



SAR TEST REPORT

Test Report No.: 31GE0240-SH-06-A

Applicant : Canon Inc.

Type of Equipment : Wireless Module

Model No. : WM217

FCC ID : AZD217

Test Standard : FCC 47CFR §2.1093,
Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65

Test Result : Complied

Maximum SAR(1g) Value	Platform #	Platform type	Platform model	Remarks
0.60 W/kg	Platform (5)	Digital camera (3)	PC1882	(DTS) 2462MHz, IEEE 802.11b, (1Mbps, DBPSK/DSSS))
This RF module had installed into the following platform and satisfied the multi-platform requirement (KDB447498). (Refer to the test report number: 31GE0240-SH-04-A, published by Shonan EMC lab, UL Japan, Inc.)				
0.21 W/kg	Platform (1)	Video camera (1)	ID0002	(DTS) 2462MHz, IEEE 802.11b, (1Mbps, DBPSK/DSSS))
0.13 W/kg	Platform (2)	Video camera (2)	ID0008	(DTS) 2462MHz, IEEE 802.11b, (1Mbps, DBPSK/DSSS))
0.37 W/kg	Platform (3)	Digital camera (1)	PC1739	(DTS) 2412MHz, IEEE 802.11b, (1Mbps, DBPSK/DSSS))
0.14 W/kg	Platform (4)	Digital camera (2)	PC1735	(DTS) 2412MHz, IEEE 802.11b, (1Mbps, DBPSK/DSSS))

*. The SAR(1g) was <0.8W/kg for all configuration and all platforms tested. Therefore according to the KDB447498 D01, this EUT was approved for used in multi-platform.

1. This test report shall not be reproduced in full or partial, without the written approval of UL Japan, Inc.
2. The results in this report apply only to the sample tested.
3. This sample tested is in compliance with the limits of the above regulation.
4. The test results in this test report are traceable to the national or international standards.
5. This test report must not be used by the customer to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by any agency of the Federal Government.
6. The opinions and the interpretations to the result of the description in this report are outside scopes where UL Japan has been accredited.

Date of test: April 16, 2012

Test engineer: Hiroshi Naka

Hiroshi Naka
Engineer of WiSE Japan, UL Verification Service

Approved by: Toyokazu Imamura

Toyokazu Imamura
Leader of WiSE Japan, UL Verification Service



The testing in which "Non-accreditation" is displayed is outside the accreditation scopes in UL Japan.

There is no testing item of "Non-accreditation".

**UL Japan, Inc.
Shonan EMC Lab.**

1-22-3 Megumigaoka, Hiratsuka-shi, Kanagawa-ken, 259-1220 JAPAN
Telephone: +81 463 50 6400 / Facsimile: +81 463 50 6401

13-EM-F0429

CONTENTS	PAGE
SECTION 1: Customer information.....	3
SECTION 2: Equipment under test (EUT).....	3
SECTION 3: Test specification, procedures and results.....	4
3.1 Test specification.....	4
3.2 Exposure limit	4
3.3 Procedure and result	4
3.4 Test location	4
3.5 Confirmation before SAR testing.....	5
3.6 Confirmation after SAR testing	5
3.7 Test setup of EUT and SAR measurement procedure	6
SECTION 4: Operation of EUT during testing.....	6
4.1 Operating modes for SAR testing	6
SECTION 5: Uncertainty assessment (SAR measurement).....	7
SECTION 6: Confirmation before testing	8
6.1 Assessment for the conducted power of EUT	8
SECTION 7: Measurement results	9
7.1 SAR (Body) for the platform(5).....	9

Contents of appendixes

APPENDIX 1: Photographs of test setup.....	10
Appendix 1-1 Photograph of Platform(5)	10
Appendix 1-2 Photograph of Wireless module and antenna	10
Appendix 1-3 EUT and support equipment	11
Appendix 1-4 Photograph of test setup / Platform(5)	11
APPENDIX 2: SAR Measurement data	13
Appendix 2-1 Evaluation procedure	13
Appendix 2-2 Measurement data / Platform(5)	14
APPENDIX 3: Test instruments	20
Appendix 3-1 Equipment used	20
Appendix 3-2 Dosimetry assessment setup	21
Appendix 3-3 Configuration and peripherals.....	21
Appendix 3-4 System components	22
Appendix 3-5 Test system specification	23
Appendix 3-6 Simulated tissues composition.....	23
Appendix 3-7 Simulated tissues parameter confirmation	24
Appendix 3-8 System check data	24
Appendix 3-9 System check measurement data.....	25
Appendix 3-10 System check uncertainty	25
Appendix 3-11 Calibration certificate: Dipole (D2450V2).....	26
Appendix 3-12 Calibration certificate: E-Field Probe (EX3DV4).....	34
Appendix 3-13 References	45

REVISION HISTORY

Revision	Test report No.	Date	Page revised	Contents
Original	31GE0240-SH-06-A	April 25, 2012	-	-
-R01	31GE0240-SH-06-A	July 6, 2012	2, 20	Updated the remarks of the equipment list.

*. By issue of new revision report, the report of an old revision becomes invalid.

SECTION 1: Customer information

Company Name	Canon Inc.
Brand Name	Canon
Address	30-2, Shimomaruko 3-chome, Ohta-ku, Tokyo 146-8501 Japan
Telephone Number	+81-3-3757-6218
Facsimile Number	+81-3-3757-8431
Contact Person	Ryoji Kon

SECTION 2: Equipment under test (EUT)

2.1 Identification of EUT

Type of Equipment	Wireless Module
Model Number	WM217
Serial Number	F09
Condition of EUT	Production mode
Receipt Date of Sample	April 12, 2012 / *. No modification by the Lab.
Country of Mass-production	Japan
Category Identified	Portable device *. This EUT is hand-held and hand-operated device with output power < 645 mW (1000×[2.4GHz]⁰.⁵). Therefore, the hand-SAR is not required (KDB447498). *. This EUT may contact a human body during Wi-fi operation.
Rating	DC3.3V supplied from the platform equipment. *. The EUT is installed into the specified the platform that was operated by the re-chargeable Li-ion battery. Therefore, each SAR test, the platform which had built-in EUT was operated with full-charged battery. (*1)
Feature of EUT	The EUT is a Wireless Module which installs into the multi-platform.
Accessory of EUT	(*1)

***1. Platform type and SAR accessory:**

Platform No.	Platform type	Model	SAR accessory	Battery option
Platform(5)	Digital camera(3)	PC1882	none	Single (NB-5L)

2.2 Product Description (Wireless module: WM217)

Equipment type	Transceiver
Frequency of operation	2412-2462MHz (11b,11g,11n(20HT)), 2422-2452MHz(11n(40HT))
Channel spacing	5MHz
Bandwidth	20MHz(11b,11g,11n(20HT)), 40MHz(11n(40HT))
ITU code	G1D(11b), D1D(11g,11n(20HT),11n(40HT))
Type of modulation	DSSS(11b), OFDM(11g,11n(20HT),11n(40HT))
Q'ty of Antenna	1 pc.
Antenna type	Monopole type chip antenna
Antenna gain (peak)	+0.9dBi
Transmit power	*. Refers to section 6 in this report.
Power supply	DC 3.3V
Operation temperature range	-20 to +55 degC

*. The EUT do not use the special transmitting technique such as "beam-forming" and "time-space code diversity."

SECTION 3: Test specification, procedures and results

3.1 Requirements for compliance testing defined by the FCC / Test specification

The US Federal Communications Commission has released the report and order "Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of RF Radiation", ET Docket No. 93-62 in August 1996. The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g for an uncontrolled environment and 8.0 mW/g for an occupational/controlled environment as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992. According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

1. Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source (wireless portable device).
2. IEEE/ANSI Std. C95.1-1992 limits are used to determine compliance with FCC ET Docket 93-62.

Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01):

Supplement C (Edition 01-01) - Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions

OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01) - Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields

IEEE Std. 1528-2003:

IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques Supplement C

In additions:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> KDB 447498 D01 (v04) (11/13/2009):	Mobile and Portable Device RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> KDB 248227 (rev.1.2) (5/29/2007):	SAR Measurement Procedures for 802.11a/b/g Transmitters
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> KDB 450824 D01 (v01r01) (Jan.2007):	SAR Probe Calibration and System Verification Considerations for Measurements at 150MHz-3GHz
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> KDB 450824 D02 (v01) (11/13/2009):	Dipole Requirements for SAR System Validation and Verification

3.2 Exposure limit

Environments of exposure limit	Whole-Body (averaged over the entire body)	Partial-Body (averaged over any 1g of tissue)	Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles (averaged over any 10g of tissue)
(A) Limits for Occupational /Controlled Exposure (W/kg)	0.4	8.0	20.0
(B) Limits for General population /Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)	0.08	1.6	4.0

*Occupational/Controlled Environments: are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

*General Population/Uncontrolled Environments: are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

The limit applied in this test report is:

General population / uncontrolled exposure, Partial-Body (averaged over any 1g of tissue) limit: 1.6 W/kg

3.3 Procedures and Results

	WiFi (DTS) / Platform(S)
Test Procedure	FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C SAR
Category	FCC 47CFR §2.1093
Results (SAR(1g))	(Built-in) Complied (0.60W/kg)

Note: UL Japan's SAR Work Procedures No.13-EM-W0429 and 13-EM-W0430. No addition, deviation nor exclusion has been made from standards

Test outline:

This EUT is a limited module approval according to section 15.212 (b). The procedure of SAR was measured according to the KDB447498 2).

Consideration of the test results:

<input type="checkbox"/> The SAR(1g) was <1.2W/kg for all configurations. EUT was approved for used in a single host platform. (KDB447498 D01)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The SAR(1g) was <0.8W/kg for all configurations. EUT was approved for used in multiple host platforms. (KDB447498 D01)
<input type="checkbox"/> The SAR(1g) was <0.4W/kg for all configurations. EUT can be used in portable exposure conditions with no restrictions on host platforms. (KDB447498 D01)

3.4 Test Location

No.7 shielded room (2.76(Width) × 3.76m(Depth) × 2.4m(Height)) for SAR testing.

UL Japan, Inc., Shonan EMC Lab.

1-22-3 Megumigaoka, Hiratsuka-shi, Kanagawa-ken 259-1220 JAPAN

Telephone number: +81 463 50 6400 / Facsimile number: +81 463 50 6401

UL Japan, Inc.

Shonan EMC Lab.

1-22-3 Megumigaoka, Hiratsuka-shi, Kanagawa-ken, 259-1220 JAPAN

Telephone: +81 463 50 6400 / Facsimile: +81 463 50 6401

3.5 Confirmation before SAR testing

3.5.1 Correlation of Output Power between EMC and SAR tests

It was checked that the antenna port power was correlated within 0~+5% (FCC requirements). The result is shown in Section 6.

Test	Remarks	Serial number
SAR	Before SAR test, the RF wiring for the sample that was actually used for the SAR test, had been switched to the antenna conducted power measurement line from the antenna line, and then the average power was measured. The average power of specified operation mode(s) were measured at default channel. After power measurement, the RF wiring was changed to the antenna line from the antenna conducted power measurement line for the SAR test. * The power was measured by the calibrated power sensor and power meter (65MHz measurement bandwidth).	F09
Reference	(Since this RF module was already EMC and SAR tested and there was shown the test report: 31GE0240-SH-01(EMC), and 31GE0240-SH-04(SAR), these power was made into the reference value.)	8C1

3.5.2 Average power for SAR tests

Step.1 Data rate check

The average powers related with all data rate were measured on a channel of specified operation mode. The EUT supported the following data rate in each operation mode.

11b		11g		11n(20HT)			11n(40HT)			
Modulation	Data rate [Mbps]	Modulation	Data rate [Mbps]	MCS Index	Spatial Stream	Modulation	MCS Index	Spatial Stream	Modulation	
DBPSK/DSSS	1	BPSK/OFDM	6	MCS0	1	BPSK/OFDM	MCS0	1	BPSK/OFDM	
DQPSK/DSSS	2	BPSK/OFDM	9	MCS1	1	QPSK/OFDM	MCS1	1	QPSK/OFDM	
CCK/DSSS	5.5	QPSK/OFDM	12	MCS2	1	QPSK/OFDM	MCS2	1	QPSK/OFDM	
CCK/DSSS	11	QPSK/OFDM	18	MCS3	1	16QAM/OFDM	MCS3	1	16QAM/OFDM	
			16QAM/OFDM	24	MCS4	1	16QAM/OFDM	MCS4	1	16QAM/OFDM
			16QAM/OFDM	36	MCS5	1	64QAM/OFDM	MCS5	1	64QAM/OFDM
			64QAM/OFDM	48	MCS6	1	64QAM/OFDM	MCS6	1	64QAM/OFDM
			64QAM/OFDM	54	MCS7	1	64QAM/OFDM	MCS7	1	64QAM/OFDM

Step.2 Decision of SAR test channel

The following operation mode, data rate and channels were determined by the SAR reference power measured.

Mode	MHz	Channel	SAR tested channel			Remarks	
			11b/g/n(20HT)	11b	11g		
802.11 b/g/n	2412	1 (*1)	✓	#	n/a (*2)	n/a (*2)	SAR test was only applied to 11b mode, lowest data rate. (*2)
	2437	6	✓	#	n/a (*2)	n/a (*2)	For the reference, the SAR test was applied to 11n(40HT) mode on a channel which was closest with worst SAR value of 11b mode.
	2462	11 (*1)	✓	#	n/a (*2)	n/a (*2)	

✓ = "default test channels of requested by KDB248227", n/a: SAR test was not applied, # = SAR test was applied.

*1. Any output power reducing for channel 1 and 11 to meet restricted band requirements was not observed. Therefore channel 1 and 11 was selected for the default channels and SAR test was applied.

*2. Since the average power of 11g and 11n(20HT) were lower than the corresponded 11b power, SAR test was not applied to the 11g and 11n(20HT) mode in accordance with KDB248227. (Refer to Section 6.) The average power of higher data rate was less than 0.25dB higher than the lowest data rate. Therefore, SAR test was only applied to the lowest data rate. (Refer to Section 6.)

3.6 Confirmation after SAR testing

It was checked that the power drift [W] is within $\pm 5\%$ in the evaluation procedure of SAR testing. The verification of power drift during the SAR test is that DASY4 system calculates the power drift by measuring the e-filed at the same location at beginning and the end of the scan measurement for each test position.

The result is shown in APPENDIX 2.

*. DASY4 system calculation Power drift value[dB] = $20\log(E_a)/(E_b)$ (where, Before SAR testing: $E_b[V/m]$ / After SAR testing: $E_a[V/m]$)

Limit of power drift[W] = $\pm 5\%$

Power drift limit (X) [dB] = $10\log(P_{drift}) = 10\log(1.05/1) = 10\log(1.05) - 10\log(1) = 0.21\text{dB}$

from E-filed relations with power.

$S = E \times H = E^2 / \eta = P / (4 \times \pi \times r^2)$ (η : Space impedance) $\rightarrow P = (E^2 \times 4 \times \pi \times r^2) / \eta$

Therefore, The correlation of power and the E-filed

Power drift limit (X) dB = $10\log(P_{drift}) = 10\log(E_{drift})^2 = 20\log(E_{drift})$

From the above mentioned, the calculated power drift of DASY4 system must be the less than $\pm 0.21\text{dB}$.

3.7 Test setup of EUT and SAR measurement procedure

After considering the outline of EUT, the SAR test was carried out on the following setup conditions.

*. Refer to Appendix 1 for test setup photographs.

Setup	Explanation of EUT setup position	Antenna to user distance	SAR test	SAR type
Top	The top surface of EUT was touched to the Flat phantom. This section is the closest to an antenna.	1.6mm	applied	Body(touch)
Front(Lens)	The front surface of EUT was touched to the Flat phantom.	≈23mm	applied	Body(touch)
Rear(LCD)	The rear surface of EUT was touched to the Flat phantom.	3.9mm	applied	Body(touch)
Bottom	The bottom surface of EUT was touched to the Flat phantom.	≈56mm	applied	Body(touch)
Right	The left surface of EUT was touched to the Flat phantom.	≈62mm	applied	Body(touch)
Left	The right surface of EUT was touched to the Flat phantom.	37mm	applied	Body(touch)

*. Size of EUT: 99 mm (width) × 27 mm (depth) × 62 mm (height) (when lens was closed)

By the determined test setup shown above, the SAR test was applied in the following procedures.

Step 1	Change the channels. (At top setup condition that is the closest to an antenna..)
Step 2	Change the positions.
Step 3	Change the operation modes.

*. During SAR test, the radiated power is always monitored by Spectrum Analyzer.

SECTION 4: Operation of EUT during testing

4.1 Operating modes for SAR testing

This EUT has IEEE.802.11b, 11g, 11n(20HT) and 11n(40HT) continuous transmitting modes.

The frequency and the modulation used in the SAR testing are shown as a following.

Operation mode	11b (*1)	11n(40HT) (*4)	The example of a software screen
Tx frequency band	2412-2462MHz	2422-2452MHz	
Tested frequency	2412, 2437, 2462MHz (*2)	2452MHz (*4)	
Modulation	DBPSK/DSSS	BPSK/OFDM	
Data rate	1Mbps (*3)	MCS0 (*3)	
Crest factor	1.0 (100% duty cycle)	1.0 (100% duty cycle)	
Controlled software	RF test mode; During SAR test, the EUT was operated by pre-installed RF test mode software.		

*1. Since the average power of 11g and 11n(20HT) were lower than the corresponded 11b power, SAR test was not applied to the 11g and 11n(20HT) mode in accordance with KDB248227. (Refer to Section 6.)

*2. Any output power reducing for channel 1 and 11 to meet restricted band requirements was not observed. Therefore channel 1 and 11 was selected for the default channels and SAR test was applied.

*3. The average power of higher data rate was less than 0.25dB higher than the lowest data rate. Therefore, SAR test was only applied to the lowest data rate. (Refer to Section 6.)

*4. For 11n(40HT, 40MHz bandwidth) mode, the SAR test was applied a channel which was closest with worst SAR value of 11b mode.

SECTION 5: Uncertainty Assessment (SAR measurement)

Uncertainty of SAR measurement system (v04)	Under 3 GHz (v04)	
	1g SAR	10g SAR
combined measurement uncertainty of the measurement system (k=1)	± 12.3%	± 12.0%
expanded uncertainty (k=2)	± 24.6%	± 24.0%

	Error Description (Under 3GHz) (v04)	Uncertainty Value	Probability distribution	Divisor	ci (1g)	ci (10g)	ui (1g)	ui (10g)	Vi_{eff}
A Measurement System									
1	Probe Calibration Error	±6.0 %	Normal	1	1	1	±6.0 %	±6.0 %	∞
2	Axial isotropy Error	±4.7 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	±1.9 %	±1.9 %	∞
3	Hemispherical isotropy Error (<5deg. flat phantom)	±9.6 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	±3.9 %	±3.9 %	∞
4	Boundary effects Error	±1.4 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.8 %	±0.8 %	∞
5	Linearity Error	±4.7 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±2.7 %	±2.7 %	∞
6	Sensitivity Error (detection limit)	±1.0 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6 %	±0.6 %	∞
7	Response Time Error (<5ms/100ms wait)	±0.0 %	Normal	1	1	1	±0.0 %	±0.0 %	∞
8	Integration Time Error (100% duty cycle)	±0.0 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.0 %	±0.0 %	∞
9	Readout Electronics Error(DAE)	±0.3 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.3 %	±0.3 %	∞
10	RF ambient conditions-noise (<0.01 mW/g)	±3.0 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.7 %	±1.7 %	∞
11	RF ambient conditions-reflections (<0.12mW/g)	±3.0 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.7 %	±1.7 %	∞
12	Probe positioner mechanical tolerance	±1.1 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6 %	±0.6 %	∞
13	Probe Positioning with respect to phantom shell	±2.9 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.7 %	±1.7 %	∞
14	Errors: Extrapol., Interpol. & Integration Algorithms	±1.0 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.6 %	±0.6 %	∞
B Test Sample Related									
15	Test Sample Positioning Error	±5.0 %	Normal	1	1	1	±5.0 %	±5.0 %	145
16	Device Holder or Positioner Tolerance	±3.6 %	Normal	1	1	1	±3.6 %	±3.6 %	5
17	Test Sample Output Power Drift Error	±5.0 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±2.9 %	±2.9 %	∞
C Phantom and Setup									
18	Phantom uncertainty (shape, thickness tolerances)	±7.5 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±4.3 %	±4.3 %	∞
19	Target Liquid Conductivity Tolerance	±5.0 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	±1.8 %	±1.2 %	∞
20	Measurement Liquid Conductivity Error	±2.9 %	Normal	1	0.64	0.43	±1.9 %	±1.2 %	3
21	Target Liquid Permittivity Tolerance	±5.0 %	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	±1.7 %	±1.4 %	∞
22	Measurement Liquid Permittivity Error	±2.9 %	Normal	1	0.6	0.49	±1.7 %	±1.4 %	3
Combined Standard Uncertainty									
							±12.3 %	±12.0 %	479
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)									
							±24.6 %	±24.0 %	

*: This measurement uncertainty budget is suggested by IEEE 1528 and determined by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (DASY4 Uncertainty Budget). [6]

SECTION 6: Confirmation before testing

6.1 Assessment for the conducted power of EUT

6.1.1 Worst data rate & worst channel determination of SAR (EUT serial number: F09, installed into the Platform (5)-Digital camera (3)), Correction of the power at SAR test and EMC test (EUT serial number: C81)

Output power				Tx mode:		11b ([SAR] sn:F09)			Power Reading Results				Power at EMC test							
Ch.	Freq. [MHz]	D/R [Mbps]	Ant. No.	Max.Ave. pwr:o	Modulation	P/M Reading Ave[dBm]	Pk[dB]	Cable Loss [dB]	Attenuator [dB]	Ave[dBm]	Pk[dBm]	Ave[mW]	Pk[mW]	Δworst ave.[dB]	PAR [dB]	Ave. [dB]	Δ(sar- emc) [dB]	Pk [dB]	Δ(sar- emc) [dB]	
1	2412	1	single		DBPSK	DSSS	3.62	6.12	0.50	10.00	14.12	16.62	25.82	45.92	-0.56	2.50				
6	2437	1	single		DBPSK	DSSS	4.02	6.51	0.50	10.00	14.52	17.01	28.31	50.23	-0.16	2.49				
11	2462	1	single	o	DBPSK	DSSS	4.18	6.75	0.50	10.00	14.68	17.25	29.38	53.09	- (ref)	2.57	([EMC] sn:8C1)			
1	2412	11	single		COK_PCO	DSSS	3.64	6.16	0.50	10.00	14.14	16.66	25.94	46.34	-0.46	2.52	14.05	0.09	16.64	0.02
6	2437	11	single		COK_PCO	DSSS	3.89	6.49	0.50	10.00	14.39	16.99	27.48	50.00	-0.21	2.60	14.29	0.10	16.88	0.11
11	2462	11	single		COK_PCO	DSSS	4.10	6.73	0.50	10.00	14.60	17.23	28.84	52.84	- (ref)	2.63	14.41	0.19	17.05	0.18
															Δlow rate	Δave	Δpk			
1	2412	1	single		DBPSK	DSSS	3.62	6.12	0.50	10.00	14.12	16.62	25.82	45.92	- (ref)	2.50	14.02	0.10	16.56	0.06
1	2412	2	single		DQPSK	DSSS	3.53	6.13	0.50	10.00	14.03	16.63	25.29	46.03	-0.09	2.60	14.03	0.00	16.62	0.01
1	2412	5.5	single		COK_PCO	DSSS	3.54	5.73	0.50	10.00	14.04	16.23	25.35	41.98	-0.08	2.19	13.98	0.06	16.05	0.18
1	2412	11	single	o	COK_PCO	DSSS	3.64	6.16	0.50	10.00	14.14	16.66	25.94	46.34	0.02	2.52	14.05	0.09	16.64	0.02

- * The average power of higher data rate was less than 0.25dB higher than the lowest data rate. Therefore, SAR test was only applied to the lowest data rate.
- * Since the power setting of 11g mode was 2dBm lower than 11b mode, the average power of 11g was more than 0.25dB lower than the 11b. Therefore, SAR test was not applied to the 11g mode.
- * Since the power setting of 11n(20HT) mode was 2dBm lower than 11b mode, The average power of 11n(20HT) was more than 0.25dB lower than the 11b. Therefore, SAR test was not applied to the 11n(20HT) mode.

Output power				Tx mode:		11n(40HT) ([SAR] sn:F09)			Power Reading Results				Power at EMC test							
Ch.	Freq. [MHz]	D/R [Mbps]	Ant. No.	Max.Ave. pwr:o	Modulation	P/M Reading Ave[dBm]	Pk[dB]	Cable Loss [dB]	Attenuator [dB]	Ave[dBm]	Pk[dBm]	Ave[mW]	Pk[mW]	Δworst ave.[dB]	PAR [dB]	Ave. [dB]	Δ(sar- emc) [dB]	Pk [dB]	Δ(sar- emc) [dB]	
3	2422	MCS0	single		BPSK	OFDM	1.85	10.40	0.50	10.00	12.35	20.90	17.18	123.03	-0.42	8.55				
6	2437	MCS0	single		BPSK	OFDM	2.13	10.49	0.50	10.00	12.63	20.99	18.32	125.60	-0.14	8.36				
9	2452	MCS0	single	o	BPSK	OFDM	2.27	10.61	0.50	10.00	12.77	21.11	18.92	129.12	- (ref)	8.34	([EMC] sn:8C1)			
															Δlow rate	Δave	Δpk			
3	2422	MCS0	single		BPSK	OFDM	1.85	10.40	0.50	10.00	12.35	20.90	17.18	123.03	- (ref)	8.55	12.23	0.12	20.84	0.06
3	2422	MCS1	single		QPSK	OFDM	1.91	10.36	0.50	10.00	12.41	20.88	17.42	121.90	0.06	8.45	12.25	0.16	20.79	0.07
3	2422	MCS2	single		QPSK	OFDM	1.88	10.47	0.50	10.00	12.38	20.97	17.30	125.03	0.03	8.59	12.30	0.08	20.94	0.03
3	2422	MCS3	single	o	16QAM	OFDM	1.93	10.98	0.50	10.00	12.43	21.48	17.50	140.60	0.08	9.05	12.36	0.07	21.36	0.12
3	2422	MCS4	single		16QAM	OFDM	1.86	10.74	0.50	10.00	12.36	21.24	17.22	133.05	0.01	8.88	12.24	0.12	21.14	0.10
3	2422	MCS5	single		64QAM	OFDM	1.85	10.95	0.50	10.00	12.35	21.45	17.18	139.64	0.00	9.10	12.34	0.01	21.32	0.13
3	2422	MCS6	single		64QAM	OFDM	1.91	10.55	0.50	10.00	12.41	21.05	17.42	127.35	0.06	8.64	12.26	0.15	21.04	0.01
3	2422	MCS7	single		64QAM	OFDM	1.87	10.50	0.50	10.00	12.37	21.00	17.26	125.89	0.02	8.63	12.28	0.09	20.89	0.11

- * Since 11n(40HT) mode had 40MHz band width, the SAR test was applied to 11n(40HT) mode
- * The average power of higher data rate was less than 0.25dB higher than the lowest data rate. Therefore, SAR test was only applied to the lowest data rate.
- * Calculating formula: Results = [“P/M Reading”] + [“Cbl.loss”(Cable loss)] + [“Att.loss”(Attenuator)]
- * A red figure indicates it is the maximum value in the condition.
- * The difference between the SAR reference power and the power of EMC test was not less than 0dB and not higher than 0.21dB.
- * SAR reference: Date tested: April 12, 2012 / Measured by: Hiroshi Naka / Place: preparation room of No. 7 shielded room. (23.2 deg C/ 55 %RH)
 “Power of EMC test”, this reference is described in the test report of 31GE0240-SH-01-A.

6.1.2 Power reference (antenna terminal conducted average power) of each platform condition (SAR test)

Platform #:		Platform(5)		Platform(1)		Platform(2)		Platform(3)		Platform(4)	
Platform type:	Digital camera (3)	Video camera (1)	Video camera (2)	Digital camera (1)	Digital camera (2)						
Platform model:	PC1882	ID0002	ID0008	PC1739	PC1735						
EUT model:	WM217	WM217	WM217	WM217	WM217						
EUT serial number:	F09	C81	C81	C81	C81						
Frequency	Mode	Data Rate	Average power	Average power	Average power	Average power	Average power	Average power	Average power	Average power	Average power
[MHz]	[-]	[Mbps]	[dBm]	[dBm]	[dBm]	[dBm]	[dBm]	[dBm]	[dBm]	[dBm]	[dBm]
2412		1	14.12	14.03	14.04	14.07					14.07
2437		1	14.52	14.34	14.39	14.31					14.28
2462		1	14.68	14.48	14.43	14.37					14.39

* For platform (1), (2), (3) and (4), the serial number of WM217 was C81

SECTION 7: Measurement results

7.1 SAR (Body) for the platform(5): Digital camera(3) (model: PC1882)

Measurement date: April 16, 2012

Measurement by: Hiroshi Naka

[Liquid measurement (Body)]

Used Target Frequency [MHz]	Target Body Tissue		Measured Body Tissue				Environment		Measured Date
	Permittivity [-]	Conductivity [S/m]	Permittivity (ϵ_r) [-]	Conductivity (σ) [S/m]	Temp. [deg.C.]	Depth [mm]	Temp. [deg.C.]	Humidity [%RH]	
2450	52.7	1.95	50.44 (-4.3%)	1.937 (-0.7%)					
2412	52.75	1.914	50.48 (-4.3%)	1.902 (-0.6%)					
2437	52.72	1.938	50.40 (-4.4%)	1.924 (-0.7%)	24.1	154	24.3	41	April 16, 2012, before SAR test.
2452	52.70	1.953	50.38 (-4.4%)	1.953 (0%)					
2462	52.68	1.967	50.34 (-4.4%)	1.961 (-0.3%)					

*. The target value is a parameter defined in OET65 Supplement C. In the current standards (e.g., IEEE 1528, OET 65 Supplement C), the dielectric parameters suggested for head and body tissue simulating liquid are given at 2450MHz. As an intermediate solution, dielectric parameters for the frequencies between 2000 to 2450 MHz and 2450 to 3000MHz were obtained using linear interpolation. (Refer to Appendix 3-7 in this report)

[SAR measurement results (Body)]

SAR measurement results											
Frequency			Modulation /Data rate [Mbps] /crest factor	EUT setup conditions			Liquid temp. [deg.C]		Power drift [dB]	SAR(1g) [W/kg]	Remarks
Mode	Ch.	[MHz]		Position	Separation distance	Bty.	Before	After			
Step 1: Change the channels											
6	2437	DBPSK&DSSS /1Mbps/1.0	Top	0 mm	#1	23.5	23.5	0.029	0.47	-	
1	2412	DBPSK&DSSS /1Mbps/1.0	Top	0 mm	#1	23.5	23.5	-0.17	0.35	-	
11	2462	DBPSK&DSSS /1Mbps/1.0	Top	0 mm	#2	23.5	23.5	0.144	0.60	→Worst SAR.	
Step 2: Change the positions											
11b	11	2462	DBPSK&DSSS /1Mbps/1.0	Rear (LCD)	0 mm	#2	23.6	23.6	-0.20	<0.10	-
	11	2462	DBPSK&DSSS /1Mbps/1.0	Front (Lens)	0 mm	#1	23.6	23.6	0.20	<0.10	-
	11	2462	DBPSK&DSSS /1Mbps/1.0	Right	0 mm	#2	23.4	23.4	-0.09	n/a (*1)	-
	11	2462	DBPSK&DSSS /1Mbps/1.0	Left	0 mm	#1	23.4	23.4	0.174	n/a (*1)	-
	11	2462	DBPSK&DSSS /1Mbps/1.0	Bottom	0 mm	#2	23.4	23.4	-0.20	n/a (*1)	-
Step 3: Change the operation mode											
11n (40HT)	9	2452	BPSK&OFDM/MCS0/1.0	Top	0 mm	#1	23.5	23.5	-0.062	0.34	-

Notes:

- *1. Since the interpolated maximum SAR of area scan was enough small and the antenna to operator distance was longer than 30mm, the zoom scan procedure was not applied.
- *. Bty.: Battery, Battery No.#1 and #2 were same model.; Refer to Appendix 1.
- *. The SAR test was not applied to 11g and 11n(20HT) mode. According to KDB248227; SAR is not required for 11g and 11n(HT20) channels when the maximum average output power is less than 1/4 dB higher than that measured on the corresponding 802.11b channels.
- *. During test, the EUT was operated with full-charged battery and without all signal interface cables.
- *. Calibration frequency of the SAR measurement probe (and used conversion factors)

SAR test frequency [MHz]	Probe calibration frequency [MHz]	Validity [MHz]	Used conversion factor	Uncertainty
2412	2450	-38MHz, within ±50 of cal.frequency	7.34	±12.0%
2437	2450	-13MHz, within ±50 of cal.frequency	7.34	±12.0%
2452	2450	+2MHz, within ±50 of cal.frequency	7.34	±12.0%
2462	2450	+12MHz, within ±50 of cal.frequency	7.34	±12.0%

*. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.