



**EMC Technologies Pty Ltd**  
ABN 82 057 105 549  
176 Harrick Road  
Keilor Park  
Victoria Australia 3042

Ph: + 613 9365 1000  
Fax: + 613 9331 7455  
email: melb@emctech.com.au

## SAR Test Report

Report Number: M100214\_FCC\_622ANHMW\_SAR\_2.4

Test Sample: Portable TABLET Computer

Radio Modules: Intel Centrino 6200 Puma Peak  
622ANHMW WLAN Module

Host PC Model Number: T730 / TH700

PC System FCC ID: EJE-WL0021

PC System IC: 337J-WL0021

Date of Issue: 1<sup>st</sup> March 2010

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd reports apply only to the specific samples tested under stated test conditions. It is the manufacturer's responsibility to assure that additional production units of this model are manufactured with identical electrical and mechanical components. EMC Technologies Pty Ltd shall have no liability for any deductions, inferences or generalisations drawn by the client or others from EMC Technologies Pty Ltd issued reports. This report shall not be used to claim, constitute or imply product endorsement by EMC Technologies Pty Ltd.

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. The results of tests, calibration and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards. NATA is a signatory to the ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing and calibration reports.



Accreditation No. 5292

This document must not be copied or reproduced, except in full without the written permission of the Manager, EMC Technologies Pty Ltd. The certificate on page 3 may be reproduced in full.  
[www.emctech.com.au](http://www.emctech.com.au)

## CONTENTS

<b>1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2.0 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3.0 TEST SAMPLE TECHNICAL INFORMATION.....</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1 EUT (WLAN) Details .....	4
3.3 EUT (Notebook PC) Details .....	6
3.4 Test sample Accessories .....	6
3.4.1 <i>Battery Types</i> .....	6
<b>4.0 TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCY AND OUTPUT POWER.....</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1 Battery Status.....	7
<b>5.0 DETAILS OF TEST LABORATORY.....</b>	<b>8</b>
5.1 Location .....	8
5.2 Accreditations.....	8
5.3 Environmental Factors .....	8
<b>6.0 DESCRIPTION OF SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM.....</b>	<b>9</b>
6.1 Probe Positioning System .....	9
6.2 E-Field Probe Type and Performance .....	9
6.4 Validation .....	9
6.4.1 <i>Validation Results @ 2450MHz</i> .....	9
6.4.2 <i>Deviation from reference validation values</i> .....	9
6.4.3 <i>Liquid Depth 15cm</i> .....	10
6.5 Phantom Properties .....	10
6.6 Tissue Material Properties.....	10
6.6.1 <i>Liquid Temperature and Humidity</i> .....	11
6.7 Simulated Tissue Composition Used for SAR Test .....	11
6.8 Device Holder for Laptops and P 10.1 Phantom .....	11
<b>7.0 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE USING DASY4.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>8.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>9.0 EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION DETAILS .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>10.0 OET BULLETIN 65 – SUPPLEMENT C TEST METHOD .....</b>	<b>16</b>
10.1 Positions .....	16
10.1.1 <i>“Tablet” Position Definition (0mm spacing)</i> .....	16
10.1.2 <i>“Edge On” Position (Portrait or Landscape)</i> .....	16
10.2 List of All Test Cases (Antenna In/Out, Test Frequencies, User Modes).....	16
<b>11.0 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS .....</b>	<b>17</b>
11.1 2450MHz SAR Results.....	17
<b>12.0 COMPLIANCE STATEMENT .....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>13.0 MULTIBAND EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS.....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>APPENDIX A1 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>APPENDIX A2 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS .....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>APPENDIX A3 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>APPENDIX A3 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>APPENDIX A4 TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS .....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>APPENDIX A5 TEST SAMPLE PHOTOGRAPHS .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>APPENDIX B PLOTS OF THE SAR MEASUREMENTS .....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>APPENDIX C CALIBRATION DOCUMENTS .....</b>	<b>50</b>



**SAR TEST REPORT**

**Report Number: M100214\_FCC\_622ANHMW\_SAR\_2.4**  
**PC System FCC ID: EJE-WL0021 PC System IC: 337J-WL0021**

**1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION**

**Test Sample:** Portable TABLET Computer  
**Model Name:** T730 / TH700  
**Radio Modules:** Intel Centrino Advanced-N 6200(Puma Peak) (11a/b/g/n) WLAN  
622ANHMW  
**Interface Type:** Half Mini-PCI Module  
**Device Category:** Portable Transmitter  
**Test Device:** Pre-Production Unit  
**PC System FCC ID:** EJE-WL0021  
**PC System IC:** 337J-WL0021  
**RF exposure Category:** General Population/Uncontrolled  
  
**Manufacturer:** Fujitsu Limited  
  
**Test Standard/s:**

1. Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines For Human Exposure to  
Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields  
Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01)
2. Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication  
Apparatus (All Frequency Bands)  
RSS-102 Issue 2 November 2005

**Statement Of Compliance:** The Fujitsu TABLET Computer T730 / TH700 with Wireless LAN model 622ANHMW module complied with the FCC General public/uncontrolled RF exposure limits of 1.6mW/g per requirements of 47CFR2.1093(d). It also complied with IC RSS-102 requirements.

**Test Date:** 24<sup>th</sup> February 2010

**Test Officer:**



**Peter Jakubiec**

**Authorised Signature:**



**Chris Zombolas**  
**Technical Director**

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. The results of tests, calibration and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national standards. NATA is a signatory to the ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing and calibration reports.



This document must not be copied or reproduced, except in full without the written permission of the Manager, EMC Technologies Pty Ltd. The certificate on page 3 may be reproduced in full.  
[www.emctech.com.au](http://www.emctech.com.au)

**SAR TEST REPORT**  
**Portable TABLET Computer**  
**Model: T730 / TH700**  
**Report Number: M100214\_FCC\_622ANHMW\_SAR\_2.4**

## 2.0 INTRODUCTION

Testing was performed on the Fujitsu TABLET PC, Model: T730 / TH700 with Intel Centrino Advanced-N 6200 (Puma Peak 802.11a/b/g/n 2x2), Model: 622ANHMW. The Intel Centrino Advanced-N 6200 (Puma Peak 802.11a/b/g/n 2x2) module is an OEM product. The Half Mini-PCI Wireless LAN (WLAN) was tested in the dedicated host – LIFEBOOK T SERIES, Model T730 / TH700.

The measurement test results mentioned herein only apply to the 2450MHz frequency band; an additional report titled “M100214\_FCC\_622ANHMW\_SAR\_5.6” applies to the 5GHz range.

## 3.0 TEST SAMPLE TECHNICAL INFORMATION

(Information supplied by the client)

### 3.1 EUT (WLAN) Details

<b>Transmitter:</b>	Half Mini-Card Wireless LAN Module
<b>Wireless Module:</b>	Intel Centrino Advanced-N 6200(Puma Peak) (11a/b/g/n)
<b>Model Number:</b>	622ANHMW
<b>Manufacturer:</b>	Intel Corporation
<b>Modulation Type:</b>	DSSS for 802.11b OFDM for 802.11g OFDM for 802.11a OFDM for 802.11n
<b>5GHz (802.11a/n)</b>	BPSK, QPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM
<b>2.4GHz (802.11b/g/n)</b>	CCK, DQPSK, DBPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM
<b>Maximum Data Rate:</b>	802.11b = 11 Mbps, 802.11g and 802.11a = 54 Mbps 802.11n = 450 Mbps
<b>Frequency Range:</b>	2.412–2.462 GHz for 11b/g/n 5.18–5.32 GHz and 5.745–5.825 GHz for 11a/n
<b>Number of Channels:</b>	11 channels for 11b/g/n 24 channels for 11a/n with 20MHz Bandwidth 18 channels for 11n with 40MHz Bandwidth
<b>Antenna Types:</b>	Nissei Inverted F (1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> ) Model: CP313598 and CP313592 Location: Left Top edge of LCD screen(1 <sup>st</sup> ), Right Top edge of LCD screen(2 <sup>nd</sup> )
<b>Power Supply:</b>	3.3 VDC from PCI bus



**Channels and Output power setting:****2.4 GHz (2.412 to 2.462 GHz)**

Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate (Mbps)	Tx BW (MHz)	Average Power (dBm)
802.11b 2.4 GHz	1	2412	1	-	16.5
	6	2437			16.5
	11	2462			16.5
802.11g 2.4 GHz	1	2412	6	-	15.5
	6	2437			16.5
	11	2462			15.5
802.11n 2.4 GHz	1	2412	HT0	20	15.5
	6	2437			16.5
	11	2462			15.5
	3	2422	40 Wide	12	12
	6	2437			16.5
	9	2452			12

**5 GHz (5.180 to 5.825 GHz)**

Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Data Rate (Mbps)	Tx BW (MHz)	Average Power (dBm)
802.11a 5.2 GHz	36	5180	6	-	16.5
	52	5260			16.5
	64	5320			16.5
802.11n 5.2 GHz	36	5180	HT0	20	16.5
	52	5260			16.5
	64	5320			16.5
	38	5190	40 Wide	16.5	16.5
	54	5270			16.5
802.11a 5.6 GHz	62	5310	6	-	16.5
	100	5500			16.5
	116	5580			16.5
802.11n 5.6 GHz	140	5700	HT0	20	16.5
	100	5500			16.5
	116	5580			16.5
	140	5700	40 Wide	16.5	16.5
	102	5510			16.5
	118	5590			16.5
802.11a 5.8 GHz	134	5670	6	-	16.5
	149	5745			14.5
	157	5785			14.5
802.11n 5.8 GHz	165	5825	HT0	20	14.5
	149	5745			14.5
	157	5785			14.5
	165	5825	40 Wide	14.5	14.5
	151	5755			14.5
	159	5795			14.5

NOTE: For 5GHz SAR results refer to report titled "M091069\_FCC\_622ANHMW\_SAR\_5.6".



### 3.3 EUT (Notebook PC) Details

<b>Host notebook :</b>	LifeBook T series
<b>*Model Name:</b>	T730 / TH700
<b>Serial Number:</b>	Pre-production Sample
<b>Manufacturer:</b>	FUJITSU LIMITED
<b>CPU Type and Speed:</b>	Core i7-620M 2.66GHz
<b>LCD</b>	12.1"WXGA
<b>Wired LAN:</b>	Intel 82577LM/82577LC: 10 Base-T/100 Base-TX/1000Base-T
<b>Modem:</b>	None
<b>Port Replicator Model:</b>	FPCPR94
<b>AC Adapter Model:</b>	80W: SEE100P2-19.0(Sanken), ADP-80NB A(Delta)
<b>Voltage:</b>	19V
<b>Current Specs:</b>	4.22A
<b>Watts:</b>	80W
<b>Radio Modules:</b>	Intel Centrino Advanced-N 6200(Puma Peak) (11a/b/g/n)
<b>WLAN Model Number:</b>	622ANHMW
<b>WLAN Manufacturer:</b>	Intel Corp.
<b>Interface Type:</b>	Half Mini-Card Wireless LAN Module

\*The model numbers shown T730 and TH700 are for the same product.  
 The T730 is for commercial market (there is Port-Replicator as option).  
 The TH700 is for consumer market (there is no Port-Replicator).

### 3.4 Test sample Accessories

#### 3.4.1 Battery Types

One type of Fujitsu Lithium Ion Battery is used to power the Portable TABLET Computer with Wireless LAN Model: 622ANHMW. SAR measurements were performed with the battery as shown below.

##### Standard Battery

###### Battery #1

Product No.

FPCBP215

V/mAh

10.8V/5800mAh

Serial No.

01A-Z091109000178Z

###### Battery #2

Product No.

FPCBP215

V/mAh

10.8V/5800mAh

Serial No.

01A-Z091109000279Z



Accreditation No. 5292

This document must not be copied or reproduced, except in full without the written permission of the Manager, EMC Technologies Pty Ltd. The certificate on page 3 may be reproduced in full.  
[www.emctech.com.au](http://www.emctech.com.au)

## 4.0 TEST SIGNAL, FREQUENCY AND OUTPUT POWER

INTEL's CRTU test tool was used to configure the WLAN for testing. The Portable Tablet Computer Wireless LAN had a total of 11 channels (USA model) within the 2412 to 2462 MHz frequency band and channels within the frequency range 5180 – 5825 MHz. In the frequency range 2412 MHz to 2462 MHz the device operates in 2 modes, OFDM and DSSS. Within the 5180 to 5825 MHz frequency range the device operates in OFDM mode only. For the SAR measurements the device was operating in continuous transmit mode using programming codes supplied by Fujitsu.

The test results mentioned in this report only apply to the 2450MHz frequency range. An additional report titled 'M100214\_FCC\_622ANHMW\_SAR\_5.6" is specific to the 5GHz range.

The WLAN modules can be configured in a number of different data rates. It was found that the highest source based time averaged power was measured when using the lowest data rates available in each mode. This lowest data rate corresponds to 6Mbps in OFDM mode and 1Mbps in DSSS mode.

The frequency span of the 2450 MHz range Band was more than 10MHz consequently; the SAR levels of the test sample were measured for lowest, centre and highest channels in the applicable modes. The EUT is capable of using two antennas transmitting simultaneously (HT8 DATA mode) the power level is 3db lower (50%) than if a single antenna was transmitting. There were no wires or other connections to the Portable TABLET Computer during the SAR measurements.

The Transmitter power was set to be equal or higher than power specified by the manufacturer.

### 4.1 Battery Status

The device battery was fully charged prior to commencement of measurement. Each SAR test was completed within 30 minutes. The battery condition was monitored by measuring the RF field at a defined position inside the phantom before the commencement of each test and again after the completion of the test. It was not possible to perform conducted power measurements at the output of the device, at the beginning and end of each scan due to lack of a suitable antenna port. The uncertainty associated with the power drift was less than 12% and was assessed in the uncertainty budget.

## 5.0 DETAILS OF TEST LABORATORY

### 5.1 Location

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd  
176 Harrick Road  
Keilor Park, (Melbourne) Victoria  
Australia 3042

**Telephone:** +61 3 9365 1000  
**Facsimile:** +61 3 9331 7455  
**email:** [melb@emctech.com.au](mailto:melb@emctech.com.au)  
**website:** [www.emctech.com.au](http://www.emctech.com.au)

### 5.2 Accreditations

EMC Technologies Pty. Ltd. is accredited by the National Association of Testing Authorities, Australia (NATA).  
**NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 5292**

EMC Technologies Pty Ltd is NATA accredited for the following standards:

<b>AS/NZS 2772.1:</b>	RF and microwave radiation hazard measurement
<b>ACA:</b>	Radio communications (Electromagnetic Radiation - Human Exposure) Standard 2003+Amdt1:2007
<b>FCC:</b>	Guidelines for Human Exposure to RF Electromagnetic Field OET65C 01/01
<b>EN 50360: 2001</b>	Product standard to demonstrate the compliance of mobile phones with the basic restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields (300 MHz – 3 GHz)
<b>EN 62209-1:2006</b>	Human Exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models instrumentation and procedures. <b>Part 1:</b> Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (300 MHz to 3 GHz)
<b>IEEE 1528: 2003</b>	Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques.

Refer to NATA website [www.nata.asn.au](http://www.nata.asn.au) for the full scope of accreditation.

### 5.3 Environmental Factors

The measurements were performed in a shielded room with no background RF signals. The temperature in the laboratory was controlled to within  $21\pm1^{\circ}\text{C}$ , the humidity was 53%. The liquid parameters are measured daily prior to the commencement of each test. Tests were performed to check that reflections within the environment did not influence the SAR measurements. The noise floor of the DASY4 SAR measurement system using the SN1380 probe was less than  $5\mu\text{V}$  in both air and liquid mediums.

## 6.0 DESCRIPTION OF SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

Applicable Head Configurations	: None
Applicable Body Configurations	: Tablet Position
	: Edge On Position

### 6.1 Probe Positioning System

The measurements were performed with the state-of-the-art automated near-field scanning system **DASY4 V4.7 Build 53** from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG). The DASY4 fully complies with the OET65 C (01-01), IEEE 1528 and EN62209-1 SAR measurement requirements.

### 6.2 E-Field Probe Type and Performance

The SAR measurements were conducted with SPEAG dosimetric probe ET3DV6 Serial: 1380 (2.45 GHz). Please refer to Appendix C for detailed information.

### 6.4 Validation

#### 6.4.1 Validation Results @ 2450MHz

The following tables list the dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquid measured prior to SAR validation. The results of the validation are listed in columns 4 and 5. The forward power into the reference dipole for SAR validation was adjusted to 250 mW.

**Table: Validation Results (Dipole: SPEAG D2450V2 SN: 724)**

1. Validation Date	2. $\epsilon_r$ (measured)	3. $\sigma$ (mho/m) (measured)	4. Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	5. Measured SAR 10g (mW/g)
24 <sup>th</sup> February 2010	38.7	1.82	13.6	6.38

#### 6.4.2 Deviation from reference validation values

The reference SAR values are derived using a reference dipole and flat section of the SAM phantom suitable for a centre frequency of 2450MHz. These reference SAR values are obtained from the IEEE Std 1528-2003 and are normalized to 1W.

The SPEAG calibration reference SAR value is the SAR validation result obtained in a specific dielectric liquid using the validation dipole (D2450V2) during calibration. The measured one-gram SAR should be within 10% of the expected target reference values shown in table below (2450MHz) below.

**Table: Deviation from reference validation values @ 2450MHz**

Frequency and Date	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Measured SAR 1g (Normalized to 1W)	SPEAG Calibration reference SAR Value 1g (mW/g)	Deviation From SPEAG Reference 1g (%)	IEEE Std 1528 reference SAR value 1g (mW/g)	Deviation From IEEE 1g (%)
2450MHz	13.6	54.4	52	4.62	52.4	3.82

NOTE: All reference validation values are referenced to 1W input power.



#### 6.4.3 Liquid Depth 15cm

During the SAR measurement process the liquid level was maintained to a level of 15cm with a tolerance of 0.5cm.




Photo of liquid Depth in Flat Phantom

#### 6.5 Phantom Properties

The phantoms used during the testing comply with the OET65 C (01-01), IEEE 1528 and EN62209-1 SAR measurement requirements.

#### 6.6 Tissue Material Properties

The dielectric parameters of the brain simulating liquid were measured prior to SAR assessment using the HP85070A dielectric probe kit and HP8753ES Network Analyser. The actual dielectric parameters are shown in the following table.

**Table: Measured Brain Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values for Validations**

Frequency Band	$\epsilon_r$ (measured range)	$\epsilon_r$ (target)	$\sigma$ (mho/m) (measured range)	$\sigma$ (target)	$\rho$ kg/m <sup>3</sup>
2450 MHz Brain	38.7	39.2 $\pm$ 5% (37.2 to 41.2)	1.82	1.80 $\pm$ 5% (1.71 to 1.89)	1000

NOTE: The brain liquid parameters were within the required tolerances of  $\pm$ 5%.



This document must not be copied or reproduced, except in full without the written permission of the Manager, EMC Technologies Pty Ltd. The certificate on page 3 may be reproduced in full.  
[www.emctech.com.au](http://www.emctech.com.au)

Accreditation No. 5292

**Table: Measured Body Simulating Liquid Dielectric Values**

Frequency Band	$\epsilon_r$ (measured range)	$\epsilon_r$ (target)	$\sigma$ (mho/m) (measured range)	$\sigma$ (target)	$\rho$ kg/m <sup>3</sup>
2412 MHz Muscle	51.0	52.7 $\pm$ 5% (50.1 to 55.3)	1.96	1.95 $\pm$ 5% (1.85 to 2.05)	1000
2437 MHz Muscle	50.8	52.7 $\pm$ 5% (50.1 to 55.3)	2.00	1.95 $\pm$ 5% (1.85 to 2.05)	1000
2462 MHz Muscle	50.7	52.7 $\pm$ 5% (50.1 to 55.3)	2.03	1.95 $\pm$ 5% (1.85 to 2.05)	1000

NOTE: The brain and muscle liquid parameters were within the required tolerances of  $\pm$ 5%.

### 6.6.1 Liquid Temperature and Humidity

The humidity and dielectric/ambient temperatures were recorded during the assessment of the tissue material dielectric parameters. The difference between the ambient temperature of the liquid during the dielectric measurement and the temperature during tests was less than |2| $^{\circ}$ C.

**Table: Temperature and Humidity recorded for each day**

Date	Ambient Temperature (°C)	Liquid Temperature (°C)	Humidity (%)
24 <sup>th</sup> February 2010	20.3	20.0	53

## 6.7 Simulated Tissue Composition Used for SAR Test

The tissue simulating liquids are created prior to the SAR evaluation and often require slight modification each day to obtain the correct dielectric parameters.

**Table: Tissue Type: Brain @ 2450MHz**  
Volume of Liquid: 30 Litres

Approximate Composition	% By Weight
Distilled Water	62.7
Salt	0.5
Triton X-100	36.8

**Table: Tissue Type: Muscle @ 2450MHz**  
Volume of Liquid: 60 Litres

Approximate Composition	% By Weight
Distilled Water	73.2
Salt	0.04
DGBE	26.7

\*Refer "OET Bulletin 65 97/01 P38"

## 6.8 Device Holder for Laptops and P 10.1 Phantom

A low loss clamp was used to position the TABLET underneath the phantom surface.

Refer to Appendix A for photographs of device positioning



## 7.0 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE USING DASY4

The SAR evaluation was performed with the SPEAG DASY4 system. A summary of the procedure follows:

- a) A measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location is used as a reference value for assessing the power drop of the EUT. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test, and then again at the end of the test.
- b) The SAR distribution at the exposed flat section of the flat phantom is measured at a distance of 3.9 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covers the entire dimension of the EUT and the horizontal grid spacing is 15 mm x 15 mm. The actual Area Scan has dimensions of 75mm x 120mm surrounding the test device. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption is determined by Spline interpolation.
- c) Around this point, a volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm is assessed by measuring 7 x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value is evaluated with the following procedure:
  - (i) The data at the surface are extrapolated, since the centre of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2 mm. The extrapolation is based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order is calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial is then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
  - (ii) The maximum interpolated value is searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g and 10 g) are computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"- condition (in x, y and z-direction). The volume is integrated with the trapezoidal – algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) are interpolated to calculate the averages.
  - (iii) All neighbouring volumes are evaluated until no neighbouring volume with a higher average value is found.
  - (iv) The SAR value at the same location as in Step (a) is again measured to evaluate the actual power drift.

## 8.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty analysis is based on the template listed in the IEEE Std 1528-2003 for both device SAR tests and Validation uncertainty. The measurement uncertainty of a specific device is evaluated independently and the total uncertainty for both evaluations (95% confidence level) must be less than 30%.

**Table: Uncertainty Budget for DASY4 V4.7 Build 53 – EUT SAR test 2450MHz**

Uncertainty Component	Tol. (6%)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	C <sub>i</sub> (1g)	C <sub>i</sub> (10g)	1g u <sub>i</sub> (6%)	10g u <sub>i</sub> (6%)	v <sub>i</sub>
<b>Measurement System</b>								
Probe Calibration	5.5	N	1	1	1	5.5	5.5	$\infty$
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	1.9	1.9	$\infty$
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	3.9	3.9	$\infty$
Boundary Effects	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	$\infty$
Linearity	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	$\infty$
System Detection Limits	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	$\infty$
Readout Electronics	0.3	N	1	1	1	0.3	0.3	$\infty$
Response Time	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.5	0.5	$\infty$
Integration Time	2.6	R	1.73	1	1	1.5	1.5	$\infty$
RF Ambient Noise	3	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	$\infty$
RF Ambient Reflections	3	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	$\infty$
Probe Positioner	0.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	$\infty$
Probe Positioning	2.9	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	$\infty$
Max. SAR Eval.	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	$\infty$
<b>Test Sample Related</b>								
Test Sample Positioning	1.61	N	1	1	1	1.6	1.6	11
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.6	N	1	1	1	3.6	3.6	7
Output Power Variation – SAR Drift Measurement	9.04	R	1.73	1	1	5.2	5.2	$\infty$
<b>Phantom and Setup</b>								
Phantom Uncertainty	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	$\infty$
Liquid Conductivity – Deviation from target values	5	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	$\infty$
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement uncertainty	2.5	N	1.00	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	5
Liquid Permittivity – Deviation from target values	5	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	$\infty$
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement uncertainty	2.5	N	1.00	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2	5
Combined standard Uncertainty		RSS					11.2	11.0
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)		k=2				22.5	22.08	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY4 measurement system was  $\pm 11.2\%$ . The extended uncertainty (K = 2) was assessed to be  $\pm 22.5\%$  based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the measurement result.



This document must not be copied or reproduced, except in full without the written permission of the Manager, EMC Technologies Pty Ltd. The certificate on page 3 may be reproduced in full.  
[www.emctech.com.au](http://www.emctech.com.au)

Accreditation No. 5292

**Table: Uncertainty Budget for DASY4 V4.7 Build 53 – Validation 2450MHz**

Uncertainty Component	Tol. (6%)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	$C_i$ (1g)	$C_i$ (10g)	$1g u_i$ (6%)	$10g u_i$ (6%)	$v_i$
<b>Measurement System</b>								
Probe Calibration	5.5	N	1	1	1	5.5	5.5	$\infty$
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	$\infty$
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	1.73	0	0	0.0	0.0	$\infty$
Boundary Effects	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	$\infty$
Linearity	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	$\infty$
System Detection Limits	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	$\infty$
Readout Electronics	0.3	N	1	1	1	0.3	0.3	$\infty$
Response Time	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	$\infty$
Integration Time	0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	$\infty$
RF Ambient Noise	3	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	$\infty$
RF Ambient Reflections	3	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	$\infty$
Probe Positioner	0.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	$\infty$
Probe Positioning	2.9	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	$\infty$
Max. SAR Eval.	1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	$\infty$
<b>Dipole</b>								
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	2	N	1.73	1	1	1.2	1.2	11
Input Power and SAR drift meas.	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	$\infty$
<b>Phantom and Tissue Param.</b>								
Phantom Uncertainty	4	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	$\infty$
Liquid Conductivity – Deviation from target values	5	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	$\infty$
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement uncertainty	2.5	N	1.00	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1	5
Liquid Permittivity – Deviation from target values	5	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	$\infty$
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement uncertainty	2.5	N	1.00	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2	5
Combined standard Uncertainty		RSS				9.0	8.7	154
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)		k=2				17.9	17.34	

Estimated total measurement uncertainty for the DASY4 measurement system was  $\pm 9.0\%$ . The extended uncertainty ( $K = 2$ ) was assessed to be  $\pm 17.9\%$  based on 95% confidence level. The uncertainty is not added to the Validation measurement result.



This document must not be copied or reproduced, except in full without the written permission of the Manager, EMC Technologies Pty Ltd. The certificate on page 3 may be reproduced in full.  
[www.emctech.com.au](http://www.emctech.com.au)

Accreditation No. 5292

## 9.0 EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION DETAILS

Table: SPEAG DASY4 Version V4.7 Build 53

Equipment Type	Manufacturer	Model Number	Serial Number	Calibration Due	Used For this Test?
Robot - Six Axes	Staubli	RX90BL	N/A	Not applicable	✓
Robot Remote Control	SPEAG	CS7MB	RX90B	Not applicable	✓
SAM Phantom	SPEAG	N/A	1260	Not applicable	✓
SAM Phantom	SPEAG	N/A	1060	Not applicable	
Flat Phantom	AndreT	10.1	P 10.1	Not Applicable	✓
Flat Phantom	AndreT	9.1	P 9.1	Not Applicable	
Flat Phantom	SPEAG	PO1A 6mm	1003	Not Applicable	
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	359	08-July-2010	
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE3 V1	442	08-Dec-2010	✓
Probe E-Field - Dummy	SPEAG	DP1	N/A	Not applicable	
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1380	11-Dec-2010	✓
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1377	14-July-2010	
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	ES3DV6	3029	Not Used	
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3563	16-July-2010	
Probe E-Field	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3557	16-Dec-2010	
Antenna Dipole 300 MHz	SPEAG	D300V2	1005	15-Dec-2011	
Antenna Dipole 450 MHz	SPEAG	D450V2	1009	17-Dec-2010	
Antenna Dipole 900 MHz	SPEAG	D900V2	047	7-July-2010	
Antenna Dipole 1640 MHz	SPEAG	D1640V2	314	16-July-2010	
Antenna Dipole 1800 MHz	SPEAG	D1800V2	242	8-July-2010	
Antenna Dipole 1950 MHz	SPEAG	D1950V3	1113	12-Dec-2010	
Antenna Dipole 3500 MHz	SPEAG	D3500V2	1002	17-July-2010	
Antenna Dipole 2450 MHz	SPEAG	D2450V2	724	10-Dec-2010	✓
Antenna Dipole 5600 MHz	SPEAG	D5GHzV2	1008	16-Dec-2011	
RF Amplifier	EIN	603L	N/A	*In test	
RF Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZHL-42	N/A	*In test	✓
RF Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZVE-8G	N/A	*In test	
Synthesized signal generator	Hewlett Packard	ESG-D3000A	GB37420238	*In test	✓
RF Power Meter Dual	Hewlett Packard	437B	3125012786	29-June-2010	✓
RF Power Sensor 0.01 - 18 GHz	Hewlett Packard	8481H	1545A01634	01-July-2010	✓
RF Power Meter Dual	Gigatronics	8542B	1830125	26-Mar-2010	
RF Power Sensor	Gigatronics	80301A	1828805	26-Mar-2010	
RF Power Meter Dual	Hewlett Packard	435A	1733A05847	*In test	✓
RF Power Sensor	Hewlett Packard	8482A	2349A10114	*In test	✓
Network Analyser	Hewlett Packard	8714B	GB3510035	30-Sept-2010	
Network Analyser	Hewlett Packard	8753ES	JP39240130	24-Nov-2010	✓
Dual Directional Coupler	Hewlett Packard	778D	1144 04700	*In test	
Dual Directional Coupler	NARDA	3022	75453	*In test	✓

\* Calibrated during the test for the relevant parameters.



This document must not be copied or reproduced, except in full without the written permission of the Manager, EMC Technologies Pty Ltd. The certificate on page 3 may be reproduced in full.

[www.emctech.com.au](http://www.emctech.com.au)

Accreditation No. 5292

## 10.0 OET BULLETIN 65 – SUPPLEMENT C TEST METHOD

Notebooks should be evaluated in normal use positions, typical for lap-held bottom-face only. However the number of positions will depend on the number of configurations the laptop can be operated in. The “LIFEBOOK T SERIES” can be used in either a conventional laptop position (see Appendix A1) or a Tablet configuration. The antenna location in the “LIFEBOOK T SERIES” is closest to the top of the screen when used in a conventional laptop configuration and due to the separation distances involved between the phantom and the laptop antenna, testing is not required in this position.

### 10.1 Positions

#### 10.1.1 “Tablet” Position Definition (0mm spacing)

The device was tested in the 2.00 mm flat section of the AndreT Flat phantom P 10.1 for the “Tablet” position. The Transceiver was placed at the bottom of the phantom and suspended in such way that the back of the device was touching the phantom. This device orientation simulates the PC’s normal use – being held on the lap of the user. A spacing of 0mm ensures that the SAR results are conservative and represent a worst-case position.

#### 10.1.2 “Edge On” Position (Portrait or Landscape)

The device was tested in the (2.00 mm) flat section of the AndreT phantom for the “Edge On” position. The Antenna edge of the Transceiver was placed underneath the flat section of the phantom and suspended until the edge touched the phantom. *Refer to Appendix A for photos of measurement positions.*


### 10.2 List of All Test Cases (Antenna In/Out, Test Frequencies, User Modes)

The device has a fixed antenna. Depending on the measured SAR level up to three test channels with the test sample operating at maximum power were recorded. The following table represents the matrix used to determine what testing was required. All relevant provisions of KDB 447498 (v03r02 Dec 2008) are applied for SAR measurements of the host system. KDB 616217 was used in the SAR evaluation.


**Table: Testing configurations**

Phantom Configuration	*Device Mode	Antenna	Test Configurations		
			CHANNEL (LOW)	Channel (Middle)	Channel (High)
Tablet	OFDM 2.4GHz	A		X	
		B		X	
Edge On	OFDM 2.4GHz	A		X	
		B		X	
	DSSS 2.4GHz	A		X	
		B		X	

#### Legend



Testing Required in this configuration



Testing required in this configuration only if SAR of middle channel is more than 3dB below the SAR limit or it is the worst case.

*NOTE: Throughout this report, Antenna A and B refer to Tx1 and Tx2 in the host respectively.*



This document must not be copied or reproduced, except in full without the written permission of the Manager, EMC Technologies Pty Ltd. The certificate on page 3 may be reproduced in full.  
[www.emctech.com.au](http://www.emctech.com.au)

Accreditation No. 5292

## 11.0 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The SAR values averaged over 1g tissue masses were determined for the sample device for all test configurations listed in section 10.2.

### 11.1 2450MHz SAR Results

There are two modes of operation within the 2450MHz band, they include OFDM and DSSS modulations. Refer to section 10.2 for selection of all device test configurations. Table below displays the SAR results.

**Table: SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS – DSSS Mode**

Test Position	Plot No.	Ant	Bit rate Mode (Mbps)	Channel Bandwidth (MHz)	Test Channel	Test Freq (MHz)	Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	Measured Drift (dB)
Primary Portrait	1	A	1	-	06	2437	0.204	0.055

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 22.5% for 2.45GHz was not added to the result.

**Table: SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS – OFDM Mode**

Test Position	Plot No.	Ant A or B	Bit rate Mode (Mbps)	Channel Bandwidth (MHz)	Test Channel	Test Freq (MHz)	Measured 1g SAR Results (mW/g)	Measured Drift (dB)
Primary Portrait	2	A	HT0	20	06	2437	0.226	-0.048
	3	A	HT0	40	06	2437	0.234	-0.093
Primary Portrait	4	A	6	-	06	2437	0.239	-0.148
Secondary Portrait	5	B	6	-	01	2412	0.742	-0.095
	6				06	2437	<b>0.912</b>	-0.075
	7				11	2462	0.582	-0.376
Secondary Landscape	8	A	6	-	06	2437	0.157	-0.267
	9	B	6	-	06	2437	0.110	0.049
Tablet	10	A	6	-	06	2437	0.090	-0.031

NOTE: The measurement uncertainty of 22.5% for 2.45GHz was not added to the result.

The highest SAR level recorded in the 2450MHz band was 0.912 mW/g as evaluated in a 1g cube of averaging mass. This value was obtained in Secondary Portrait position in OFDM mode, utilizing channel 06 (2437 MHz) and antenna B.



## 12.0 COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

The Fujitsu TABLET PC, Model: T730 / TH700 with Intel Centrino Advanced-N 6200 (Puma Peak 802.11a/b/g/n 2x2), Model: 622ANHMW & CSR Bluetooth Module, Model: BSMAN3 was found to comply with the FCC and RSS-102 SAR requirements.


The highest SAR level recorded was 0.912 mW/g for a 1g cube. This value was measured at 2437 MHz (channel 06) in the "Secondary Portrait" position in OFDM modulation mode at the antenna B. This was below the limit of 1.6 mW/g for uncontrolled exposure, even taking into account the measurement uncertainty of 22.5 %.

### 13.0 MULTIBAND EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS

According to the SAR standards, when the sum of SAR results (simultaneously transmitting antennas WLAN and WWAN) is  $> 1.6\text{mW/g}$  and the distance between the antennas is 5cm or less, or the ratio of above sum to the distance between peak SAR locations  $> 0.3$ , simultaneous transmission SAR evaluation is required.

Since the sum of the highest SAR results for the standalone WLAN antennas A and B is less than  $1.6\text{mW/g}$  (refer to Tables of SAR Measurement Results), and the RF power is reduced by 3dB during simultaneous transmission (antenna A and B) multi-band evaluation was not required.

This is in accordance with the test reduction methods detailed in KDB 616217 and KDB 447498.



**Diagram Showing Antenna Positions**

*NOTE: Throughout this report, Antenna A and B refer to Tx1 and Tx2 in the host.*