

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE SAR EVALUATION

Test Lab

CELLTECH LABS INC.
 1955 Moss Court
 Kelowna, B.C.
 Canada V1Y 9L3
 Phone: 250-448-7047
 Fax: 250-448-7046
 e-mail: info@celltechlabs.com
 web site: www.celltechlabs.com

Applicant Information

ATLINKS USA INC.
 101 West 103rd St.,
 Indianapolis, IN 46290-1102

Rule Part(s): FCC 47 CFR §2.1093; IC RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional)
Test Procedure(s): FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01)
 IEEE Standard 1528-200X (Draft)
FCC Classification: Part 15 Spread Spectrum Transmitter (DSS)
FCC ID: G9H2-5830M
Model(s): 25830XXX-M
Device Type: 2.4 GHz Spread Spectrum Cordless Telephone Handset
Mode of Operation: Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS)
Duty Cycle Tested: 8.6% (Source-Based Time-Averaged)
Tx Frequency Range: 2409.696 - 2473.632 MHz
RF Output Power Tested: 0.332 Watts EIRP (2441.664 MHz)
Source-Based Time-Av. Power: 28.6 mW EIRP (2441.664 MHz)
Antenna Type: Fixed Stubby
Battery Type(s): 3.6V NiCd (800 mAh)
Body-Worn Access. Tested: Belt-Clip, Ear-Microphone
Max. SAR Measured: 0.0808 W/kg (Head) / 0.0775 W/kg (Body)

Celltech Labs Inc. declares under its sole responsibility that this device was found to be in compliance with the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) RF exposure requirements specified in FCC 47 CFR §2.1093 and Health Canada's Safety Code 6. The device was tested in accordance with the measurement standards and procedures specified in FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C, Edition 01-01, Industry Canada's RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional), and IEEE Standard 1528-200X (Draft) for the General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure environment.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

This test report shall not be reproduced partially, or in full, without the prior written approval of Celltech Labs Inc. The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.



Russell W. Pipe
 Senior Compliance Technologist
 Celltech Labs Inc.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION..... | 3 |
| 2.0 | DESCRIPTION OF EUT..... | 3 |
| 3.0 | SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM | 4 |
| 4.0 | MEASUREMENT SUMMARY..... | 5 |
| 5.0 | DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION..... | 6-7 |
| 6.0 | EVALUATION PROCEDURES..... | 7-8 |
| 7.0 | SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK..... | 9 |
| 8.0 | EQUIVALENT TISSUES..... | 10 |
| 9.0 | SAR LIMITS..... | 10 |
| 10.0 | SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS..... | 11 |
| 11.0 | PROBE SPECIFICATION..... | 12 |
| 12.0 | SAM PHANTOM..... | 12 |
| 13.0 | DEVICE HOLDER..... | 12 |
| 14.0 | TEST EQUIPMENT LIST..... | 13 |
| 15.0 | MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES..... | 14-15 |
| 16.0 | REFERENCES..... | 16 |
| APPENDIX A - SAR MEASUREMENT DATA..... | | 17 |
| APPENDIX B - SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK DATA..... | | 18 |
| APPENDIX C - SYSTEM VALIDATION..... | | 19 |
| APPENDIX D - PROBE CALIBRATION..... | | 20 |
| APPENDIX E - MEASURED FLUID DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS..... | | 21 |
| APPENDIX F - SAM PHANTOM CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMITY..... | | 22 |
| APPENDIX G - SAR TEST SETUP & EUT PHOTOGRAPHS..... | | 23 |

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This measurement report shows that the ATLINKS USA INC. Model: 25830XXX-M 2.4GHz Spread Spectrum Cordless Telephone Handset FCC ID: G9H2-5830M complies with the SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) RF exposure requirements for portable devices specified in FCC 47 CFR §2.1093 (see reference [1]), and Health Canada's Safety Code 6 (see reference [2]) for the General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure environment. The test procedures described in FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C, Edition 01-01 (see reference [3]), Industry Canada's RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional) (see reference [4]), and IEEE Standard 1528-200X (Draft) (see reference [5]) were employed. A description of the product and operating configuration, detailed summary of the test results, methodology and procedures used in the evaluation, equipment used, and the various provisions of the rules are included within this test report.

2.0 DESCRIPTION of Equipment Under Test (EUT)

| | |
|---|--|
| EUT Type | 2.4 GHz Spread Spectrum Cordless Telephone Handset |
| FCC Equipment Class | Part 15 Spread Spectrum Transmitter (DSS) |
| FCC Rule Part(s) | 47 CFR §2.1093 |
| Test Procedure(s) | FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (01-01) IC RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional) IEEE Standard 1528-200X (Draft) |
| FCC ID | G9H2-5830M |
| Model No.(s) | 25830XXX-M |
| Serial No. | Pre-production unit |
| Tx Frequency Range | 2409.696 - 2473.632 MHz |
| Mode of Operation | Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum (FHSS) |
| RF Output Power Tested | 0.332 Watts EIRP (2441.664 MHz) |
| Source-Based Time-Averaged RF Output Power | 28.6 mW EIRP (2441.664 MHz) |
| Source-Based Time-Averaged Duty Cycle | 8.6 % |
| Battery Type(s) | 3.6V NiCd (800 mAh) |
| Antenna Type | Fixed Stubby |
| Body-Worn Accessories Tested | Belt-Clip, Ear-Microphone |

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

3.0 SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

Celltech Labs SAR measurement facility utilizes the Dosimetric Assessment System (DASY™) manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™) of Zurich, Switzerland. The DASY system is comprised of the robot controller, computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, specific anthropomorphic mannequin (SAM) phantom, and various planar phantoms for face-held and/or body-worn SAR evaluations. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF). A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and remote control, is used to drive the robot motors. The Staubli robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card. The DAE3 utilizes a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16-bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe-mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.



DASY3 SAR Measurement System with SAM Phantom




Figure 1. DASY3 Compact Version - Side View

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

4.0 MEASUREMENT SUMMARY

The measurement results were obtained with the EUT tested in the conditions described in this report. Detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT are reported in Appendix A.

| SAR EVALUATION RESULTS | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Freq. (MHz) | Chan. | Test Mode | RF Output Power | | Antenna Type | Separation Distance to Phantom | Phantom Section | Test Position | Measured SAR 1g (W/kg) |
| | | | EIRP (W) | Drift (dB) | | | | | |
| 2441.664 | 37 | Modulated | 0.332 | -0.06 | Fixed | - | Left Ear | Cheek/Touch | 0.0735 |
| 2441.664 | 37 | Modulated | 0.332 | -0.10 | Fixed | - | Left Ear | Ear/Tilt (15°) | 0.0727 |
| 2441.664 | 37 | Modulated | 0.332 | -0.12 | Fixed | - | Right Ear | Cheek/Touch | 0.0808 |
| 2441.664 | 37 | Modulated | 0.332 | -0.03 | Fixed | - | Right Ear | Ear/Tilt (15°) | 0.0777 |
| 2441.664 | 37 | Modulated | 0.332 | -0.09 | Fixed | 0.6 cm Belt-Clip | Planar | Body-worn | 0.0775 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--|----------------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT BRAIN / BODY: 1.6 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram) Spatial Peak - Uncontrolled Exposure / General Population | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measured Tissue Simulant | | | 2450MHz Brain | | | | 2450MHz Body | | | | | |
| | | | IEEE Target | Measured | | IEEE Target | Measured | | | | | |
| Dielectric Constant ϵ_r | 39.2 ±5% | | | 37.4 | | 52.7 ±5% | 50.1 | | | | | |
| Conductivity σ (mho/m) | 1.80 ±5% | | | 1.88 | | 1.95 ±5% | 1.98 | | | | | |
| ρ (Kg/m³) | 1000 | | | | 1000 | | | | | | | |
| Atmospheric Pressure | 101.7 kPa | | | | 101.4 kPa | | | | | | | |
| Relative Humidity | 32 % | | | | 32 % | | | | | | | |
| Ambient Temperature | 25.0 °C | | | | 25.0 °C | | | | | | | |
| Fluid Temperature | 23.4 °C | | | | 23.9 °C | | | | | | | |
| Fluid Depth | ≥ 15 cm | | | | ≥ 15 cm | | | | | | | |

Note(s):

1. If the SAR measurements performed at the middle channel were ≥ 3dB below the SAR limit, SAR evaluation for the low and high channels was optional (per FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C, Edition 01-01 - see reference [3]).
2. The ambient and fluid temperatures were measured prior to, and during, the fluid dielectric parameter check and the SAR evaluation. The temperatures listed in the table above were consistent for all measurement periods.
3. The dielectric properties of the simulated fluids were measured prior to the evaluation using an 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit and an 8753E Network Analyzer (see Appendix E for printout of measured fluid dielectric parameters).

5.0 DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION

The ATLINKS USA INC. Model: 25830XXX-M 2.4GHz Spread Spectrum Cordless Telephone Handset FCC ID: G9H2-5830M was found to be compliant for localized Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) based on the test provisions and conditions described below. The detailed test setup photographs are shown in Appendix G.

Ear-held Configuration

- 1) The EUT was tested in an ear-held configuration on both the left and right sections of the SAM phantom at the middle channel of the operating band. If the SAR value of the middle channel for each test configuration (left ear, right ear, cheek/touch, ear/tilt) was ≥ 3 dB below the SAR limit, measurements at the low and high channels were optional (per FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C, Edition 01-01 - see reference [3]).
- a) The handset was placed in the device holder in a normal operating position with the test device reference point located along the vertical centerline on the front of the device aligned to the ear reference point, with the center of the earpiece touching the center of the ear spacer of the SAM phantom.
- b) With the handset positioned parallel to the cheek, the test device reference point was aligned to the ear reference point on the head phantom, and the vertical centerline was aligned to the phantom reference plane (initial ear position).
- c) While maintaining the three alignments, the body of the handset was gradually adjusted to each of the following test positions:
 - Cheek/Touch Position: the handset was brought toward the mouth of the head phantom by pivoting against the ear reference point until any point of the mouthpiece or keypad touched the phantom.




Figure 2. Phone position 1, "cheek" or "touch" position. The reference points for the right ear (RE), left ear (LE) and mouth (M), which define the reference plane for phone positioning, are indicated (Shoulders are shown for illustration only).

- Ear/Tilt Position: With the phone aligned in the Cheek/Touch position, the handset was tilted away from the mouth with respect to the test device reference point by 15 degrees.




Figure 3. Phone position 2, "tilted position." The reference points for the right ear (RE), left ear (LE) and mouth (M), which define the reference plane for phone positioning, are indicated (Shoulders are shown for illustration only).

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION (Cont.)

Body-worn Configuration

- 2) The EUT was tested in a body-worn configuration with the back of the device placed parallel to the outer surface of the SAM phantom (planar section). The attached belt-clip accessory was touching the outer surface of the SAM phantom (planar section) and provided a 0.6 cm separation distance from the back of the handset to the SAM phantom (planar section). An ear-microphone accessory was connected to the EUT for the duration of the tests.

EUT Test Mode & Power Setting

- 3) The EUT was placed into test mode using internal software controlled by the keypad, and with the frequency hopping disabled.
- 4) SAR measurements were performed with the EUT transmitting continuously at maximum power in 1 time slot at a fixed frequency with random modulation and a source-based time-averaged duty cycle of 8.6% (crest factor: 11.6).
- 5) The conducted power level(s) of the EUT could not be measured for the SAR evaluation. The EUT was evaluated for SAR at the maximum conducted power level preset by the manufacturer.
- 6) The EUT was evaluated for SAR at the maximum EIRP measured by signal substitution method in accordance with ANSI TIA/EIA-603-A-2001.
- 7) The power drift measured by the DASY3 system for the duration of each test was within +/- 5%.
- 8) The EUT was tested with a fully charged battery.

6.0 EVALUATION PROCEDURES

- a. (i) The evaluation was performed in the applicable area of the phantom depending on the type of device being tested. For devices held to the ear during normal operation, both the left and right ear positions were evaluated in accordance with FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) using the SAM phantom.
 - (ii) For body-worn and face-held devices a planar phantom was used.
- b. The SAR was determined by a pre-defined procedure within the DASY3 software. Upon completion of a reference and optical surface check, the exposed region of the phantom was scanned near the inner surface with a grid spacing of 15mm x 15mm.
- c. Based on the area scan data, the area of maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume of 40 x 40 x 35 mm (fine resolution volume scan, zoom scan) was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points.
- d. The 1g and 10g spatial peak SAR was determined as follows:
 1. The first step was an extrapolation to find the points between the dipole center of the probe and the surface of the phantom. This data cannot be measured, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.4 mm (see probe calibration document in Appendix D). The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm [W. Gander, Computermathematik, p.168-180] (see reference [6]). Through the points in the first 3 cm in each z-axis, polynomials of the fourth order were calculated. These polynomials were then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 2. The next step used 3D-spline interpolation to get all points within the measured volume in a 1mm grid (35000 points). The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition [W. Gander, Computermathematik, p.141-150] (x, y and z -direction) [Numerical Recipes in C, Second Edition, p.123ff] (see reference [6]).
 3. The maximal interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3D-spline interpolation algorithm. 8000 points (20x20x20) were interpolated to calculate the average.

EVALUATION PROCEDURES (Cont.)




Figure 4. Flow Chart of the recommended practices and procedures per IEEE Std 1528-200X - Draft (see reference [5])

7.0 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

Prior to the SAR evaluation a system check was performed at the planar section of the SAM phantom with a 2450MHz dipole (see Appendix C for system validation procedures). Prior to the system check the fluid dielectric parameters were measured using an HP 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit and an HP 8753E Network Analyzer (see Appendix E for printout of measured fluid dielectric parameters). A forward power of 250 mW was applied to the dipole and the system was verified to a tolerance of $\pm 10\%$ (see Appendix B for system check test plot).

| SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|
| Test Date | Equiv. Tissue (2450MHz) | SAR 1g (W/kg) | | Dielectric Constant ϵ_r | | Conductivity σ (mho/m) | | ρ (Kg/m ³) | Ambient Temp. | Fluid Temp. | Fluid Depth |
| | | IEEE Target | Measured | IEEE Target | Measured | IEEE Target | Measured | | | | |
| 08/13/03 | Brain | 13.1 $\pm 10\%$ | 14.3 | 39.2 $\pm 5\%$ | 37.4 | 1.80 $\pm 5\%$ | 1.88 | 1000 | 25.0 °C | 23.4 °C | ≥ 15 cm |

Note(s):

1. The ambient and fluid temperatures were measured prior to, and during, the fluid dielectric parameter check and the system performance check. The temperatures listed in the table above were consistent for all measurement periods.




Figure 5. System Check Setup Diagram

2450MHz Dipole

8.0 EQUIVALENT TISSUES

The 2450MHz brain and body simulated tissue mixtures consist of Glycol-monobutyl, water, and salt. The fluid was prepared according to standardized procedures and measured for dielectric parameters (permittivity and conductivity).

| TISSUE MIXTURES | | |
|------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| INGREDIENT | 2450MHz Brain (System Check & EUT Evaluation) | 2450MHz Body (EUT Evaluation) |
| Water | 55.20 % | 69.95 % |
| Glycol Monobutyl | 44.80 % | 30.00 % |
| Salt | - | 0.05 % |

9.0 SAR SAFETY LIMITS

| EXPOSURE LIMITS | SAR (W/kg) | |
|--|--|--|
| | (General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment) | (Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment) |
| Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body) | 0.08 | 0.4 |
| Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue) | 1.60 | 8.0 |
| Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g) | 4.0 | 20.0 |

Notes:

1. Uncontrolled environments are defined as locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their potential exposure.
2. Controlled environments are defined as locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have knowledge of their potential exposure and can exercise control over their exposure.

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

10.0 ROBOT SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

Specifications

POSITIONER: Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: RX60L
Repeatability: 0.02 mm
No. of axis: 6

Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System

Cell Controller

Processor: Pentium III
Clock Speed: 450 MHz
Operating System: Windows NT
Data Card: DASY3 PC-Board

Data Converter

Features: Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter, and control logic
Software: DASY3 software
Connecting Lines: Optical downlink for data and status info.
 Optical uplink for commands and clock

PC Interface Card

Function: 24 bit (64 MHz) DSP for real time processing
 Link to DAE3
 16-bit A/D converter for surface detection system
 serial link to robot
 direct emergency stop output for robot

E-Field Probe

Model: ET3DV6
Serial No.: 1387
Construction: Triangular core fiber optic detection system
Frequency: 10 MHz to 6 GHz
Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

Phantom

Type: SAM V4.0C
Shell Material: Fiberglass
Thickness: 2.0 ± 0.1 mm
Volume: Approx. 20 liters

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

11.0 PROBE SPECIFICATION (ET3DV6)

Construction: Symmetrical design with triangular core
Built-in shielding against static charges
PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g. glycol)

Calibration: In air from 10 MHz to 2.5 GHz
In brain simulating tissue at frequencies of 900 MHz and 1.8 GHz (accuracy $\pm 8\%$)

Frequency: 10 MHz to <6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
(30 MHz to 3 GHz)

Directivity: ± 0.2 dB in brain tissue (rotation around probe axis)
 ± 0.4 dB in brain tissue (rotation normal to probe axis)

Dynamic Range: 5 μ W/g to <100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB

Surface Detect.: ± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over diffuse reflecting surfaces

Dimensions: Overall length: 330 mm
Tip length: 16 mm
Body diameter: 12 mm
Tip diameter: 6.8 mm
Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm

Application: General dosimetry up to 3 GHz
Compliance tests of portable devices



ET3DV6 E-Field Probe

12.0 SAM PHANTOM V4.0C

The SAM phantom V4.0C is a fiberglass shell phantom with a 2.0 mm shell thickness for left and right head and flat planar area integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the fiberglass shell corresponds to the phantom defined by SCC34-SC2. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections.



SAM Phantom V4.0C

13.0 DEVICE HOLDER

The DASY3 device holder has two scales for device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear openings). The plane between the ear openings and the mouth tip has a rotation angle of 65°. The bottom plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections.



Device Holder

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

14.0 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST

| TEST EQUIPMENT | SERIAL NO. | CALIBRATION DATE |
|--|------------|------------------|
| Schmid & Partner DASY3 System | - | - |
| -Robot | 599396-01 | N/A |
| -ET3DV6 E-Field Probe | 1387 | Feb 2003 |
| -300MHz Validation Dipole | 135 | Oct 2002 |
| -450MHz Validation Dipole | 136 | Oct 2002 |
| -900MHz Validation Dipole | 054 | June 2003 |
| -1800MHz Validation Dipole | 247 | June 2003 |
| -2450MHz Validation Dipole | 150 | Oct 2002 |
| -SAM Phantom V4.0C | N/A | N/A |
| HP 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit | N/A | N/A |
| Gigatronics 8651A Power Meter | 8650137 | April 2003 |
| Gigatronics 8652A Power Meter | 1835267 | April 2003 |
| Power Sensor 80701A | 1833542 | Feb 2003 |
| Power Sensor 80701A | 1833699 | April 2003 |
| HP E4408B Spectrum Analyzer | US39240170 | Dec 2002 |
| HP 8594E Spectrum Analyzer | 3543A02721 | Feb 2003 |
| HP 8753E Network Analyzer | US38433013 | Feb 2003 |
| HP 8648D Signal Generator | 3847A00611 | Feb 2003 |
| Amplifier Research 5S1G4 Power Amplifier | 26235 | N/A |

15.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

| UNCERTAINTY BUDGET FOR DEVICE EVALUATION | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------|------------|-------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Error Description | Uncertainty Value ±% | Probability Distribution | Divisor | c_i 1g | Standard Uncertainty ±% (1g) | v_i or v_{eff} |
| Measurement System | | | | | | |
| Probe calibration | ± 4.8 | Normal | 1 | 1 | ± 4.8 | ∞ |
| Axial isotropy of the probe | ± 4.7 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | $(1-c_p)$ | ± 1.9 | ∞ |
| Spherical isotropy of the probe | ± 9.6 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | (c_p) | ± 3.9 | ∞ |
| Spatial resolution | ± 0.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 0.0 | ∞ |
| Boundary effects | ± 5.5 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 3.2 | ∞ |
| Probe linearity | ± 4.7 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 2.7 | ∞ |
| Detection limit | ± 1.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 0.6 | ∞ |
| Readout electronics | ± 1.0 | Normal | 1 | 1 | ± 1.0 | ∞ |
| Response time | ± 0.8 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 0.5 | ∞ |
| Integration time | ± 1.4 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 0.8 | ∞ |
| RF ambient conditions | ± 3.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 1.7 | ∞ |
| Mech. constraints of robot | ± 0.4 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 0.2 | ∞ |
| Probe positioning | ± 2.9 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 1.7 | ∞ |
| Extrapolation & integration | ± 3.9 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 2.3 | ∞ |
| Test Sample Related | | | | | | |
| Device positioning | ± 6.0 | Normal | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 6.7 | 12 |
| Device holder uncertainty | ± 5.0 | Normal | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 5.9 | 8 |
| Power drift | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | | ± 2.9 | ∞ |
| Phantom and Setup | | | | | | |
| Phantom uncertainty | ± 4.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 2.3 | ∞ |
| Liquid conductivity (target) | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.6 | ± 1.7 | ∞ |
| Liquid conductivity (measured) | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.6 | ± 1.7 | ∞ |
| Liquid permittivity (target) | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.6 | ± 1.7 | ∞ |
| Liquid permittivity (measured) | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.6 | ± 1.7 | ∞ |
| Combined Standard Uncertainty | | | | | | |
| Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) | | | | | | |
| ± 13.3 | | | | | | |
| ± 26.6 | | | | | | |

Measurement Uncertainty Table in accordance with IEEE Std 1528-200X (Draft - see reference [5])

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES (Cont.)

| UNCERTAINTY BUDGET FOR SYSTEM VALIDATION | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------|------------|-------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Error Description | Uncertainty Value ±% | Probability Distribution | Divisor | c_i 1g | Standard Uncertainty ±% (1g) | v_i or v_{eff} |
| Measurement System | | | | | | |
| Probe calibration | ± 4.8 | Normal | 1 | 1 | ± 4.8 | ∞ |
| Axial isotropy of the probe | ± 4.7 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | $(1-c_p)$ | ± 1.9 | ∞ |
| Spherical isotropy of the probe | ± 9.6 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | (c_p) | ± 3.9 | ∞ |
| Spatial resolution | ± 0.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 0.0 | ∞ |
| Boundary effects | ± 5.5 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 3.2 | ∞ |
| Probe linearity | ± 4.7 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 2.7 | ∞ |
| Detection limit | ± 1.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 0.6 | ∞ |
| Readout electronics | ± 1.0 | Normal | 1 | 1 | ± 1.0 | ∞ |
| Response time | ± 0.8 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 0.5 | ∞ |
| Integration time | ± 1.4 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 0.8 | ∞ |
| RF ambient conditions | ± 3.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 1.7 | ∞ |
| Mech. constraints of robot | ± 0.4 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 0.2 | ∞ |
| Probe positioning | ± 2.9 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 1.7 | ∞ |
| Extrapolation & integration | ± 3.9 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 2.3 | ∞ |
| Dipole | | | | | | |
| Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance | ± 2.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 1.2 | ∞ |
| Input Power | ± 4.7 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 2.7 | ∞ |
| Phantom and Setup | | | | | | |
| Phantom uncertainty | ± 4.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 1 | ± 2.3 | ∞ |
| Liquid conductivity (target) | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.6 | ± 1.7 | ∞ |
| Liquid conductivity (measured) | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.6 | ± 1.7 | ∞ |
| Liquid permittivity (target) | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.6 | ± 1.7 | ∞ |
| Liquid permittivity (measured) | ± 5.0 | Rectangular | $\sqrt{3}$ | 0.6 | ± 1.7 | ∞ |
| Combined Standard Uncertainty | | | | | | |
| Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) | | | | | | |
| ± 9.9 | | | | | | |
| ± 19.8 | | | | | | |

Measurement Uncertainty Table in accordance with IEEE Std 1528-200X (Draft - see reference [5])

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

16.0 REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communication Commission, "Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices", Rule Part 47 CFR §2.1093: 1999.
- [2] Health Canada, "Limits of Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz to 300 GHz", Safety Code 6.
- [3] Federal Communications Commission, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01), FCC, Washington, D.C.: June 2001.
- [4] Industry Canada, "Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields", Radio Standards Specification RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional): September 1999.
- [5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34, Std 1528-200X, "DRAFT Recommended Practice for Determining the Spatial-Peak Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques".
- [6] W. Gander, *Computermathematick*, Birkhaeuser, Basel: 1992.

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

APPENDIX A - SAR MEASUREMENT DATA

ATLINKS USA INC. FCC ID: G9H2-5830M

SAM Phantom; Left Head Section; Position: (90°,65°)

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00); Crest factor: 11.6

Brain 2450 MHz: $\sigma = 1.88$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 37.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0

Cube 5x5x7; Powerdrift: -0.06 dB

SAR (1g): 0.0735 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.0395 mW/g

Head SAR - Left Cheek/Touch Position

2.4 GHz FHSS Cordless Handset Model: 25830xxx-M

Fixed Stubby Antenna

3.6 V NiCd Battery (800mAh)

Fixed Frequency - Random Modulation

Mid Channel [2441.664 MHz]

RF Output Power: 0.332 Watts (EIRP)

Ambient Temp, 25.0°C; Fluid Temp, 23.4°C

Date Tested: August 13, 2003



ATLINKS USA INC. FCC ID: G9H2-5830M

SAM Phantom; Left Head Section; Position: (105°,65°)

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00); Crest factor: 11.6

Brain 2450 MHz: $\sigma = 1.88$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 37.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0

Cube 5x5x7; Powerdrift: -0.10 dB

SAR (1g): 0.0727 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.0396 mW/g

Head SAR - Left Ear/Tilt Position (15°)

2.4 GHz FHSS Cordless Handset Model: 25830xxx-M

Fixed Stubby Antenna

3.6 V NiCd Battery (800mAh)

Fixed Frequency - Random Modulation

Mid Channel [2441.664 MHz]

RF Output Power: 0.332 Watts (EIRP)

Ambient Temp, 25.0°C; Fluid Temp, 23.4°C

Date Tested: August 13, 2003



ATLINKS USA INC. FCC ID: G9H2-5830M

SAM Phantom; Right Head Section; Position: (90°,295°)

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00); Crest factor: 11.6

Brain 2450 MHz: $\sigma = 1.88$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 37.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0

Cube 5x5x7; Powerdrift: -0.12 dB

SAR (1g): 0.0808 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.0442 mW/g

Head SAR - Right Cheek/Touch Position

2.4 GHz FHSS Cordless Handset Model: 25830xxx-M

Fixed Stubby Antenna

3.6 V NiCd Battery (800mAh)


Fixed Frequency - Random Modulation

Mid Channel [2441.664 MHz]

RF Output Power: 0.332 Watts (EIRP)

Ambient Temp, 25.0°C; Fluid Temp, 23.4°C

Date Tested: August 13, 2003



ATLINKS USA INC. FCC ID: G9H2-5830M

SAM Phantom; Right Head Section

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00); Crest factor: 11.6

Brain 2450 MHz: $\sigma = 1.88$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 37.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Z-Axis Extrapolation at Peak SAR Location

Head SAR - Right Cheek/Touch Position

2.4 GHz FHSS Cordless Handset Model: 25830xxx-M

Fixed Stubby Antenna

3.6 V NiCd Battery (800mAh)


Fixed Frequency - Random Modulation

Mid Channel [2441.664 MHz]

RF Output Power: 0.332 Watts (EIRP)

Ambient Temp, 25.0°C; Fluid Temp, 23.4°C

Date Tested: August 13, 2003



ATLINKS USA INC. FCC ID: G9H2-5830M

SAM Phantom; Right Head Section; Position: (105°,295°)

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00); Crest factor: 11.6

Brain 2450 MHz: $\sigma = 1.88$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 37.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0

Cube 5x5x7; Powerdrift: -0.03 dB

SAR (1g): 0.0777 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.0422 mW/g

Head SAR - Right Ear/Tilt Position (15°)

2.4 GHz FHSS Cordless Handset Model: 25830xxx-M

Fixed Stubby Antenna

3.6 V NiCd Battery (800mAh)


Fixed Frequency - Random Modulation

Mid Channel [2441.664 MHz]

RF Output Power: 0.332 Watts (EIRP)

Ambient Temp, 25.0°C; Fluid Temp, 23.4°C

Date Tested: August 13, 2003



ATLINKS USA INC. FCC ID: G9H2-5830M

SAM Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (270°, 90°)
Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(4.60,4.60,4.60); Crest factor: 11.6
Muscle 2450 MHz: $\sigma = 1.98$ mho/m $\epsilon_f = 50.1$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Coarse: $D_x = 15.0$, $D_y = 15.0$, $D_z = 10.0$

Cube 5x5x7; Powerdrift: -0.09 dB

SAR (1g): 0.0775 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.0407 mW/g

Body-worn SAR - 0.6 cm Belt-Clip Separation Distance
2.4 GHz FHSS Cordless Handset Model: 25830xxx-M

Fixed Stubby Antenna


3.6 V NiCd Battery (800mAh)

Mid Channel [2441.664 MHz]

RF Output Power: 0.332 Watts (EIRP)

Ambient Temp. 25.0°C; Fluid Temp. 23.9°C

Date Tested: August 13, 2003



ATLINKS USA INC. FCC ID: G9H2-5830M

SAM Phantom; Flat Section
 Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(4.60,4.60,4.60); Crest factor: 11.6
 Muscle 2450 MHz: $\sigma = 1.98 \text{ mho/m}$ $\epsilon_r = 50.1$ $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Z-Axis Extrapolation at Peak SAR Location

Body-worn SAR - 0.6 cm Belt-Clip Separation Distance
 2.4 GHz FHSS Cordless Handset Model: 25830xxx-M

Fixed Stubby Antenna


3.6 V NiCd Battery (800mAh)

Mid Channel [2441.664 MHz]

RF Output Power: 0.332 Watts (EIRP)

Ambient Temp. 25.0°C; Fluid Temp. 23.9°C

Date Tested: August 13, 2003



| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

APPENDIX B - SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK DATA

System Performance Check - 2450MHz Dipole

SAM Phantom; Flat Section

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00); Crest factor: 1.0; Brain 2450 MHz; $\sigma = 1.88 \text{ mho/m}$ $\epsilon_r = 37.4$ $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cube 5x5x7; Peak: 28.0 mW/g, SAR (1g): 14.3 mW/g, SAR (10g): 6.68 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)


Penetration depth: 7.1 (7.0, 7.3) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.02 dB

Forward Conducted Power: 250mW

Ambient Temp. 25.0°C; Fluid Temp. 23.4°C

Date Tested: August 13, 2003



| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

APPENDIX C - SYSTEM VALIDATION

2450MHz SYSTEM VALIDATION DIPOLE

Type:

2450MHz Validation Dipole

Serial Number:

150


Place of Calibration:

Celltech Research Inc.

Date of Calibration:

October 24, 2002**Celltech Research Inc. hereby certifies that this device has been calibrated on the date indicated above.**

Calibrated by:



Approved by:



1. Dipole Construction & Electrical Characteristics

The validation dipole was constructed in accordance with the IEEE Std "Recommended Practice for Determining the Spatial-Peak Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques". The electrical properties were measured using an HP 8753E Network Analyzer. The network analyzer was calibrated to the validation dipole N-type connector feed point using an HP85032E Type N calibration kit. The dipole was placed parallel to a planar phantom at a separation distance of 10.0mm from the simulating fluid using a loss-less dielectric spacer. The measured input impedance is:


Feed point impedance at 2450MHz

$$\text{Re}\{Z\} = 49.838\Omega$$

$$\text{Im}\{Z\} = 0.2207\Omega$$

Return Loss at 2450MHz

-49.398 dB



Validation Dipole Dimensions


| Frequency (MHz) | L (mm) | h (mm) | d (mm) |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|
| 300 | 420.0 | 250.0 | 6.2 |
| 450 | 288.0 | 167.0 | 6.2 |
| 835 | 161.0 | 89.8 | 3.6 |
| 900 | 149.0 | 83.3 | 3.6 |
| 1450 | 89.1 | 51.7 | 3.6 |
| 1800 | 72.0 | 41.7 | 3.6 |
| 1900 | 68.0 | 39.5 | 3.6 |
| 2000 | 64.5 | 37.5 | 3.6 |
| 2450 | 51.8 | 30.6 | 3.6 |
| 3000 | 41.5 | 25.0 | 3.6 |

2. Validation Phantom

The validation phantom is the SAM (Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin) phantom manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG. The SAM phantom is a Fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell corresponds to the phantom defined by SCC34-SC2. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot.

Shell Thickness: 2.0 ± 0.1 mm
Filling Volume: Approx. 20 liters
Dimensions: 50 cm (W) x 100 cm (L)

SAM Twin-Phantom



2450MHz Dipole Calibration



2450MHz Dipole Calibration



3. Measurement Conditions

The planar phantom was filled with brain simulating tissue having the following electrical parameters at 2450MHz:


| | |
|------------------------|------------|
| Relative Permittivity: | 36.8 |
| Conductivity: | 1.79 mho/m |
| Ambient Temperature: | 23.6°C |
| Fluid Temperature: | 23.8°C |
| Fluid Depth: | ≥ 15cm |

The 2450MHz simulating tissue consists of the following ingredients:

| Ingredient | Percentage by weight |
|---|---|
| Water | 55.20% |
| Glycol Monobutyl | 44.80% |
| Target Dielectric Parameters at 22°C | $\epsilon_r = 39.2$ (+/-10%) $\sigma = 1.80$ S/m (+/-5%) |

4. SAR Measurement

The SAR measurement was performed with the E-field probe in mechanical detection mode only. The setup and determination of the forward power into the dipole was performed using the following procedures.



First, the power meter PM1 (including attenuator Att1) is connected to the cable to measure the forward power at the location of the dipole connector (X). The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power at the dipole connector (taking into account the attenuation of Att1) as read by power meter PM2. After connecting the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter PM2. If the signal generator does not allow adjustment in 0.01dB steps, the remaining difference at PM2 must be taken into consideration. PM3 records the reflected power from the dipole to ensure that the value is not changed from the previous value. The reflected power should be 20dB below the forward power.

Ten SAR measurements were performed in order to achieve repeatability and to establish an average target value.


Validation Dipole SAR Test Results

| Validation Measurement | SAR @ 0.25W Input averaged over 1g | SAR @ 1W Input averaged over 1g | SAR @ 0.25W Input averaged over 10g | SAR @ 1W Input averaged over 10g | Peak SAR @ 0.25W Input |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Test 1 | 14.4 | 57.6 | 6.55 | 26.20 | 30.5 |
| Test 2 | 14.2 | 56.8 | 6.44 | 25.76 | 30.0 |
| Test 3 | 14.0 | 56.0 | 6.35 | 25.40 | 29.7 |
| Test 4 | 13.9 | 55.6 | 6.32 | 25.28 | 29.5 |
| Test 5 | 14.0 | 56.0 | 6.33 | 25.32 | 29.7 |
| Test 6 | 14.0 | 56.0 | 6.33 | 25.32 | 29.7 |
| Test 7 | 13.9 | 55.6 | 6.31 | 25.24 | 29.5 |
| Test 8 | 13.8 | 55.2 | 6.28 | 25.12 | 29.3 |
| Test 9 | 13.8 | 55.2 | 6.28 | 25.12 | 29.4 |
| Test10 | 14.0 | 56.0 | 6.33 | 25.32 | 29.7 |
| Average Value | 14.0 | 56.0 | 6.35 | 25.41 | 29.7 |

The results have been normalized to 1W (forward power) into the dipole.


Averaged over 1cm (1g) of tissue: 56.00 mW/g

Averaged over 10cm (10g) of tissue: 25.41 mW/g



24 Oct 2002 09:28:12

1:-49.398 dB 2 450.000 000 MHz




Dipole 2450MHz

SAM Phantom; Flat Section

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(4.70,4.70,4.70); Crest factor: 1.0; 2450 MHz Brain: $\sigma = 1.79$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 1.00$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
 Cubes (4): Peak: 29.7 mW/g ± 0.04 dB, SAR (1g): 14.0 mW/g ± 0.04 dB, SAR (10g): 6.35 mW/g ± 0.04 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)
 Penetration depth: 6.4 (6.1, 7.2) [mm]; Powerdrift: -0.04 dB
 Ambient Temp.: 23.6°C; Fluid Temp.: 23.8°C

Forward Conducted Power: 250 mW
 Calibration Date: October 24, 2002



2450MHz System Validation

Measured Fluid Dielectric Parameters (Brain)

October 24, 2002

| Frequency | ϵ' | ϵ'' |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------|
| 2.350000000 GHz | 37.2108 | 12.9039 |
| 2.360000000 GHz | 37.1695 | 12.9350 |
| 2.370000000 GHz | 37.1398 | 12.9630 |
| 2.380000000 GHz | 37.1057 | 12.9945 |
| 2.390000000 GHz | 37.0746 | 13.0290 |
| 2.400000000 GHz | 37.0424 | 13.0464 |
| 2.410000000 GHz | 36.9746 | 13.0743 |
| 2.420000000 GHz | 36.9322 | 13.1074 |
| 2.430000000 GHz | 36.8908 | 13.1372 |
| 2.440000000 GHz | 36.8449 | 13.1527 |
| 2.450000000 GHz | 36.7983 | 13.1767 |
| 2.460000000 GHz | 36.7651 | 13.2038 |
| 2.470000000 GHz | 36.7300 | 13.2377 |
| 2.480000000 GHz | 36.7004 | 13.2677 |
| 2.490000000 GHz | 36.6658 | 13.2862 |
| 2.500000000 GHz | 36.6120 | 13.2988 |
| 2.510000000 GHz | 36.5655 | 13.3268 |
| 2.520000000 GHz | 36.5147 | 13.3582 |
| 2.530000000 GHz | 36.4743 | 13.3922 |
| 2.540000000 GHz | 36.4044 | 13.4131 |
| 2.550000000 GHz | 36.3807 | 13.4402 |

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

APPENDIX D - PROBE CALIBRATION

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

Client

Celltech Labs

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object(s) **ET3DV6 - SN: 1387**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v2**
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date: **February 26, 2003**

Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)**

This calibration statement documents traceability of M&TE used in the calibration procedures and conformity of the procedures with the ISO/IEC 17025 international standard.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

| Model Type | ID # | Cal Date | Scheduled Calibration |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| RF generator HP 8684C | US3642U01700 | 4-Aug-99 (in house check Aug-02) | In house check: Aug-05 |
| Power sensor E4412A | MY41495277 | 8-Mar-02 | Mar-03 |
| Power sensor HP 8481A | MY41092180 | 18-Sep-02 | Sep-03 |
| Power meter EPM E4419B | GB41293874 | 13-Sep-02 | Sep-03 |
| Network Analyzer HP 8753E | US38432426 | 3-May-00 | In house check: May 03 |
| Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702 | SN: 6295803 | 3-Sep-01 | Sep-03 |

Calibrated by: **Name** **Nico Vetterli** **Function** **Technician** **Signature** **N. Vetterli**

Approved by: **Katja Pokovic** **Laboratory Director** **Signature** **K. Pokovic**

Date issued: February 26, 2003

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

Probe ET3DV6

SN:1387

| | |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| Manufactured: | September 21, 1999 |
| Last calibration: | February 22, 2002 |
| Recalibrated: | February 26, 2003 |

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1387

Sensitivity in Free Space

| | |
|-------|---|
| NormX | 1.55 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$ |
| NormY | 1.65 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$ |
| NormZ | 1.64 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$ |

Diode Compression

| | | |
|-------|-----------|----|
| DCP X | 92 | mV |
| DCP Y | 92 | mV |
| DCP Z | 92 | mV |

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid

| | | | |
|------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Head | 900 MHz | $\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$ | $\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\%$ mho/m |
| Head | 835 MHz | $\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$ | $\sigma = 0.90 \pm 5\%$ mho/m |
| | ConvF X | 6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) | Boundary effect: |
| | ConvF Y | 6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) | Alpha 0.37 |
| | ConvF Z | 6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) | Depth 2.61 |
| Head | 1800 MHz | $\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$ | $\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\%$ mho/m |
| Head | 1900 MHz | $\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$ | $\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\%$ mho/m |
| | ConvF X | 5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) | Boundary effect: |
| | ConvF Y | 5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) | Alpha 0.50 |
| | ConvF Z | 5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) | Depth 2.73 |

Boundary Effect


| | | | | |
|------|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Head | 900 MHz | Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm | | |
| | Probe Tip to Boundary | 1 mm | 2 mm | |
| | SAR _{be} [%] Without Correction Algorithm | 10.2 | 5.9 | |
| | SAR _{be} [%] With Correction Algorithm | 0.4 | 0.6 | |
| Head | 1800 MHz | Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm | | |
| | Probe Tip to Boundary | 1 mm | 2 mm | |
| | SAR _{be} [%] Without Correction Algorithm | 14.6 | 9.8 | |
| | SAR _{be} [%] With Correction Algorithm | 0.2 | 0.0 | |

Sensor Offset


| | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----|
| Probe Tip to Sensor Center | 2.7 | mm |
| Optical Surface Detection | 1.4 \pm 0.2 | mm |

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$


$f = 30$ MHz, TEM cell ifi110




$f = 100$ MHz, TEM cell ifi110




$f = 300$ MHz, TEM cell ifi110




$f = 900$ MHz, TEM cell ifi110






Isotropy Error (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$





Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22)



Dynamic Range f(SAR_{brain}) (Waveguide R22)




Conversion Factor Assessment



| | | | |
|------|----------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Head | 900 MHz | $\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$ | $\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ |
| Head | 835 MHz | $\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$ | $\sigma = 0.90 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ |
| | ConvF X | 6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) | Boundary effect: |
| | ConvF Y | 6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) | Alpha 0.37 |
| | ConvF Z | 6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) | Depth 2.61 |
| Head | 1800 MHz | $\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$ | $\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ |
| Head | 1900 MHz | $\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$ | $\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ |
| | ConvF X | 5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) | Boundary effect: |
| | ConvF Y | 5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) | Alpha 0.50 |
| | ConvF Z | 5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) | Depth 2.73 |

Conversion Factor Assessment



Body **900 MHz** $\epsilon_r = 55.0 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.05 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$

Body **835 MHz** $\epsilon_r = 55.2 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$

ConvF X **6.4** $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) Boundary effect:

ConvF Y **6.4** $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) Alpha **0.45**

ConvF Z **6.4** $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) Depth **2.35**

Body **1800 MHz** $\epsilon_r = 53.3 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.52 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$


Body **1900 MHz** $\epsilon_r = 53.3 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.52 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$

ConvF X **4.9** $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) Boundary effect:

ConvF Y **4.9** $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) Alpha **0.60**

ConvF Z **4.9** $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2) Depth **2.59**

Conversion Factor Assessment



Head 2450 MHz $\epsilon_r = 39.2 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.80 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$


| | | | |
|---------|------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| ConvF X | 5.0 $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2) | Boundary effect: | |
| ConvF Y | 5.0 $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2) | Alpha | 1.04 |
| ConvF Z | 5.0 $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2) | Depth | 1.85 |

Body 2450 MHz $\epsilon_r = 52.7 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.95 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$

| | | | |
|---------|------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| ConvF X | 4.6 $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2) | Boundary effect: | |
| ConvF Y | 4.6 $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2) | Alpha | 1.20 |
| ConvF Z | 4.6 $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2) | Depth | 1.60 |

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (θ, ϕ), $f = 900$ MHz



**Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG**

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

**Additional Conversion Factors
for Dosimetric E-Field Probe**

Type:

ET3DV6

Serial Number:

1387

Place of Assessment:

Zurich

Date of Assessment:


February 28, 2003

Probe Calibration Date:

February 26, 2003

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies that conversion factor(s) of this probe have been evaluated on the date indicated above. The assessment was performed using the FDTD numerical code SEMCAD of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG. Since the evaluation is coupled with measured conversion factors, it has to be recalculated yearly, i.e., following the re-calibration schedule of the probe. The uncertainty of the numerical assessment is based on the extrapolation from measured value at 900 MHz or at 1800 MHz.

Assessed by:



Dosimetric E-Field Probe ET3DV6 SN:1387

Conversion factor (\pm standard deviation)

| | | | |
|---------|-------|-----------------|---|
| 150 MHz | ConvF | 9.1 ± 8% | $\epsilon_r = 52.3$ $\sigma = 0.76 \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue) |
| 300 MHz | ConvF | 7.9 ± 8% | $\epsilon_r = 45.3$ $\sigma = 0.87 \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue) |
| 450 MHz | ConvF | 7.5 ± 8% | $\epsilon_r = 43.5$ $\sigma = 0.87 \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue) |
| 150 MHz | ConvF | 8.8 ± 8% | $\epsilon_r = 61.9$ $\sigma = 0.80 \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue) |
| 300 MHz | ConvF | 8.0 ± 8% | $\epsilon_r = 58.2$ $\sigma = 0.92 \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue) |
| 450 MHz | ConvF | 7.7 ± 8% | $\epsilon_r = 56.7$ $\sigma = 0.94 \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue) |

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

APPENDIX E - MEASURED FLUID DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS

2450MHz System Performance Check & EUT Evaluation (Head)

Measured Fluid Dielectric Parameters (Brain)

August 13, 2003

| Frequency | ϵ' | ϵ'' |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------|
| 2.350000000 GHz | 37.7581 | 13.4800 |
| 2.360000000 GHz | 37.7331 | 13.5055 |
| 2.370000000 GHz | 37.6959 | 13.5351 |
| 2.380000000 GHz | 37.6560 | 13.5670 |
| 2.390000000 GHz | 37.6366 | 13.5857 |
| 2.400000000 GHz | 37.5922 | 13.6027 |
| 2.410000000 GHz | 37.5396 | 13.6426 |
| 2.420000000 GHz | 37.4918 | 13.6737 |
| 2.430000000 GHz | 37.4357 | 13.7170 |
| 2.440000000 GHz | 37.4135 | 13.7608 |
| 2.450000000 GHz | 37.3563 | 13.7867 |
| 2.460000000 GHz | 37.3233 | 13.8314 |
| 2.470000000 GHz | 37.2916 | 13.8429 |
| 2.480000000 GHz | 37.2602 | 13.8706 |
| 2.490000000 GHz | 37.2411 | 13.8858 |
| 2.500000000 GHz | 37.2033 | 13.9003 |
| 2.510000000 GHz | 37.1626 | 13.9201 |
| 2.520000000 GHz | 37.1101 | 13.9444 |
| 2.530000000 GHz | 37.0480 | 13.9986 |
| 2.540000000 GHz | 37.0124 | 14.0313 |
| 2.550000000 GHz | 36.9614 | 14.0770 |

2450MHz EUT Evaluation (Body)

Measured Fluid Dielectric Parameters (Muscle)

August 13, 2003

| Frequency | ϵ' | ϵ'' |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------|
| 2.350000000 GHz | 50.4545 | 14.1404 |
| 2.360000000 GHz | 50.4331 | 14.1788 |
| 2.370000000 GHz | 50.4040 | 14.2338 |
| 2.380000000 GHz | 50.3835 | 14.2578 |
| 2.390000000 GHz | 50.3492 | 14.2841 |
| 2.400000000 GHz | 50.3184 | 14.3213 |
| 2.410000000 GHz | 50.2855 | 14.3615 |
| 2.420000000 GHz | 50.2327 | 14.4079 |
| 2.430000000 GHz | 50.2113 | 14.4430 |
| 2.440000000 GHz | 50.1522 | 14.4876 |
| 2.450000000 GHz | 50.1134 | 14.5267 |
| 2.460000000 GHz | 50.0829 | 14.5745 |
| 2.470000000 GHz | 50.0604 | 14.6097 |
| 2.480000000 GHz | 50.0297 | 14.6518 |
| 2.490000000 GHz | 49.9986 | 14.6805 |
| 2.500000000 GHz | 49.9680 | 14.7202 |
| 2.510000000 GHz | 49.9269 | 14.7444 |
| 2.520000000 GHz | 49.8773 | 14.7871 |
| 2.530000000 GHz | 49.8141 | 14.8293 |
| 2.540000000 GHz | 49.7718 | 14.8755 |
| 2.550000000 GHz | 49.7375 | 14.9269 |

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

APPENDIX F - SAM PHANTOM CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMITY

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

Certificate of conformity / First Article Inspection

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Item | SAM Twin Phantom V4.0 |
| Type No | QD 000 P40 BA |
| Series No | TP-1002 and higher |
| Manufacturer / Origin | Untersee Composites Hauptstr. 69 CH-8559 Fruthwilen Switzerland |

Tests

The series production process used allows the limitation to test of first articles. Complete tests were made on the pre-series Type No. QD 000 P40 AA, Serial No. TP-1001 and on the series first article Type No. QD 000 P40 BA, Serial No. TP-1006. Certain parameters have been retested using further series units (called samples).

| Test | Requirement | Details | Units tested |
|----------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| Shape | Compliance with the geometry according to the CAD model. | IT'IS CAD File (*) | First article, Samples |
| Material thickness | Compliant with the requirements according to the standards | 2mm +/- 0.2mm in specific areas | First article, Samples |
| Material parameters | Dielectric parameters for required frequencies | 200 MHz – 3 GHz Relative permittivity < 5 Loss tangent < 0.05. | Material sample TP 104-5 |
| Material resistivity | The material has been tested to be compatible with the liquids defined in the standards | Liquid type HSL 1800 and others according to the standard. | Pre-series, First article |

Standards

- [1] CENELEC EN 50361
- [2] IEEE P1528-200x draft 6.5
- [3] IEC PT 62209 draft 0.9

(*) The IT'IS CAD file is derived from [2] and is also within the tolerance requirements of the shapes of [1] and [3].

Conformity

Based on the sample tests above, we certify that this item is in compliance with the uncertainty requirements of SAR measurements specified in standard [1] and draft standards [2] and [3].

Date 18.11.2001

Signature / Stamp


Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG


Zeughausstrasse 43, CH-8004 Zurich
Tel. +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

APPENDIX G - SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS
Left Head Section / Cheek-Touch Position




| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS
Left Head Section / 15° Ear-Tilt Position




| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS
Right Head Section / Cheek-Touch Position




| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS
Right Head Section / 15° Ear-Tilt Position



| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS
Body-worn - 0.6 cm Belt-Clip Separation Distance from Back of EUT to Planar Phantom
with Ear-Microphone Accessory



| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

EXTERNAL EUT PHOTOGRAPHS



Front of EUT



Back of EUT



Right Side of EUT



Left Side of EUT



Top of EUT



Bottom of EUT

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

EXTERNAL EUT PHOTOGRAPHS



EUT with Ear-Microphone Accessory



Back of EUT with
Belt-Clip Accessory

Side of EUT with
Belt-Clip Accessory



Belt-Clip Accessory

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Test Report S/N: | 073103-404G9H |
| Test Date(s): | August 13, 2003 |
| Test Type: | FCC/IC SAR Evaluation |

EXTERNAL EUT PHOTOGRAPHS




Back of EUT with Battery



Back of EUT without Battery



3.6V 800mAh NiCd Battery Pack (Front)



3.6V 800mAh NiCd Battery Pack (Back)