



SAR Evaluation Report

**IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF
FCC OET BULLETIN 65 SUPPLEMENT C**

FOR

3G WIRELESS MODULE

MODEL NUMBER: PA3494E-1HSD

FCC ID: CJ6UPA3494G3

REPORT NUMBER: 06U10591-4B

ISSUE DATE: OCTOBER 4, 2006

Prepared for

**TOSHIBA CORPORATION DIGITAL MEDIA NETWORK COMPANY
OME COMPLEX, 2-9, SUEHIRO-CHO
TOKYO, 198-8710, JAPAN**

Prepared by

**COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION SERVICES
561F MONTEREY ROAD,
MORGAN HILL, CA 95037, USA
TEL: (408) 463-0885**



LAB CODE:200065-0

Revision History

Rev.	Issued date	Revisions	Revised By
--	September 28, 2006	Initial issue	HS
B	October 4, 2006	Corrected Company address, model name, and EUT name.	SR

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)**DATES OF TEST:** September 26 and 27, 2006

APPLICANT: ADDRESS:	TOSHIBA CORPORATION DIGITAL MEDIA NETWORK COMPANY OME COMPLEX, 2-9, SUEHIRO-CHO TOKYO, 198-8710, JAPAN
FCC ID: MODEL:	CJ6UPA3494G3 PA3494E-1HSD
DEVICE CATEGORY: EXPOSURE CATEGORY:	Portable Device General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure

Wireless Modem is installed in Toshiba Portege M400 Tablet along with WLAN FCC ID: CJ6UPA3489WL and Bluetooth FCC ID: CJ6UPA3418BT.


Test Sample is a:	Production unit		
Host Laptop	Portege M400 Tablet		
Rule Parts	Frequency Range [MHz]	The Highest SAR Values [1g_mW/g]	Collocation SAR Values [1g_mW/g]
FCC 22H	824.2-848.8	0.124	0.133
FCC 24E	1850.2-1909.8	0.076	0.081

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC OET 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01).

Note: The results documented in this report apply only to the tested sample, under the conditions and modes of operation as described herein. This document may not be altered or revised in any way unless done so by Compliance Certification Services and all revisions are duly noted in the revisions section. Any alteration of this document not carried out by Compliance Certification Services will constitute fraud and shall nullify the document. No part of this report may be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any government agency.

Approved & Released For CCS By:

Tested By:




Hsin Fu Shih
Senior Engineer
Compliance Certification Services

Ninous Davoudi
EMC Engineer
Compliance Certification Services

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT) DESCRIPTION.....	5
2	FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION	6
3	SYSTEM DESCRIPTION	7
3.1	COMPOSITION OF INGREDIENTS FOR TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS	8
4	SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETERS CHECK.....	9
4.1	SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETER CHECK RESULT.....	10
5	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK.....	12
5.1	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK RESULTS.....	13
6	SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE	14
6.1	DASY4 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE	15
7	PROCEDURE USED TO ESTABLISH TEST SIGNAL	16
8	SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS.....	17
8.1	CELL BAND	18
8.1.1	LCD EDGE POSITION - SECONDARY LANDSCAPE.....	18
8.1.2	LAP HELD POSITION	19
8.2	PCS BAND.....	20
8.2.1	LCD EDGE POSITION- SECONDARY LANDSCAPE.....	20
8.2.2	LAP HELD POSITION	21
9	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	22
9.1	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY FOR 300 MHZ – 3000 MHZ	22
10	EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION.....	23
11	PHOTOS	24
12	ATTACHMENTS.....	28

1 EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT) DESCRIPTION

Wireless Modem is installed in Toshiba Portege M400 Tablet along with WLAN FCC ID: CJ6UPA3489WL and Bluetooth FCC ID: CJ6UPA3418BT.	
GPRS Multi-slot Classes:	Class 10 (2up, 3 down) for both GPRS and EGPRS
Normal operation:	Lap-held position, and underarm position
Accessory:	N/A
Earphone/Headset Jack:	N/A
Duty cycle:	25%
Host Device(s):	Portege M400 Tablet
Antenna(s)	TMZ002 Monopole
Power supply:	Power supplied through the laptop computer (host device).

2 FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION


The test sites and measurement facilities used to collect data are located at 561F Monterey Road, Morgan Hill, California, USA. The sites are constructed in conformance with the requirements of ANSI C63.4, ANSI C63.7 and CISPR Publication 22. All receiving equipment conforms to CISPR Publication 16-1, "Radio Interference Measuring Apparatus and Measurement Methods."



CCS is accredited by NVLAP, Laboratory Code 200065-0. The full scope of accreditation can be viewed at <http://www.ccsemc.com>.

No part of this report may be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any government agency.

3 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION



The DASY4 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stäubli RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 2000 or Windows XP.
- DASY4 software.
- Remote controls with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand and right-hand usage.
- The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- Validation dipole kits allowing to validate the proper functioning of the system.

3.1 COMPOSITION OF INGREDIENTS FOR TISSUE SIMULATIG LIQUIDS

The following tissue formulations are provided for reference only as some of the parameters have not been thoroughly verified. The composition of ingredients may be modified accordingly to achieve the desired target tissue parameters required for routine SAR evaluation.

Ingredients (% by weight)	Frequency (MHz)									
	450		835		915		1900		2450	
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2
Salt (NaCl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triton X-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5
Conductivity (S/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78

Salt: 99+% Pure Sodium Chloride

Sugar: 98+% Pure Sucrose

Water: De-ionized, 16 MΩ+ resistivity


HEC: Hydroxyethyl Cellulose

DGBE: 99+% Di(ethylene glycol) butyl ether, [2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol]

Triton X-100 (ultra pure): Polyethylene glycol mono [4-(1,1, 3, 3-tetramethylbutyl)phenyl]ether

4 SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETERS CHECK

The simulating liquids should be checked at the beginning of a series of SAR measurements to determine if the dielectric parameters are within the tolerances of the specified target values. The relative permittivity and conductivity of the tissue material should be within $\pm 5\%$ of the values given in the table below.



Set-up for liquid parameters check

Reference Values of Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantom (for 150 – 3000 MHz and 5800 MHz)

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in IEEE Standard 1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in IEEE Standard 1528.

Target Frequency (MHz)	Head		Body	
	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800 – 2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

(ϵ_r = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$)

4.1 SIMULATING LIQUID PARAMETER CHECK RESULT

Simulating Liquid Dielectric Parameters Check Result @ Muscle 835 MHz

Room Ambient Temperature = 23°C; Relative humidity = 45%

Measured by: **Ninous Davoudi**

Simulating Liquid			Parameters		Measured	Target	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)	
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)							
835	22	15	e'	54.614	Relative Permittivity (ϵ_r):	54.6140	55.2	-1.06	± 5
			e"	20.9213	Conductivity (σ):	0.97184	0.97	0.19	± 5

Liquid Check

Ambient temperature: 23 deg. C; Liquid temperature: 22 deg C

September 26, 2006 04:29 PM

Frequency	e'	e''
800000000.	54.9267	21.0648
805000000.	54.8677	21.0586
810000000.	54.8585	21.0459
815000000.	54.7972	20.9957
820000000.	54.7563	20.9834
825000000.	54.7082	20.9182
830000000.	54.6337	20.9299
835000000.	54.6140	20.9213
840000000.	54.5506	20.8521
845000000.	54.5061	20.8313
850000000.	54.4411	20.8401
855000000.	54.4118	20.8248
860000000.	54.3724	20.7809
865000000.	54.2834	20.7456
870000000.	54.2430	20.7779
875000000.	54.2357	20.7546
880000000.	54.1700	20.7433
885000000.	54.0615	20.7278
890000000.	54.0364	20.7329
895000000.	54.0104	20.7069
900000000.	53.9679	20.6769

The conductivity (σ) can be given as:

$$\sigma = \omega \epsilon_0 \epsilon'' = 2 \pi f \epsilon_0 \epsilon''$$

where $f = \text{target } f * 10^6$

$$\epsilon_0 = 8.854 * 10^{-12}$$

Simulating Liquid Dielectric Parameters Check Result @ Muscle 1900 MHz

Room Ambient Temperature = 23°C; Relative humidity = 43%

Measured by: Sunny Shih

Simulating Liquid			Parameters			Measured	Target	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)							
1900	22	15	e'	55.5996	Relative Permittivity (ε _r):	55.5996	53.3	4.31	± 5
			e''	14.2575	Conductivity (σ):	1.50701	1.52	-0.85	± 5

Liquid Check

Ambient temperature: 23 deg. C; Liquid temperature: 22 deg C

September 27, 2006 10:49 AM

Frequency	e'	e''
1750000000.	56.2414	13.4320
1760000000.	56.1345	13.5659
1770000000.	56.0924	13.6716
1780000000.	56.0899	13.7260
1790000000.	56.1393	13.8047
1800000000.	56.1337	13.8541
1810000000.	56.0747	13.8318
1820000000.	56.0442	13.7413
1830000000.	56.0486	13.6826
1840000000.	56.0391	13.7589
1850000000.	55.9441	13.9252
1860000000.	55.7415	14.0555
1870000000.	55.5718	14.1023
1880000000.	55.5396	14.1009
1890000000.	55.5708	14.1857
1900000000.	55.5996	14.2575
1910000000.	55.5611	14.2857
1920000000.	55.5563	14.2009
1930000000.	55.6127	14.1685
1940000000.	55.6437	14.2146
1950000000.	55.5669	14.3246

The conductivity (σ) can be given as:

$$\sigma = \omega \epsilon_0 \epsilon'' = 2 \pi f \epsilon_0 \epsilon''$$

where $f = \text{target } f * 10^6$

$$\epsilon_0 = 8.854 * 10^{-12}$$

5 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

The system performance check is performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications of $\pm 10\%$.

System Performance Check Measurement Conditions

- The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with Body simulating liquid of the following parameters.
- The DASY4 system with an Isotropic E-Field Probe EX3DV3-SN: 3531 was used for the measurements.
- The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feed point was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10 mm (above 1 GHz) and 15 mm (below 1 GHz) from dipole center to the simulating liquid surface.
- The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15 mm was aligned with the dipole.
For 5 GHz band - The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 10 mm was aligned with the dipole.
- Special 5 x 5 x 7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration(dx=dy=7.5mm; dz=5mm).
For 5 GHz band - Special 8x8x8 fine cube was chosen for cube integration(dx=dy=4.3mm; dz=3mm)
- Distance between probe sensors and phantom surface was set to 4 mm.
For 5 GHz band - Distance between probe sensors and phantom surface was set to 2.0mm
- The dipole input power (forward power) was 250 mW $\pm 3\%$.
- The results are normalized to 1 W input power.

Reference SAR Values for body-tissue

In the table below, the numerical reference SAR values of a SPEAG validation dipoles placed below the flat phantom filled with body-tissue simulating liquid are given. The reference SAR values were calculated using the finite-difference time-domain method and the geometry parameters.

Dipole Type	Distance (mm)	Frequency (MHz)	SAR (1g) [W/kg]	SAR (10g) [W/kg]	SAR (peak) [W/kg]
D450V2	15	450	5.01	3.36	7.22
D835V2	15	835	9.71	6.38	14.1
D900V2	15	900	11.1	7.17	16.3
D1450V2	10	1450	29.6	16.6	49.8
D1800V2	10	1800	38.5	20.3	67.5
D1900V2	10	1900	39.8	20.8	69.6
D2000V2	10	2000	40.9	21.2	71.5
D2450V2	10	2450	51.2	23.7	97.6

Note: All SAR values normalized to 1 W forward power.

5.1 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK RESULTS**System Validation Dipole: D835V2 SN:4d002**

Date: September 26, 2006

Room Ambient Temperature = 23°C; Relative humidity = 45%

Measured by: Ninous Davoudi

Body Simulating Liquid			SAR (mW/g)		Normalized to 1 W	Target	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)						
835	22	15	1g	2.49	9.96	9.71	2.57	± 10
			10g	1.64	6.56	6.38	2.82	± 10

System Validation Dipole: D1900V2 SN:5d043

Date: September 27, 2006

Room Ambient Temperature = 23°C; Relative humidity = 43%

Measured by: Sunny Shih

Body Simulating Liquid			SAR (mW/g)		Normalized to 1 W	Target	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
f (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Depth (cm)						
1900	22	15	1g	9.75	39	39.8	-2.01	± 10
			10g	5.2	20.8	20.8	0.00	± 10

6 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

A summary of the procedure follows:

- a) A measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location is used as a reference value for assessing the power drop of the EUT. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test, and then again at the end of the test.
- b) The SAR distribution at the exposed flat section of the flat phantom is measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covers the entire dimension of the EUT and the horizontal grid spacing is 15 mm x 15 mm. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption is determined by Spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.

For 5 GHz band - The SAR distribution at the exposed flat section of the flat phantom is measured at a distance of 2.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covers the entire dimension of the EUT and the horizontal grid spacing is 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on this data, the area of the maximum absorption is determined by Spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.

- c) Around this point, a volume of X=Y= 30 and Z=21 mm is assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 mm points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value is evaluated with the following procedure:

For 5 GHz band - Around this point, a volume of X=Y=Z=30 mm is assessed by measuring 8 x 8 x 8 mm points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value is evaluated with the following procedure:

- (i) The data at the surface are extrapolated, since the centre of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation is based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order is calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial is then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
- (ii) The maximum interpolated value is searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g and 10 g) are computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"- condition (in x, y and z-direction). The volume is integrated with the trapezoidal – algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) are interpolated to calculate the averages.
- (iii) All neighbouring volumes are evaluated until no neighbouring volume with a higher average value is found.
- (iv) The SAR value at the same location as in Step (a) is again measured to evaluate the actual power drift.

6.1 DASY4 SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

Step 1: Power Reference Measurement

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurements are for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. The Minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. The minimum distance of probe sensors to surface is 2.1 mm. This distance cannot be smaller than the Distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties (for example, 1.2 mm for an EX3DV3 probe type).

Step 2: Area Scan

The Area Scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a fine measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in DASY4 software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. When an Area Scan has measured all reachable points, it computes the field maximal found in the scanned area, within a range of the global maximum. The range (in dB) is specified in the standards for compliance testing. For example, a 2 dB range is required in IEEE Standard 1528, EN 50361 and IEC 62209 standards, whereby 3 dB is a requirement when compliance is assessed in accordance with the ARIB standard (Japan). If only one Zoom Scan follows the Area Scan, then only the absolute maximum will be taken as reference. For cases where multiple maximums are detected, the number of Zoom Scans has to be increased accordingly.

Step 3: Zoom Scan

Zoom Scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The Zoom Scan measures 5 x 5 x 7 points within a cube whose base faces are centered on the maxima found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. When the measurement is done, the Zoom Scan evaluates the averaged SAR for 1 g and 10 g and displays these values next to the job's label.

For 5 GHz band – Same as above except the Zoom Scan measures 8 x 8 x 8 points.

Step 4: Power drift measurement

The Power Drift Measurement measures the field at the same location as the most recent power reference measurement within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The Power Drift Measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last Power Reference Measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. The measurement procedure is the same as Step 1.

Step 5: Z-Scan

The Z Scan measures points along a vertical straight line. The line runs along the Z-axis of a one-dimensional grid. In order to get a reasonable extrapolation, the extrapolated distance should not be larger than the step size in Z-direction.

7 PROCEDURE USED TO ESTABLISH TEST SIGNAL

The following procedures had been used to prepare the EUT for the SAR test.

The following setting is used to prepare the EUT in GSM850/1900MHz bands for the SAR test.

Agilent 8960 series 10 E5515C, Wireless Communication Test Set is used to control the EUT and measure the output power.

The following setting was used to establish the signal.

System Config: GSM/GPRS Mobile Test
E1968A A.06.31

Call Params: BCH → Cell Band: GSM850/PCS
TCH → Traffic Band: GSM850/PCS
Traffic Channel: 128/192/251 or 512/661/810
MS Tx Level: 0
PDTCH → Traffic Band: GSM850/PCS
Traffic Channel: 128/192/251 512/661/810
MS Tx Level: 0
Coding Scheme: CS-4
MultiSlot Config: 2up, 2 down

Control: Active Cell → GSM/GPRS/EGPRS

GSM850, GPRS

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Power (dBm)
128	824.2	32.2
192	837.0	32.0
251	848.8	31.5

GSM1900, GPRS

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Power (dBm)
512	1850.2	29.1
661	1880.0	29.0
810	1909.8	28.7

GSM850, EGPRS

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Power (dBm)
128	824.2	29.1
192	837.0	28.9
251	848.8	28.7

GSM1900, EGPRS

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Power (dBm)
512	1850.2	28.0
661	1880.0	28.0
810	1909.8	27.8

8 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Secondary portrait position is skipped since a Toshiba software tool disables the WWAN at secondary portrait configuration.

	Photos are confidential, please see a seperate file	
Notes: 1) The exact method of extrapolation is Measured SAR x 10^(-drift/10). The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4 system can be scaled up by the Power drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process. 2) The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration is at least 3 dB lower (0.8 mW/g) than SAR limit (1.6 mW/g), thus testing at low & high channel is optional. 3) Please see attachments for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.		

8.1 CELL BAND**8.1.1 LCD EDGE POSITION - SECONDARY LANDSCAPE**

Photos are confidential, please see a seperate file

GSM 850

Test Mode	Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dB)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
GPRS	128	824.2	0.124	0.000	0.124
	192	837.0	0.096	-0.109	0.098
	251	848.8	0.101	-0.195	0.106
	128 ⁴	824.2	0.127	-0.182	0.132
	128⁵	824.20	0.128	-0.154	0.133
EGPRS	128	824.2	0.030	0.000	0.030
	192	837.0			
	251	848.8			

Notes:

- 1) The exact method of extrapolation is $\text{Measured SAR} \times 10^{(-\text{drift}/10)}$. The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4 system can be scaled up by the Power drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process.
- 2) The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration is at least 3 dB lower (0.8 mW/g) than SAR limit (1.6 mW/g), thus testing at low & high channel is optional.
- 3) Please see attachments for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.
- 4) Collocation with WLAN @ 2.4 GHz and Bluetooth modules.
- 5) Collocation with WLAN @ 5 GHz and Bluetooth modules.

8.1.2 LAP HELD POSITION

Photos are confidential, please see a separate file

GSM 850

Test Mode	Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dB)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
GPRS	128	824.2	0.084	-0.011	0.084
	192	837.0	0.069	0.000	0.069
	251	848.8	0.078	-0.105	0.080
	128 ⁴	824.2	0.081	-0.024	0.081
	128 ⁵	824.2	0.081	-0.106	0.083
EGPRS	128	824.2	0.032	-0.032	0.032
	192	837.0			
	251	848.8			

Notes:

- 1) The exact method of extrapolation is $\text{Measured SAR} \times 10^{(-\text{drift}/10)}$. The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4 system can be scaled up by the Power drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process.
- 2) The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration is at least 3 dB lower (0.8 mW/g) than SAR limit (1.6 mW/g), thus testing at low & high channel is optional.
- 3) Please see attachments for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.
- 4) Collocation with WLAN @ 2.4 GHz and Bluetooth modules.
- 5) Collocation with WLAN @ 5 GHz and Bluetooth modules.

8.2 PCS BAND**8.2.1 LCD EDGE POSITION- SECONDARY LANDSCAPE**

Photos are confidential, please see a seperate file

GSM 1900

Test Mode	Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dB)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
GPRS	512	1850.2	0.056	-0.005	0.056
	661	1880.0	0.072	0.000	0.072
	810	1909.8	0.076	0.000	0.076
	810⁴	1909.8	0.078	-0.182	0.081
	810 ⁵	1909.8	0.078	-0.084	0.080
EGPRS	512	1850.2			0.000
	661	1880.0	0.037	0.000	0.037
	810	1909.8			0.000

Notes:

- 1) The exact method of extrapolation is Measured SAR x 10[^](-drift/10). The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4 system can be scaled up by the Power drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process.
- 2) The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration is at least 3 dB lower (0.8 mW/g) than SAR limit (1.6 mW/g), thus testing at low & high channel is optional.
- 3) Please see attachments for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.
- 4) Collocation with WLAN @ 2.4 GHz and Bluetooth modules.
- 5) Collocation with WLAN @ 5 GHz and Bluetooth modules.

8.2.2 LAP HELD POSITION

Photos are confidential, please see a separate file

GSM 1900

Test Mode	Channel	f (MHz)	Measured SAR 1g (mW/g)	Power Drift (dB)	Extrapolated ¹⁾ SAR 1g (mW/g)
GPRS	512	1850.2	0.037	-0.107	0.038
	661	1880.0	0.049	-0.105	0.050
	810	1909.8	0.049	-0.109	0.051
	810 ⁴	1909.8	0.053	-0.110	0.054
	810 ⁵	1909.8	0.049	-0.138	0.051
EGPRS	512	1850.2			
	661	1880.0	0.025	-0.111	0.026
	810	1909.8			

Notes:

- 1) The exact method of extrapolation is $\text{Measured SAR} \times 10^{(-\text{drift}/10)}$. The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY4 system can be scaled up by the Power drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process.
- 2) The SAR measured at the middle channel for this configuration is at least 3 dB lower (0.8 mW/g) than SAR limit (1.6 mW/g), thus testing at low & high channel is optional.
- 3) Please see attachments for the detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the EUT.
- 4) Collocation with WLAN @ 2.4 GHz and Bluetooth modules.
- 5) Collocation with WLAN @ 5 GHz and Bluetooth modules.

9 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

9.1 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY FOR 300 MHz – 3000 MHz

Uncertainty component	Tol. (±%)	Probe Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	Std. Unc.(±%)	
						Ui (1g)	Ui(10g)
Measurement System							
Probe Calibration	4.80	N	1	1	1	4.80	4.80
Axial Isotropy	4.70	R	1.732	0.707	0.707	1.92	1.92
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.60	R	1.732	0.707	0.707	3.92	3.92
Boundary Effects	1.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.58	0.58
Linearity	4.70	R	1.732	1	1	2.71	2.71
System Detection Limits	1.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.58	0.58
Readout Electronics	1.00	N	1	1	1	1.00	1.00
Response Time	0.80	R	1.732	1	1	0.46	0.46
Integration Time	2.60	R	1.732	1	1	1.50	1.50
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	1.59	R	1.732	1	1	0.92	0.92
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	0.00	R	1.732	1	1	0.00	0.00
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	0.40	R	1.732	1	1	0.23	0.23
Probe Positioning With Respect to Phantom Shell	2.90	R	1.732	1	1	1.67	1.67
Extrapolation, interpolation, and integration algorithms for max. SAR evaluation	3.90	R	1.732	1	1	2.25	2.25
Test sample Related							
Test Sample Positioning	1.10	N	1	1	1	1.10	1.10
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.60	N	1	1	1	3.60	3.60
Power and SAR Drift Measurement	5.00	R	1.732	1	1	2.89	2.89
Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
Phantom Uncertainty	4.00	R	1.732	1	1	2.31	2.31
Liquid Conductivity - Target	5.00	R	1.732	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24
Liquid Conductivity - Meas.	8.60	N	1	0.64	0.43	5.50	3.70
Liquid Permittivity - Target	5.00	R	1.732	0.6	0.49	1.73	1.41
Liquid Permittivity - Meas.	3.30	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.98	1.62
Combined Standard Uncertainty	RSS					11.44	10.49
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence Interval)	K=2					22.87	20.98
Notes for table							
1. Tol. - tolerance in influence quaity							
2. N - Nomal							
3. R - Rectangular							
4. Div. - Divisor used to obtain standard uncertainty							
5. Ci - is te sensitivity coefficient							

10 EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION

<u>Name of Equipment</u>	<u>Manufacturer</u>	<u>Type/Model</u>	<u>Serial Number</u>	<u>Cal. Due date</u>
Robot - Six Axes	Stäubli	RX90BL	N/A	N/A
Robot Remote Control	Stäubli	CS7MB	3403-91535	N/A
DASY4 Measurement Server	SPEAG	SEUMS001BA	1041	N/A
Probe Alignment Unit	SPEAG	LB (V2)	261	N/A
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753ES-6	US39173569	2/9/07
Electronic Probe kit	Hewlett Packard	85070C	N/A	N/A
E-Field Probe	SPEAG	EX3DV4	3552	5/30/07
Thermometer	ERTCO	639-1S	1718	1/11/07
SAM Phantom (SAM1)	SPEAG	TP-1185	QD000P40CA	N/A
SAM Phantom (SAM2)	SPEAG	TP-1015	N/A	N/A
Data Acquisition Electronics	SPEAG	DAE4	558	1/20/07
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D835V2	4d002	1/23/08
System Validation Dipole	SPEAG	D1900V2	5d043	1/29/08
Power Meter	Giga-tronics	8651A	8651404	12/27/06
Power Sensor	Giga-tronics	80701A	1834588	12/27/07
Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZVE-8G	0360	N/A
Amplifier	Mini-Circuits	ZHL-42W	D072701-5	N/A
Radio Communication Tester	Rohde & Schwarz	CMU 200	838114/032	3/21/07
Simulating Liquid	CCS	M835	N/A	Within 24 hrs of first test
Simulating Liquid	CCS	M1900	N/A	Within 24 hrs of first test

11 HOTOS

EUT

Photos are confidential, please see a seperate file

Host Laptop

Photos are confidential, please see a seperate file

Antenna Location

Photos are confidential, please see a seperate file

DUT Location

Photos are confidential, please see a seperate file

12 ATTACHMENTS

No.	Contents	No. Of Pages
1	System Performance Check Plots	4
2-1	SAR Test Plots – Cell Band	13
2-2	SAR Test Plots – PCS Band	13
3	Certificate of E-Field Probe - EXDV4SN3552	9
4	Certificate of System Validation Dipole - D835V2 SN:4d002	9
5	Certificate of System Validation Dipole - D1900V2 SN:5d043	9

END OF REPORT