



NVLAP Lab Code 200087-0

SUBMITTAL APPLICATION REPORT FOR FCC And INDUSTRY CANADA GRANT OF CERTIFICATION

FOR
Model: 9063 Wireless Keypad
0.125 MHz RFID Low Power Transmitter

FCC ID: CCKPC0126P
IC: 5251A-PC0126P

FOR
DIGITAL MONITORING PRODUCTS, INC.
2500 North Partnership Boulevard
Springfield, MO 65802-6310


Test Report Number: 100223A

Authorized Signatory: *Scot D Rogers*
Scot D. Rogers

Rogers Labs, Inc.
4405 W. 259th Terrace
Louisburg, KS 66053
Phone/Fax: (913) 837-3214
Revision 1

Digital Monitoring Products, Inc.
Model: 9063 Wireless Keypad
Test #: 100223A
Test to: FCC 15C (15.209), IC RSS-310
File: DMP 9063 PC0126P RFID TstRpt

SN: ENG1
FCC ID#: CCKPC0126P
IC: 5251A-PC0126P
Date: March 9, 2010
Page 1 of 29

**ROGERS LABS, INC.**

4405 West 259th Terrace
Louisburg, KS 66053
Phone / Fax (913) 837-3214

Engineering Test Report
Application for
Grant of Certification
FOR
CFR 47, PART 15C - Intentional Radiators
Paragraph 15.209 and Industry Canada, RSS-310
Low Power Transmitter
For
DIGITAL MONITORING PRODUCTS, INC.
2500 North Partnership Boulevard
Springfield, MO 65802-6310
Terry Shelton,

Model: 9063 Wireless Keypad

Frequency 0.125 MHz RFID

FCC ID#: CCKPC0126P, IC: 5251A-PC0126P

Test Date: February 23, 2010

Certifying Engineer:

Scot D. Rogers
Rogers Labs, Inc.
4405 West 259th Terrace
Louisburg, KS 66053
Telephone / Facsimile: (913) 837-3214

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
This report must not be used by the client to claim product endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or
any agency of the U.S. Government.

Rogers Labs, Inc.
4405 W. 259th Terrace
Louisburg, KS 66053
Phone/Fax: (913) 837-3214
Revision 1

Digital Monitoring Products, Inc.
Model: 9063 Wireless Keypad
Test #: 100223A
Test to: FCC 15C (15.209), IC RSS-310
File: DMP 9063 PC0126P RFID TstRpt

SN: ENG1
FCC ID#: CCKPC0126P
IC: 5251A-PC0126P
Date: March 9, 2010
Page 2 of 29

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	3
FORWARD	5
APPLICABLE STANDARDS & TEST PROCEDURES	5
OPINION / INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS	5
SUMMARY OF RESULTS	6
ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS.....	6
UNITS OF MEASUREMENTS	6
TEST SITE LOCATIONS.....	6
LIST OF TEST EQUIPMENT	7
APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION	8
STATEMENT OF MODIFICATIONS AND DEVIATIONS	9
EQUIPMENT TESTED.....	9
Equipment Function and Testing Setup Configuration	9
EMISSIONS TEST PROCEDURES	10
AC Line Conducted Emission Test Procedure	10
Radiated Emission Test Procedure.....	10
SUBPART C - INTENTIONAL RADIATORS	11
ANTENNA REQUIREMENTS	11
RESTRICTED BANDS OF OPERATION	11
Radiated Emissions Data in Restricted Bands	11
Summary of Results for Radiated Emissions in Restricted Bands	12
AC LINE CONDUCTED EMISSIONS LIMITS; GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	12
AC Line Conducted Emissions Testing.....	12
Figure One AC Line Conducted emissions of EUT line 1	13
Figure Two AC Line Conducted emissions of EUT line 2	13
Data, AC Line Conducted Emissions	14

Summary of Results for AC Line Conducted Emissions.....	14
Radiated Emissions Testing Procedure.....	15
Figure Three General Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room	16
Figure Four General Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room.....	16
Figure Five General Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room	17
Figure Six General Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room	17
Figure Seven General Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room.....	18
Figure Eight General Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room.....	18
Data, General Radiated Emissions	19
Summary of Results for General Radiated Emissions.....	19
Operation in the 0.125 MHz Frequency Band.....	20
Figure Nine Extrapolated Radiated Emissions data.....	20
Figure Ten Occupied Bandwidth.....	21
Data, Transmitter Radiated Emissions	21
Data, Harmonic Emissions	22
Summary of Results for Transmitter Radiated Emissions.....	22
ANNEX.....	23
Annex A Measurement Uncertainty Calculations.....	24
Annex B Rogers Labs Test Equipment List.....	26
Annex C Rogers Qualifications.....	27
Annex D FCC Site Registration Letter	28
Annex E Industry Canada Site Registration Letter.....	29



NVLAP Lab Code 200087-0

Forward

The following information is submitted for consideration in obtaining Grant of Certification for a Low Power intentional radiator operating under CFR 47 Paragraph 15.209 and Industry Canada standard RSS-310.

Name of Applicant: Digital Monitoring Products, Inc.
2500 North Partnership Boulevard
Springfield, MO 65802-6310

Model: 9063 Wireless Keypad

FCC I.D.: CCKPC0126P IC: 5251A-PC0126P

Frequency Range: 0.125 MHz.

Operating Power: 40.4 dB μ V/m @ 3-meters (3- meter radiated measurement)

Occupied Band Width 5.3 kHz

Applicable Standards & Test Procedures

In accordance with the Federal Communications Commission, Code of Federal Regulations CFR 47, dated October 1, 2009, Part 2, Subpart J, Paragraphs 2.907, 2.911, 2.913, 2.925, 2.926, 2.1031 through 2.1057, applicable parts of paragraph 15, Part 15C paragraph 15.209, and RSS-310 the following information is submitted for consideration in obtaining grant of certification. Test procedures used are the established Methods of Measurement of Radio-Noise Emissions as described in the ANSI 63.4-2003 Document.

Opinion / Interpretation of Results

Tests Performed	Results
Emissions Tests	
Emissions as per CFR 47 paragraphs 2 and 15.205	Complies
Emissions as per CFR 47 paragraphs 2 and 15.209	Complies
Emissions as per RSS-310	Complies

Rogers Labs, Inc.
4405 W. 259th Terrace
Louisburg, KS 66053
Phone/Fax: (913) 837-3214
Revision 1

Digital Monitoring Products, Inc.
Model: 9063 Wireless Keypad
Test #: 100223A
Test to: FCC 15C (15.209), IC RSS-310
File: DMP 9063 PC0126P RFID TstRpt

SN: ENG1
FCC ID#: CCKPC0126P
IC: 5251A-PC0126P
Date: March 9, 2010
Page 5 of 29

Summary of Results

Test Performed	Margin (dB)	Results
Antenna requirement	N/A	Complies
Radiated Emissions in Restricted Bands	10.6	Complies
AC Line Conducted Emissions	31.0	Complies
General Radiated Emissions	13.2	Complies

Environmental Conditions

Ambient Temperature 21.1° C
Relative Humidity 18%
Atmospheric Pressure 1025.5 mb

Units of Measurements

Conducted EMI Data is in dB μ V; dB referenced to one microvolt.
Radiated EMI Data is in dB μ V/m; dB/m referenced to one microvolt per meter.

Test Site Locations

Radiated EMI The radiated emissions tests were performed at the 3 meters, Open Area Test Site (OATS) located at Rogers Labs, Inc., 4405 W. 259th Terrace, Louisburg, KS.
Site Registration Refer to Annex for FCC Site Registration Letter, # 90910 and Industry Canada Registration Letter reference 3041A-1
NVLAP Lab code 200087-0



NVLAP Lab Code 200087-0

List of Test Equipment

A Rohde and Schwarz ESU40, Hewlett Packard 8591EM and or 8562A Spectrum Analyzer was used as the measuring equipment for emissions testing. The analyzer settings used are described in the following table. Refer to the annex for a complete list of Test Equipment.

Spectrum Analyzer Settings		
Conducted Emissions		
RBW	AVG. BW	Detector Function
9 kHz	30 kHz	Peak/Quasi Peak
Radiated Emissions (30 – 1000 MHz)		
RBW	AVG. BW	Detector Function
120 kHz	300 kHz	Peak/Quasi Peak
Spectrum Analyzer Settings		
Radiated Emissions (1 – 40 GHz)		
RBW	AVG. BW	Detector Function
1 MHz	1 MHz	Peak/Average
Antenna Conducted Emissions		
RBW	AVG. BW	Detector Function
120 kHz	300 kHz	Peak

Equipment	Manufacturer	Model	Calibration Date	Due
LISN	Comp. Design	FCC-LISN-2-MOD.CD	10/09	10/10
Antenna	ARA	BCD-235-B	10/09	10/10
Antenna	EMCO	3147	10/09	10/10
Antenna	EMCO	3143	5/09	5/10
Analyzer	HP	8591EM	5/09	5/10
Analyzer	HP	8562A	5/09	5/10
Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU40	2/09	2/10

Application for Certification

(1) Manufacturer: Digital Monitoring Products, Inc.
2500 North Partnership Boulevard
Springfield, MO 65802-6310

(2) Identification: Model: 9063 Wireless Keypad
FCC I.D.: CCKPC0126P
IC: 5251A-PC0126P

(3) Instruction Book:
Refer to Exhibit for Instruction Manual.

(4) Description of Circuit Functions:
Refer to Exhibit of Operational Description.

(5) Block Diagram with Frequencies:
Refer to Exhibit of Operational Description.

(6) Report of Measurements:
Report of measurements follows in this Report.

(7) Photographs: Construction, Component Placement, etc.:
Refer to Exhibit for photographs of equipment.

(8) No Peripheral Equipment was Necessary.

(9) Transition Provisions of 15.37 are not being requested.

(10) Equipment is not a scanning receiver and this section is not applicable.

(11) The equipment does not operate in the 59 – 64 GHz frequency band and this section is not applicable.

(12) The equipment is not software defined and this section is not applicable.

Statement of Modifications and Deviations

No modifications to the EUT were required for the equipment to demonstrate compliance with the FCC CFR 47 Part 15C and Industry Canada RSS-310 Emissions Standards. There were no deviations to the specifications.

Equipment Tested

<u>Equipment</u>	<u>Model</u>	<u>FCC ID</u>	<u>IC</u>
EUT	9063 Wireless Keypad	CCKPC0126P	5251A-PC0126P

Equipment Function and Testing Setup Configuration

The 9063 Wireless Keypad is a control point for use in alarm panel installation environments. The EUT incorporates a 0.125 MHz radio transmitter used in processing RFID tag information and submitting data to an alarm panel for use in an alarm system installation. The 9063 is a wireless link used for interpreting data stored on a transponder card as key press information for the keypad. The RFID tag code is stored in the alarm panel and once the tag is placed in close proximity of the keypad, it reads the information and relays it to the alarm panel as key presses. The unit is marketed for use with personal identification badges holding the transponder and allowing the user to initiate key sequences to the alarm panel with the movement of the card in front of the keypad. The unit operates on 12 volts DC. An AC/DC power adapter was supplied for testing purposes. The design offers provision to interface with other compliant alarm panel components.

Emissions Test Procedures

AC Line Conducted Emission Test Procedure

Testing for the AC line-conducted emissions was performed as defined in sections 7.2.4 and 13 of ANSI C63.4. The test setup, including the EUT, was arranged in the test configurations as shown above and placed on a 1 x 1.5-meter wooden bench, 0.8 meters high located in a screen room. The power lines of the system were isolated from the power source using a standard LISN with a 50- μ Hy choke. EMI was coupled to the spectrum analyzer through a 0.1 μ F capacitor internal to the LISN. The LISN was positioned on the floor beneath the wooden bench supporting the EUT. The power lines and cables were draped over the back edge of the table. Refer to photographs in exhibits for EUT placement used during testing.

Radiated Emission Test Procedure

Testing of radiated emissions was performed as defined in section 8 of ANSI C63.4. The EUT was placed on a rotating 1 x 1.5-meter wooden platform, 0.8 meters above the ground plane at a distance of 3 meters from the FSM antenna. EMI energy was maximized by equipment placement, raising and lowering the FSM antenna, changing the antenna polarization, and by rotating the turntable. Each emission was maximized before data was taken using a spectrum analyzer. Refer to photographs in the exhibits for EUT placement.

Subpart C - Intentional Radiators

As per CFR 47 Part 15, Subpart C, paragraphs 15.203, 15.205, 15.209 and RSS-310 the following information is submitted.

Antenna Requirements

The unit is produced with a permanently attached antenna inside the plastic case. No provisions for modification or alterations of the antenna configuration are available to the end user. The requirements of 15.203 are met there are no deviations or exceptions to the specification.

Restricted Bands of Operation

Spurious emissions falling in the restricted frequency bands of operation were measured at a distance of three meters at the OATS. The EUT utilizes frequency determining circuitry, which generates harmonics falling in the restricted bands. Emissions were investigated at the OATS, using appropriate antennas or pyramidal horns, amplification stages, and spectrum analyzer. Peak and average amplitudes of frequencies above 1000 MHz were compared to the required limits with worst-case data presented below. Test procedures of ANSI 63.4-2003 paragraphs 13.1 and 8.3.1.2 were used during testing. No other significant emission was observed which fell into the restricted bands of operation. Computed emission values take into account the received radiated field strength, receive antenna correction factor, amplifier gain stage, and test system cable losses.

Sample Calculation:

$$\text{RFS (dB}\mu\text{V/m @ 3m)} = \text{FSM(dB}\mu\text{V)} + \text{A.F.(dB)} - \text{Gain(dB)}$$

Radiated Emissions Data in Restricted Bands

Frequency in MHz	FSM Horz. (dB μ V)	FSM Vert. (dB μ V)	A.F. (dB/m)	Amp. Gain (dB)	RFS Horz. @ 3m (dB μ V/m)	RFS Vert. @ 3m (dB μ V/m)	FCC Class B Limit @ 3m (dB μ V/m)
0.500	15.3		67.7	40	43.0		53.6
5419.0	35.0	32.5	33.1	30	38.1	35.6	54.0

Other emissions present had amplitudes at least 20 dB below the margin.

Summary of Results for Radiated Emissions in Restricted Bands

The EUT demonstrated compliance with radiated emissions requirements of FCC Part 15C and RSS-310 Intentional Radiators. The EUT presented with 10.6 dB minimum margin below the limits. Both average and peak amplitudes above 1000 MHz were investigated for compliance with the regulations. No other emissions were found in the restricted frequency bands. Other emissions were present with amplitudes at least 20 dB below the limits.

AC Line Conducted emissions limits; general requirements***AC Line Conducted Emissions Testing***

The EUT was arranged in typical equipment configurations (AC power adapter). Testing was performed with the EUT placed on a 1 x 1.5-meter wooden bench 80 cm above the conducting ground plane, floor of a screen room. The bench was positioned 40 cm away from the wall of the screen room. The LISN was positioned on the floor of the screen room 80-cm from the rear of the EUT. Testing for the line-conducted emissions were the procedures of ANSI 63.4-2003 paragraphs 13.1.3 and 7.2.4. The AC adapter for the EUT was connected to the LISN for line-conducted emissions testing. A second LISN was positioned on the floor of the screen room 80-cm from the rear of the supporting equipment of the EUT. All power cords except the EUT were then powered from the second LISN. EMI was coupled to the spectrum analyzer through a 0.1 μ F capacitor, internal to the LISN. Power line conducted emissions testing was carried out individually for each current carrying conductor of the EUT. The excess length of lead between the system and the LISN receptacle was folded back and forth to form a bundle not exceeding 40 cm in length. The screen room, conducting ground plane, analyzer, and LISN were bonded together to the protective earth ground. Preliminary testing was performed to identify the frequencies of each of the emissions, which had the highest amplitudes. The cables were repositioned to obtain maximum amplitude of measured EMI level. Once the worst-case configuration was identified, plots were made of the EMI from 0.15 MHz to 30 MHz then data was recorded with maximum conducted emissions levels. Refer to Figures one and two showing plots of the worst-case AC Line conducted emissions frequency spectrum taken in the screen room.




Figure One AC Line Conducted emissions of EUT line 1




Figure Two AC Line Conducted emissions of EUT line 2

Rogers Labs, Inc.
4405 W. 259th Terrace
Louisburg, KS 66053
Phone/Fax: (913) 837-3214
Revision 1

Digital Monitoring Products, Inc.
Model: 9063 Wireless Keypad
Test #: 100223A
Test to: FCC 15C (15.209), IC RSS-310
File: DMP 9063 PC0126P RFID TstRpt

SN: ENG1
FCC ID#: CCKPC0126P
IC: 5251A-PC0126P
Date: March 9, 2010
Page 13 of 29

Data, AC Line Conducted Emissions

Line 1

Trace	Frequency	Level (dBμV)	Detector	Delta Limit/dB
1	150.000000000 kHz	33.22	Quasi Peak	-32.78
2	174.000000000 kHz	18.74	Average	-36.02
1	370.000000000 kHz	24.55	Quasi Peak	-33.95
1	394.000000000 kHz	23.98	Quasi Peak	-34.00
1	462.000000000 kHz	22.86	Quasi Peak	-33.80
2	462.000000000 kHz	15.63	Average	-31.03
2	8.000000000 MHz	7.30	Average	-42.70
2	8.908000000 MHz	7.40	Average	-42.60

Line 2

Trace	Frequency	Level (dBμV)	Detector	Delta Limit/dB
2	154.000000000 kHz	15.81	Average	-39.97
1	154.000000000 kHz	33.66	Quasi Peak	-32.12
1	162.000000000 kHz	31.20	Quasi Peak	-34.16
1	186.000000000 kHz	31.77	Quasi Peak	-32.44
1	374.000000000 kHz	25.32	Quasi Peak	-33.09
1	494.000000000 kHz	23.26	Quasi Peak	-32.84
1	502.000000000 kHz	23.26	Quasi Peak	-32.74
2	5.352000000 MHz	4.61	Average	-45.39
2	8.000000000 MHz	7.74	Average	-42.26

Other emissions present had amplitudes at least 20 dB below the limit.

Summary of Results for AC Line Conducted Emissions

The EUT demonstrated compliance with the conducted emissions requirements of CFR 47 Part 15C and other applicable standards for Intentional Radiators. The EUT worst-case configuration demonstrated minimum margin of 31.0 dB below the CFR 47 limits. Other emissions were present with recorded data representing the worst-case amplitudes.

Radiated Emissions Testing Procedure

The EUT was arranged in a typical equipment configuration and operated through all available modes with worst-case data recorded. Preliminary testing was performed in a screen room with the EUT positioned 1 meter from the FSM. Radiated emissions measurements were performed to identify the frequencies, which produced the highest emissions. Plots were made of the radiated frequency spectrum from 0.060 MHz to 3,000 MHz for the preliminary testing. Refer to figures three through eight for plots of the radiated emissions spectrum taken in a screen room. The highest radiated emission was then re-maximized at the OATS location before final radiated emissions measurements were performed. Final data was taken with the EUT located at the OATS at a distance of 3 meters between the EUT and the receiving antenna. The frequency spectrum from .0060 MHz to 3,000 MHz was searched for radiated emissions. Measured emission levels were maximized by EUT placement on the table, rotating the turntable through 360 degrees, varying the antenna height between 1 and 4 meters above the ground plane and changing antenna position between horizontal and vertical polarization. Antennas used were loop antenna from 0.06 MHz to 30 MHz, Broadband Biconical from 30 to 200 MHz, Biconilog from 30 to 1000 MHz, Log Periodic from 200 MHz to 5 GHz and or, pyramidal horns and mixers from 4 GHz to 30 GHz, notch filters and appropriate amplifiers were utilized. A loop antenna was used to measure the 125 kHz fundamental intentional radiator and harmonics emission at distances of 1, 3, and 10 meters.




Figure Three General Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room




Figure Four General Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room

Rogers Labs, Inc.
4405 W. 259th Terrace
Louisburg, KS 66053
Phone/Fax: (913) 837-3214
Revision 1

Digital Monitoring Products, Inc.
Model: 9063 Wireless Keypad
Test #: 100223A
Test to: FCC 15C (15.209), IC RSS-310
File: DMP 9063 PC0126P RFID TstRpt

SN: ENG1
FCC ID#: CCKPC0126P
IC: 5251A-PC0126P
Date: March 9, 2010
Page 16 of 29




Figure Five General Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room




Figure Six General Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room

Rogers Labs, Inc.
4405 W. 259th Terrace
Louisburg, KS 66053
Phone/Fax: (913) 837-3214
Revision 1

Digital Monitoring Products, Inc.
Model: 9063 Wireless Keypad
Test #: 100223A
Test to: FCC 15C (15.209), IC RSS-310
File: DMP 9063 PC0126P RFID TstRpt

SN: ENG1
FCC ID#: CCKPC0126P
IC: 5251A-PC0126P
Date: March 9, 2010
Page 17 of 29




Figure Seven General Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room




Figure Eight General Radiated Emissions taken at 1 meter in screen room

Rogers Labs, Inc.
4405 W. 259th Terrace
Louisburg, KS 66053
Phone/Fax: (913) 837-3214
Revision 1

Digital Monitoring Products, Inc.
Model: 9063 Wireless Keypad
Test #: 100223A
Test to: FCC 15C (15.209), IC RSS-310
File: DMP 9063 PC0126P RFID TstRpt

SN: ENG1
FCC ID#: CCKPC0126P
IC: 5251A-PC0126P
Date: March 9, 2010
Page 18 of 29

Data, General Radiated Emissions

Frequency in MHz	FSM Horz. (dB μ V)	FSM Vert. (dB μ V)	A.F. (dB/m)	Amp. Gain (dB)	RFS Horz. @ 3m (dB μ V/m)	RFS Vert. @ 3m (dB μ V/m)	FCC Class B Limit @ 3m (dB μ V/m)
47.4	47.0	49.5	6.6	30	23.6	26.1	40.0
48.2	48.2	49.7	6.6	30	24.8	26.3	40.0
48.9	48.8	45.9	6.6	30	25.4	22.5	40.0
49.3	48.5	46.5	6.6	30	25.1	23.1	40.0
54.0	45.1	49.7	5.2	30	20.3	24.9	40.0
97.0	40.2	50.8	7.3	30	17.5	28.1	43.5
97.8	44.7	53.0	7.3	30	22.0	30.3	43.5
99.4	40.1	48.4	7.2	30	17.3	25.6	43.5
157.5	46.4	51.0	9.3	30	25.7	30.3	43.5
158.2	43.5	43.2	9.3	30	22.8	22.5	43.5
205.5	40.3	38.9	10.5	30	20.8	19.4	43.5
206.2	40.2	38.3	10.5	30	20.7	18.8	43.5
5419.0	35.0	32.5	33.1	30	38.1	35.6	54.0

Other emissions present had amplitudes at least 20 dB below the limit.

Summary of Results for General Radiated Emissions

The EUT demonstrated compliance with radiated emissions requirements for CFR 47 Part 15C, and Industry Canada requirements. The EUT presented with 13.2 dB minimum margin below the limit. Other emissions were present with amplitudes at least 20 dB below the limit.

Operation in the 0.125 MHz Frequency Band

Radiated emissions measurements were performed at several intermediate distances less than the 300 meter limit specification. The radiated emissions for the EUT were measured at distances of 1, 3, and 10 meters. Data points so obtained were plotted on semi-logarithmic graph paper, and a best-fit linear line was extended to the 300 meter mark of the graph, and the extrapolated emissions level was then compared to the limit for each frequency. Data for the intentional radiator was taken for frequencies of 0.125 MHz to 30 MHz as follows. Refer to figure nine displaying extrapolated data information and figure ten for occupied band width.

For each test position location:

1. The EUT was placed on a wooden table top 80 cm above the ground plane. The EUT was set to transmit in a normal mode of operation and field strength data was taken.
2. The EUT was rotated, the receive antenna was raised, and lowered in order to maximize the received emission. Maximum received emissions were achieved when the EUT antenna was in the same plane and at the same height as the receiving loop antenna.
3. The reading for each frequency of emission was recorded and plotted on semi-logarithmic graph paper for the extrapolated data.




Figure Nine Extrapolated Radiated Emissions data.




Figure Ten Occupied Bandwidth

Data, Transmitter Radiated Emissions

Frequency (kHz)	Distance (meters)	FSM (dBµV)	Antenna Factor (dB)	Amplification (dB)	Calculated level (dBµV)
125.0	1	48.8	73.1	40	81.9
125.0	3	35.4	73.1	40	68.5
125.0	10	15.6	73.1	40	48.7

Data, Harmonic Emissions

Emission Frequency (kHz)	FSM (dB μ V)	Antenna Factor (dB)	Amplifier Gain (dB)	Calculated Field Strength @ 3m (dB μ V/m)	Calculated Limit @ 3m (dB μ V/m)
250.0	11.3	70.9	40	42.2	59.6
375.0	12.9	70.6	40	43.5	56.1
500.0	10.3	67.7	40	38.0	53.6
625.0	6.7	66.9	40	33.6	51.7
750.0	8.3	66.9	40	35.2	50.1
875.0	8.7	66.3	40	35.0	48.8
1000.0	7.0	66.1	40	33.1	47.6
1250.0	10.8	56.6	40	27.4	45.7

Note: Levels measured @ 3-meter OATS site

Summary of Results for Transmitter Radiated Emissions

The EUT demonstrated compliance with the radiated emissions requirements of CFR 47 Part 15.209, RSS-310 and other applicable standards for Intentional Radiators. The EUT demonstrated compliance for the transmitter fundamental with a margin of at least 20 dB below the limit. The EUT worst-case configuration demonstrated calculated minimum radiated harmonic emission margin of 12.6 dB below the calculated limit. No other radiated emissions were found in the restricted bands less than 20 dB below limits than those recorded in this report. Other emissions were present with amplitudes at least 20 dB below the Limits.

Annex

- Annex A Measurement Uncertainty Calculations
- Annex B Rogers Labs Test Equipment List
- Annex C Rogers Qualifications
- Annex D FCC Site Registration Letter
- Annex E Industry Canada Site Registration Letter

Rogers Labs, Inc.
4405 W. 259th Terrace
Louisburg, KS 66053
Phone/Fax: (913) 837-3214
Revision 1

Digital Monitoring Products, Inc.
Model: 9063 Wireless Keypad
Test #: 100223A
Test to: FCC 15C (15.209), IC RSS-310
File: DMP 9063 PC0126P RFID TstRpt

SN: ENG1
FCC ID#: CCKPC0126P
IC: 5251A-PC0126P
Date: March 9, 2010
Page 23 of 29

Annex A Measurement Uncertainty Calculations

Radiated Emissions Measurement Uncertainty Calculation

Measurement of vertically polarized radiated field strength over the frequency range 30 MHz to 1 GHz on an open area test site at 3m and 10m includes following uncertainty:

Contribution	Probability Distribution	Uncertainty (dB)
Antenna factor calibration	normal ($k = 2$)	± 0.58
Cable loss calibration	normal ($k = 2$)	± 0.2
Receiver specification	rectangular	± 1.0
Antenna directivity	rectangular	± 0.1
Antenna factor variation with height	rectangular	± 2.0
Antenna factor frequency interpolation	rectangular	± 0.1
Measurement distance variation	rectangular	± 0.2
Site Imperfections	rectangular	± 1.5

Combined standard uncertainty $u_c(y)$ is

$$U_c(y) = \pm \sqrt{\left[\frac{1.0}{2}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{0.2}{2}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{1.0^2 + 0.1^2 + 2.0^2 + 0.1^2 + 0.2^2 + 1.5^2}{3}\right]}$$

$$U_c(y) = \pm 1.6 \text{ dB}$$

It is probable that $u_c(y) / s(q_k) > 3$, where $s(q_k)$ is estimated standard deviation from a sample of n readings unless the repeatability of the EUT is particularly poor, and a coverage factor of $k = 2$ will ensure that the level of confidence will be approximately 95%, therefore:

$$s(q_k) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{k=1}^n (q_k - \bar{q})^2}$$

$$U = 2 U_c(y) = 2 \times 1.6 \text{ dB} = \pm 3.2 \text{ dB}$$

Notes:

- 1.1 Uncertainties for the antenna and cable were estimated, based on a normal probability distribution with $k = 2$.
- 1.2 The receiver uncertainty was obtained from the manufacturer's specification for which a rectangular distribution was assumed.
- 1.3 The antenna factor uncertainty does not take account of antenna directivity.
- 1.4 The antenna factor varies with height and since the height was not always the same in use as when the antenna was calibrated an additional uncertainty is added.
- 1.5 The uncertainty in the measurement distance is relatively small but has some effect on the received signal strength. The increase in measurement distance as the antenna height is increased is an inevitable consequence of the test method and is therefore not considered a contribution to uncertainty.
- 1.6 Site imperfections are difficult to quantify but may include the following contributions:
 - Unwanted reflections from adjacent objects.
 - Ground plane imperfections: reflection coefficient, flatness, and edge effects.
 - Losses or reflections from "transparent" cabins for the EUT or site coverings.
 - Earth currents in antenna cable (mainly effect biconical antennas).



NVLAP Lab Code 200087-0

The specified limits for the difference between measured site attenuation and the theoretical value (± 4 dB) were not included in total since the measurement of site attenuation includes uncertainty contributions already allowed for in this budget, such as antenna factor.

Conducted Measurements Uncertainty Calculation

Measurement of conducted emissions over the frequency range 9 kHz to 30 MHz includes following uncertainty:

Contribution	Probability Distribution	Uncertainty (dB)
Receiver specification	rectangular	± 1.5
LISN coupling specification	rectangular	± 1.5
Cable and input attenuator calibration	normal (k=2)	± 0.5

Combined standard uncertainty $u_c(y)$ is

$$U_c(y) = \pm \sqrt{\left[\frac{0.5}{2}\right]^2 + \frac{1.5^2 + 1.5^2}{3}}$$

$$U_c(y) = \pm 1.2 \text{ dB}$$

As with radiated field strength uncertainty, it is probable that $u_c(y) / s(qk) > 3$ and a coverage factor of $k = 2$ will suffice, therefore:

$$U = 2 U_c(y) = 2 \times \pm 1.2 \text{ dB} = \pm 2.4 \text{ dB}$$

Annex B Rogers Labs Test Equipment List

The test equipment used is maintained in calibration and good operating condition. Use of this calibrated equipment ensures measurements are traceable to national standards.

List of Test Equipment	Calibration Date
Oscilloscope Scope: Tektronix 2230	2/09
Wattmeter: Bird 43 with Load Bird 8085	2/09
Power Supplies: Sorensen SRL 20-25, SRL 40-25, DCR 150, DCR 140	2/09
H/V Power Supply: Fluke Model: 408B (SN: 573)	2/09
R.F. Generator: HP 606A	2/09
R.F. Generator: HP 8614A	2/09
R.F. Generator: HP 8640B	2/09
Spectrum Analyzer: Rohde & Schwarz ESU40	2/09
Spectrum Analyzer: HP 8562A,	5/09
Mixers: 11517A, 11970A, 11970K, 11970U, 11970V, 11970W	
HP Adapters: 11518, 11519, 11520	
Spectrum Analyzer: HP 8591EM	5/09
Frequency Counter: Leader LDC825	2/09
Antenna: EMCO Biconilog Model: 3143	5/09
Antenna: EMCO Log Periodic Model: 3147	10/09
Antenna: Antenna Research Biconical Model: BCD 235	10/09
Antenna: EMCO Dipole Set 3121C	2/09
Antenna: C.D. B-101	2/09
Antenna: Solar 9229-1 & 9230-1	2/09
Antenna: EMCO 6509	2/09
Audio Oscillator: H.P. 201CD	2/09
R.F. Power Amp 65W Model: 470-A-1010	2/09
R.F. Power Amp 50W M185- 10-501	2/09
R.F. PreAmp CPPA-102	2/09
LISN 50 μ Hy/50 ohm/0.1 μ f	10/09
LISN Compliance Eng. 240/20	2/09
LISN Fischer Custom Communications FCC-LISN-50-16-2-08	2/09
Peavey Power Amp Model: IPS 801	2/09
Power Amp A.R. Model: 10W 1010M7	2/09
Power Amp EIN Model: A301	2/09
ELGAR Model: 1751	2/09
ELGAR Model: TG 704A-3D	2/09
ESD Test Set 2010i	2/09
Fast Transient Burst Generator Model: EFT/B-101	2/09
Current Probe: Singer CP-105	2/09
Current Probe: Solar 9108-1N	2/09
Field Intensity Meter: EFM-018	2/09
KEYTEK Ecat Surge Generator	2/09

Annex C Rogers Qualifications**Scot D. Rogers, Engineer****Rogers Labs, Inc.**

Mr. Rogers has approximately 17 years experience in the field of electronics. Six years working in the automated controls industry and 6 years working with the design, development and testing of radio communications and electronic equipment.

Positions Held

Systems Engineer: A/C Controls Mfg. Co., Inc. 6 Years

Electrical Engineer: Rogers Consulting Labs, Inc. 5 Years


Electrical Engineer: Rogers Labs, Inc. Current

Educational Background

Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering from Kansas State University

Bachelor of Science Degree in Business Administration Kansas State University

Several Specialized Training courses and seminars pertaining to Microprocessors and Software programming



Scot D. Rogers

Rogers Labs, Inc.
4405 W. 259th Terrace
Louisburg, KS 66053
Phone/Fax: (913) 837-3214
Revision 1

Digital Monitoring Products, Inc.
Model: 9063 Wireless Keypad
Test #: 100223A
Test to: FCC 15C (15.209), IC RSS-310
File: DMP 9063 PC0126P RFID TstRpt

SN: ENG1
FCC ID#: CCKPC0126P
IC: 5251A-PC0126P
Date: March 9, 2010
Page 27 of 29

Annex D FCC Site Registration Letter**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

**Laboratory Division
7435 Oakland Mills Road
Columbia, MD 21046**

June 18, 2008

Registration Number: 90910

**Rogers Labs, Inc.
4405 West 259th Terrace,
Louisburg, KS 66053**


Attention: **Scot Rogers**

Re: **Measurement facility located at Louisburg
3 & 10 meter site**
Date of Renewal: June 18, 2008

Dear Sir or Madam:

Your request for renewal of the registration of the subject measurement facility has been received. The information submitted has been placed in your file and the registration has been renewed. The name of your organization will remain on the list of facilities whose measurement data will be accepted in conjunction with applications for Certification under Parts 15 or 18 of the Commission's Rules. Please note that the file must be updated for any changes made to the facility and the registration must be renewed at least every three years.

Measurement facilities that have indicated that they are available to the public to perform measurement services on a fee basis may be found on the FCC website www.fcc.gov under E-Filing, OET Equipment Authorization Electronic Filing, Test Firms.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Parish
Industry Analyst

Rogers Labs, Inc.
4405 W. 259th Terrace
Louisburg, KS 66053
Phone/Fax: (913) 837-3214
Revision 1

Digital Monitoring Products, Inc.
Model: 9063 Wireless Keypad
Test #: 100223A
Test to: FCC 15C (15.209), IC RSS-310
File: DMP 9063 PC0126P RFID TstRpt

SN: ENG1
FCC ID#: CCKPC0126P
IC: 5251A-PC0126P
Date: March 9, 2010
Page 28 of 29

Annex E Industry Canada Site Registration Letter**Industry
Canada****Industrie
Canada**

July 29th, 2008

OUR FILE: 46405-3041

Submission No: 127059

Rogers Labs Inc.
4405 West 259th Terrace
Louisburg KY 66053
USA

Attention: Scot D. Rogers

Dear Sir/Madame:

The Bureau has received your application for the registration / renewal of a 3/10m OATS. Be advised that the information received was satisfactory to Industry Canada. The following number(s) is now associated to the site(s) for which registration / renewal was sought (3040A-1). Please reference the appropriate site number in the body of test reports containing measurements performed on the site. In addition, please be informed that the Bureau is now utilizing a **new site numbering scheme** in order to simplify the electronic filing process. Our goal is to reduce the number of secondary codes associated to one particular company. The following changes have been made to your records.

Your primary code is: **3041**

The company number associated to the site(s) located at the above address is: **3041A**

The table below is a summary of the changes made to the unique site registration number(s):

New Site Number	Obsolete Site Number	Description of Site	Expiry Date (YYYY-MM-DD)
3041A-1	3041-1	3 / 10m OATS	2010-07-29

Furthermore, to obtain or renew a unique site number, the applicant shall demonstrate that the site has been accredited to ANSI C63.4-2003 or later. A scope of accreditation indicating the accreditation by a recognized accreditation body to ANSI C63.4-2003 shall be accepted. Please indicate in a letter the previous assigned site number if applicable and the type of site (example: 3 meter OATS or 3 meter chamber). If the test facility is not accredited to ANSI C63.4-2003 or later, the test facility shall submit test data demonstrating full compliance with the ANSI standard. The Bureau will evaluate the filing to determine if recognition shall be granted.

The frequency for re-validation of the test site and the information that is required to be filed or retained by the testing party shall comply with the requirements established by the accrediting organization. However, in all cases, test site re-validation shall occur on an interval not to exceed two years. There is no fee or form associated with an OATS filing. OATS submissions are encouraged to be submitted electronically to the Bureau using the following URL;

If you have any questions, you may contact the Bureau by e-mail at certification.bureau@ic.gc.ca
Please reference our file and submission number above for all correspondence.

Yours sincerely,


S. Proulx Wireless Laboratory
Manager Certification and
Engineering Bureau Industry Canada
3701 Carling Ave., Building 94
Ottawa, Ontario K2H 8S2
Canada

