

TEST REPORT

Test Report No.: UL-RPT-RP13041774JD07C

Customer Apple Inc.

Model No. A2251

FCC ID BCGA2251

Technology Bluetooth - Low Energy

Test Standard(s) FCC Parts 15.209(a) & 15.247

Test Laboratory UL VS LTD, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG24 8AH, United Kingdom

- This test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of UL VS LTD. 1.
- The results in this report apply only to the sample(s) tested. 2.
- The sample tested is in compliance with the above standard(s). 3.
- 4. The test results in this report are traceable to the national or international standards.

5. Version 1.0.

> Date of Issue: 17 March 2020

Checked by:

Sarah Williams

Senior Test Engineer, Radio Laboratory

Company Signatory:

Ben Mercer

Senior Test Engineer, Radio Laboratory

UL VS LTD



The Bluetooth® word mark and logos are owned by the Bluetooth SIG, Inc. and any use of such marks by UL VS LTD is under licence. Other trademarks and trade names are those of their respective owners.

Telephone: +44 (0)1256 312000 Facsimile: +44 (0)1256 312001

Customer Information

Company Name:	Apple Inc.
Address:	One Apple Park Way Cupertino, California 95014 U.S.A.
Contact Name:	Stuart Thomas

Report Revision History

Version Number Issue Date Revision Details		Revision Details	Revised By
1.0	17/03/2020	Initial Version	Sarah Williams

Page 2 of 34 UL VS LTD

Table of Contents

Customer Information	2
Report Revision History	2
Table of Contents	
1. Attestation of Test Results 1.1. Description of EUT 1.2. General Information 1.3. Summary of Test Results 1.4. Deviations from the Test Specification	
2. Summary of Testing	5 5 5 6 7
3.1. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT) 3.2. Modifications Incorporated in the EUT 3.3. Additional Information Related to Testing 3.4. Description of Available Antennas 3.5. Description of Test Setup	9 9 9 10 10 11
4. Antenna Port Test Results	1 5 15 18 20
5. Radiated Test Results	25 25 27 29

UL VS LTD Page 3 of 34

ISSUE DATE: 17 MARCH 2020

1. Attestation of Test Results

1.1. Description of EUT

The Equipment Under Test (EUT) was a Laptop Computer with *Bluetooth*, *Bluetooth* Low Energy and 802.11 a/b/g/n/ac capabilities in the 2.4 GHz and 5.0 GHz bands.

1.2. General Information

Specification Reference:	47CFR15.247	
Specification Title:	Code of Federal Regulations Volume 47 (Telecommunications): Part 15 Subpart C (Intentional Radiators) – Section 15.247	
Specification Reference:	47CFR15.209	
Specification Title:	Code of Federal Regulations Volume 47 (Telecommunications): Part 15 Subpart C (Intentional Radiators) – Section 15.209	
Site Registration:	621311	
Location of Testing: UL VS LTD, Unit 3 Horizon, Wade Road, Kingsland Business Park, Basingstoke, Hampshire, G24 8AH, United Kingdom		
Test Dates:	15 November 2019 to 10 December 2019	

1.3. Summary of Test Results

FCC Reference (47CFR)	Measurement	Result
Part 15.247(a)(2)	Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth	Complied
Part 15.35(c)	Transmitter Duty Cycle	Note 1
Part 15.247(b)(3)	Transmitter Maximum Peak Output Power	Complied
Part 15.247(e)	Transmitter Power Spectral Density	Note 2
Part 15.247(d)/15.209(a)	Transmitter Radiated Emissions	Complied
Part 15.247(d)/15.209(a)	Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions	Complied

Note(s):

- 1. The measurement was performed to assist in the calculation of the level of the emissions. The EUT cannot transmit continuously and sweep triggering/signal gating cannot be implemented.
- 2. In accordance with ANSI C63.10 Section 11.10.1, PSD measurements are not required if the maximum conducted output power is less than the PSD limit of 8 dBm / 3 kHz. The PSD level is therefore deemed to be equal to the measured output power.
- 3. There are two vendors of the WiFi/Bluetooth radio modules, Vendor 1 and Vendor 2.

The WiFi/Bluetooth radio modules have the same mechanical outline (i.e. the same packaging dimension and pin layout), use the same on-board antenna matching circuit, have an identical antenna structure and are built and tested to conform to the same specification and to operate within the same tolerances.

Baseline testing was performed on the two vendors to determine the worst case.

1.4. Deviations from the Test Specification

For the measurements contained within this test report, there were no deviations from, additions to, or exclusions from the test specification identified above.

Page 4 of 34 UL VS LTD

2. Summary of Testing

2.1. Facilities and Accreditation

The test site and measurement facilities used to collect data are located at Unit 3 Horizon, Wade Road, Kingsland Business Park, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG24 8AH, United Kingdom. The following table identifies which facilities were utilised for radiated emission measurements documented in this report. Specific facilities are also identified in the test results sections.

Site 1	X
Site 2	-
Site 17	X

UL VS LTD is accredited by UKAS. The tests reported herein have been performed in accordance with its terms of accreditation.

2.2. Methods and Procedures

Reference:	ANSI C63.10-2013	
Title:	American National Standard of Procedures for Compliance Testing of Unlicensed Wireless Devices	
Reference:	KDB 558074 D01 15.247 Meas Guidance v05r02, April 2, 2019	
Title:	Guidance for Compliance Measurements on Digital Transmission System, Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum System, and Hybrid System Devices Operating Under Section 15.247 of the FCC Rules	

UL VS LTD Page 5 of 34

2.3. Calibration and Uncertainty

Measuring Instrument Calibration

In accordance with UKAS requirements all the measurement equipment is on a calibration schedule. All equipment was within the calibration period on the date of testing.

Measurement Uncertainty

No measurement or test can ever be perfect and the imperfections give rise to error of measurement in the results. Consequently the result of a measurement is only an approximation to the value measured (the specific quantity subject to measurement) and is only complete when accompanied by a statement of the uncertainty of the approximation.

The expression of uncertainty of a measurement result allows realistic comparison of results with reference values and limits given in specifications and standards.

The uncertainty of the result may need to be taken into account when interpreting the measurement results.

The reported expanded uncertainties below are based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor such that a confidence level of approximately 95% is maintained. For the purposes of this document "approximately" is interpreted as meaning "effectively" or "for most practical purposes".

Measurement Type	Range	Confidence Level (%)	Calculated Uncertainty
Duty Cycle	2.4 GHz to 2.4835 GHz	95%	±1.14 %
Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth	2.4 GHz to 2.4835 GHz	95%	±4.59 %
Conducted Maximum Peak Output Power	2.4 GHz to 2.4835 GHz	95%	±1.13 dB
Radiated Spurious Emissions	30 MHz to 1 GHz	95%	±3.30 dB
Radiated Spurious Emissions	1 GHz to 25 GHz	95%	±2.94 dB

The methods used to calculate the above uncertainties are in line with those recommended within the various measurement specifications. Where measurement specifications do not include guidelines for the evaluation of measurement uncertainty the published guidance of the appropriate accreditation body is followed.

Page 6 of 34 UL VS LTD

2.4. Test and Measurement Equipment

Test Equipment Used for Transmitter Conducted Tests

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2001	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45041824	06 Jan 2020	12
M1996	Signal Analyser	Rohde & Schwarz	FSV13	100975	16 Jan 2020	12
G0615	Vector Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMBV100A	260473	08 May 2020	36
A2525	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-10	832827-3#	Calibrated before use	-

Test Equipment Used for Transmitter Radiated Emissions

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2040	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45124934	06 Jan 2020	12
K0001	5m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	16 Oct 2020	12
M2044	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU26	100122	01 Apr 2020	12
A3154	Pre-Amplifier	Com-Power	PAM-103	18020012	04 Oct 2020	12
A553	Antenna	Chase	CBL6111A	1593	14 Oct 2020	12
A3112	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18-06	219706#2	14 Oct 2020	12
A3083	Low Pass Filter	AtlanTecRF	AFL-01000	18010900076	09 Apr 2020	12
K0017	3m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	01 Aug 2020	12
M2003	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45046641	06 Jan 2020	12
A2895	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	BBHA 9170	9170-728	08 Feb 2020	12
A2896	Pre-Amplifier	Schwarzbeck	BBV 9721	9721 - 023	08 Feb 2020	12
M1630	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU40	100233	12 Nov 2020	12
A2916	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-10	832827#1	20 Feb 2020	12
A2914	High Pass Filter	AtlanTecRF	AFH-03000	2155	20 Feb 2020	12
A2889	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	BBHA 9120B	BBHA 9120 B653	08 Aug 2020	12
A3139	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	HWRD750	00027	07 Oct 2020	12
A3141	Pre-Amplifier	Schwarzbeck	BBV 9718 B	00021	08 Oct 2020	12
A3095	High Pass Filter	AtlanTecRF	AFH-07000	18051600012	09 Apr 2020	12

UL VS LTD Page 7 of 34

Test and Measurement Equipment (continued)

Test Equipment Used for Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2040	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45124934	06 Jan 2020	12
K0001	5m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	16 Oct 2020	12
M2044	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU26	100122	01 Apr 2020	12
A2523	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-10	832827#1	04 Mar 2020	12
A3179	Pre-Amplifier	Hewlett Packard	8449B	3008A00934	09 Oct 2020	12
A3138	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	BBHA 9120B	00702	04 Oct 2020	12

Page 8 of 34 UL VS LTD

3. Equipment Under Test (EUT)

3.1. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Brand Name:	Apple
Model Name or Number:	A2251
Test Sample Serial Number:	C02ZG00HP22J (Conducted sample #1)
Hardware Version:	REV 1.0
Software Version:	19C19
FCC ID:	BCGA2251

Brand Name:	Apple
Model Name or Number:	A2251
Test Sample Serial Number:	C02ZG00KP22J (Radiated sample #1)
Hardware Version:	REV 1.0
Software Version:	19C19
FCC ID:	BCGA2251

Brand Name:	Apple
Model Name or Number:	A2251
Test Sample Serial Number:	C02ZG00UP22J (Radiated sample #2)
Hardware Version:	REV 1.0
Software Version:	19C19
FCC ID:	BCGA2251

Brand Name:	Apple
Model Name or Number:	A2251
Test Sample Serial Number:	C02ZH002P1YX (Radiated sample #3)
Hardware Version:	REV 1.0
Software Version:	19C19
FCC ID:	BCGA2251

3.2. Modifications Incorporated in the EUT

No modifications were applied to the EUT during testing.

UL VS LTD Page 9 of 34

3.3. Additional Information Related to Testing

Technology Tested:	Bluetooth Low Energy (Digital Transmission System)		
Type of Unit:	Transceiver		
Channel Spacing:	2 MHz		
Modulation:	GFSK		
Data Rate: LE	1 Mbps		
Data Rate: LE2M	2 Mbps		
Power Supply Requirement(s):	Nominal Constant 3.8 VDC via 120 VAC 60 Hz AC/DC supply		a 120 VAC 60 Hz
Maximum Conducted Output Power:	7.0 dBm		
Transmit Frequency Range:	2402 MHz to 2480 MHz		
Transmit Channels Tested:	Channel ID	Channel Number	Channel Frequency (MHz)
	Bottom	37	2402
	Middle	17	2440
	Тор	39	2480

3.4. Description of Available Antennas

The radio utilizes an integrated antenna, with the following maximum gain:

Frequency Range (MHz)	Antenna Gain (dBi)
2400-2480	1.1

Page 10 of 34 UL VS LTD

3.5. Description of Test Setup

Support Equipment

The following support equipment was used to exercise the EUT during testing:

Description:	Personal Hands Free (PHF)
Brand Name:	Apple
Model Name or Number:	Apple EarPods
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated

Description:	USB Hub
Brand Name:	Belkin
Model Name or Number:	F5U404-BLK
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated

Description:	USB-C to USB Adapter. Quantity 3
Brand Name:	Apple
Model Name or Number:	A1632
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated

Description:	USB Cable. Quantity 3.
Brand Name:	Not marked or stated
Model Name or Number:	Not marked or stated
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated

UL VS LTD Page 11 of 34

Operating Modes

The EUT was tested in the following operating mode(s):

- Transmitting at maximum power in *Bluetooth* LE mode with modulation, maximum possible data length available and Pseudorandom Bit Sequence 9.
- Transmitting at maximum power in *Bluetooth* LE2M mode with modulation, maximum possible data length available and Pseudorandom Bit Sequence 9.

Configuration and Peripherals

The EUT was tested in the following configuration(s):


- Controlled in test mode using a set of commands entered into a terminal application on the EUT supplied by the customer. The commands were used to enable a continuous transmission and to select the test channels as required. The customer supplied a document containing the setup instructions 'EUT BT SOP.docx'.
- The EUT was powered from a 120 VAC 60 Hz single phase mains supply.
- Transmitter radiated spurious emissions tests were performed with the EUT transmitting in LE2M mode. PSD was not measured as the output power is less than 10 dBm. The maximum output power was the same for both LE & LE2M modes.
- Transmitter radiated spurious emissions tests were performed with the AC Charger, USB cables and PHF connected to the EUT. The USB-C ports were connected via a USB C-A adaptor and USB cable to a hub. The hub was placed outside the chamber.

Page 12 of 34 UL VS LTD

Test Setup Diagrams


Conducted Tests:

<u>Test Setup for Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth, Duty Cycle & Maximum Peak Output Power</u>



Radiated Tests:


Test Setup for Transmitter Radiated Emissions



UL VS LTD Page 13 of 34

Test Setup Diagrams (continued)

Test Setup for Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)



Page 14 of 34 UL VS LTD

4. Antenna Port Test Results

4.1. Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Matthew Botfield	Test Date:	02 December 2019
Test Sample Serial Number:	C02ZG00HP22J		

FCC Reference:	Part 15.247(a)(2)
Test Method Used:	FCC KDB 558074 Section 8.2 referencing ANSI C63.10 Section 11.8.1

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	20
Relative Humidity (%):	43

Note(s):


- 1. 6 dB DTS bandwidth tests were performed using a signal analyser in accordance with ANSI C63.10 Section 11.8.1 Option 1 measurement procedure. The signal analyser resolution bandwidth was set to 100 kHz and video bandwidth 300 kHz. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and the trace mode was Max Hold. The DTS bandwidth was measured at 6 dB down from the peak of the signal.
- 2. The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable.


UL VS LTD Page 15 of 34

Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth (continued)

Results: LE


Channel	6 dB Bandwidth (kHz)	Limit (kHz)	Margin (kHz)	Result
Bottom	723.600	≥500	223.600	Complied
Middle	723.600	≥500	223.600	Complied
Тор	723.600	≥500	223.600	Complied





Bottom Channel

Middle Channel



Top Channel

Page 16 of 34 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth (continued)

Results: LE2M


Channel	6 dB Bandwidth (kHz)	Limit (kHz)	Margin (kHz)	Result
Bottom	1157.700	≥500	657.700	Complied
Middle	1157.700	≥500	657.700	Complied
Тор	1201.200	≥500	701.200	Complied





Bottom Channel

Middle Channel



Top Channel

UL VS LTD Page 17 of 34

4.2. Transmitter Duty Cycle

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Matthew Botfield	Test Date:	02 December 2019
Test Sample Serial Number:	C02ZG00HP22J		

FCC Reference:	Part 15.35(c)
Test Method Used:	FCC KDB 558074 Section 6 referencing ANSI C63.10 Section 11.6

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	20
Relative Humidity (%):	43

Note(s):


1. In order to assist with the determination of the average level of spurious emissions field strength, measurements were made of duty cycle to determine the transmission duration and the silent period time of the transmitter. The transmitter duty cycle was measured using a signal analyser in the time domain and calculated by using the following calculation:

10 log (1 / (On Time / [Period or 100 ms whichever is the lesser])).

LE duty cycle: 10 log (1 / (390.000 μ s / 625.500 μ s)) = 2.1 dB LE2M duty cycle: 10 log (1 / (198.500 μ s / 625.500 μ s)) = 5.0 dB

Results: LE

Pulse Duration	Period	Duty Cycle
(μs)	(μs)	(dB)
390.000	625.500	2.1




Page 18 of 34 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Duty Cycle (continued)

Results: LE2M

Pulse Duration	Period	Duty Cycle
(μs)	(μs)	(dB)
198.500	625.500	5.0



UL VS LTD Page 19 of 34

4.3. Transmitter Maximum Peak Output Power

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Matthew Botfield	Test Date:	02 December 2019
Test Sample Serial Number:	C02ZG00HP22J		

FCC Reference:	Part 15.247(b)(3)
Test Method Used:	FCC KDB 558074 Section 8.3.1.1 referencing ANSI C63.10 Section 11.9.1.1 and Notes below

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	20
Relative Humidity (%):	43

Note(s):

- 1. Conducted power tests were performed using a signal analyser in accordance with ANSI C63.10 Section 11.9.1.1 with the RBW ≥ DTS bandwidth procedure.
- 2. The signal analyser resolution bandwidth was set to 2 MHz and video bandwidth of 10 MHz. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold. The span was set to 10 MHz. A marker was placed at the peak of the signal and the results recorded in the tables below.
- 3. The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable. An RF level offset was entered on the signal analyser to compensate for the loss of the attenuator and RF cable.
- 4. The conducted power was added to the declared antenna gain to obtain the EIRP.

Page 20 of 34 UL VS LTD


Results: LE


Channel	Conducted Peak Power (dBm)	Power Power Limit Margin		Result
Bottom	6.9	30.0	23.1	Complied
Middle	6.8	30.0	23.2	Complied
Тор	7.0	30.0	23.0	Complied

Channel	Conducted Peak Power (dBm)	Declared Antenna Gain (dBi)	EIRP (dBm)	De Facto EIRP Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	6.9	1.1	8.0	36.0	28.0	Complied
Middle	6.8	1.1	7.9	36.0	28.1	Complied
Тор	7.0	1.1	8.1	36.0	27.9	Complied


UL VS LTD Page 21 of 34

Results: LE





Bottom Channel



Top Channel

Middle Channel

Page 22 of 34 UL VS LTD


Results: LE2M

Channel	Conducted Peak Power (dBm)	Conducted Peak Power Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	6.9	30.0	23.1	Complied
Middle	6.7	30.0	23.3	Complied
Тор	7.0	30.0	23.0	Complied


Channel	Conducted Peak Power (dBm)	Declared Antenna Gain (dBi)	EIRP (dBm)	De Facto EIRP Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	6.9	1.1	8.0	36.0	28.0	Complied
Middle	6.7	1.1	7.8	36.0	28.2	Complied
Тор	7.0	1.1	8.1	36.0	27.9	Complied

UL VS LTD Page 23 of 34

Results: LE2M



Bottom Channel Middle Channel



Top Channel



Page 24 of 34 UL VS LTD

VERSION 1.0

ISSUE DATE: 17 MARCH 2020

5. Radiated Test Results

5.1. Transmitter Radiated Emissions <1 GHz

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Marco Zunarelli	Test Date:	06 December 2019
Test Sample Serial Number:	C02ZG00KP22J		

FCC Reference:	Parts 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Sections 6.3 and 6.5
Frequency Range	30 MHz to 1000 MHz

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	22
Relative Humidity (%):	34

Note(s):

- 1. The final measured value, for the given emission, in the table below incorporates the calibrated antenna factor and cable loss.
- 2. All emissions shown on the pre-scans were investigated and found to be ambient, or > 20 dB below the appropriate limit or below the noise floor of the measurement system. Therefore the highest peak noise floor reading of the measuring receiver was recorded in the table below.
- 3. The preliminary scans showed similar emission levels below 1 GHz, for each channel of operation. Therefore final radiated emissions measurements were performed with the EUT set to the middle channel only.
- 4. Measurements below 1 GHz were performed in a semi-anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0001) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 80 cm above the reference ground plane in the centre of the chamber turntable. Maximum emission levels were determined by height searching the measurement antenna over the range 1 metre to 4 metres.
- 5. Pre-scans were performed and markers placed on the highest measured levels. The test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 120 kHz and video bandwidth 500 kHz. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold.


UL VS LTD Page 25 of 34

ISSUE DATE: 17 MARCH 2020

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: Peak / Middle Channel / LE2M

Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBμV/m)	(dBμV/m)	(dB)	
966.846	Vertical	33.0	54.0	21.0	Complied



Page 26 of 34 UL VS LTD

5.2. Transmitter Radiated Emissions >1 GHz

Test Summary:

Test Engineers:	James O'Reilly, John Ferdinand & Marco Zunarelli	Test Dates:	05 December 2019 to 08 December 2019
Test Sample Serial Numbers:	C02ZG00UP22J & C02ZG00H	(P22J	

FCC Reference:	Parts 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)
Test Method Used:	FCC KDB 558074 Sections 8.1 c)3), 8.5 & 8.6 referencing ANSI C63.10 Sections 6.3, 6.6, 11.11 & 11.12
Frequency Range	1 GHz to 25 GHz

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	21 to 24
Relative Humidity (%):	29 to 39

Note(s):


- 1. The final measured value, for the given emission, in the table below incorporates the calibrated antenna factor and cable loss.
- 2. No spurious emissions were detected above the noise floor of the measuring receiver therefore the highest peak noise floor reading of the measuring receiver was recorded as shown in the tables below.
- 3. The emission shown on the 1 GHz to 3 GHz plot is the EUT fundamental.
- 4. *In accordance with ANSI C63.10 Section 6.6.4.3, Note 1, if the peak measured value complies with the average limit, it is unnecessary to perform an average measurement.
- 5. Pre-scans above 1 GHz were performed in a fully anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0001 or K0017) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 1.5 metres above the test chamber floor in the centre of the chamber turntable. All measurement antennas were placed at a fixed height of 1.5 metres above the test chamber floor, in line with the EUT.
- 6. Pre-scans were performed and a marker placed on the highest measured level of the appropriate plot. The test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 1 MHz and video bandwidth 3 MHz. The sweep time was set to auto. Peak and average measurements were performed with their own appropriate detectors during the pre-scan measurements.


Results: Middle Channel / LE2M


Frequency	Antenna	Peak Level	Average Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBμV/m)	(dBμV/m)	(dB)	
2794.500	Vertical	53.7*	54.0	0.3	Complied


UL VS LTD Page 27 of 34

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)









Page 28 of 34 UL VS LTD

5.3. Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions

Test Summary:

Test Engineers:	Marco Zunarelli & Mark Perry	Test Dates:	15 November 2019 to 10 December 2019
Test Sample Serial Numbers:	C02ZG00KP22J & C02ZH002P1YX		

FCC Reference:	Parts 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)
Test Method Used:	KDB 558074 Section 8.7 referencing ANSI C63.10 Sections 11.11, 11.12 & 11.13

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	21 to 23
Relative Humidity (%):	34 to 39

UL VS LTD Page 29 of 34

Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)

Note(s):

- 1. The final measured value, for the given emission, in the table below incorporates the calibrated antenna factor and cable loss.
- 2. The maximum peak conducted output power was previously measured. In accordance with ANSI C63.10 Section 11.11.1(a), the lower band edge measurement was performed with a peak detector and the -20 dBc limit applied.
- 3. As the lower band edge is adjacent to a non-restricted band, only peak measurements are required. In accordance with ANSI C63.10 Section 11.11.1, the test method in Section 11.11.3 was followed: the test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 100 kHz and video bandwidth 300 kHz. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold. The test receiver was left to sweep for a sufficient length of time in order to maximise the carrier level and out-of-band emissions. A marker and corresponding reference level line were placed on the peak of the carrier. As the maximum peak conducted output power was measured using an peak detector in accordance with ANSI C63.10 Section 11.9.1.1 an out-of-band limit line was placed 20 dB (ANSI C63.10 Section 11.11.1(a)) below the peak level. A marker was placed on the band edge spot frequencies. Marker frequency and levels were recorded.
- 4. As the upper band edge is adjacent to a restricted band, both peak and average measurements were recorded by placing a marker at the edge of the band. For peak measurements the test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 1 MHz and the video bandwidth 3 MHz. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold. For average measurements the test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 1 MHz and the video bandwidth 3 MHz. An RMS detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was trace averaging over 300 sweeps. A marker was placed on the band edge spot frequencies and a second marker placed on the highest emission level in the adjacent restricted band of operation (where a higher level emission was present). Marker frequencies and levels were recorded.
- 5. There is a restricted band 10 MHz below the lower band edge. The test receiver was set up as follows: the RBW set to 1 MHz, the VBW set to 3 MHz, with the sweep time set to auto couple. Peak and average measurements were performed with peak and RMS detectors respectively. Markers were placed on the highest point on each trace.
- 6. * -20 dBc limit.
- 7. **As the EUT had a duty cycle < 98% the duty cycle correction factor has been applied to the LE2M upper band edge average result. The corrected level is shown below:

Upper Band Average result + duty cycle = Corrected band edge level

Corrected LE band edge level at 2483.5 MHz : $40.6 + 2.1 = 42.7 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m}$ Corrected LE2M band edge level at 2483.5 MHz : $44.7 + 5.0 = 49.7 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m}$

Page 30 of 34 UL VS LTD

ISSUE DATE: 17 MARCH 2020

Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: Peak / LE

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2400.000	Vertical	52.3	84.5*	32.2	Complied
2483.500	Vertical	55.9	74.0	18.1	Complied
2483.660	Vertical	56.7	74.0	17.3	Complied

Results: Average / LE

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Level (dBμV/m)	Duty cycle correction (dB)	Corrected Level (dB _µ V/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.500	Vertical	40.6	2.1	42.7**	54.0	11.3	Complied

Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Peak / LE

Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2366.410	Vertical	53.2	74.0	20.8	Complied


Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Average / LE


Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2364.744	Vertical	40.7	54.0	13.3	Complied

UL VS LTD Page 31 of 34


Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: LE





Lower Band Edge



2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band

Page 32 of 34 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: Peak / LE2M

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2400.000	Vertical	72.8	88.3*	15.5	Complied
2483.500	Vertical	64.5	74.0	9.5	Complied

Results: Average / LE2M

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Level (dBμV/m)	Duty cycle correction (dB)	Corrected Level (dB _µ V/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.500	Vertical	44.7	5.0	49.7**	54.0	4.3	Complied

Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Peak / LE2M

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2364.615	Vertical	52.8	74.0	21.2	Complied


Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Average / LE2M


Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2364.615	Vertical	40.9	54.0	13.1	Complied

UL VS LTD Page 33 of 34


Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: LE2M





Lower Band Edge



Upper Band Edge

2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band

--- END OF REPORT ---

Page 34 of 34 UL VS LTD