	15/15	15/15	9/15	15/15	15/15			
Settings	βес	209/225	12/15	30/15	2/15	24/15			
Settings	βc/βd	11/15	6/15	15/9	2/15	15/15			
	βhs	22/15	12/15	30/15	4/15	30/15			
				47/15					
	βed	1309/225	94/75	47/15	56/75	134/15			
	CM(dB)	1.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	1.0			
	MPR(dB)	0.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	0.0			
	DACK	8							
	DNAK	8							
HSDPA	DCQI	8							
Specific	Ack-Nack repetition factor	3							
Settings	CQI Feedback (Table 5.2B.4) 4ms								
Settings	CQI Repetition Factor (Table								
	5.2B.4) 2								
	Ahs = βhs/βc								
	D E-DPCCH	6	8	8	5	7			
	DHARQ	0	0	0	0	0			
	AG Index	20	12	15	17	21			
	ETFCI (from 34.121 Table								
	C.11.1.3)	75	67	92	71	81			
	Associated Max UL Data Rate								
	kbps	242.1	174.9	482.8	205.8	308.9			
HSUPA Specific Settings	Reference E_TFCIs	E-TFCI 11 E-TFCI PO 4 E-TFCI FO 18 E-TFCI PO 18 E-TFCI PO 23 E-TFCI PO 26 E-TFCI PO 26 E-TFCI PO 27		E-TFCI 11 E-TFCI PO 4 E-TFCI PO 18	E-TFCI 11 E-TFCI PO 4 E-TFCI PO 18 E-TFCI 71 E-TFCI PO 23 E-TFCI 75 E-TFCI PO 26 E-TFCI 81 E-TFCI PO 27				

Page 31 Rev. 00



REL 6 HSPA (HSDPA & HSUPA)

					Conducted outp	MPR	
Band	Subtest	UL Ch	DL Ch	Frequency	Average	Peak	
		4132	4357	826.4	23.02	26.88	#0
	1*	4182	4407	836.4	23.26	27.03	#0 MPR=0dE
		4233	4458	846.6	23.18	26.82	
		4132	4357	826.4	21.85	26.19	
	2	4182	4407	836.4	21.93	26.79	#2 MPR=2dB
		4233	4458	846.6	21.61	26.88	
LIN ATTICOSO		4132	4357	826.4	21.93	26.21	
UMTS850 (Band V)	3	4182	4407	836.4	21.87	26.07	#1 MPR=1dB
		4233	4458	846.6	21.75	26.77	
		4132	4357	826.4	21.88	26.27	
	4	4182	4407	836.4	22.08	26.45	#2 MPR=2dB
_		4233	4458	846.6	22.17	26.52	
	5	4132	4357	826.4	22.51	26.64	#0 MPR=0dB
		4182	4407	836.4	22.72	26.73	
		4233	4458	846.6	22.65	26.33	
	1*	9262	9662	1852.4	23.08	27.25	#0 MPR=0dB
		9400	9800	1880	22.94	27.12	
		9538	9938	1907.6	23.03	26.78	
		9262	9662	1852.4	22.14	26.31	
	2	9400	9800	1880	22.17	26.51	#2 MPR=2dB
		9538	9938	1907.6	22.25	26.59	
		9262	9662	1852.4	22.32	26.51	
UMTS1900 (Band II)	3	9400	9800	1880	22.21	26.56	#1 MPR=1dB
(Baild II)		9538	9938	1907.6	22.17	26.53	
		9262	9662	1852.4	22.55	26.46	
	4	9400	9800	1880	22.53	26.49	#2 MPR=2dB
		9538	9938	1907.6	22.45	26.34	
-		9262	9662	1852.4	22.82	26.98	
	5	9400	9800	1880	22.53	26.56	#0 MPR=0dB
		9538	9938	1907.6	22.73	26.12	

Rel6 HSUPA Subtest 1 is worst mode.

The following tables taken from FCC 3G SAR procedures (KDB 941225 D01 SAR test for 3G devices v02) below were applied using an Agilent 8960 series 10 wireless communications test set which supports 3G / HSDPA release 5 / HSPA release 6.

> Page 32 Rev. 00

^{*} Prior to commencement of SAR testing the module power levels were measured in both HSPA and 3G RMC 12.2kbps modes and compared to ensure the correct mode of operation had been established.



Bluetooth Conducted power (Peak)(dBm)

Bluetooth		GFSK 1M	8DQPSK 3M	
	Ch 0	-1.14	1.09	
Bluetooth	Ch 39	-1.57	0.69	
	Ch78	-1.99	0.27	

802.11b/g Conducted power (Average)(dBm)

(
		1M	6M	6.5M			
	2412	19.1	14.5	13.1			
802.11	2437	16.8	17.0	17.0			
	2462	19.5	14.0	14.0			

802.11g Conducted power (Average)(dBm)

		13.5M
	2422	10.1
HT40	2437	16.5
	2452	14.1


802.11a Conducted power (Average)(dBm)

	•	6M	6.5M
	5180	16.5	16.5
	5200	16.6	16.5
	5240	16.6	16.5
	5260	16.6	16.7
	5280	16.5	16.5
802.11a	5320	16.6	16.5
002.11a	5500	19.1	16.6
	5600	16.6	16.5
	5700	16.5	16.6
	5745	16.5	16.5
	5785	16.5	16.5
	5825	16.5	16.5

Page 33 Rev. 00 802.11a Conducted power (Average)(dBm)

		13.5M
	5190	15.1
	5230	16.6
	5270	16.7
	5310	15.7
802.11a	5510	16.2
	5590	16.9
	5670	16.9
	5755	16.5
	5795	16.5

Antenna distance:



KDB 616217 RF Exposure Assessments:

WLAN Main-to -user=17.7mm

WLAN Aux-to-user=17.7mm

WWAN Main-to -user=177mm

WLAN Aux-to-WWAN main=160mm

WLAN Main-to-WWAN main=255mm

WLAN Aux-to-Bluetooth =122mm

WWAN aux is receiving only antenna.

- 1. Individual SAR evaluation:
 - a. 802.11 b@WLAN Main=0.678W/kg
 - b. 850 MHz @ WWAN -main=0.022W/kg
 - c. 1900 MHz @ WWAN –main=0.080W/kg
 - d. Bluetooth power is 1.285mW, Individual SAR is not required.
- 2. Individual output power:
 - a. 802.11 b/g output power=89.13mW
 - b. WWAN 850 MHz output power=1905.46mW(with 50% duty cycle)
 - c. WWAN 1900 MHz output power=870.96mW(with 505 duty cycle)
- 3. Antenna-to-antenna and Antenna-to-user ratio consideration
 - a. $n_x = 802.11b/g = 3$
 - b. n_y =WWAN 850MHz=26; n_y =WWAN 1900MHz=27
 - c. WLAN-to-WWAN antenna ratio= $(5+1/2*n_x + 1/2*n_y)=(5+1.5+13.5)=19.5$ cm
 - d. WLAN-to-user distance ratio= $(5+1/2* n_x)=6..5$ cm
 - e. WWAN-to-user distance ratio= $(5+1/2*n_v)=18cm$
- 4. Simultaneously SAR consideration
 - a. WLAN main-to-WWAN main separation distance=26>19.5cm
 - b. WLAN aux-to-WWAN main separation distance=16cm<19.5cm
 - c. WLAN main-to-user=1.77cm<6.5cm
 - d. WLAN aux-to-user=1.77cm<6.5cm
 - e. WWAN main-to-user=17.7cm<18cm
 - f. KDB616217 "When the closest antenna-to-antenna distance is < 5 cm and the antenna is also closer than 5 cm from user and nearby person, SAR should be evaluated according to the normally required simultaneous requirements for that antenna".:


Antenna-to-user separation distance for WLAN main and WLAN aux are more than 5 cm to the user, thus simultaneous SAR evaluation is nor required.

Page 35 Rev. 00



9.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

GSM band Body position:



Notes: 1) Please refer to attachment for the result presentation in plot format.

Page 36 Rev. 00

²⁾ The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration at least 3 dB lower (0.8mW/g) than SAR limit (1.6mW/g), thus testing at low and high channel is optional.

WCDMA band Body position:

	Body position Body position							
		II , Duty Cycle: V , Duty Cycle:			Depth of liquid: Depth of liquid:			
EUT Position	Antenna	Frequ	iency	Liquid	SAR (1g) (W/kg)	Limit		
EUT POSITION		Channel	MHz	Temp_°C		(W/kg)		
Dodr	Fixed	9400	1880.0	23.6	0.068	1.6		
Body	Fixed	4182	836.4	23.6	0.016	1.0		
		I, Duty Cycle: 10 I V, Duty Cycle:			Depth of liquid: Depth of liquid:			
EUT Position	Antenna	Frequ	Frequency		SAR (1g)	Limit		
LOI FOSILIOII	Amemia	Channel	MHz	Temp_°C	(W/kg)	(W/kg)		
Dodr	Fixed	9400	1880.0	23.6	0.059	1.6		
Body	Fixed	4182	836.4	23.6	0.013	1.6		
		III, Duty Cycle: V, Duty Cycle:			Depth of liquid: Depth of liquid:			
EUT Position	Antenna	Frequ Channel	iency MHz	Liquid Temp_°C	SAR (1g) (W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)		
	Fixed	9400	1880.0	23.6	0.068			
Body	Fixed	4182	836.4	23.6	0.020	1.6		
Matan 1) Dlana		-1	14 4 . 4		1			

Notes: 1) Please refer to attachment for the result presentation in plot format.

Page 37 Rev. 00

²⁾ The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration at least 3 dB lower (0.8 mW/g) than SAR limit (1.6 mW/g), thus testing at low and high channel is optional.

802.11 band Body position:

Body position				В	ody position	
Test mode: 802	2.11b , Duty C	Cycle: 100%, Rat	e= 1M, Crest	Factor: 1	Depth of liquid:	15.0 cm
EUT Position	Antenna	1	iency	Liquid	SAR (1g) (W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)
		Channel	MHz	Temp_°C		
Body	Fixed	6	2437	23.4	0.548	1.6
Body	Fixed	11	2462	23.6	0.678	1.6
Test mode: 802.11g , Duty Cycle: 100%, Rate= 6M, Crest Factor: 1 Depth of liquid: 15.0 cm						
EUT Position	Antenna	Frequency		Liquid	SAR (1g)	Limit
EU1 FOSILIOII		Channel	MHz	Temp_°C	(W/kg)	(W/kg)
Body	Fixed	6	2437	23.4	0.178	1.6
Test mode: 802	2.11g HT20,	Duty Cycle: 100	%, Rate= 6.51	M, Crest Factor: 1	Depth of liquid: 15.0) cm
EITE D ::	Antenna	Frequency		Liquid	SAR (1g)	Limit
EUT Position		Channel	MHz	Temp_°C	(W/kg)	(W/kg)
Body	Fixed	6	2437	23.4	0.110	1.6
Test mode: 802	2.11g HT40,	Duty Cycle: 100	%, Rate= 13.5	M, Crest Factor: 1	Depth of liquid: 15	.0 cm
EUT Position	Antenna	Frequency Channel MHz		Liquid Temp_°C	SAR (1g) (W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)
Body	Fixed	4	2437	23.4	0.112	1.6
N. 1 DI	C , , , ,	1	1, ,	1	<u> </u>	

Notes: 1) Please refer to attachment for the result presentation in plot format.

Page 38 Rev. 00

²⁾ The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration at least 3 dB lower (0.8 mW/g) than SAR limit (1.6 mW/g), thus testing at low and high channel is optional.

802.11 band Body position:



Test mode: **802.11a**, Duty Cycle: 100%, Rate= 6M, Crest Factor: 1 Depth of liquid: 15.0 cm

	, ,	* *	*		1 1	
EUT Position	Antenna	Frequency		Liquid	SAR (1g)	Limit
		Channel	MHz	Temp_°C	(W/kg)	(W/kg)
Body	Fixed	40	5200	23.5	0.170	
	Fixed	56	5280	23.5	0.194	1.6
	Fixed	64	5320	23.6	0.211	
	Fixed	120	5600	23.5	0.157	
	Fixed	110	5500	23.6	0.299	
	Fixed	157	5785	23.5	0.215	

Notes: 1) Please refer to attachment for the result presentation in plot format.

Page 39 Rev. 00

²⁾ The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration at least 3 dB lower (0.8 mW/g) than SAR limit (1.6 mW/g), thus testing at low and high channel is optional.

1.6

0.366

0.359

0.282


802.11 band Body position:

Body

Fixed

Fixed

Fixed



5785 Test mode: 802.11a HT40, Duty Cycle: 100%, Rate= 13.5M, Crest Factor: 1 Depth of liquid: 15.0 cm

5500

5600

23.6

23.5

23.5

EUT Position	Antenna	Frequency		Liquid	SAR (1g)	Limit	
		Channel	MHz	Temp_°C	(W/kg)	(W/kg)	
Body	Fixed	38	5190	23.5	0.208		
	Fixed	46	5230	23.6	0.253	1.6	
	Fixed	54	5270	23.6	0.271		
	Fixed	62	5310	23.5	0.224		
	Fixed	118	5590	23.5	0.257		
	Fixed	159	5795	23.5	0.159		

Notes: 1) Please refer to attachment for the result presentation in plot format.

110

120

157


2) The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration at least 3 dB lower (0.8mW/g) than SAR limit (1.6mW/g), thus testing at low and high channel is optional.

> Page 40 Rev. 00

10. EUT PHOTOS








WLAN main antenna

Page 41 Rev. 00



(inside)

11. EQUIPMENT LIST & CALIBRATION STATUS

Name of Equipment	Manufacturer	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration Cycle(days)	Calibration Due
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	E8358A	US40260243	365	07/08/09
Electronic Probe kit	Hewlett Packard	85070D	N/A	N/A	N/A
Power Meter	Agilent	E4416A	GB41291611	365	04/07/09
Power Sensor	Agilent	E9327A	US40441097	365	06/20/09
Wireless Communication Test Set	R&S	CMU200	101245	365	04/18/09
Wireless Communication Test Set	Agilent	E5515C	GB44051665	365	06/24/09
Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)	SPEAG	DAE3	558	365	09/18/09
Dosimetric E-Field Probe	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3554	365	09/18/09
835 MHz System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D835V2	4d015	730	11/17/09
1900 MHz System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D1900V2	5d056	730	11/17/09
2450 MHz System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D2450V2	728	730	04/10/10
5GHz System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D5GHzV2	1004	730	01/23/10
Probe Alignment Unit	SPEAG	LB (V2)	348	N/A	N/A
Robot	Staubli	RX90B L	F02/5T69A1/A/01	N/A	N/A
SAM Twin Phantom V4.0	SPEAG	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Devices Holder	SPEAG	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Head/ Muscle 835 MHz	CCS	H/M 835A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Head/ Muscle 1900 MHz	CCS	H/M 1900A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Head/ Muscle 2450 MHz	CCS	H/M 2450A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Page 43 Rev. 00

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

12. FACILITIES

All measurement facilities used to collect the measurement data are located at
☐ No. 81-1, Lane 210, Bade Rd. 2, Luchu Hsiang, Taoyuan Hsien, Taiwan, R.O.C.
No. 11, Wugong 6th Rd., Wugu Industrial Park, Taipei Hsien 248, Taiwan.
☐ No. 199, Chunghsen Road, Hsintien City, Taipei Hsien, Taiwan, R.O.C.

13. REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environ-mental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O_ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-_eld scanning system for dosimetric assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645 [652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-_eld probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM _ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15{17, 1997, pp. 120{124.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-_eld probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23{25 June, 1996, pp. 172{175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865{1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992..Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10

Page 44 Rev. 00

14. ATTACHMENTS

Exhibit	Content					
1	System Performance Check Plots					
2	SAR Test Plots					

Date of Issue: April 09, 2009

END OF REPORT

Page 45 Rev. 00