

Lucy Tsai

From: jill.shiau [jill.shiau@tw.ccsemc.com] on behalf of application [application@tw.ccsemc.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 30, 2008 7:33 PM
To: Lucy Tsai
Cc: celia.hsieh; lansing.li@cn.ccsemc.com; julia.wei; jill.shiau
Subject: 回信 : FW: Philips Consumer Lifestyle, FCC ID: BOU-NP2500, Assessment NO.: AN08T8434, Notice#1-revised
Attachments: NP2500 RF ModuleSchematics 1001.pdf; NP2500 Theroy of operation 1001.pdf; NP2500 TestReport 1001.pdf; NP2500 IDLabel Formation&Location 1001.pdf

Dear Lucy

See my reply as below, Thanks.

Jill

"Lucy Tsai"
<lucy.tsai@ccsemc.com>

2008/09/29 08:25 PM

收件人 : "application" <application@tw.ccsemc.com>
副本抄送 :
主旨 : FW: Philips Consumer Lifestyle, FCC ID: BOU-NP2500, Assessment NO.: AN08T8434, Notice#1-revised

Hi Celia,

Please address following issues.

Q#1: WLAN module functional block diagram indicated it's 802.11ag module which doesn't agree with this application. Please confirm 802.11a is not supported in this application.

Ans#1: This module only has 802.11b/g function.

Q#2: Please provide a readable WLAN module's schematics.

Ans#2: Here is the clear RF Module's schematics.

Q#3: Please clarify whether this device has body-worn configuration. According to the EUT design, a ear phone can be connected and if body-worn configuration is applicable, SAR evaluation test may be required since the output power as indicated in operational description is greater than 60/f.

Ans#3: The EUT is powered by Adapter and is designed without battery pack. The end user should use the cradle on EUT.

We consider that the EUT is a mobile device.

Q#4: The output power for 802.11bg as indicated in module specification are 16.5dBm and 14dBm which doesn't agree with test report. Please address.

Ans#4: Removed the output power from theroy of operation, please refer to attached file.

Q#5: The test setting of radiated emission test in peak is not correct

as indicated in page 21. Please correct.

Ans#5: Revised page 21 of test report, please see attached file.

FYI: FCC ID format is formed as FCC ID:xxxxx but not FCC No.:xxxx. It's grantee responsibility to make sure everything is complied.

Here is the new FCC ID Label format, please see attached file.

Best Regards,

Lucy Tsai

CCS

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.