


MOTOROLA

N&E EME Test Laboratory

 8000 West Sunrise Blvd
 Fort Lauderdale, FL. 33322

S.A.R. EME Compliance Test Report
Part 2 of 2

Date of Report: December 07, 2006
Report Revision: Rev. A
Manufacturer: Motorola
Product Description: i325; Dual mode iDEN: 1:6, 1:3, 81:120, 1:12
 TDMA; 64 QAM, 16 QAM & QPSK Modulation;
 0.6 W Pulse average nominal; MOTotalk: 114:120
 8FSK, 0.85W nominal GPS capable
FCC ID: **AZ489FT5855**
Device Model: H68XAH6RR1AN

Test Period: 2/8/04-2/13/04

EME Tech: Ed Church

EME Eng.: Stephen Whalen (Sr. EME Eng.), Deanna
 Zakharia (Elect. Principle Staff Eng.)

Author: Michael Sailsman
 Global EME Regulatory Affairs Liaison

Note: Based on the information and the testing results provided herein, the undersigned certifies that when used as stated in the operating instructions supplied, said product complies with the national and international reference standards and guidelines listed in section 2.0 of this report.

 signature on file
 Deanna Zakharia
 Senior Resource Manager, Laboratory Director, N&E EME Lab

 12/07/06
 Date Approved


Note: This report shall not be reproduced without written approval from an officially designated representative of the Motorola EME Laboratory.

APPENDIX A

Power Slump Data/Shortened Scan

DUT Power versus time data

Time(minutes)	i325 Conch SNN5705B MotoTalk(95.139%) 915.5250 MHz Power(watts)	i325 Conch #2 SNN5705B MotoTalk(95.139%) 915.5250 MHz
0	0.924	0.866
1	0.934	0.908
2	0.945	0.925
3	0.953	0.936
4	0.961	0.945
5	0.967	0.953
6	0.974	0.959
7	0.980	0.963
8	0.985	0.969
9	0.990	0.974
10	0.995	0.979
11	0.999	0.983
12	1.003	0.987
13	1.006	0.991
14	1.009	0.995
15	1.012	0.998
16	1.015	1.001
17	1.018	1.004
18	1.021	1.007
19	1.024	1.010
20	1.026	1.013
21	1.028	1.015
22	1.030	1.017
23	1.032	1.019
24	1.034	1.020
25	1.035	1.022
26	1.036	1.022
27	0.650	1.023
28	0.631	1.023
29	0.619	1.022
30	0.611	1.060
31	0.602	0.000
32	0.582	0.000
33	0.472	0.000



Shortened Scan Results

FCC ID: AZ489FT5855; Test Date: 2/11/04

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

Run #: Ab-R1-040211-05

Model #: H68XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADY005C

TX Freq: 813.5125 MHZ Tissue Temp: 20.5 (Celsius)

Start Power: .725 W

Antenna: Fixed

Battery Kit: SNN5706A

Carry case: None

Audio/Data Acc.: None

Shortened scan reflect highest S.A.R. producing configuration; Run time 7 minutes.

Representative “normal” scan run time was 27 minutes

“Shortened” scan max calculated S.A.R. using S.A.R. drift: 1-g Avg. = 0.927 mW/g; 10-g Avg. = 0.663mW/g

“Normal” scan max calculated S.A.R. using S.A.R. drift: 1-g Avg. = 1.092 mW/g; 10-g Avg. = 0.772mW/g

(see section 7.1 run # EC-Ab-R1-040213-04)

DUT back towards the phantom with antenna separated 2.5cm

Flat Phantom Phantom; Device Section; Position: (90°,90°);


Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003); ConvF(6.50,6.50,6.50); Probe cal date: 15/05/03; Crest factor: 1.5; FCC

Body 813 MHz: $\sigma = 0.94$ mho/m $\epsilon = 53.6$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 363V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.927 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.663 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Cube 5x5x7: Dx = 8.0, Dy = 8.0, Dz = 5.0; SAR (1g): 0.927 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.663 mW/g

Power Drift: -0.11 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5855; Test Date: 2/13/04

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

Run #: EC-Lear-R1-040213-02

Model #: H68XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADY005C

TX Freq: 898.49375 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 21.0 (Celsius)

Start Power: 0.669 W

Antenna: Fixed

Battery Kit: SNN5705B

Carry Case: NONE

Audio/Data Acc.: NONE

Shortened scan reflect highest S.A.R. producing configuration; Run time 7 minutes.

Representative “normal” scan run time was 27 minutes

“Shortened” scan max calculated S.A.R. using S.A.R. drift: 1-g Avg. = 0.978 mW/g; 10-g Avg. = 0.643 mW/g

“Normal” scan max calculated S.A.R. using S.A.R. drift: 1-g Avg. = 1.055 mW/g; 10-g Avg. = 0.686 mW/g

(see section 7.1 run # EC-Lear-R1-040208-14)

DUT at the Left ear in 15 ° tilt position

SAM; Position: (90°,59°);

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003); ConvF(6.60,6.60,6.60); Probe cal date: 15/05/03; Crest factor: 3.0; IEEE


Head 899 MHz: $\sigma = 1.00$ mho/m $\epsilon = 40.8$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 363V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.935 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.615 mW/g * Max outside, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Cube 5x5x7: Dx = 8.0, Dy = 8.0, Dz = 5.0; SAR (1g): 0.935 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.615 mW/g * Max outside

Power Drift: 0.04 dB

Note: “Max outside” has been identified by SPEAG as an unresolved intermittent occurrence with the DASY 3 application even when the entire peak area is captured.



APPENDIX B
Data Results

FCC ID: AZ489FT5855; Test Date: 2/11/04

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

Run #: Rear-R1-040211-18

Model #: H68XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADY005C

TX Freq: 806.0125 MHz

Tissue Temp: 20.3 (Celsius)

Start Power: .695 W

Antenna: Fixed

Battery Kit: SNN5706A

Carry Case: NONE

Audio/Data Acc.: NONE

DUT at right ear in 15 ° Tilt position

SAM Phantom; Position: (90°,301°);



Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003); ConvF(6.60,6.60,6.60); Probe cal date: 15/05/03; Crest factor: 3.0; IEEE

Head 813 MHz: $\sigma = 0.93$ mho/m $\epsilon = 42.6$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 363V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.726 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.470 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 135.0, 13.5, 4.7

Power Drift: -0.38 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5855; Test Date: 2/11/04

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

Run #: Face-R1-040211-20

Model #: H68XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADY005C

TX Freq: 813.5125 MHz

Tissue Temp: 20.2 (Celsius)

Start Power: .687 W

Antenna: Fixed

Battery Kit: SNN5706A

Carry Case: NONE

Audio/Data Acc.: NONE

DUT front towards phantom with 2.5 cm separation

SAM Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,90°);



Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003); ConvF(6.60,6.60,6.60); Probe cal date: 15/05/03; Crest factor: 6.0; IEEE

Head 813 MHz: $\sigma = 0.93$ mho/m $\epsilon = 42.6$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 363V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.120 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.0860 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 33.0, 94.5, 4.7

Power Drift: 0.67 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5855; Test Date: 2/8/04

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

Run #: Lear-R1-040208-14

Model #: H68XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADY005C

TX Freq: 898.49375 MHZ

Tissue Temp: 20.7 (Celsius)

Start Power: .680 W

Antenna: Fixed

Battery Kit: SNN5705B

Carry Case: NONE

Audio/Data Acc.: NONE

DUT at left ear in 15 ° Tilt position

SAM Phantom; Position: (90°,59°);



Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003); ConvF(6.60,6.60,6.60); Probe cal date: 15/05/03; Crest factor: 3.0; IEEE

Head 899 MHz: $\sigma = 0.99$ mho/m $\epsilon = 40.6$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 363V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.922 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.599 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 165.0, 18.0, 4.7

Power drift: -0.46dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5855; Test Date: 2/12/04

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

Run #: Face-R1-040212-07

Model #: H68XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADY005C

TX Freq: 898.49375 MHZ

Tissue Temp: 20.8 (Celsius)

Start Power: .657 W

Antenna: Fixed

Battery Kit: SNN5705B

Carry Case: NONE

Audio/Data Acc.: NONE

DUT front towards phantom with 2.5 cm separation distance

SAM ; Flat Section; Position: (90°,90°);



Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003); ConvF(6.60,6.60,6.60); Probe cal date: 15/05/03; Crest factor: 6.0; IEEE

Head 899 MHz: $\sigma = 1.00$ mho/m $\epsilon = 40.8$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 363V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.128 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.0900 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 31.5, 72.0, 4.7

Power Drift: 0.21dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5855; Test Date: 2/12/04

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

Run #: Face-R1-040212-14

Model #: H68XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADY005C

TX Freq: 902.5250 MHZ

Tissue Temp: 20.8 (Celsius)

Start Power: .846 W

Antenna: Fixed

Battery Kit: SNN5706A

Carry Case: None

Audio/Data Acc.: None

DUT front towards phantom with 2.5 cm separation distance

SAM; Flat Section; Position: (90°,90°);



Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003); ConvF(6.60,6.60,6.60); Probe cal date: 15/05/03; Crest factor: 1.1; IEEE

Head 915 MHz: $\sigma = 1.02$ mho/m $\epsilon = 40.6$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 363V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.929 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.650 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 33.0, 69.0, 4.7

Power Drift: 0.26 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5855; Test Date: 2/10/04

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

Run #: Ab-R1-040210-11

Model #: H68XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADY005C

TX Freq: 813.5125 MHz

Tissue Temp: 20.5 (Celsius)

Start Power: .722 W

Antenna: Fixed

Battery Kit: SNN5706A

Carry Case: NNTN5210A

Audio/Data Acc.: None

DUT with holster against the phantom

Flat Phantom; Device Section; Position: (90°,90°);



Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003); ConvF(6.50,6.50,6.50); Probe cal date: 15/05/03; Crest factor: 1.5; FCC

Body 813 MHz: $\sigma = 0.94$ mho/m $\epsilon = 53.5$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 363V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.685 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.484 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 22.5, 141.0, 4.7

Power drift: -0.50 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5855; Test Date: 2/11/04

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

Run #: Ab-R1-040211-02

Model #: H68XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADY005C

TX Freq: 806.0125 MHz

Tissue Temp: 20.7 (Celsius)

Start Power: .705 W

Antenna: Fixed

Battery Kit: SNN5706A

Carry Case: NNTN5210A

Audio/Data Acc.: None

DUT with holster against the phantom

Flat Phantom; Position: (90°,90°);



Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003); ConvF(6.50,6.50,6.50); Probe cal date: 15/05/03; Crest factor: 1.5; FCC

Body 813 MHz: $\sigma = 0.94$ mho/m $\epsilon = 53.6$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 363V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.600 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.430 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 27.0, 135.0, 4.7

Power Drift: -0.33 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5855; Test Date: 2/10/04

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

Run #: Ab-R1-040210-03

Model #: H68XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADY005C

TX Freq: 898.49375 MHZ

Tissue Temp: 20.5 (Celsius)

Start Power: .675 W

Antenna: Fixed

Battery Kit: SNN5705B

Carry Case: NNTN5210A

Audio/Data Acc.: SYN7875C

DUT with holster against the phantom

Flat Phantom; Position: (90°,90°);

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003); ConvF(6.50,6.50,6.50); Probe cal date: 15/05/03; Crest factor: 3.0; FCC



Body 899 MHz: $\sigma = 1.04$ mho/m $\epsilon = 52.6$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 363V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.223 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.156 mW/g * Max outside, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 42.0, 75.0, 4.7

Power drift: -0.41 dB

Note: "Max outside" has been identified by SPEAG as an unresolved intermittent occurrence with the DASY 3 application even when the entire peak area is captured.



FCC ID: AZ489FT5855; Test Date: 2/9/04

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

Run #: Ab-R1-040209-03

Model #: H68XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADY005C

TX Freq: 915.5250 MHZ

Tissue Temp: 20.5 (Celsius)

Start Power: .845 W

Antenna: Fixed

Battery Kit: SNN5705B

Carry Case: NNTN5210A

Audio/Data Acc.: NNTN5208A

DUT with holster against the phantom

Flat Phantom; Position: (90°,90°);



Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003); ConvF(6.50,6.50,6.50); Probe cal date: 15/05/03; Crest factor: 1.1; FCC

Body 915 MHz: $\sigma = 1.06$ mho/m $\epsilon = 53.0$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 363V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 1.10 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.764 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 22.5, 154.5, 4.7

Power drift: 0.31



FCC ID: AZ489FT5855; Test Date: 2/13/04

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

Run #: Ab-R1-040213-04

Model #: H68XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADY004L

TX Freq: 813.5125 MHZ

Tissue Temp: 20.4 (Celsius)

Start Power: .685 W

Antenna: Fixed

Battery Kit: SNN5706A

Carry Case: None

Audio/Data Acc.: None

DUT with back towards the phantom with antenna separated 2.5cm

Flat Phantom; Position: (90°,90°);

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003); ConvF(6.50,6.50,6.50); Probe cal date: 15/05/03; Crest factor: 1.5; FCC



Body 813 MHz: $\sigma = 0.94$ mho/m $\epsilon = 53.5$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 363V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.897 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.634 mW/g * Max outside, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 25.5, 148.5, 4.7

Power drift: -0.76 dB

Note: "Max outside" has been identified by SPEAG as an unresolved intermittent occurrence with the DASY 3 application even when the entire peak area is captured.



APPENDIX C

Dipole System Performance Check Results

Dipole validation scans at the head from SPEAG are provided in APPENDIX D. The CGISS EME lab validated the dipole to the applicable IEEE system performance targets. Within the same day system validation was performed using FCC body tissue parameters to generate the system performance target values for body at the applicable frequency. The results of the CGISS EME system performance validation are provided in this appendix.

SPEAG 900 MHz Dipole; Model D900V2, SN 085; Test Date: 2/8/04

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-040208-01

TX Freq: 900 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 21.0 (Celsius)

Start Power; 250mW

SAR target at 1W is 12.00 mW/g (1g avg, including drift)

SAR target at 1W is 7.52 mW/g (10g avg, including drift)

SAR calculated at 1W is 11.78 mW/g (1g avg). Percent from target (including drift) is -1.80 %


SAR calculated at 1W is 7.35 mW/g (10g avg). Percent from target (including drift) is -2.20 %

SAM; Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003);Probe Cal Date: 15/05/03ConvF(6.60,6.60,6.60); Crest factor: 1.0;

IEEE Head 900 MHz : $\sigma = 0.99$ mho/m $\epsilon = 40.6$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3; SN363-V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003

Cubes (2): Peak: 4.76 mW/g ± 0.00 dB, SAR (1g): 2.98 mW/g ± 0.00 dB, SAR (10g): 1.86 mW/g ± 0.00 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)Penetration depth: 11.3 (10.5, 12.4) [mm]

Power drift: 0.05 dB



SPEAG 900 MHz Dipole; Model D900V2, SN 085; Test Date: 2/9/04

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-040209-01

TX Freq: 900 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 20.8 (Celsius)

Start Power; 250mW

SAR target at 1W is 12.00 mW/g (1g avg, including drift)

SAR target at 1W is 7.52 mW/g (10g avg, including drift)

SAR calculated at 1W is 12.11 mW/g (1g avg). Percent from target (including drift) is 0.93 %


SAR calculated at 1W is 7.57 mW/g (10g avg). Percent from target (including drift) is 0.73 %

SAM; Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003);Probe Cal Date: 15/05/03ConvF(6.60,6.60,6.60); Crest factor: 1.0;

IEEE Head 900 MHz : $\sigma = 1.01$ mho/m $\epsilon = 41.1$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3; SN363-V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003

Cubes (2): Peak: 4.92 mW/g \pm 0.03 dB, SAR (1g): 3.07 mW/g \pm 0.02 dB, SAR (10g): 1.92 mW/g \pm 0.02 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation) Penetration depth: 11.3 (10.5, 12.4) [mm]

Power drift: 0.06 dB



SPEAG 900 MHz Dipole; Model D900V2, SN 085; Test Date: 2/10/04

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-040210-01

TX Freq: 900 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 20.7 (Celsius)

Start Power; 250mW

SAR target at 1W is 11.17 mW/g (1g avg, including drift)

SAR target at 1W is 7.11 mW/g (10g avg, including drift)


SAR calculated at 1W is 11.28 mW/g (1g avg). Percent from target (including drift) is 0.98 %

SAR calculated at 1W is 7.16 mW/g (10g avg). Percent from target (including drift) is 0.70 %

Flat Phantom; Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003);Probe Cal Date: 15/05/03ConvF(6.50,6.50,6.50); Crest factor: 1.0; FCC Body 900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.04$ mho/m $\rho = 52.6$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: SN363-V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003

Cubes (2): Peak: 4.40 mW/g \pm 0.02 dB, SAR (1g): 2.82 mW/g \pm 0.02 dB, SAR (10g): 1.79 mW/g \pm 0.02 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)Penetration depth: 12.1 (11.2, 13.4) [mm]

Power drift: 0.00 dB



SPEAG 900 MHz Dipole; Model D900V2, SN 085; Test Date: 2/11/04

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-040211-01

TX Freq: 900 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 20.7 (Celsius)

Start Power; 250mW

SAR target at 1W is 11.17 mW/g (1g avg, including drift)

SAR target at 1W is 7.11 mW/g (10g avg, including drift)

SAR calculated at 1W is 11.12 mW/g (1g avg). Percent from target (including drift) is -0.45 %


SAR calculated at 1W is 7.08 mW/g (10g avg). Percent from target (including drift) is - 0.42 %

Flat Phantom; Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003);Probe Cal Date: 15/05/03ConvF(6.50,6.50,6.50); Crest factor:

1.0; FCC Body 900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.03$ mho/m $\epsilon = 52.6$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: SN363-V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003

Cubes (2): Peak: 4.34 mW/g \pm 0.02 dB, SAR (1g): 2.78 mW/g \pm 0.02 dB, SAR (10g): 1.77 mW/g \pm 0.02 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)Penetration depth: 12.1 (11.2, 13.3) [mm]

Power drift: 0.00 dB



SPEAG 900 MHz Dipole; Model D900V2, SN 085; Test Date: 2/12/04

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-040212-01

TX Freq: 900 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 20.7 (Celsius)

Start Power; 250mW


SAR target at 1W is 12.00 mW/g (1g avg, including drift)

SAR target at 1W is 7.52 mW/g (10g avg, including drift)

SAR calculated at 1W is 11.88 mW/g (1g avg). Percent from target (including drift) is -0.97 %

SAR calculated at 1W is 7.43 mW/g (10g avg). Percent from target (including drift) is - 1.24 %

SAM - Expanded; Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003);Probe Cal Date: 15/05/03ConvF(6.60,6.60,6.60); Crest factor: 1.0; IEEE Head 900 MHz : $\sigma = 1.00$ mho/m $\epsilon = 40.8$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: SN363-V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003 Cubes (2): Peak: 4.88 mW/g \pm 0.03 dB, SAR (1g): 3.04 mW/g \pm 0.02 dB, SAR (10g): 1.90 mW/g \pm 0.02 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation) Penetration depth: 11.2 (10.4, 12.3) [mm] Power drift: 0.10 dB



SPEAG 900 MHz Dipole; Model D900V2, SN 085; Test Date: 2/13/04

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-040213-01

TX Freq: 900 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 20.9 (Celsius)

Start Power; 250mW

SAR target at 1W is 12.00 mW/g (1g avg, including drift)

SAR target at 1W is 7.52 mW/g (10g avg, including drift)

SAR calculated at 1W is 12.06 mW/g (1g avg). Percent from target (including drift) is + 0.47 %


SAR calculated at 1W is 7.54 mW/g (10g avg). Percent from target (including drift) is + 0.26 %

SAM; Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1384(Cal Date 05-15-2003);Probe Cal Date: 15/05/03ConvF(6.60,6.60,6.60); Crest factor: 1.0;

IEEE Head 900 MHz : $\sigma = 1.00$ mho/m $\rho = 40.8$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: SN363-V1 DAE Cal Date: 05/13/2003

Cubes (2): Peak: 4.92 mW/g \pm 0.04 dB, SAR (1g): 3.07 mW/g \pm 0.03 dB, SAR (10g): 1.92 mW/g \pm 0.02 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation) Penetration depth: 11.2 (10.5, 12.3) [mm]

Power drift: 0.08 dB



SYSTEM VALIDATION

Date:	<u>12/16/2003</u>	Frequency (MHz):	<u>900</u>
Lab Location:	<u>CGISS</u>	Mixture Type:	<u>IEEE Head</u>
Robot System:	<u>CGISS-3</u>	Ambient Temp.(°C):	<u>22.8</u>
Probe Serial #:	<u>ET3DV6-1393</u>	Tissue Temp.(°C):	<u>20.9</u>
DAE Serial #:	<u>406</u>		

Tissue Characteristics

Permittivity:	<u>41.5</u>	Phantom Type/SN:	<u>SAMTP1208</u>
Conductivity:	<u>1.00</u>	Distance (mm):	<u>15 (tissue/dipole cnt)</u>

Reference Source:	<u>900V2</u>	(Dipole)
Reference SN:	<u>85</u>	

Power to Dipole:	<u>250</u>	mW
Power Output (radio):	<u>NA</u>	mW

Target SAR Value:	<u>10.8</u>	mW/g,	<u>6.9</u>	mW/g (10g avg.)
(normalized to 1.0 W)				

Measured SAR Value:	<u>3</u>	mW/g,	<u>1.88</u>	mW/g (10g avg.)
Power Drift:	<u>0</u>	dB		

Measured SAR Value:	<u>12.00</u>	mW/g,	<u>7.52</u>	mW/g (10g avg.)
(normalized to 1.0 W, including drift)				

Percent Difference From Target (MUST be within System Uncertainty):	<u>11.11</u>	% (1g ave)
	<u>8.99</u>	% (10g ave)

Test performed by: Edward R. Church Initial: ERC

SPEAG DIPOLE D900V2; Test date:12/16/03

Run #: Sys Val-R3-031216-03
Model #: D900V2
Robot: CGISS-3
TX Freq: 900 MHz
Start Power: 250mW
DAE3: SN: 406


Phantom #:SAMTP1208
SN: 085
Tester: E. Church
Sim Tissue Temp: 20.9 (Celsius)
DAE Cal Date: 11/20/03

- Comments-

IEEE 1528 Target at 1W is 10.04 mW/g (1g) and 6.9 mW/g (10g avg.)

SAR calculated 1g is 11.96 mW/g percent from target (including drift) + 10.74 %
SAR Calculated 10g is 7.52 mW/g Percent from target (including drift) is + 8.99 %

SAM; Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1393 (Cal Date 16 April 2003) ; ConvF(7.00,7.00,7.00); Crest factor: 1.0; IEEE Head 900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.00$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 41.5$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cubes (2): Peak: 4.78 mW/g ± 0.04 dB, SAR (1g): 3.00 mW/g ± 0.04 dB, SAR (10g): 1.88 mW/g ± 0.05 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Penetration depth: 11.2 (10.5, 12.3) [mm]
Powerdrift: 0.00 dB



SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK TARGET SAR

Date: 12/16/2003 Frequency (MHz): 900
Lab Location: CGISS Mixture Type: FCC Body
Robot System: CGISS-3 Ambient Temp.(°C): 22.7
Probe Serial #: ET3DV6-1393 Tissue Temp.(°C): 21
DAE Serial #: 406

Tissue Characteristics

Permittivity: 52.6 Phantom Type/SN: 80302002A-S8
Conductivity: 1.03 Distance (mm): 15 (tissue/dipole cnt)

Reference Source: 900 (Dipole)
Reference SN: 85

Power to Dipole: 250 mW

Measured SAR Value: 2.78 mW/g, 1.77 mW/g (10g avg.)
Power Drift: -0.02 dB

New Target/Measured
SAR Value: 11.17 mW/g, 7.11 mW/g (10g avg.)
(normalized to 1.0 W, including drift)

Test performed by: Edward R. Church Initial: ERC

SPEAG DIPOLE D900V2; Test date:12/16/03

Run #: Sys Perf-R3-031216-06

Phantom #: 80302002A-S8

Model #: D900V2

SN: 085

Robot: CGISS-3

Tester: E. Church

TX Freq: 900 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 21.0 (Celsius)

Start Power: 250mW

DAE3: SN: 406

DAE Cal Date: 11/20/03

- Comments-

New Target at 1W is calc SAR values 11.17 mW/g (1g) and 7.11 mW/g (10g avg.)

SAR calculated 1g is 11.17 mW/g percent from target (including drift) 0 %

SAR Calculated 10g is 7.11 mW/g Percent from target (including drift) is 0 %


Flat; Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1393 (Cal Date 16 April 2003) ; ConvF(6.80,6.80,6.80); Crest factor: 1.0; FCC

Body 900MHz: $\sigma = 1.03$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 52.6$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cubes (2): Peak: 4.34 mW/g ± 0.03 dB, SAR (1g): 2.78 mW/g ± 0.03 dB, SAR (10g): 1.77 mW/g ± 0.03 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 12.1 (11.2, 13.3) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.02 dB



SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]



APPENDIX D
Calibration Certificates

Client **Motorola CGISS**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE																															
Object(s)	ET3DV6 - SN:1384																														
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-01.v2 Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes																														
Calibration date:	May 15, 2003																														
Condition of the calibrated item	In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)																														
<p>This calibration statement documents traceability of M&TE used in the calibration procedures and conformity of the procedures with the ISO/IEC 17025 international standard.</p> <p>All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.</p> <p>Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Model Type</th> <th>ID #</th> <th>Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)</th> <th>Scheduled Calibration</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>RF generator HP 8684C</td> <td>US3642U01700</td> <td>4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Aug-02)</td> <td>In house check: Aug-05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Power sensor E4412A</td> <td>MY41495277</td> <td>2-Apr-03 (METAS, No 252-0250)</td> <td>Apr-04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Power sensor HP 8481A</td> <td>MY41092180</td> <td>18-Sep-02 (Agilent, No. 20020918)</td> <td>Sep-03</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Power meter EPM E4419B</td> <td>GB41293874</td> <td>2-Apr-03 (METAS, No 252-0250)</td> <td>Apr-04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Network Analyzer HP 8753E</td> <td>US38432426</td> <td>3-May-00 (Agilent, No. 8702K064602)</td> <td>In house check: May 03</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702</td> <td>SN: 6295803</td> <td>3-Sep-01 (ELCAL, No.2360)</td> <td>Sep-03</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Model Type	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration	RF generator HP 8684C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Aug-02)	In house check: Aug-05	Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	2-Apr-03 (METAS, No 252-0250)	Apr-04	Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092180	18-Sep-02 (Agilent, No. 20020918)	Sep-03	Power meter EPM E4419B	GB41293874	2-Apr-03 (METAS, No 252-0250)	Apr-04	Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US38432426	3-May-00 (Agilent, No. 8702K064602)	In house check: May 03	Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702	SN: 6295803	3-Sep-01 (ELCAL, No.2360)	Sep-03
Model Type	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration																												
RF generator HP 8684C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Aug-02)	In house check: Aug-05																												
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	2-Apr-03 (METAS, No 252-0250)	Apr-04																												
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092180	18-Sep-02 (Agilent, No. 20020918)	Sep-03																												
Power meter EPM E4419B	GB41293874	2-Apr-03 (METAS, No 252-0250)	Apr-04																												
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US38432426	3-May-00 (Agilent, No. 8702K064602)	In house check: May 03																												
Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702	SN: 6295803	3-Sep-01 (ELCAL, No.2360)	Sep-03																												
Calibrated by:	Name Nico Vetterli	Function Technician	Signature 																												
Approved by:	Name Katja Pokoric	Function Laboratory Director	Signature 																												
Date issued: May 15, 2003																															
<p>This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.</p>																															

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1384

Sensitivity in Free Space

NormX	1.76 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormY	1.72 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormZ	1.89 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$

Diode Compression

DCP X	92	mV
DCP Y	92	mV
DCP Z	92	mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid

Head 900 MHz $\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\%$ mho/m

Valid for f=800-1000 MHz with Head Tissue Simulating Liquid according to EN 50361, P1528-200X

ConvF X	6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
ConvF Y	6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha 0.45
ConvF Z	6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth 2.42

Head 1800 MHz $\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\%$ mho/m

Valid for f=1710-1910 MHz with Head Tissue Simulating Liquid according to EN 50361, P1528-200X

ConvF X	5.4 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
ConvF Y	5.4 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha 0.55
ConvF Z	5.4 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth 2.56

Boundary Effect

Head 900 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm

Probe Tip to Boundary		1 mm	2 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	11.4	6.3
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.4	0.7





Head 1800 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm



Probe Tip to Boundary		1 mm	2 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	14.7	9.5
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.1	0.0

Sensor Offset


Probe Tip to Sensor Center	2.7	mm
Optical Surface Detection	1.5 ± 0.2	mm

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$







Isotropy Error (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$




Dynamic Range f(SAR_{brain})

(Waveguide R22)




Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22)



Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (θ, ϕ), $f = 900$ MHz



Additional Conversion Factors for Dosimetric E-Field Probe

Type:

ET3DV6

Serial Number:

1384

Place of Assessment:

Zurich

Date of Assessment:


May 19, 2003

Probe Calibration Date:

May 15, 2003

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies that conversion factor(s) of this probe have been evaluated on the date indicated above. The assessment was performed using the FDTD numerical code SEMCAD of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG. Since the evaluation is coupled with measured conversion factors, it has to be recalculated yearly, i.e., following the re-calibration schedule of the probe. The uncertainty of the numerical assessment is based on the extrapolation from measured value at 900 MHz or at 1800 MHz.

Assessed by:



Dosimetric E-Field Probe ET3DV6 SN:1384


Conversion factor (\pm standard deviation)

150 MHz	ConvF	8.2 \pm 8%	$\epsilon_r = 61.9$ $\sigma = 0.80$ mho/m (body tissue)
236 MHz	ConvF	8.1 \pm 8%	$\epsilon_r = 59.8$ $\sigma = 0.87$ mho/m (body tissue)
300 MHz	ConvF	7.9 \pm 8%	$\epsilon_r = 58.2$ $\sigma = 0.92$ mho/m (body tissue)
350 MHz	ConvF	7.9 \pm 8%	$\epsilon_r = 57.7$ $\sigma = 0.93$ mho/m (body tissue)
450 MHz	ConvF	7.6 \pm 8%	$\epsilon_r = 56.7$ $\sigma = 0.94$ mho/m (body tissue)
784 MHz	ConvF	6.6 \pm 8%	$\epsilon_r = 55.4$ $\sigma = 0.97$ mho/m (body tissue)
1450 MHz	ConvF	5.5 \pm 8%	$\epsilon_r = 54.0$ $\sigma = 1.30$ mho/m (body tissue)

Dosimetric E-Field Probe ET3DV6 SN:1384Conversion factor (\pm standard deviation)

150 MHz	ConvF	9.1 \pm 8%	$\epsilon_r = 52.3$ $\sigma = 0.76$ mho/m (head tissue)
236 MHz	ConvF	8.3 \pm 8%	$\epsilon_r = 48.3$ $\sigma = 0.82$ mho/m (head tissue)
300 MHz	ConvF	7.8 \pm 8%	$\epsilon_r = 45.3$ $\sigma = 0.87$ mho/m (head tissue)
350 MHz	ConvF	7.8 \pm 8%	$\epsilon_r = 44.7$ $\sigma = 0.87$ mho/m (head tissue)
400 MHz	ConvF	7.5 \pm 8%	$\epsilon_r = 44.4$ $\sigma = 0.87$ mho/m (head tissue - CENELEC)
450 MHz	ConvF	7.5 \pm 8%	$\epsilon_r = 43.5$ $\sigma = 0.87$ mho/m (head tissue)
784 MHz	ConvF	6.8 \pm 8%	$\epsilon_r = 41.8$ $\sigma = 0.90$ mho/m (head tissue)

Conversion Factor Assessment



Body 900 MHz $\epsilon_r = 55.0 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.05 \pm 5\%$ mho/m

Valid for f=800-1000 MHz with Body Tissue Simulating Liquid according to OET 65 Suppl. C


ConvF X	6.5 ± 9.5% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	6.5 ± 9.5% (k=2)	Alpha	0.44
ConvF Z	6.5 ± 9.5% (k=2)	Depth	2.51

Body 1800 MHz $\epsilon_r = 53.3 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.52 \pm 5\%$ mho/m

Valid for f=1710-1910 MHz with Body Tissue Simulating Liquid according to OET 65 Suppl. C

ConvF X	5.0 ± 9.5% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	5.0 ± 9.5% (k=2)	Alpha	0.64
ConvF Z	5.0 ± 9.5% (k=2)	Depth	2.49

Conversion Factor Assessment



Head 900 MHz $\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\%$ mho/m

Valid for f=800-1000 MHz with Head Tissue Simulating Liquid according to EN 50361, P1528-200X

ConvF X	6.6 ± 9.5% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	6.6 ± 9.5% (k=2)	Alpha	0.45
ConvF Z	6.6 ± 9.5% (k=2)	Depth	2.42

Head 1800 MHz $\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\%$ mho/m

Valid for f=1710-1910 MHz with Head Tissue Simulating Liquid according to EN 50361, P1528-200X

ConvF X	5.4 ± 9.5% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	5.4 ± 9.5% (k=2)	Alpha	0.55
ConvF Z	5.4 ± 9.5% (k=2)	Depth	2.56

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

Calibration Certificate

900 MHz System Validation Dipole

Type:

D900V2

Serial Number:

085

Place of Calibration:

Zurich

Date of Calibration:

August 27, 2002

Calibration Interval:

24 months

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies, that this device has been calibrated on the date indicated above. The calibration was performed in accordance with specifications and procedures of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

Wherever applicable, the standards used in the calibration process are traceable to international standards. In all other cases the standards of the Laboratory for EMF and Microwave Electronics at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) in Zurich, Switzerland have been applied.

Calibrated by:

N. Vetter

Approved by:

Alain Klatz

1. Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the new generic twin phantom filled with head simulating solution of the following electrical parameters at 900 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	41.7	± 5%
Conductivity	0.97 mho/m	± 5%

The DASY System with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, Conversion factor 6.5 at 900 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 15mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance holder was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 20mm was aligned with the dipole. The 5x5x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration. Probe isotropy errors were cancelled by measuring the SAR with normal and 90° turned probe orientations and averaging.

The dipole input power (forward power) was 250mW ± 3 %. The results are normalized to 1W input power.

2.1. SAR Measurement with DASY3 System

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the worst-case extrapolation are:

averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of tissue:	11.0 mW/g
averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of tissue:	6.92 mW/g

2.2. SAR Measurement with DASY4 System

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the advanced extrapolation are:

averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of tissue:	10.3 mW/g
averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of tissue:	6.64 mW/g

3. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss

The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with a network analyzer and numerically transformed to the dipole feedpoint. The transformation parameters from the SMA-connector to the dipole feedpoint are:

Electrical delay:	1.389 ns	(one direction)
Transmission factor:	0.991	(voltage transmission, one direction)

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 1 and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 900 MHz:	$\text{Re}\{Z\} = 50.1 \Omega$
	$\text{Im}\{Z\} = -3.9 \Omega$
Return Loss at 900 MHz	-28.3 dB

4. Handling

Do not apply excessive force to the dipole arms, because they might bend. Bending of the dipole arms stresses the soldered connections near the feedpoint leading to a damage of the dipole.

5. Design

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.



6. Power Test

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

08/27/02

Validation Dipole D900V2 SN:085, d=15 mm

Frequency: 900 MHz, Antenna Input Power: 250 [mW]
SAM Phantom, Flat Section, Grid Spacing: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0
Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(6.50,6.50,6.50) at 900 MHz; IEEE 1528 900 MHz; $\sigma = 0.97$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 41.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cubes (2): Peak: 4.34 mW/g ± 0.01 dB, SAR (1g): 2.74 mW/g ± 0.01 dB, SAR (10g): 1.73 mW/g ± 0.02 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Penetration depth: 11.6 (10.8, 12.8) [mm]
Powerdrift: -0.00 dB





Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Zurich, Switzerland

08/27/02

Validation Dipole D900V2 SN:085, d=15 mm

Frequency: 900 MHz; Antenna Input Power: 250 [mW]
SAM Phantom; Flat Section; Grid Spacing: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0
Probe: ET3DV6 - SNI507; ConvF(6.50,6.50,6.50) at 900 MHz; IEEE 1528 900 MHz; $\sigma = 0.97$ mho/m g, $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cubes (2): Peak: 3.85 mW/g \pm 0.01 dB, SAR (1g): 2.57 mW/g \pm 0.01 dB, SAR (10g): 1.66 mW/g \pm 0.02 dB, (Advanced extrapolation)
Penetration depth: 12.6 (12.4, 12.9) [mm]
Powerdrift: -0.00 dB



Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Zurich, Switzerland

APPENDIX E
Illustration of Body-Worn Accessories

The purpose of this appendix is to illustrate the body-worn carry accessory for FCC ID: AZ489FT5855. The sample that was used in the following photos represents the product used to obtain the results presented herein.



Photo 1.
Model NNTN5210A
Back View



Photo 2.
Model NNTN5210A
Side View

Appendix F
Accessories and options test status and separation distances

The following table summarizes the test status and separation distance provided by each of the body-worn accessories:

Carry Case Models	Tested ?	Separation distances between DUT antenna and phantom surface. (mm)	Comments
NNTN5210A	Yes	34-35	NA

Audio Acc. Models	Tested ?	Separation distances between DUT antenna and phantom surface. (mm)	Comments
NNTN4620A	Yes	NA	NA
SYN8146C	Yes	NA	NA
SYN7875C	Yes	NA	NA
NTN8496A	Yes	NA	NA
NTN8513B	Yes	NA	NA
SYN8390B	Yes	NA	NA
NNTN4033A	Yes	NA	NA
NNTN5208A	Yes	NA	NA
NNTN5004A	Yes	NA	NA
NNTN5005A	Yes	NA	NA

Data cables Models	Tested ?	Separation distances between DUT antenna and phantom surface. (mm)	Comments
NKN6560A	Yes	NA	NA
NKN6559A	Yes	NA	NA