

TEST REPORT

Report No.: 18121037HKG-004

HeathCo LLC

FCC ID: BJ4-WLTX313-A

(Application for Class II Permissive Change of 47 Part 15 Certification)

IC: 3984A-WLTX313A

(Class II Permissive Change of RSS-210 Issue 9 Equipment Certification)

Transmitter

Prepared and Checked by:

Approved by:

Signed On File

Wong Cheuk Ho, Herbert
Lead Engineer

Wong Kwok Yeung, Kenneth
Senior Lead Engineer
Date: July 02, 2019

TEST REPORT**GENERAL INFORMATION**

Grantee:	HeathCo LLC
Grantee Address:	2445 Nashville Road, Bowling Green, KY. 42101
Contact Person:	Jeff Bessinger
Tel:	270-846-8271
Fax:	N/A
e-mail:	jbessinger@heathcollc.com
Manufacturer:	HeathCo LLC
Manufacturer Address:	2445 Nashville Road, Bowling Green, KY. 42101
Brand Name:	Heath, HeathCo, Heath/Zenith
Model / HVIN:	WLTX-313-A
PMN:	WLTX-313-A
Type of EUT:	Transmitter
Description of EUT:	Wireless Door Chime Transmitter
Serial Number:	N/A
FCC ID / IC:	BJ4-WLTX313-A / 3984A-WLTX313A
Date of Sample Submitted:	June 28, 2019
Date of Test:	June 28, 2019 to July 02, 2019
Report No.:	18121037HKG-004
Report Date:	July 02, 2019
Environmental Conditions:	Temperature: +10 to 40°C Humidity: 10 to 90%
Conclusion:	Test was conducted by client submitted sample. The submitted sample as received complied with the 47 CFR Part 15 / RSS-210 Issue 9 Certification.

TEST REPORT**SUMMARY OF TEST RESULT**

Test Specification	Reference	Results
Transmitter Field Strength, Bandwidth and Timing Requirement	15.231(a), (b), (c) / RSS-210 A1.1.1	Pass

The equipment under test is found to be complying with the following standards:

FCC Part 15, October 1, 2017 Edition

RSS-210 Issue 9, August 2016

RSS-Gen Issue 5 + Amendment 1, March 2019

Note: 1. The EUT uses a permanently attached antenna which, in accordance to section 15.203, is considered sufficient to comply with the provisions of this section.
2. Pursuant to FCC part 15 Section 15.215(c), the 20 dB bandwidth of the emission was contained within the frequency band designated (mentioned as above) which the EUT operated. The effects, if any, from frequency sweeping, frequency hopping, other modulation techniques and frequency stability over expected variations in temperature and supply voltage were considered.

TEST REPORT**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	GENERAL DESCRIPTION	5
1.1	Product Description	5
1.2	Purpose of Change.....	5
1.3	Test Methodology.....	5
1.4	Test Facility	5
2.0	SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION	6
2.1	Justification.....	6
2.2	EUT Exercising Software.....	6
2.3	Special Accessories	6
2.4	Measurement Uncertainty.....	6
2.5	Support Equipment List and Description.....	6
3.0	EMISSION RESULTS.....	7
3.1	Field Strength Calculation	7
3.2	Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph.....	8
3.3	Radiated Emission Data	8
4.0	EQUIPMENT PHOTOGRAPHS	10
5.0	PRODUCT LABELLING.....	10
6.0	TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS	10
7.0	INSTRUCTION MANUAL.....	10
8.0	MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION	11
8.1	Measured Bandwidth	12
8.2	Discussion of Pulse Desensitization.....	13
8.3	Calculation of Average Factor	13
8.4	Emissions Test Procedures.....	17
8.5	Occupied Bandwidth.....	20
9.0	CONFIDENTIALITY REQUEST	21
10.0	EQUIPMENT LIST.....	21

TEST REPORT

1.0 GENERAL DESCRIPTION

1.1 Product Description

The Equipment Under Test (EUT) is a Wireless Door Chime Transmitter (315MHz Wireless push button). When the button of the EUT is activated, the corresponding 315MHz remote door bell receiver (Wireless Plug-in Chime) will sound. No battery is needed as the EUT is powered by the electro-motive force generated by the induction coil activated by mechanical bouncing energy at the releasing moment of the push button. The EUT will be deactivated after the button bouncing.

Antenna Type: Internal, Integral

For electronic filing, the brief circuit description is saved with filename: descri.pdf.

1.2 Purpose of Change

The changes that are made on new model from the original certified model.

- Main PCB layout - Cost reduction after new PCB layout (no quality impact)
- Antenna matching circuit – RC components values for better matching

Therefore, the purpose of this application is to report changes in the original certified product. The RF portion and its power setting are remained the same, and other designs including electrical, electronic and mechanical are identical.

1.3 Test Methodology

Radiated emission measurements were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.10 (2013). All radiated measurements were performed in an 3m Chamber. Preliminary scans were performed in the 3m Chamber only to determine worst case modes. All radiated tests were performed at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters, unless stated otherwise in the “**Justification Section**” of this Application.

1.4 Test Facility

The 3m Chamber used to collect the radiated data is located at Workshop No. 3, G/F., World-Wide Industrial Centre, 43-47 Shan Mei Street, Fo Tan, Sha Tin, N.T., Hong Kong. This test facility and site measurement data have been placed on file with the FCC and IC No. 2042H.

TEST REPORT

2.0 SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION

2.1 Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it), and in the confines as outlined in ANSI C63.10 (2013).

The device was powered by 3.0V DC power supply during test.

For maximizing emissions, the EUT was rotated through 360°, the antenna height was varied from 1 meter to 4 meters above the ground plane, and the antenna polarization was changed. This step by step procedure for maximizing emissions led to the data reported in Exhibit 3.0.

The unit was operated standalone and placed in the center of the turntable.

The equipment under test (EUT) was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). The EUT was mounted to a plastic stand if necessary and placed on the wooden turntable, which enabled the engineer to maximize emissions through its placement in the three orthogonal axes.

2.2 EUT Exercising Software

There was no special software to exercise the device. Once the unit is powered up, it transmits the RF signal continuously.

2.3 Special Accessories

There are no special accessories necessary for compliance of this product.

2.4 Measurement Uncertainty

When determining of the test conclusion, the Measurement Uncertainty of test has been considered.

2.5 Support Equipment List and Description

N/A.

TEST REPORT

3.0 EMISSION RESULTS

Data is included of the worst case configuration (the configuration which resulted in the highest emission levels). A sample calculation, configuration photographs and data tables of the emissions are included.

3.1 Field Strength Calculation

The field strength is calculated by adding the Antenna Factor and Cable Factor, and subtracting the Amplifier Gain (if any), Average Factor (optional) from the measured reading. The basic equation with a sample calculation is as follows:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF - AG - AV$$

where FS = Field Strength in $\text{dB}\mu\text{V}/\text{m}$

RA = Receiver Amplitude (including preamplifier) in $\text{dB}\mu\text{V}$

CF = Cable Attenuation Factor in dB

AF = Antenna Factor in dB

AG = Amplifier Gain in dB

AV = Average Factor in dB

In the following table(s), the reading shown on the data table reflects the preamplifier gain. An example for the calculations in the following table is as follows:

$$FS = RR + LF$$

where FS = Field Strength in $\text{dB}\mu\text{V}/\text{m}$

RR = RA - AG - AV in $\text{dB}\mu\text{V}$

LF = CF + AF in dB

Assume a receiver reading of 52.0 $\text{dB}\mu\text{V}$ is obtained. The antenna factor of 7.4 dB and cable factor of 1.6 dB are added. The amplifier gain of 29 dB and average factor of 5 dB are subtracted, giving a field strength of 32 $\text{dB}\mu\text{V}/\text{m}$. This value in $\text{dB}\mu\text{V}/\text{m}$ was converted to its corresponding level in $\mu\text{V}/\text{m}$.

$$RA = 52.0 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V}/\text{m}$$

$$AF = 7.4 \text{ dB}$$

$$RR = 18.0 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V}$$

$$CF = 1.6 \text{ dB}$$

$$LF = 9.0 \text{ dB}$$

$$AG = 29.0 \text{ dB}$$

$$AV = 5.0 \text{ dB}$$

$$FS = RR + LF$$

$$FS = 18 + 9 = 27 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V}/\text{m}$$

$$\text{Level in } \mu\text{V}/\text{m} = \text{Common Antilogarithm } [(27 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V}/\text{m})/20] = 22.4 \mu\text{V}/\text{m}$$

TEST REPORT**3.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph**

The worst case in radiated emission was found at 315.005 MHz

For electronic filing, the worst case radiated emission configuration photographs are saved with filename: radiated photos.pdf.

3.3 Radiated Emission Data

The data on the following page lists the significant emission frequencies, the limit and the margin of compliance. Numbers with a minus sign are below the limit.

Judgment: Passed by 18.7 dB

TEST REPORT

RADIATED EMISSIONS

Model: WLTX-313-A

Date of Test: July 02, 2019

Worst-Case Operating Mode: Transmitting

Table 1
 Pursuant to FCC Part 15 Section 15.231(a) / RSS-210 A1.1 Requirement

Polarization	Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dB μ V)	Pre-Amp (dB)	Antenna factor (dB)	Average Factor (dB)	(Average) Net at 3m (dB μ V/m)	Average Limit at 3m (dB μ V/m)	Margin (dB)
H	315.005	63.2	16	23.0	13.3	56.9	75.6	-18.7
H	630.008	27.8	16	29.0	13.3	27.5	55.6	-28.1
V	945.018	27.2	16	33.0	13.3	30.9	55.6	-24.7
H	1260.000	46.7	34	26.1	13.3	25.5	55.6	-30.1
H	1575.000	47.0	34	27.2	13.3	26.9	54.0	-27.1
H	1890.000	56.9	34	27.2	13.3	36.8	55.6	-18.8
V	2205.000	53.0	34	29.4	13.3	35.1	54.0	-18.9
V	2520.000	52.2	34	30.4	13.3	35.3	55.6	-20.3
H	2835.000	52.0	34	30.4	13.3	35.1	54.0	-18.9
H	3150.000	48.9	34	31.9	13.3	33.5	55.6	-22.1

NOTES: 1. Peak Detector Data unless otherwise stated.

2. All measurements were made at 3 meters. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3-meter distances were measured at 0.3-meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3-meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.
3. Negative sign in the column shows value below limit.
4. Horn antenna is used for the emission over 1000MHz.
5. Emission (the row indicated by ***bold italic***) within the restricted band meets the requirement of FCC Part 15 Section 15.205 / RSS-210 4.1.
6. Measurement Uncertainty is ± 5.3 dB at a level of confidence of 95%.

TEST REPORT

4.0 EQUIPMENT PHOTOGRAPHS

For electronic filing, the photographs are saved with filename: external photos.pdf and internal photos.pdf.

5.0 PRODUCT LABELLING

For electronics filing, the FCC ID label artwork and the label location are saved with filename: label.pdf.

6.0 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

For electronic filing, the block diagram and schematic of the tested EUT are saved with filename: block.pdf and circuit.pdf respectively.

7.0 INSTRUCTION MANUAL

For electronic filing, a preliminary copy of the Instruction Manual is saved with filename: manual.pdf.


This manual will be provided to the end-user with each unit sold/leased in the United States and Canada.

TEST REPORT**8.0 MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION**

The miscellaneous information includes details of the test procedure and measured bandwidth / calculation of factor such as pulse desensitization and averaging factor (calculation and timing diagram).

Timing Plot – Pursuant to FCC Part 15 Section 15.231(a1)- A manually operated transmitter shall employ a switch that will automatically deactivate the transmitter within not more than 5 seconds of being released.


Result: Transmission Duration = 24ms (within 5 seconds - Pass)



TEST REPORT

8.1 Measured Bandwidth

The plot shows the fundamental emission when modulated. From the plot, the bandwidth is observed to be 31.8Hz, at 20dBc where the bandwidth limit is 787.5kHz.



TEST REPORT

8.2 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization

Pulse desensitivity is not applicable for this device. The effective period (Teff) is approximately 21.648ms for a digital “1” bit which illustrated on technical specification, with a resolution bandwidth (3dB) of 1MHz, so the pulse desensitivity factor is 0dB.

8.3 Calculation of Average Factor

The duty cycle is simply the on-time divided by the period:

The duration of one cycle = 100ms



Effective period of the cycle = $4 \times 4.8\text{ms} + 1 \times 2.4\text{ms} + 1 \times 48\mu\text{s} = 21.648\text{ms}$

$DC = 21.648 / 100 = 0.21648\text{ms}$

Therefore, the averaging factor is found by $20\log 0.21648 = -13.3\text{dB}$.



TEST REPORT

AVERAGE FACTOR




TEST REPORT

AVERAGE FACTOR



TEST REPORT

AVERAGE FACTOR



TEST REPORT

8.4 Emissions Test Procedures

The following is a description of the test procedure used by Intertek Testing Services Hong Kong Ltd. in the measurements of transmitter operating under the Part 15, Subpart C rules.

The transmitting equipment under test (EUT) is placed on a wooden turntable which is four feet in diameter and approximately 0.8m in height above the ground plane for emission measurement at or below 1GHz and 1.5m in height above the ground plane for emission measurement above 1GHz. During the radiated emissions test, the turntable is rotated and any cables leaving the EUT are manipulated to find the configuration resulting in maximum emissions. The EUT is adjusted through all three orthogonal axis to obtain maximum emission levels. The antenna height and polarization are also varied during the testing to search for maximum signal levels. The height of the antenna is varied from one to four meters.

Detector function for radiated emissions is in peak mode. Average readings, when required, are taken by measuring the duty cycle of the equipment under test and subtracting the corresponding amount in dB from the measured peak readings. A detailed description for the calculation of the average factor can be found in Exhibit 8.3.

The frequency range scanned is from the lowest radio frequency signal generated in the device which is greater than 9 kHz to the tenth harmonic of the highest fundamental frequency or 40 GHz, whichever is lower.

TEST REPORT

8.4 Emissions Test Procedures (cont'd)

The EUT is warmed up for 15 minutes prior to the test.

AC power to the unit is varied from 85% to 115% nominal and variation in the fundamental emission field strength is recorded. If battery powered, a new, fully charged battery is used.

Conducted measurements were made as described in ANSI C63.10 (2013).


The IF bandwidth used for measurement of radiated signal strength was 100 kHz or greater when frequency is below 1000 MHz. Where pulsed transmissions of short enough pulse duration warrant, a greater bandwidth is selected according to the recommendations of Hewlett Packard Application Note 150-2. A discussion of whether pulse desensitivity is applicable to this unit is included in this report (See Exhibit 8.1). Above 1000 MHz, a resolution bandwidth of 3 MHz is used.

Transmitter measurements are normally conducted at a measurement distance of three meters. However, to assure low enough noise floor in the forbidden bands and above 1 GHz, signals are acquired at a distance of one meter or less. All measurements are extrapolated to three meters using inverse scaling, unless otherwise reported. Measurements taken at a closer distance are so marked.


TEST REPORT

8.4.1 Radiated Emission Test Setup

The figure below shows the test setup, which is utilized to make these measurements.



Test setup of radiated emissions up to 1GHz



Test setup of radiated emissions above 1GHz


TEST REPORT

8.5 Occupied Bandwidth

Occupied Bandwidth Results:

Frequency (MHz)	Occupied Bandwidth (kHz)
315MHz	178

The plot shows the fundamental emission when modulated. From the plot, the bandwidth is observed to be 178kHz. The 99% Occupied bandwidth limit is 787.5kHz.



TEST REPORT

9.0 CONFIDENTIALITY REQUEST

For electronic filing, a preliminary copy of the confidentiality request is saved with filename: request.pdf.

10.0 EQUIPMENT LIST

1) Radiated Emissions Test

Equipment	EMI Test Receiver	Spectrum Analyzer	Biconical Antenna
Registration No.	EW-3156	EW-2253	EW-0571
Manufacturer	R&S	R&S	EMCO
Model No.	ESR26	FSP40	3104C
Calibration Date	November 19, 2018	November 27, 2018	February 27, 2018
Calibration Due Date	November 19, 2019	November 27, 2019	August 27, 2019

Equipment	Log Periodic Antenna	Double Ridged Guide Antenna	14m Double Shield RF Cable (20MHz to 6GHz)
Registration No.	EW-0447	EW-1015	EW-2505
Manufacturer	EMCO	EMCO	RADIALL
Model No.	3148	3115	nm / br5d / sma 14m
Calibration Date	January 17, 2018	May 17, 2019	October 27, 2018
Calibration Due Date	July 17, 2019	November 16, 2020	October 27, 2019

Equipment	RF Cable 14m (1GHz to 26.5GHz)	Active Loop H-field (9kHz to 30MHz)
Registration No.	EW-2781	EW-2313
Manufacturer	GREATBILLION	ELECTROMETRI
Model No.	SMA m/SHF5MPU /SMA m ra14m,26G	EM-6876
Calibration Date	October 27, 2018	March 08, 2018
Calibration Due Date	October 27, 2019	September 08, 2019

TEST REPORT

2) Bandedge Measurement

Equipment	RF Cable (up to 40GHz) 1.5m length	Spectrum Analyzer
Registration No.	EW-3104	EW-2253
Manufacturer	N/A	R&S
Model No.	SMA-M to SMA-M	FSP40
Calibration Date	July 03, 2018	November 27, 2018
Calibration Due Date	July 03, 2019	November 27, 2019

END OF TEST REPORT