

-----Original Message-----

From: Les Payne [mailto:les@dnbenginc.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, June 04, 2003 7:33 PM  
To: 'Mike Kuo'  
Cc: Anne Liang (E-mail); Chris Landsberger (E-mail)  
Subject: RE: TPL COMMUNICATIONS, FCC ID:BBD3-1AE-MAS, AN03T2647

Greetings Mike,

Sorry for the long delay, I have kept Anne informed that we are still active on this project so here are the suggested corrections and comments with regards to the questions below.

-----Original Message-----

From: CERTADM  
Sent: Friday, March 07, 2003 3:47 PM  
To: 'mkuo@ccsemc.com'  
Subject: TPL COMMUNICATIONS, FCC ID:BBD3-1AE-MAS, AN03T2647

Notice\_content

-----  
Question #1: Reported indicated the frequency range is from 136- 176MHz with factory set for 160MHz. However, the user manual indicates the frequency range is from 136 - 174MHz. Please confirm the frequency range.

Correct frequency range is 136-174MHz

Question #2: Per section 2.106 Frequency allocation table, the frequency range from 136 - 150MHz is not allocated for FCC Part 90 Private Land Mobile service. The frequency range applies to the desire frequency range is from 150MHz to 174MHz. On the TCB grant, the frequency range will be indicated from 150-174MHz. Please confirm.

Previous submittals have been approved for the entire range in the past from 1997 to 2001.

Please reference the following FCC Grants

BBD31-DC-M	136-178MHz
BBD3-1DE-S	136-175MHz
BBD3-2XG-H	136-174MHz
BBD3-1AB-M	144-174MHz

Where the customer would like to retain the entire frequency range for their product they will accept on the Grant the 150-174MHz range. However, we would ask if they FCC granted authorization in the past under Part 90 for these devices would therer be a precedent set for future approvals and if so shouldn't the entire range be acceptable.

Question #3 Page 1 of test report indicates that this device is also complied with FCC Part 22, please provide support test data for FCC Part 22 compliance.

Reference to Part 22 has been removed.

Question #4: Page 5 of test report, please make sure the applicant comply with FCC labeling requirement. FCC no longer has type acceptance procedure. The label in page 5 is not acceptable and should use the FCC ID label format as submitted in the separate exhibit.

Manufacturer shall correct the label to indicate FCC ID as opposed to FCC Type Acceptance.

However, they would like to use up any existing labels and make the corrections on the next label run. Please let us know if this is acceptable. As an alternative the customer can overlabel the existing label to indicate FCC ID and cover FCC Type Acceptance. The first alternative is preferred.

Question #5: Test data indicated the test date is July 16, 2003, please make necessary correction.

Report has been corrected and new data sheets have been provided.

Question #6: Test data indicated the tests are applicable to FCC Part 22 and 87 as well. Please explain or make necessary correction.

Reference to Parts 22 and 87 have been removed.

Kindest Regards,

Les Payne  
DNB Engineering, Riverside

Attachment converted: Starfish:Data.pdf (PDF /CARO) (000DA584)

Attachment converted: Starfish:RV38004E Part 1.pdf (PDF /CARO) (000DA585)