

# SAR TEST REPORT

# **Specific Absorption Rate**

Date of Issue: January 22, 2008 Test Report No: MCCL-3-08-007

**Equipment Under Test (EUT):** Dual-Band Mobile Handset (GSM850/PCS1900)

Model Name(s): KP130a

Manufacturer: LG Electronics, Inc.

Applicant: LG Electronics, Inc.

Application Type : Certification

FCC Rule Part(s): 
§ 2.1093; FCC/OET Bulletin 65 SUp,plement C [July 2001]

FCC Classification: Licensed Transmitter Held to Ear (PCE)

Test Result: PASS

# **SUMMARY**

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in European standard EN50360: 2001 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in European standard EN50361:2001, IEEE 1528 – Dec. 2003, FCC/OET bulletin 65 SUp,plement C(2001), Public notice 02-1438(2002), ANSI IEEE standard C95.1(2005) and C95.3(2002)

\* The test results in this test report apply only to sample(s) tested.

Issued under the authority of E. S. Park / Technical Manager

MCCL

Reviewed by

S. K. Yoon /Vice Technical Manager

**MCCL** 

Prepared by

C. H. Jeong / Test Engineer

**MCCL** 

MCCL, 60-39, Kasan-dong, Kumchon-gu, Seoul, Korea Tel:+82 2 2033 1113, Fax:+82 2 2033 1222



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1. TEST RESULT SUMMARY	3
2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST	4
2.1 Antenna Description	4
2.2 Device Description	4
3. INTRODUCTION	5
4. SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	6
4.1 SAR Measurement SetUp,	-6
4.2 DASY4 E-Field Probe System	
4.3 Phantom	9
4.4 Simulating Mixture Characterization	10
4.5 Device Holder for Transmitters	11
4.6 Validation Dipole	11
5. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE	12
6. DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINT	13
6.1 EAR Reference Point	13
6.2 Handset Reference Points	
7. TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS 1	15
7.1 Positioning for Cheek/Touch	
7.2 Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt	
7.3 Body Holster /Belt Clip Configuration	17
8. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	18
9. EN50360 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS 1	
10. SYSTEM VERIFICATION	20
11. MEASUREMENT RESULTS 2	22
12. TEST EQUIPMENT	26
13. REFERENCES	27
APPENDIX A: Validation Test Data 2	8
APPENDIX B: SAR Test Data 3	2
APPENDIX C: Calibration Certificates 5	59



## 1. TEST RESULT SUMMARY

Model Name(s): KP130a

Date of Test: January 20 ~ 21, 2008

Date of Issue: January 22, 2008

Address of Test Site: 60-39, Kasan-Dong, Kumchon-Gu, Seoul 153-801, Korea.

Responsible Test Engineer : Eui-Soon Park

Test Engineer: Chan-ho Jeong

EUT Type: Dual-Band Mobile Handset

Tx Frequency: 824.20 ~ 848.80 MHz (GSM850)

1850.20 ~ 1909.80 MHz (PCS1900)

Rx Frequency: 869.20 ~ 893.80 MHz (GSM850)

1930.20 ~ 1989.80 MHz (PCS1900)

Transmit Output Power: GSM850: 33 dBm

GSM1900: 30 dBm

## **Maximum Results Found During SAR Evaluation**

## 1. Head Configuration

ANSI / IEEE C95.1(2005) - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population	1.6 W/kg averaged over 1 gram
--	----------------------------------

Freque	ency	Mod.		ed Power 3m)	Battery	Device Test Position	Antenna Position	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch.		Start	End		Position	Fosition	(W/Kg)
824.20	128	GSM 850	32.13	32.13	Standard	Left Touch	Fixed	1.18

## 2. Body Worn Configuration

ANSI / IEEE C95.1(2005) - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population	1.6 W/kg averaged over 1 gram
--	----------------------------------

Freque	ncy	Mod.		ucted (dBm)	Battery	Device Test Position	Antenna Position	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch.		Start	End		Position	Position	(VV/Kg)
824.20	128	GPRS 850	32.13	32.13	Standard	2.0 [Reart]	Fixed	0.937

## 3. Measurement Uncertainty

Combine Standard Uncertainty	9.6 (k=1)
Extended Standard Uncertainty	19.2 (k=2, 95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)



# 2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST

The FCC rules for evaluating portable devices for RF exposure compliance are contained in 47 CFR §2.1093. For purposes of RF exposure evaluation, a portable device is defined as a transmitting device designed to be used with any part of its radiating structure in direct contact with the user's body or within 1.5 centimeters of the body of a user or bystanders under normal operating conditions. This category of devices would include hand-held cellular and PCS telephones that incorporate the radiating antenna into the hand-piece and wireless transmitters that are carried next to the body. Portable devices are evaluated with respect to SAR limits for RF exposure. The applicable SAR limit for portable transmitters used by consumers is 1.6 watts/kg, which is averaged over any one gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube.

## 2.1 Antenna Description

Type: Fixed

**Location :** The inside of the device

**Configuration:** Intenna Type Antenna

2.2 Device Description

Manufacturer: LG Electronics, Inc.

FCC ID: BEJKP130A

Trade Name: LG

Model Name: KP130a

Serial No: #1

**EUT Type:** Dual-Band GSM Phone

Mode(s) of Operation: GSM 850 / PCS 1900

**Transmit Output Power:** GSM 850 : Level 5 (33 dBm)

PCS 1900: Level 0 (30 dBm)

Mode(s) of Operation: GSM / GPRS

Modulation Mode(s): GSM

**Duty Cycle:** 8.3 / 4.15 (GSM / GPRS)

**Transmitting** 824.20 ~ 848.80 MHz (GSM850) **Frequency Range**: 1850.20 ~ 1909.80 MHz (GSM1900)

Battery Type: Standard



#### 3. INTRODUCTION

The FCC has adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable device.[1]

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) For localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95.1-2005 Standard for safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electronic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz. (c) 1992 by the institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, New York 10017.[2] The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSIC95.3-2005 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields – RF and Microwave[3] is used for guidance in measuring SAR due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (ICNIRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields," ICNIRP Report No. 86 (c) ICNIRP, 1986, Bethesda, MD20814.[6] SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

#### **SAR Definition**

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density ( $\rho$ ). it is also defined as the rate of rf energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body. (see Fig. 2.1.)

$$S A R = \frac{d}{d t} \left( \frac{d U}{d m} \right) = \frac{d}{d t} \left( \frac{d U}{\rho d v} \right)$$

Figure 2.1 SAR Mathematical Equation

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

SAR =  $\sigma E^2/\rho$ 

Where:

 $\sigma$  = conductivity of the tissue-simulant material (S/m)

 $\rho$  = mass density of the tissue-simulant material (kg/ $m^3$ )

**E** = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relations to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.[6]



## 4. SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

An SAR measurement system usually consists of a small diameter isotropic electric field probe, a multiple axis probe positioning system, a test device holder, one or more phantom models, the field probe instrumentation, a computer and other electronic equipment for controlling the probe and making the measurements. Other sUp,porting equipment, such as a network analyzer, power meters and RF signal generators, are also required to measure the dielectric parameters of the simulated tissue media and to verify the measurement accuracy of the SAR system.

## 4.1 SAR Measurement SetUp,

## **Robotic System**

Measurement are performed using the DASY4 dosimetric assessment system. The DASY4 is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG(SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of high precision robotics system (Stäubli), robot controller, Pentium IV computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the SAM twin phantom containing the brain equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF) (see Fig. 4.1)

## **System Hardware**

A cell controller system contains the power sUp,ply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and a remote control used to drive the robot motors. The pc consists of the Intel Pentium IV 2.4 GHz computer with Windows 2000 system and SAR measurement Software DASY4, A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Stäubli Robot data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing,

AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coUp,ler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card.




Figure 4.1 SAR Measurement System SetUp,



## **System Electronics**

The DAE consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical Down,link for data and status information and an optical Up,link for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer. The system is described in detail in [7].

## 4.2 DASY4 E-Field Probe System

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6, designed in the classical triangular configuration [7] (see Fig. 4.2) and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multifiber line ending at the front of the probe tip. It is connected to the EOC box in the robot arm and provides an automatic detection transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approach the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coUp,ling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches coUp,ling is zero. The distance of the coUp,ling maximum to the surface is probe angle. The DASY4 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2nd order fitting. The approach is stopped at reaching the maximum.

# **Probe Specifications**

**Construction:** Symmetrical design with triangular core

Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system

Built-in shielding against static charges

PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)

**Calibration:** Basic Broad Band Calibration: in air: 10-3000 MHz

Conversion Factors (CF) for HSL 900 and HSL 1800

Additional CF for other liquids and frequencies Up,on request

**Frequency:** 10 MHz to 3 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

**Directivity:**  $\pm$  0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis)

 $\pm$  0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)

**Dynamic Range:**  $5 \mu W/g$  to > 100 mW/g; Linearity:  $\pm$  0.2 dB

**Optical Surface**  $\pm$  0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over

**Detection:** diffuse reflecting surfaces

**Dimensions:** Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 16 mm)

Tip diameter: 6.8 mm (Body: 12 mm)

Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm

**Application:** General dosimetric measurements Up, to 2.5GHz

Compliance tests of mobile phones

Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms




Figure 4.2 Isotropic
E-Field Probe



## **Probe Calibration Process**

## **Dosimetric Assessment Procedure**

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure described in [8] with accuracy better than +/- 10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in [9] and found to be better than +/-0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe is tested.

#### Free Space Assessment

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies below 1 GHz (see Fig. 4.3), and in a waveguide above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is then rotated 360 degrees.

## **Temperature Assessment \***

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The measured free space E-field in the medium correlates to temperature rise in a dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe. (see Fig. 4.4)

$$SAR = C \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

Where:

 $\Delta t$  = exposure time (30 seconds),

**C** = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

 $\Delta T$  = temperature increase due to RF exposure. SAR is proportional to  $\Delta T/\Delta t$ , the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. Now it's possible to quantify the electric field in the simulated tissue by equating the thermally derived SAR to the E- field;




Figure 4.3 E-Field and Temperature measurements at 900MHz [7]

$$SAR = \frac{|E|^2}{\rho} \sigma$$

Where:

 $\sigma$  = simulated tissue conductivity,

 $\rho$  = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm3 for brain tissue)




Figure 4.4 E-Field and Temperature measurements at 1.9GHz [7]



#### 4.3 Phantom

The SAM Twin Phantom V4.0 is constructed of the fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is based on data from an anatomical study designed to determine the maximum exposure in at least 90% of all users [11][12]. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setUp, of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot. (see Fig. 4.5)



Figure 4.5 SAM Twin Phantom

# **Phantom Specification**

**Construction:** The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic

Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528-200X, CENELEC 50361 and IEC 62209. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setUp, of all predefined phantom positions and

measurement grids by teaching three points with the robot.

**Shell Thickness:**  $2 \pm 0.2$  mm; Center ear point:  $6 \pm 0.2$  mm

Filling: Volume Approx. 25 liters

**Dimensions:** Height: adjustable feet; Length: 1000 mm; Width: 500 mm



## 4.4 Brain & Muscle Simulating Mixture Characterization

The brain and muscle mixtures consist of a viscous gel using hydroxethlcellullose(HEC) gelling agent and saline solution (see Table 4.1). Preservation with a bacteriacide is added and visural inspection is made to make sure air bubbles are not trapped during the mixing process. The mixture is calibrated to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the desired tissue. The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 have been specified in P1528 are derived from the issue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations The mixture characterizations used for the brain and muscle tissue simulation liquids are according to the data by C. Gabriel and G. Hartagrove [13]. (see Table 4.2)

INGREDIENTS	835	MHz	1900MHz		
(% by weight)	Brain	Muscle	Brain	Muscle	
De-ionized water	41.75	52.50	54.90	40.40	
DGBE	0.000	0.000	44.92	0.000	
SUGAR	56.00	45.00	0.000	58.00	
SALT	1.450	1.400	0.180	0.500	
BACTERIACIDE	0.100	0.100	0.000	0.100	
HEC	1.000	1.000	0.000	1.000	
Dielectric Constant Target	41.50	55.20	40.00	53.30	
Conductivity (S/m) Target	0.900	0.970	1.400	1.520	

Table. 4.1 Composition of the Tissue Equivalent Matter



#### 4.5 Device Holder for Transmitters

In combination with the SAM Twin Phantom V4.0, the Mounting Device (see Fig. 4.6) enables the rotation of the accurately, and repeatably be positioned according to the IEC, IEEE, CENELEC, FCC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).

\*Note: A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produce infinite number of configurations [12]. To produce the worst-case condition (the hand absorbs antenna output power), the hand is omitted during the tests.



Figure. 4.6 Device Holder

### 4.6 Validation Dipole

The reference dipole should have a return loss better than –20 dB (measured in the setUp,) at the resonant frequency to reduce the uncertainty in the power measurement.

## **Validation Dipole Specifications**

**Construction:** Symmetrical dipole with I/4 balun. Enables

measurement of feedpoint impedance with NWA. Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with head

simulating solutions. Includes distance holder and

tripod adaptor.

Calibration: Calibrated SAR value for specified position and

input power

at the flat phantom in simulating solution

Frequency: 835 MHz, 1900 MHz

**Return Loss:** > 20 dB at specified validation position **Power Capability:** > 100 W (f < 1GHz); > 40 W (f > 1GHz)

**Dimensions:** D835V2: dipole length: 161 mm;

overall height: 330 mm

D1900V2: dipole length: 68 mm;

overall height: 300 mm



Figure 4.7 Validation Dipole



## 5. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure:

- 1) The SAR measurement was taken at a selected spatial reference point to monitor power variations during testing. This fixed location point was measured and used as a reference value.
- 2) The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 3.9mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 15mm x 15mm.
- 3) Based on the area scan data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume of 32mm x 32mm x 34mm (fine resolution volume scan, zoom scan) was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points. On this basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure:
  - a. The data at the surface was extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm [15]. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
  - b. The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions) [15][16]. The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.
  - c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
- 4) The SAR reference value, at the same location as procedure #1, was re-measured. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation is repeated.



### 6. DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINT

### **6.1 EAR Reference Point**

Figure 6.1 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Twin Phantom. The point "M" is the reference point for the center of the mouth, "LE" is the left ear reference point (ERP), and "RE" is the right ERP. The ERPs are 15mm posterior to the entrance to the ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 6.2. The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) is perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Fig. 6.3). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning [5].




Figure 6.1 Front, back and side view of SAM Twin Phantom




Figure 6.2 Close-Up, side view of ERP




Figure 6.3 Side view of the phantom showing relevant markings



#### 6.2 Handset Reference Points

Two imaginary lines on the handset were established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device was placed in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point" (see Fig. 6.4). The "test device reference point" was than located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device was positioned so that the "vertical centerline" was bisecting the front surface of the handset at it's top and bottom edges, positioning the "ear reference point" on the outer surface of the both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point.




Figure 6.4 Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line Reference Points



#### 7. TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS

## 7.1 Positioning for Cheek/Touch

- 1) Ready the handset for talk operation, if necessary. For example, for handsets with a cover piece, open the cover. (If the phone can also be used with the cover closed ,both configurations must be tested.)
- 2) Define two imaginary lines on the handset: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The vertical centerline passes through two points on the front side of the handset: the midpoint of the width wt of the handset at the level of the acoustic output (point A on Fig. 6.4), and the midpoint of the width wb of the bottom of the handset (point B). The horizontal line is perpendicular to the vertical centerline and passes through the center of the acoustic output (see Fig. 6.4). The two lines intersect at point A. Note that for many handsets, point A coincides with the center of the acoustic output. However, the acoustic output may be located elsewhere on the horizontal line. Also note that the vertical centerline is not necessarily parallel to the front face of the handset (see Fig. 6.4), especially for clamshell handsets, handsets with lip pieces, and other irregularly-shaped handsets.
- 3) Position the handset close to the surface of the phantom touch that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Fig. 7.1), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the phone is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.
- 4) Translate the handset towards the phantom along the line passing through RE and LE until the handset touches the ear.
- 5) While maintaining the handset in this plane, rotate it around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline is in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (called the reference plane).
- 6) Rotate the phone around the vertical centerline until the phone (horizontal line) is symmetrical with respect to the line NF.
- 7) While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE, and maintaining the phone contact with the ear, rotate the handset about the line NF until any point on the handset is in contact with a phantom point below the pinna (cheek). (see Fig. 7.1) The physical angles of rotation should be noted.




Figure 7.1 Front, Side and Top View of Cheek/Touch Position



## 7.2 Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt

With the test device aligned in the "Cheek/Touch Position":

- 1) While maintaining the orientation of the phone retract the phone parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the phone by 15 degree.
- 2) Rotate the phone around the horizontal line by 15 degree.
- 3) While maintaining the orientation of the phone, move the phone parallel to the reference plane until any part of the phone touches the head. (In this position, point A will be located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact is at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the phone shall be reduced. The tilted position is obtained if any part of the phone is in contact of the ear as well as a second part of the phone is contact with the head. (see Fig. 7.2)




Figure 7.2 Front, Side and Top View of Ear/15 Tilt Position




Figure 7.3 Side view of the phantom showing relevant markings



## 7.3 Body Holster /Belt Clip Configurations

Body-worn operation configurations are tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration. (see Fig. 7.4) A device with a headset output is tested with a headset connected to the device. Body dielectric parameters are used.

Accessories for Body-worn operation configurations are divided into two categories: those that do not contain metallic components and those that do contain metallic components. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are sUp,plied with the device, the device is tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. Then multiple accessories that contain metallic components are sUp,plied with the device, the device is tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (i.e. the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components) only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body is tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be sUp,plied of available as options for some devices intended to be authorized for body-worn use. In this case, a test configuration where a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used. All test position spacings are documented.

Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance is tested with the accessory(ies), including headsets and microphones, attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration.

In all case SAR measurements are performed to investigate the worst case positioning. Worst-case positioning is then documented and used to perform Body SAR testing.

In order for users to be aware of the body-worn operation requirements for meeting RF exposure compliance, operation instructing instructions and cautions statements are included in the user's manual.




Figure 7.4 Body Holster Configuration



## 8. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

DASY4 Uncertainty Budget According to IEEE 1528 [1]									
	Uncertainty	Prob.	Div.	$(c_i)$	$(c_i)$	Std. Unc.	Std. Unc.	$(v_i)$	
Error Description	value	Dist.		1g	10g	(1g)	(10g)	$v_{eff}$	
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	$\pm 5.9 \%$	N	1	1	1	$\pm 5.9 \%$	$\pm 5.9 \%$	$\infty$	
Axial Isotropy	$\pm 4.7\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	$\pm 1.9\%$	$\pm 1.9 \%$	$\infty$	
Hemispherical Isotropy	$\pm 9.6\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	$\pm 3.9\%$	$\pm 3.9\%$	$\infty$	
Boundary Effects	$\pm 1.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.6 \%$	$\pm 0.6 \%$	$\infty$	
Linearity	$\pm 4.7\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.7\%$	$\pm 2.7 \%$	$\infty$	
System Detection Limits	$\pm 1.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.6 \%$	±0.6%	$\infty$	
Readout Electronics	$\pm 0.3 \%$	N	1	1	1	$\pm 0.3 \%$	±0.3%	$\infty$	
Response Time	$\pm 0.8 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.5\%$	$\pm 0.5 \%$	$\infty$	
Integration Time	$\pm 2.6\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.5 \%$	$\pm 1.5 \%$	$\infty$	
RF Ambient Noise	$\pm 3.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.7 \%$	±1.7%	$\infty$	
RF Ambient Reflections	$\pm 3.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.7 \%$	±1.7%	$\infty$	
Probe Positioner	$\pm 0.4 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.2 \%$	$\pm 0.2 \%$	$\infty$	
Probe Positioning	$\pm 2.9\%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 1.7 \%$	$\pm 1.7 \%$	$\infty$	
Max. SAR Eval.	$\pm 1.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 0.6 \%$	±0.6%	$\infty$	
Test Sample Related									
Device Positioning	$\pm 2.9\%$	N	1	1	1	$\pm 2.9\%$	$\pm 2.9 \%$	145	
Device Holder	$\pm 3.6\%$	N	1	1	1	$\pm 3.6\%$	$\pm 3.6 \%$	5	
Power Drift	$\pm 5.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.9\%$	$\pm 2.9 \%$	$\infty$	
Phantom and Setup									
Phantom Uncertainty	$\pm 4.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	$\pm 2.3\%$	$\pm 2.3 \%$	$\infty$	
Liquid Conductivity (target)	$\pm 5.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	$\pm 1.8 \%$	$\pm 1.2 \%$	$\infty$	
Liquid Conductivity (meas.)	$\pm 2.5\%$	N	1	0.64	0.43	$\pm 1.6 \%$	$\pm 1.1 \%$	$\infty$	
Liquid Permittivity (target)	$\pm 5.0 \%$	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	$\pm 1.7 \%$	$\pm 1.4 \%$	$\infty$	
Liquid Permittivity (meas.) ±2.5%		N	1	0.6	0.49	$\pm 1.5\%$	$\pm 1.2 \%$	$\infty$	
Combined Std. Uncertainty						$\pm 10.9 \%$	$\pm 10.7 \%$	387	
Expanded STD Uncertain	ty					$\pm 21.9\%$	$\pm 21.4\%$		

Table 6.1 Worst-Case uncertainty budget for DASY4 assessed according to CENELEC EN 50361(IEEE 1528-Dec,2003).

The budget is valid for the frequency range 300MHz-3GHz and represents a worst-case analysis.



#### 9. ANSI/IEEE C95.1 -2005 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

### **Uncontrolled Environment**

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

## **Controlled Environment**

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occUp,ation). In general, occUp,ational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR <sup>1</sup> Brain	1.60	8.00
SPATIAL PEAK SAR <sup>2</sup> Whole Body	0.08	0.40
SPATIAL PEAK SAR <sup>3</sup> Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.00	20.00

Table 9.1 Safety Limits for Partial Body Exposure [2]

#### NOTE:

- 1 The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
- 2 The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
- 3 The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube)



## 10. SYSTEM VERIFICATION

## **Tissue Verification**

MEASURED TISSUE PARAMETERS										
Liquid Temp (°C)		21.8								
Liquid Depth (mm)		150 ± 1								
Tissue	8351	835MHz Brain 835MHz Muscle 1900MHz Brain 1900MHz Muscle						MHz Muscle		
Date	01/20/2008		01/20/2008		01/21/2008		01/21/2008			
Parameters	Target	Measured	Target	Measured	Target	Measured	Target	Measured		
Dielectric Constant: ε	41.5	41.8	55.2	55.3	40.0	40.2	53.3	53.1		
Conductivity: σ	0.90	0.902	0.97	0.95	1.40	1.41	1.52	1.53		
Deviation (%)	ε: +0.72 σ: +0.22		ε: +0.18 σ: -2.06		ε: +0.50 σ: +0.71		ε:-0.37 σ:+0.65			

Table 10.1 Simulated Tissue Verification [5]

## **Test System Validation**

Prior to assessment, the system is verified to the  $\pm 10\%$  of the specifications at 835MHz and 1900MHz by using the system validation kit(s). (Graphic Plots Attached)

SYSTEM DIPOLE VALIDATION TARGET & MEASURED									
Tissue System Validation Kit		Date	Liquid Temp (°C)	Targeted SAR1g (mW/g)	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> (mW/g)	Deviation (%)			
835MHz Brain	D835V2, S/N: 471	01/20/2008	21.8	9.12	9.40	+3.07			
1900MHz Brain	D1900V2, S/N: 5d017	01/21/2008	21.8	37.6	38.24	+1.70			

Table 10.2 System Validation [5]




Figure 10.1 Dipole Validation Test SetUp,



# 835 MHz Liquid Depth



# 1900 MHz Liquid Depth





### 11. MEASUREMENT RESULTS

## **Ambient Conditions Target**

Ambient Temperature (°C):  $\frac{22 \pm 1}{55 \pm 5}$  Relative Humidity (%):  $\frac{55 \pm 5}{21.5 \pm 0.5}$  Liquid Tissue Depth (mm):  $\frac{150 \pm 1}{835 \text{MHz Head}}$ 

Dielectric Constant: 41.5 Conductivity: 0.90

#### **Measurement Results**

ANSI / IEEE C95.1- 2005 - SAFETY LIMIT

Spatial Peak
Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population

Brain

1.6 W/kg

averaged over 1 gram

	MEASUREMENT RESULTS (Head SAR )											
Frequency		Mod.	Conducted Power (dBm)		Battery	Device Test	Antenna	SAR				
MHz	Ch.		Start	End		Position	Position	(W/kg)				
836.60	190	GSM 850	32.14	32.14	Standard	Right Touch	Fixed	0.951				
836.60	190	GSM 850	32.14	32.14	Standard	Right Tilt	Fixed	0.446				
836.60	190	GSM 850	32.14	32.14	Standard	Left Touch	Fixed	1.04				
836.60	190	GSM 850	32.14	32.14	Standard	Left Tilt	Fixed	0.484				
824.20	128	GSM 850	32.13	32.13	Standard	Left Touch	Fixed	1.18				
848.80	251	GSM 850	32.03	32.03	Standard	Left Touch	Fixed	0.931				
824.20	128	GSM 850	32.13	32.13	Standard	Left Touch (Z-Scan)	Fixed	1.14				

- 1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, SUp,plement C [July 2001].
- 2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
- 3. Battery is fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries are the only options.
- 4. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
- 5. Justification for reduced test configurations: Per FCC/OET Bulletin 65 SUp,plement C [July 2001], if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).

Eui - Soon Park



## 11. MEASUREMENT RESULTS (Continued)

## **Ambient Conditions Target**

Ambient Temperature (°C):  $22 \pm 1$ Relative Humidity (%):  $55 \pm 5$ Liquid Tissue Temperature (°C):  $21.5 \pm 0.5$ Liquid Tissue Depth (mm):  $150 \pm 1$ 

Mixture Type: 1900MHz Head

Dielectric Constant: 40.0 Conductivity: 1.40

## **Measurement Results**

ANSI / IEEE C95.1- 2005 - SAFETY LIMIT

Spatial Peak

Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population

Brain

1.6 W/kg

averaged over 1 gram

MEASUREMENT RESULTS (Head SAR )								
Frequency				ed Power Bm)	Battery	Device Test	Antenna	SAR
MHz	Ch.		Start	End		Position	Position	(W/kg)
1880.00	661	PCS 1900	28.79	28.79	Standard	Right Touch	Fixed	0.966
1880.00	661	PCS 1900	28.79	28.79	Standard	Right Tilt	Fixed	0.310
1880.00	661	PCS 1900	28.79	28.79	Standard	Left Touch	Fixed	0.719
1880.00	661	PCS 1900	28.79	28.79	Standard	Left Tilt	Fixed	0.291
1850.20	512	PCS 1900	28.52	28.52	Standard	Right Touch	Fixed	0.738
1909.80	810	PCS 1900	28.94	28.94	Standard	Right Touch	Fixed	1.01
1909.80	810	PCS 1900	28.94	28.94	Standard	Right Touch (Z-Scan)	Fixed	1.01

- 1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, SUp,plement C [July 2001].
- 2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
- 3. Battery is fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries are the only options.
- 4. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
- 5. Justification for reduced test configurations: Per FCC/OET Bulletin 65 SUp,plement C [July 2001], if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration (s).

Eui - Soon Park



## 11. MEASUREMENT RESULTS (Continued)

## **Ambient Conditions Target**

Ambient Temperature (°C):  $\underline{22\pm1}$  Relative Humidity (%):  $\underline{55\pm5}$  Liquid Tissue Temperature (°C):  $\underline{21.5\pm0.5}$  Liquid Tissue Depth (mm):  $\underline{150\pm1}$ 

Mixture Type: 835MHz Muscle

Dielectric Constant: 55.2
Conductivity: 0.97

## **Measurement Results**

ANSI / IEEE C95.1- 2005 - SAFETY LIMIT

Spatial Peak
Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population

Muscle
1.6 W/kg
averaged over 1 gram

MEASUREMENT RESULTS ( Body SAR )								
Frequency		Mod.	Conducted Power (dBm)		Battery	Device Test	Antenna	SAR
MHz	Ch.		Start	End	·	Position	Position	(W/kg)
836.60	190	GPRS 850	32.14	32.14	Standard	2.0 [ Front ]	Fixed	0.610
836.60	190	GPRS 850	32.14	32.14	Standard	2.0 [Rear]	Fixed	0.811
824.20	128	GPRS 850	32.13	32.13	Standard	2.0 [Rear]	Fixed	0.937
848.80	251	GPRS 850	32.03	32.03	Standard	2.0 [Rear]	Fixed	0.736
824.20	128	GPRS 850	32.13	32.13	Standard	2.0 [ Rear ] (Z-Scan)	Fixed	0.909

- 1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, SUp,plement C [July 2001].
- 2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
- 3. Battery is fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries are the only options.
- 4. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
- 5. Justification for reduced test configurations: Per FCC/OET Bulletin 65 SUp,plement C [July 2001], if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).

Eui - Soon Park



## 11. MEASUREMENT RESULTS (Continued)

## **Ambient Conditions Target**

Ambient Temperature (°C):  $\underline{22 \pm 1}$ Relative Humidity (%):  $\underline{55 \pm 5}$ Liquid Tissue Temperature (°C):  $\underline{21.5 \pm 0.5}$ Liquid Tissue Depth (mm):  $\underline{150 \pm 1}$ 

Mixture Type: 1900MHz Muscle

Dielectric Constant:53.3Conductivity:1.52

## **Measurement Results**

ANSI / IEEE C95.1- 2005 - SAFETY LIMIT

Spatial Peak
Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population

Muscle

1.6 W/kg

averaged over 1 gram

MEASUREMENT RESULTS ( Body SAR )									
Frequency		Mod.	Conducted Power (dBm)		Battery	Device Test	Antenna	SAR	
MHz	Ch.	1 11100.	Start	End	Zuito, y	Position	Position	(W/kg)	
1880.00	661	GPRS 1900	28.79	28.79	Standard	2.0 [ Front ]	Fixed	0.257	
1880.00	661	GPRS 1900	28.79	28.79	Standard	2.0 [ Rear]	Fixed	0.370	
1880.00	661	GPRS 1900	28.79	28.79	Standard	2.0 [ Rear ] (Z-Scan)	Fixed	0.362	

- 1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, SUp,plement C [July 2001].
- 2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
- 3. Battery is fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries are the only options.
- 4. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
- 5. Justification for reduced test configurations: Per FCC/OET Bulletin 65 SUp,plement C [July 2001], if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).

Eui - Soon Park



## 12. TEST EQUIPMENT

## **Equipment List and Calibration**

Name of Equipment	Manufacturer	Model Type	Serial Number	Cal. Due date
Robot	Stäubli	RX90BL	507PA1	N/A
SAM Twin Phantom	SPEAG	V4.0	TP-1066	N/A
SAM Twin Phantom	SPEAG	V4.0	TP-1244	N/A
DAE	SPEAG	DAE4	534	09/24/08
E-Field Probe	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1729	02/21/08
Validation Dipole 835MHz	SPEAG	D835V2	471	01/22/09
Validation Dipole 1900MHz	SPEAG	D1900V2	5d017	01/23/09
Robot	Stäubli	RX90BL	507PA1	N/A
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753ES	MY4002948	04/25/08
Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent	85070D	US01440173	N/A
Signal Generator	Agilent	E4421B	MY41000790	07/16/08
High Power RF Amplifier	EM Power	BBS3Q7ECK	1014	03/22/08
Dual Direction CoUp,ler	Agilent	778D-012	19309	06/27/08
EPM-Series Power Meter	Agilent	E4419B	GB39290525	04/25/08
Power Sensor	Agilent	8481A	MY41092711	04/24/08
Power Sensor	Agilent	8481A	MY41092718	04/24/08
Attenuator	Agilent	8491A	59049	03/23/08
Attenuator	Agilent	8491A 59054		06/27/08
Low Pass Filter 1.5 GHz	Dymstec	LA-15N –		N/A
Low Pass Filter 3.0 GHz	Dymstec	LA-30N	_	N/A
Thermometer/Hygrometer	SATO	SK-L200TH	8440586	06/28/08
Wireless Communication Test	Agilent	E5515C	GB44400522	03/14/08

Table 12.1 Test Equipment List and Calibration

### NOTE:

The E-field probe was calibrated by SPEAG, by waveguide technique procedure. Dipole Validation measurement is performed by LG Electronics. before each test. The brain simulating material is calibrated by LG Electronics using the dielectric probe system and network analyzer to determine the conductivity and permittivity (dielectric constant) of the brain-equivalent material.



#### 13. REFERENCES

- [1] Product standard to demonstrate the compliance of mobile phone with the basic restriction related to human exposure electromagnetic(300MHz 3GHz), CENELEC EN 50360, July 2001.
- [2] Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phone(300MHz 3GHz), CENELEC EN 50361, July 2001.
- [3] Council Recommendations 1999/519/EC on the limitations of exposure of the general public to electromagnetic fields (300MHz 3GHz) annex II
- [4] International Commission on Non-lonising Radiation Protection (1998), Guidelines for limiting exposure in time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic field (Up, to 300 GHz). Health Physical 74, 494-522.
- [5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34 IEEE Std. 1528 2003, Draft Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques.
- [6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- [7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113
- [8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setUp, for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 120-124.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption Up, on Human Head Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [13] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [15] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [17] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.
- [18] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.
- [19] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hoschschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.



# **APPENDIX A: Validation Test Data**




Figure 1 835 MHz Dipole Validation Test SetUp,




Figure 2 1900 MHz Dipole Validation Test SetUp,

DUT: Dipole 835MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: 471

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: Head 835 MHz;( $\sigma = 0.902$ mho/m;  $\epsilon r = 41.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m³) Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 01/20/2008; Ambient Temp: 22.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.29, 6.29, 6.29); Calibrated: 2007-01-23

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24


Phantom: SAM 835; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1066

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

## 835 MHz Dipole Validation

Area Scan (61x61x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mmMaximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.53mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 54.9V/m; Power Drift = 0.060dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.41W/kg SAR(1 g) = 2.35mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.53mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.56mW/g



0 dB = 2.56 mW/g

DUT: Dipole 1900MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d017

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: Head 1900 MHz;( $\sigma = 1.41 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon r = 40.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m3}$ ) Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 01/21/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(5.16, 5.16, 5.16); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)


Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24 Phantom: SAM 1800; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1244

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

## 1900 MHz Dipole Validation

**Area Scan (61x61x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 11.2mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 92.9V/m; Power Drift = -0.005dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 15.9W/kg SAR(1 g) = 9.56mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.08mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 10.8mW/g



0 dB = 10.8 mW/g



**APPENDIX B: SAR Test Data** 

DUT: KP130a ;Type: Dual-Band Mobile Phone; Serial:#1

Communication System: GSM 850; Frequency: 836.60 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3 Medium: Head 836.60 MHz;( $\sigma = 0.903$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 40.3.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>) Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 01/20/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.29, 6.29, 6.29); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24


Phantom: SAM 835; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial:TP-1066

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

## Right Head Touch, Ch.190, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (61x61x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.08 mW/g

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 13.8V/m; Power Drift = 0.058dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.30W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.951 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.671 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.03mW/g



DUT: KP130a ;Type: Dual-Band Mobile Phone; Serial:#1

Communication System: GSM 850; Frequency: 836.60 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:8.3 Medium: Head 836.60 MHz;( $\sigma$  = 0.903 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 40.3.8;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m³) Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 01/20/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.29, 6.29, 6.29); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24


Phantom: SAM 835; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial:TP-1066

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

## Right Head Tilt, Ch.190, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

**Area Scan (61x61x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.481mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 17.0V/m; Power Drift = -0.026dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.581W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.446mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.319mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.476mW/g



DUT: KP130a ;Type: Dual-Band Mobile Phone; Serial:#1

Communication System: GSM 850; Frequency: 836.60 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3 Medium: Head 836.60 MHz;( $\sigma = 0.903$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 40.3.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>) Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 01/20/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.29, 6.29, 6.29); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24


Phantom: SAM 835; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial:TP-1066

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

## Left Head Touch, Ch.190, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (61x61x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.14mW/g

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 12.6V/m; Power Drift = -0.306dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.35W/kg SAR(1 g) = 1.04 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.733 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.12 mW/g



DUT: KP130a ;Type: Dual-Band Mobile Phone; Serial:#1

Communication System: GSM 850; Frequency: 836.60 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:8.3 Medium: Head 836.60 MHz;( $\sigma$  = 0.903 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 40.3.8;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m³) Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 01/20/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.29, 6.29, 6.29); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24


Phantom: SAM 835; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial:TP-1066

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

## Left Head Tilt, Ch.190, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

**Area Scan (61x61x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.507mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 17.1V/m; Power Drift = -0.237dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.622W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.484mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.349mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.513mW/g



DUT: KP130a ;Type: Dual-Band Mobile Phone; Serial:#1

Communication System: GSM 850; Frequency: 824.20 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:8.3 Medium: Head 824.20 MHz;( $\sigma$  = 0.892 mho/m;  $\epsilon_{\rm r}$  = 42;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m³) Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 01/20/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.29, 6.29, 6.29); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)


Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24 Phantom: SAM 835; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial:TP-1066

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Left Head Touch, Ch.128, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

**Area Scan (61x61x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.26mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 14.0V/m; Power Drift = -0.329dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.52W/kg SAR(1 g) = 1.18mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.828mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.27mW/g



DUT: KP130a ;Type: Dual-Band Mobile Phone; Serial:#1

Communication System: GSM 850; Frequency: 848.80 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3 Medium: Head 848.80 MHz; ( $\sigma$  = 0.916 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 40.3.7;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m³) Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 01/20/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.29, 6.29, 6.29); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)


Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24 Phantom: SAM 835; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial:TP-1066

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Left Head Touch, Ch.251, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (61x61x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.00mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 11.5V/m; Power Drift = -0.042dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.20W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.931mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.651mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.995mW/g



DUT: KP130a ;Type: Dual-Band Mobile Phone; Serial:#1

Communication System: PCS 1900; Frequency: 1880.00 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:8.3 Medium: Head 1880.00 MHz;( $\sigma$  = 1.39 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 40.3;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m³) Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 01/21/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(5.16, 5.16, 5.16); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24


Phantom: SAM 1800; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial:TP-1244

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Right Head Touch, Ch.661, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (61x61x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.17mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 10.5V/m; Power Drift = -0.038dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.47W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.966mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.528mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.11mW/g



DUT: KP130a ;Type: Dual-Band Mobile Phone; Serial:#1

Communication System: PCS 1900; Frequency: 1880.00 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3 Medium: Head 1880.00 MHz;( $\sigma = 1.39 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 40.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ) Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 01/21/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(5.16, 5.16, 5.16); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24


Phantom: SAM 1800; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial:TP-1244

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Right Head Tilt, Ch.661, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (61x61x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.365 mW/g

.43**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 11.4V/m; Power Drift = 0.044dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.442W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.310 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.192 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.338mW/g



DUT: KP130a ;Type: Dual-Band Mobile Phone; Serial:#1

Communication System: PCS 1900; Frequency: 1880.00 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:8.3 Medium: Head 1880.00 MHz;( $\sigma$  = 1.39 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 40.3;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m³) Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 01/21/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(5.16, 5.16, 5.16); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24


Phantom: SAM 1800; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial:TP-1244

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Left Head Touch, Ch.661, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

**Area Scan (61x61x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.874mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 9.06V/m; Power Drift = -0.131dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.06W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.719mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.428mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.791mW/g



DUT: KP130a; Type: Dual-Band Mobile Phone; Serial:#1

Communication System: PCS 1900; Frequency: 1880.00 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:8.3 Medium: Head 1880.00 MHz;( $\sigma$  = 1.39 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 40.3;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m³) Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 01/21/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(5.16, 5.16, 5.16); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24


Phantom: SAM 1800; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial:TP-1244

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Left Head Tilt, Ch.661, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

**Area Scan (61x61x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.338mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 10.3V/m; Power Drift = -0.268dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.411W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.291mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.183mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.315mW/g



DUT: KP130a ;Type: Dual-Band Mobile Phone; Serial:#1

Communication System: PCS 1900; Frequency: 1850.20 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3 Medium: Head 1850.20 MHz;( $\sigma = 1.35 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 40.4$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ) Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 01/21/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(5.16, 5.16, 5.16); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24


Phantom: SAM 1800; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial:TP-1244

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Right Head Touch, Ch.512, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (61x61x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.840 mW/g

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 10.8V/m; Power Drift = -0.380dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.11W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.738 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.410 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.839mW/g



DUT: KP130a; Type: Dual-Band Mobile Phone; Serial:#1

Communication System: PCS 1900; Frequency: 1909.80 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:8.3 Medium: Head 1909.80 MHz;( $\sigma$  = 1.42 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 40.2;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m³) Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 01/21/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(5.16, 5.16, 5.16); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24


Phantom: SAM 1800; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial:TP-1244

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Right Head Touch, Ch.810, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

**Area Scan (61x61x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.19mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 10.7V/m; Power Drift = -0.105dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.55W/kg SAR(1 g) = 1.01mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.550mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.14mW/g



0 dB = 1.14 mW/g

DUT: KP130a ;Type: Dual-Band Mobile Phone; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 850; Frequency: 836.60 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:4.15 Medium: Head 836.60 MHz;( $\sigma$  = 0.959 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 55.3;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m³) Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 01/20/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.2, 6.2, 6.2); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24


Phantom: SAM 835; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial:TP-1066

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Flat Touch, Ch.190, Front, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

**Area Scan (61x61x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.672mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 11.0V/m; Power Drift = -0.156dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.782W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.610mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.443mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.644mW/g



DUT: KP130a ;Type: Dual-Band Mobile Phone; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 850; Frequency: 836.60 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:4.15 Medium: Head 836.60 MHz;( $\sigma$  = 0.959 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 55.3;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m³) Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 01/20/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.2, 6.2, 6.2); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24


Phantom: SAM 835; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial:TP-1066

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Flat Touch, Ch.190, Rear, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

**Area Scan (61x61x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.873mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 12.3V/m; Power Drift = -0.392dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.17W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.811mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.588mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.860mW/g



DUT: KP130a ;Type: Dual-Band Mobile Phone; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 850; Frequency: 824.20 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.15 Medium: Head 824.20 MHz; ( $\sigma$  = 0.947 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 55.4;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m³) Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 01/20/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.2, 6.2, 6.2); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24


Phantom: SAM 835; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial:TP-1066

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Flat Touch, Ch.128, Rear, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (61x61x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.02mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 12.7V/m; Power Drift = -0.179dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.21W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.937mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.681mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.994mW/g



DUT: KP130a ;Type: Dual-Band Mobile Phone; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 850; Frequency: 848.80 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.15 Medium: Head 848.80 MHz;  $(\sigma = 0.973 \text{ mho/m}; \epsilon_r = 55.1; \rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3)$ Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 01/20/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.2, 6.2, 6.2); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24


Phantom: SAM 835; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial:TP-1066

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Flat Touch, Ch.251, Rear, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (61x61x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.782 mW/g

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 11.3V/m; Power Drift = -0.181dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.953W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.736 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.530 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.778mW/g



DUT: KP130a ;Type: Dual-Band Mobile Phone; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 1900; Frequency: 1880.00 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.15 Medium: Head 1880.00 MHz; ( $\sigma$  = 1.51 mho/m;  $\epsilon_r$  = 53.2;  $\rho$  = 1000 kg/m³) Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 01/21/2008; Ambient Temp: 22. 9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(4.66, 4.66, 4.66); Calibrated: 2007-02-21

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2007-09-24

Phantom: SAM 1800; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial:TP-1244

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 55; Post processing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

### Flat Touch, Ch.661, Front, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

**Area Scan (61x61x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.283mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 10.3V/m; Power Drift = -0.245dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.393W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.257mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.165mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.273mW/g

