



MOTOROLA



CGISS EME Test Laboratory

8000 West Sunrise Blvd
Fort Lauderdale, FL. 33322

S.A.R. EME Compliance Test Report

Part 2 of 2

Date of Report: April 16, 2003
Report Revision: Rev. O
Manufacturer: Motorola
Product Description: 1:6, 2:6, 81:120 TDM; 64 QAM, 16 QAM & QPSK Modulation; 0.6 W
FCC ID: AZ489FT5822
Device Model: H62XAH6RR1AN

Test Period: 3/28/03-4/10/03

EME Tech: Clint Miller

EME Eng.: Stephen Whalen (Sr. EME Eng.)

Author: Michael Sailsman
Global EME Regulatory Affairs Liaison

Note: Based on the information and the testing results provided herein, the undersigned certifies that when used as stated in the operating instructions supplied, said product complies with the national and international reference standards and guidelines listed in section 2.0 of this report.

Signature on File

4/17/03

Ken Enger
Senior Resource Manager, Laboratory Director, CGISS EME Lab

Date Approved


Note: This report shall not be reproduced without written approval from an officially designated representative of the Motorola EME Laboratory.

APPENDIX A

Power Slump Data/Shortened Scan

DUT Power versus time data

Radio s/n	364ADE005N	364ADE005N	364ADE005N	364ADE005N
Frequency (MHz)	900.98125	900.98125	900.98125	900.98125
Mode	3:1	3:1	3:1	3:1
Battery Type	SNN5704A	SNN5705B	SNN5706A	NNTN4655A
Capacity	600 mAh	750 mAh	1050 mAh	1450 mAh
Type	Lithium Ion	Lithium Ion	Lithium Ion	Lithium Ion
Time(Minutes)	Power (watts)	Power (watts)	Power (watts)	Power (watts)
0	0.700	0.702	0.699	0.685
2	0.701	0.703	0.701	0.701
4	0.707	0.709	0.707	0.707
6	0.712	0.712	0.711	0.712
8	0.714	0.714	0.714	0.714
10	0.716	0.716	0.715	0.716
12	0.718	0.718	0.718	0.717
14	0.719	0.719	0.719	0.719
16	0.721	0.720	0.720	0.720
18	0.721	0.641	0.722	0.721
20	0.722	0.641	0.722	0.722
22	0.723	0.641	0.723	0.723
24	0.643	0.641	0.723	0.723
26	0.643	0.642	0.724	0.724
28	0.643	0.642	0.724	0.724
30	0.643	0.642	0.725	0.724
32	0.643	0.642	0.725	0.725
34	0.643	0.642	0.725	0.725
36	0.643	0.642	0.725	0.725
38	0.643	0.642	0.725	0.725
40	0.643	0.642	0.725	0.725



Shortened Scan Results

FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 4/03/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

Run #: CM-FACE-R1-030403-10

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 896.01875 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 21.6 (Celsius)

Start Power = 646 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: SNN5704A

Carry Accy: None

Cable Accy: NONE

Shortened scan reflect highest S.A.R. producing configuration; Run time 8 minutes.

Representative “normal” scan run time was 28 minutes

“Shortened” scan max calculated S.A.R. using S.A.R. drift: 1-g Avg. = 0.11 mW/g; 10-g Avg. = 0.07mW/g

“Normal” scan max calculated S.A.R. using S.A.R. drift: 1-g Avg. = 0.10 mW/g; 10-g Avg. = 0.07 mW/g
(see section 7.1 run # SW-FACE-R1-030403-04)

DUT display facing phantom w/ 2.5 cm separation

SAM Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,90°);


Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; ConvF(6.10,6.10,6.10); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 6.0;

IEEE Head_899 MHz: $\sigma = 1.01$ mho/m $\epsilon = 42.1$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 0.195 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.137 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Cube 5x5x7: Dx = 8.0, Dy = 8.0, Dz = 5.0; SAR (1g): 0.195 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.137 mW/g

Power Drift: 0.20 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 4/09/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

Run #: CM-Ab-R1-030409-07

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 824.9875 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 20.7 (Celsius)

Start Power = 679 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: NNTN4655A

Carry Accy: NNTN4681A

Cable Accy: NONE

Shortened scan reflect highest S.A.R. producing configuration; Run time 7 minutes.

Representative “normal” scan run time was 27 minutes

“Shortened” scan max calculated S.A.R. using S.A.R. drift: 1-g Avg. = 1.394 mW/g; 10-g Avg. = 0.999 mW/g

“Normal” scan max calculated S.A.R. using S.A.R. drift: 1-g Avg. = 1.341 mW/g; 10-g Avg. = 0.976 mW/g

(see section 7.1 run # SW-Ab-R1-030408-07)

DUT w/ carry case against phantom

Flat Phantom; Device Section; Position: (90°,90°);

Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; ConvF(6.00,6.00,6.00); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 1.5;



FCC Body_813 MHz: $\sigma = 0.95$ mho/m $\epsilon = 53.1$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 5x5x7: SAR (1g): 1.25 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.896 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Cube 5x5x7: Dx = 8.0, Dy = 8.0, Dz = 5.0; SAR (1g): 1.25 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.896 mW/g

Power Drift: -0.34 dB

Note: This shortened cube scan represents the highest compliance results reported for this FCC ID.



APPENDIX B

Data Results

FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 3/28/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

RUN #: SW-LEAR-R1-030328-04

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 813.5125 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 22.0 (Celsius)

Start Power: 686 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: NNTN4655A

Carry Accy: NONE

Cable Accy: NONE

DUT at Left ear; Touch position

SAM Phantom; Left Hand Section; Position: (90°,59°);



Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 3.0;

IEEE Head_813 MHz: $\sigma = 0.92$ mho/m $\epsilon = 43.1$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.919 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.645 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 100.5, 25.5, 4.7

Power Drift: -0.54 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 4/01/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

RUN #: SW-LEAR-R1-030401-07

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 824.9875 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 20.9 (Celsius)

Start Power: 690 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: NNTN4655A

Carry Accy: None

Cable Accy: NONE

DUT at Left ear; Touch position

SAM Phantom; Left Hand Section; Position: (90°,59°);



Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 3.0;

IEEE Head_813 MHz: $\sigma = 0.92$ mho/m $\epsilon = 43.0$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 1.05 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.744 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 97.5, 25.5, 4.7

Power Drift: -0.17 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 3/31/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

RUN #: CM- REAR-R1-030331-16

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 813.5125 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 20.8 (Celsius)

Start Power: 688 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: NNTN4655A

Carry Accy: NONE

Cable Accy: NONE

DUT at the Right ear; Touch position

SAM Phantom; Right Hand Section; Position: (90°,301°);



Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 3.0;

IEEE Head 813 MHz: $\sigma = 0.92$ mho/m $\epsilon = 43.0$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.802 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.563 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 97.5, 27.0, 4.7

Power Drift: -0.56 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 3/31/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

RUN #: CM-REAR-R1-030331-14

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 824.9875 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 20.8 (Celsius)

Start Power: 685 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: NNTN4655A

Carry Accy: NONE

Cable Accy: NONE

DUT at the Right ear; Touch position

SAM Phantom; Right Hand Section; Position: (90°,301°);



Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 3.0;

IEEE Head_813 MHz: $\sigma = 0.92$ mho/m $\epsilon = 43.0$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.957 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.678 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 99.0, 27.0, 4.7

Power Drift: 0.03 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 4/03/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

RUN #: SW- FACE-R1-030403-08

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 806.0125 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 21.7 (Celsius)

Start Power: 675 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: NNTN4655A

Carry Accy: None

Cable Accy: NONE

DUT display facing phantom w/ 2.5 cm separation

SAM Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,90°);



Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 6.0;

IEEE Head_813 MHz: $\sigma = 0.92$ mho/m $\epsilon = 43.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.169 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.123 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 43.5, 94.5, 4.7

Power Drift: -0.02dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 4/01/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

RUN #: CM-LEAR-R1-030401-13

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 900.98125 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 21.0 (Celsius)

Start Power: 683 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: SNN5704A

Carry Accy: None

Cable Accy: NONE

DUT at Left ear; Touch position

SAM Phantom; Left Hand Section; Position: (90°,59°);


Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; ConvF(6.10,6.10,6.10); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 3.0;

IEEE Head 899 MHz: $\sigma = 1.01$ mho/m $\epsilon = 42.1$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.924 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.632 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 93.0, 28.5, 4.7

Power Drift: -0.48 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 4/01/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

RUN #: CM-LEAR-R1-030401-19

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 896.01875 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 21.2 (Celsius)

Start Power: 684 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: SNN5704A

Carry Accy: None

Cable Accy: NONE

DUT at Left ear; Touch position

SAM Phantom; Left Hand Section; Position: (90°,59°);



Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; ConvF(6.10,6.10,6.10); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 3.0;

IEEE Head_899 MHz: $\sigma = 1.01$ mho/m $\epsilon = 42.1$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 1.02 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.698 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 94.5, 28.5, 4.7

Power Drift: -0.58 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 4/02/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

RUN #: SW-REAR-R1-030402-05

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 900.98125 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 21.2 (Celsius)

Start Power: 677 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: SNN5704A

Carry Accy: None

Cable Accy: NONE

DUT at Right ear; Touch position

SAM Phantom; Right Hand Section; Position: (90°,301°);


Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; ConvF(6.10,6.10,6.10); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 3.0;

IEEE Head 899 MHz: $\sigma = 1.01$ mho/m $\epsilon = 42.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.852 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.589 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 94.5, 27.0, 4.7

Power Drift: -0.49 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 4/02/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

RUN #: CM-REAR-R1-030402-10

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 896.01875 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 21.4 (Celsius)

Start Power: 678 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: SNN5704A

Carry Accy: None

Cable Accy: NONE

DUT at Right ear; Touch position



SAM Phantom; Right Hand Section; Position: (90°, 301°);

Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; ConvF(6.10,6.10,6.10); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 3.0;

IEEE Head_899 MHz: $\sigma = 1.01$ mho/m $\epsilon = 42.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.901 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.620 mW/g * Max outside, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 94.5, 27.0, 4.7



FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 4/03/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

RUN #: CM-FACE-R1-030403-10

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 896.01875 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 21.6 (Celsius)

Start Power: 642 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: SNN5704A

Carry Accy: None

Cable Accy: NONE

DUT display facing phantom w/2.5 cm separation

SAM Phantom; Flat Section; Position: (90°,90°);



Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; ConvF(6.10,6.10,6.10); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 6.0;

IEEE Head_899 MHz: $\sigma = 1.01$ mho/m $\epsilon = 42.1$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.206 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.144 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 40.5, 90.0, 4.7

Power Drift: 0.26 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 4/04/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

RUN #: CM-Ab-R1-030404-07

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 813.5125 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 20.9 (Celsius)

Start Power: 680 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: NNTN4655A

Carry Accy: NNTN4681A

Cable Accy: NKN6544B

DUT w/ carry case against phantom

Flat Phantom; Device Section; Position: (90°,90°);



Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; ConvF(6.00,6.00,6.00); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 1.5;

FCC Body_813 MHz: $\sigma = 0.95$ mho/m $\epsilon = 53.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.417 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.304 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 33.0, 103.5, 4.7

Power Drift: -1.01 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 4/04/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

RUN #: CM-Ab-R1-030404-09

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 813.5125 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 21.0 (Celsius)

Start Power: 680 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: NNTN4655A

Carry Accy: NNTN4681A

Cable Accy: NONE

DUT w/ carry case against the phantom

Flat Phantom; Device Section; Position: (90°,90°);



Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; ConvF(6.00,6.00,6.00); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 1.5;

FCC Body_813 MHz: $\sigma = 0.95$ mho/m $\epsilon = 53.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.914 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.671 mW/g * Max outside, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 34.5, 109.5, 4.7

Power Drift: -1.24 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 4/07/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

RUN #: CM-Ab-R1-030407-10

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 813.5125 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 20.8 (Celsius)

Start Power: 681 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: NNTN4655A

Carry Accy: NNTN4681A

Cable Accy: SYN8390B

Flat Phantom; Device Section; Position: (90°,90°);


Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; ConvF(6.00,6.00,6.00); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 3.0;

FCC Body_813 MHz: $\sigma = 0.96$ mho/m $\epsilon = 54.5$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.574 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.415 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 39.0, 115.5, 4.7

Power Drift: -0.29 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 4/8/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

RUN #: CM-Ab-R1-030408-14

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 900.98125 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 20.7 (Celsius)

Start Power: 678 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: SNN5704A

Carry Accy: NNTN4681A

Cable Accy: SYN8390B

DUT w/ carry case against the phantom

Flat Phantom; Device Section; Position: (90°,90°);



Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 3.0;

FCC Body_899 MHz: $\sigma = 1.05$ mho/m $\epsilon = 53.0$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.453 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.320 mW/g * Max outside, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 34.5, 112.5, 4.7

Power Drift: -0.56 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 4/9/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

RUN #: SW-Ab-R1-030409-03

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 901.98125 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 21.0 (Celsius)

Start Power: 687 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: SNN5704A

Carry Accy: NNTN4681A

Cable Accy: SYN8390B

Flat Phantom; Device Section; Position: (90°,90°);



Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) – SN1545; ConvF(5.90,5.90,5.90); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 3.0;

FCC Body_899 MHz: $\sigma = 1.04$ mho/m $\epsilon = 52.3$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.566 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.401 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 30.0, 97.5, 4.7

Power Drift: -0.42 dB



FCC ID: AZ489FT5822; Test Date: 4/9/03

Motorola CGISS EME Laboratory

RUN #: SW- Ab-R1-030409-05

Model #: H62XAH6RR1AN SN: 364ADE005N

TX Freq: 824.9875 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 20.7 (Celsius)

Start Power: 684 mW

Antenna: IN

Battery Kit: NNTN4655A

Carry Accy: NONE

Cable Accy: NONE

DUT display towards phantom w/ 2.5cm separation

Flat Phantom; Device Section; Position: (90°,90°);



Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; ConvF(6.00,6.00,6.00); Probe cal date: 21/05/02; Crest factor: 1.5;

FCC Body_813 MHz: $\sigma = 0.95$ mho/m $\epsilon = 53.1$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: 406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.671 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.490 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0; Max at 33.0, 102.0, 4.7

Power Drift: -0.91 dB



APPENDIX C

Dipole System Performance Check Results

SPEAG 835 MHz Dipole; Model D835V2, SN 426; Test Date: 3/28/03

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-030328-01

TX Freq: 835 MHz


Sim Tissue Temp: 21.8 (Celsius)

Start Power: 250mW

Target at 1W is 10.04 (including drift) (1g)

SAR calculated is 9.83 mW/g, Percent from target (including drift) for 1g is 2.1 %

SAM; Flat Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; Probe Cal Date: 21/05/02 ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0; IEEE Head 835 MHz: $\sigma = 0.93$ mho/m $\epsilon = 43.0$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: SN406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02 Cubes (2): Peak: 3.97 mW/g ± 0.08 dB, SAR (1g): 2.48 mW/g ± 0.07 dB, SAR (10g): 1.57 mW/g ± 0.07 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation) Penetration depth: 11.5 (10.5, 13.0) [mm] Power drift: 0.04 dB



SPEAG 835 MHz Dipole; Model D835V2, SN 426; Test Date: 3/31/03

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-030331-01

TX Freq: 835 MHz


Sim Tissue Temp: 21.0 (Celsius)

Start Power; 250mW

Target at 1W is 10.04 (including drift) (1g)

SAR calculated is 10.42 mW/g, Percent from target (including drift) for 1g is 3.74 %

SAM; Flat Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; Probe Cal Date: 21/05/02 ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0; IEEE Head_835 MHz: $\sigma = 0.94$ mho/m $\epsilon = 42.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: SN406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02 Cubes (2): Peak: 4.10 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, SAR (1g): 2.61 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, SAR (10g): 1.66 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation) Penetration depth: 11.8 (10.9, 13.0) [mm]
Power drift: 0.01 dB



SPEAG 835 MHz Dipole; Model D835V2, SN 426; Test Date: 4/1/03

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-030401-01

TX Freq: 835 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 20.9 (Celsius)

Start Power; 250mW


Target at 1W is 10.04 (including drift) (1g)

SAR calculated is 10.31 mW/g, Percent from target (including drift) for 1g is 2.71 %

SAM; Flat Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; Probe Cal Date: 21/05/02 ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0; IEEE Head_835 MHz: $\sigma = 0.95$ mho/m $\epsilon = 42$. $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: SN406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cubes (2): Peak: 4.07 mW/g ± 0.07 dB, SAR (1g): 2.59 mW/g ± 0.07 dB, SAR (10g): 1.65 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation) Penetration depth: 11.8 (10.9, 13.0) [mm]

Power drift: 0.02 dB



SPEAG 835 MHz Dipole; Model D835V2, SN 426; Test Date: 4/2/03

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-030402-01

TX Freq: 835 MHz


Sim Tissue Temp: 20.9 (Celsius)

Start Power; 250mW

Target at 1W is 10.04 (including drift) (1g)

SAR calculated is 10.33 mW/g, Percent from target (including drift) for 1g is 2.87 %

SAM; Flat Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; Probe Cal Date: 21/05/02 ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0; IEEE Head_835 MHz: $\sigma = 0.95$ mho/m $\epsilon = 42.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: SN406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02 Cubes (2): Peak: 4.08 mW/g ± 0.07 dB, SAR (1g): 2.60 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, SAR (10g): 1.65 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation) Penetration depth: 11.8 (10.9, 13.0) [mm]
Power drift: 0.03 dB



SPEAG 835 MHz Dipole; Model D835V2, SN 426; Test Date: 4/03/03

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-030403-01

TX Freq: 835 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 21.5 (Celsius)

Start Power; 250mW


Target at 1W is 10.04 (including drift) (1g)

SAR calculated is 10.28mW/g, Percent from target (including drift) for 1g is 2.4%

SAM; Flat Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; Probe Cal Date: 21/05/02 ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0; IEEE Head_835 MHz: $\sigma = 0.94$ mho/m $\epsilon = 43.0$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: SN406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cubes (2): Peak: 4.09 mW/g ± 0.07 dB, SAR (1g): 2.60 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, SAR (10g): 1.66 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation) Penetration depth: 11.8 (10.9, 13.0) [mm]

Power drift: 0.05 dB



SPEAG 835 MHz Dipole; Model D835V2, SN 426; Test Date: 4/03/03

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-030403-13

TX Freq: 835 MHz


Sim Tissue Temp: 21.8 (Celsius)

Start Power; 250mW

Target at 1W is 10.65 (including drift) (1g)

SAR calculated is 10.60 mW/g, Percent from target (including drift) for 1g is .47 %

Flat Phantom; Device Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; Probe Cal Date: 21/05/02 ConvF(6.00,6.00,6.00);
Crest factor: 1.0; FCC Body_835 MHz: $\sigma = 0.98$ mho/m $\epsilon = 53.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: SN406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02
Cubes (2): Peak: 4.08 mW/g ± 0.05 dB, SAR (1g): 2.65 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, SAR (10g): 1.71 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, (Worst-case
extrapolation) Penetration depth: 12.6 (11.5, 13.9) [mm]
Power drift: -0.00 dB



SPEAG 835 MHz Dipole; Model D835V2, SN 426; Test Date: 4/4/03

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-030404-01

TX Freq: 835 MHz


Sim Tissue Temp: 21.1 (Celsius)

Start Power: 250mW

Target at 1W is 10.65 (including drift) (1g)

SAR calculated is 10.50 mW/g, Percent from target (including drift) for 1g is 1.37 %

Flat Phantom; Device Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; Probe Cal Date: 21/05/02 ConvF(6.00,6.00,6.00);
Crest factor: 1.0; FCC Body_835 MHz: $\sigma = 0.97$ mho/m $\epsilon = 53.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: SN406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02
Cubes (2): Peak: 4.02 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, SAR (1g): 2.62 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, SAR (10g): 1.69 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, (Worst-case
extrapolation) Penetration depth: 12.6 (11.6, 13.9) [mm]
Power drift: -0.01 dB



SPEAG 835 MHz Dipole; Model D835V2, SN 426; Test Date: 4/7/03

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-030407-01

TX Freq: 835 MHz


Sim Tissue Temp: 21.2 (Celsius)

Start Power; 250mW

Target at 1W is 10.65 (including drift) (1g)

SAR calculated is 10.65 mW/g, Percent from target (including drift) for 1g is 0.01 %

Flat Phantom; Device Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; Probe Cal Date: 21/05/02 ConvF(6.00,6.00,6.00); Crest factor: 1.0; FCC Body_835 MHz: $\sigma = 0.98$ mho/m $\epsilon = 54.3$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: SN406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02 Cubes (2): Peak: 4.07 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, SAR (1g): 2.65 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, SAR (10g): 1.72 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation) Penetration depth: 12.6 (11.6, 13.9) [mm]
Power drift: -0.02 dB



SPEAG 835 MHz Dipole; Model D835V2, SN 426; Test Date: 4/8/03

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-030408-01

TX Freq: 835 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 21.2 (Celsius)

Start Power; 250mW

Target at 1W is 10.65 (including drift) (1g)


SAR calculated is 10.54 mW/g, Percent from target (including drift) for 1g is 0.99 %

Flat Phantom; Device Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; Probe Cal Date: 21/05/02 ConvF(6.00,6.00,6.00);

Crest factor: 1.0; FCC Body_835 MHz: $\sigma = 0.97$ mho/m $\epsilon = 52.8$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: SN406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cubes (2): Peak: 4.04 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, SAR (1g): 2.63 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, SAR (10g): 1.70 mW/g ± 0.05 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation) Penetration depth: 12.7 (11.7, 14.0) [mm]

Power drift: -0.01 dB



SPEAG 835 MHz Dipole; Model D835V2, SN 426; Test Date: 4/9/03

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-030409-01

TX Freq: 835 MHz


Sim Tissue Temp: 21.0 (Celsius)

Start Power; 250mW

Target at 1W is 10.65 (including drift) (1g)

SAR calculated is 10.53 mW/g, Percent from target (including drift) for 1g is 1.14 %

Flat Phantom; Device Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; Probe Cal Date: 21/05/02 ConvF(6.00,6.00,6.00);
Crest factor: 1.0; FCC Body_835 MHz: $\sigma = 0.97$ mho/m $\epsilon = 52.9$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: SN406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02
Cubes (2): Peak: 4.02 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, SAR (1g): 2.62 mW/g ± 0.06 dB, SAR (10g): 1.69 mW/g ± 0.05 dB, (Worst-case
extrapolation) Penetration depth: 12.7 (11.7, 14.0) [mm]
Power drift: -0.02 dB



SPEAG 835 MHz Dipole; Model D835V2, SN 426; Test Date: 4/10/03

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-030410-01

TX Freq: 835 MHz

Sim Tissue Temp: 21.0 (Celsius)

Start Power; 250mW

Target at 1W is 10.65 (including drift) (1g)


SAR calculated is 10.60 mW/g, Percent from target (including drift) for 1g is 0.47 %

Flat Phantom; Device Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; Probe Cal Date: 21/05/02 ConvF(6.00,6.00,6.00);

Crest factor: 1.0; FCC Body_835 MHz: $\sigma = 0.98$ mho/m $\epsilon = 53.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: SN406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02

Cubes (2): Peak: 4.09 mW/g ± 0.05 dB, SAR (1g): 2.65 mW/g ± 0.05 dB, SAR (10g): 1.72 mW/g ± 0.05 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation) Penetration depth: 12.6 (11.6, 13.9) [mm]

Power drift: -0.00 dB



SPEAG 835 MHz Dipole; Model D835V2, SN 426; Test Date: 4/10/03

Motorola CGISS EME Lab

Run #: Sys Perf-R1-030410-05

TX Freq: 835 MHz


Sim Tissue Temp: 21.0 (Celsius)

Start Power; 250mW

Target at 1W is 10.04 (including drift) (1g)

SAR calculated is 2.63 mW/g, Percent from target (including drift) for 1g is 4.3 %

SAM; Flat Probe: ET3DV6R SN1545 (cal date 5-21-02) - SN1545; Probe Cal Date: 21/05/02 ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0; IEEE Head_835 MHz: $\sigma = 0.94$ mho/m $\epsilon = 42.8$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³; DAE3: SN406-V1 DAE Cal Date: 11/11/02 Cubes (2): Peak: 4.14 mW/g ± 0.05 dB, SAR (1g): 2.63 mW/g ± 0.05 dB, SAR (10g): 1.68 mW/g ± 0.05 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation) Penetration depth: 11.9 (11.0, 13.1) [mm]
Power drift: 0.02 dB



SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK TARGET SAR

Date:	<u>5/20/02</u>	Frequency (MHz):	<u>835</u>
Lab Location:	<u>CGISS</u>	Mixture Type:	<u>FCC Body</u>
Robot System:	<u>CGISS 2</u>	Ambient Temp.(°C):	<u>21.9</u>
Probe Serial #:	<u>1383</u>	Tissue Temp.(°C):	<u>21.0</u>
DAE Serial #:	<u>374 V2</u>		

Tissue Characteristics		Phantom Type/SN:	<u>ACL40232002B</u>
Permittivity:	<u>53.2</u>	Distance (mm):	<u>15</u>
Conductivity:	<u>0.97</u>		

Reference Source: 835 (Dipole)
Reference SN: 426

Power to Dipole: 250 mW

Measured SAR Value: 2.65 mW/g, 1.69 mW/g (10g avg.)
Power Drift: -0.02 dB

New Target/Measured
SAR Value: 10.65 mW/g, 6.79 mW/g (10g avg.)
(normalized to 1.0 W,
with drift compensation)

Test performed by: Kim Uong Initial: KU

Dipole D835V2 SN426; Test date:05/20/02

Run #: 02052001

Phantom #ACL40232002B/S11

Model#: SPEAG dipole D835V2 SN426

Robot#: CGISS-2

DAE: DAE3V1 SN374 (2/11/02)

Tester: Kim Uong

Tx Freq: 835MHz

Simulated tissue temp: 21.0C

Start power: 250mW

Target:

10.5mW/g for 1g-SAR, 6.8mW/g for 10g-SAR, +/-12.1% from SPEAG Dipole certificate 2/11/02

Flat; Probe: ET3DV6 - SNI383; ConvF(6.50,6.50,6.50); Crest factor: 1.0; FCC Body 835: $\sigma = 0.97$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 53.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³


Cube 7x7x7: Peak: 4.25 mW/g, SAR (1g): 2.65 mW/g, SAR (10g): 1.69 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 12.1 (10.6, 14.1) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.02 dB



SAR_{Tot} [mW/g]



Motorola CGISS EME Lab

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK TARGET SAR

Date: 4/19/02 Frequency (MHz): 835
Lab Location: CGISS Mixture Type: IEEE Head
Robot System: CGISS-3 Ambient Temp. (°C): 22.0
Probe Serial #: 1393 Tissue Temp. (°C): 21.2
DAE Serial #: 406

Tissue Characteristics Phantom Type/SN: SAMTP1022
Permittivity: 40.3 Distance: 15mm
Conductivity: 0.92

Reference Source: D835V2 (Dipole)
Reference SN: 426

Power to Dipole: 250 mW

Measured SAR Value: 2.51 mW/g, 1.61 mW/g (10g avg.)
Power Drift: 0 dB

New Target/Measured
SAR Value: 10.04 mW/g, 6.44 mW/g (10g avg.)
(normalized to 1.0 W,
with drift compensation)

Test performed by: Jim Fortier Initial: 

JF 2/18/02

04/19/02

Dipole D835V2 SN426; Test date:04/19/02

Run #: Sys Val_R3_020419-03a Phantom #:SAMTP1022
Model #: SPEAG D835V2 SN: 426
Robot: CGISS-3 Tester: J. Fortier
TX Freq: 835 MHz Sim Tissue Temp: 21.8 (Celsius)
Start Power: 250mW
DAE3: SN:406 DAE Cal Date: 02/08/02

- Comments-

IEEE1528 Target at 1W is 9.5 mW/g (1g)


SAR calculated is 10.04 mW/g, Percent from target (including drift) for 1g is +5.7 %

SAM; Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1393 SPEAG; ConvP(7.20,7.20,7.20); Crest factor: 1.0; IEEE HEAD 835MHz: $\sigma = 0.92$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 40.3$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 7x7x7: Peak: 3.95 mW/g, SAR (1g): 2.51 mW/g, SAR (10g): 1.61 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 12.0 (11.0, 13.3) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.00 dB



Motorola CGISS EME Lab

APPENDIX D

Calibration Certificates

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

Calibration Certificate

Dosimetric E-Field Probe

Type:

ET3DV6R

Serial Number:

1545

Place of Calibration:

Zurich

Date of Calibration:

May 21, 2002

Calibration Interval:

12 months

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies, that this device has been calibrated on the date indicated above. The calibration was performed in accordance with specifications and procedures of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

Wherever applicable, the standards used in the calibration process are traceable to international standards. In all other cases the standards of the Laboratory for EMF and Microwave Electronics at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) in Zurich, Switzerland have been applied.

Calibrated by:

N. Velled

Approved by:

Blanc-Katz

DASY3 - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6R SN:1545**Sensitivity in Free Space****Diode Compression**

NormX	2.04 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP X	95	mV
NormY	2.11 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP Y	95	mV
NormZ	1.79 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP Z	95	mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid

Head	900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
Head	835 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.90 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
ConvF X	6.1 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	6.1 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha	0.40
ConvF Z	6.1 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth	2.47
Head	1800 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
Head	1900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\%$ mho/m
ConvF X	5.0 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	5.0 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha	0.57
ConvF Z	5.0 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth	2.25

Boundary Effect

Head	900 MHz	Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm	
Probe Tip to Boundary		1 mm	2 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	10.3	5.8
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.4	0.5
Head	1800 MHz	Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm	
Probe Tip to Boundary		1 mm	2 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	12.3	7.9
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.2	0.2

Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center	2.7	mm
----------------------------	------------	----

Additional Conversion Factors
for Dosimetric E-Field Probe

Type:

ET3DV6R

Serial Number:

1545

Place of Assessment:

Zurich

Date of Assessment:


May 23, 2002

Probe Calibration Date:

May 21, 2002

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies that conversion factor(s) of this probe have been evaluated on the date indicated above. The assessment was performed using the FDTD numerical code SEMCAD of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG. Since the evaluation is coupled with measured conversion factors, it has to be recalculated yearly, i.e., following the re-calibration schedule of the probe. The uncertainty of the numerical assessment is based on the extrapolation from measured value at 900 MHz or at 1800 MHz.

Assessed by:



Dosimetric E-Field Probe ET3DV6R SN:1545

Conversion factor ($\pm$ standard deviation)

150 MHz	ConvF	$7.8 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 61.9 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.80 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
236 MHz	ConvF	$7.6 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 59.8 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.87 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
300 MHz	ConvF	$7.5 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 58.2 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.92 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
350 MHz	ConvF	$7.3 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 57.7 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.93 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
450 MHz	ConvF	$7.1 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 56.7 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.94 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
784 MHz	ConvF	$6.1 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 55.4 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
835 MHz	ConvF	$6.0 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 55.2 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
925 MHz	ConvF	$5.9 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 55.0 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.06 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
1450 MHz	ConvF	$5.1 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 54.0 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.30 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
1900 MHz	ConvF	$4.4 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 53.3 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.52 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
2450 MHz	ConvF	$3.7 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 52.7 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.95 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)

Dosimetric E-Field Probe ET3DV6R SN:1545Conversion factor ($\pm$ standard deviation)

150 MHz	ConvF	$8.5 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 52.3 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.76 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
236 MHz	ConvF	$7.7 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 48.3 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.82 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
300 MHz	ConvF	$7.3 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 45.3 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.87 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
350 MHz	ConvF	$7.2 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 44.7 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.87 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
400 MHz	ConvF	$7.1 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 44.4 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.87 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue - CENELEC)
450 MHz	ConvF	$7.0 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 43.5 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.87 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
784 MHz	ConvF	$6.3 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 41.8 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.90 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
835 MHz	ConvF	$6.2 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.90 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
835 MHz	ConvF	$6.2 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 42.5 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.98 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue - CENELEC)
925 MHz	ConvF	$6.1 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.98 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
900 MHz	ConvF	$6.1 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 42.3 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.99 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue - CENELEC)

Dosimetric E-Field Probe ET3DV6R SN:1545

Conversion factor ($\pm$ standard deviation)

1500 MHz	ConvF	$5.4 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 40.4$ $\sigma = 1.23 \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
1900 MHz	ConvF	$4.8 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
2450 MHz	ConvF	$4.1 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 39.2 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.80 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

Calibration Certificate

835 MHz System Validation Dipole

Type:

D835V2

Serial Number:

426

Place of Calibration:

Zurich

Date of Calibration:

February 11, 2002

Calibration Interval:

24 months

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies, that this device has been calibrated on the date indicated above. The calibration was performed in accordance with specifications and procedures of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

Wherever applicable, the standards used in the calibration process are traceable to international standards. In all other cases the standards of the Laboratory for EMF and Microwave Electronics at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) in Zurich, Switzerland have been applied.

Calibrated by:

Alain Katja

Approved by:

N. K. 805

1. Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with head simulating solution of the following electrical parameters at 835 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	41.9	± 5%
Conductivity	0.89 mho/m	± 5%

The DASY3 System (Software version 3.1d) with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, Conversion factor 6.5) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 15mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance holder was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 20mm was aligned with the dipole. The 5x5x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration. Probe isotropy errors were cancelled by measuring the SAR with normal and 90° turned probe orientations and averaging.

The dipole input power (forward power) was 250mW ± 3 %. The results are normalized to 1W input power.

2. SAR Measurement

Standard SAR-measurements were performed with the phantom according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values are:

averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of tissue:	10.2 mW/g
averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of tissue:	6.56 mW/g

Note: If the liquid parameters for validation are slightly different from the ones used for initial calibration, the SAR-values will be different as well.

3. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss

The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with a network analyzer and numerically transformed to the dipole feedpoint. The transformation parameters from the SMA-connector to the dipole feedpoint are:

Electrical delay:	1.375 ns	(one direction)
Transmission factor:	0.997	(voltage transmission, one direction)

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 1 and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 835 MHz:	$\text{Re}\{Z\} = 50.3 \, \Omega$
	$\text{Im}\{Z\} = -2.1 \, \Omega$
Return Loss at 835 MHz	-33.8 dB

4. Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with body simulating solution of the following electrical parameters at 835 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	55.4	$\pm 5\%$
Conductivity	0.96 mho/m	$\pm 5\%$

The DASY3 System (Software version 3.1d) with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, Conversion factor 6.2) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 15mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance holder was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 20mm was aligned with the dipole. The 5x5x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration. Probe isotropy errors were cancelled by measuring the SAR with normal and 90° turned probe orientations and averaging.

The dipole input power (forward power) was 250mW $\pm 3\%$. The results are normalized to 1W input power.

5. SAR Measurement

Standard SAR-measurements were performed with the phantom according to the measurement conditions described in section 4. The results have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values are:

averaged over 1 cm³ (1 g) of tissue: **10.5 mW/g**

averaged over 10 cm³ (10 g) of tissue: **6.80 mW/g**

6. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 4 and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 835 MHz: **Re{Z} = 46.0 Ω**

Im {Z} = -4.6 Ω

Return Loss at 835 MHz **-24.1 dB**

7. Handling

Do not apply excessive force to the dipole arms, because they might bend. Bending of the dipole arms stresses the soldered connections near the feedpoint leading to a damage of the dipole.

8. Design



The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

9. Power Test

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.



Validation Dipole D835V2 SN:426, d = 15 mm

Frequency: 835 MHz; Antenna Input Power: 250 [mW]
SAM Phantom; Flat Section; Grid Spacing: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0
Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(6.50,6.50,6.50) at 900 MHz; IEEE1528 835 MHz; $\sigma = 0.89$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 41.9$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cubes (2): Peak: 4.09 mW/g ± 0.04 dB, SAR (1g): 2.56 mW/g ± 0.03 dB, SAR (10g): 1.64 mW/g ± 0.02 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Penetration depth: 11.9 (10.5, 13.8) [mm]
Powerdrift: -0.00 dB



Validation Dipole D835V2 SN:426, d = 15 mm

Frequency: 835 MHz; Antenna Input Power: 250 [mW]
SAM Phantom: Flat Section; Grid Spacing: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0
Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20) at 900 MHz; Muscle 835 MHz; $\sigma = 0.96$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 55.4$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cubes (2): Peak: 4.16 mW/g ± 0.01 dB, SAR (1g): 2.63 mW/g ± 0.00 dB, SAR (10g): 1.70 mW/g ± 0.01 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Penetration depth: 12.5 (11.0, 14.4) [mm]
Powerdrift: -0.01 dB



APPENDIX E
Illustration of Body-Worn Accessories

The purpose of this appendix is to illustrate the body-worn carry accessories for FCC ID: AZ489FT5822. The sample that was used in the following photos represents the product used to obtain the results presented herein and was used in this section to demonstrate the different body-worn accessories.



Photo 1.
Model NNTN4681A
Front View



Photo 2.
Model NNTN4681A
Back View



Photo 3.
Model NNTN4681A
Side View

Appendix F

Accessories and options test status and separation distances

The following table summarizes the test status and separation distance provided by each of the body-worn accessories:

Carry Case Models	Tested ?	Separation distances between DUT antenna and phantom surface. (mm)	Comments
NNTN4681A	Yes	32-37	NA

Audio Acc. Models	Tested ?	Separation distances between DUT antenna and phantom surface. (mm)	Comments
SYN7875C	Yes	NA	NA
SYN8390B	Yes	NA	NA
SYN8146C	Yes	NA	NA
NTN8513A	Yes	NA	NA
NTN8496A	Yes	NA	NA
NNTN4033A	Yes	NA	NA
NSN6066A	Yes	NA	NA
NNTN4620A	No	NA	Same as model SYN8390B

Data cables Models	Tested ?	Separation distances between DUT antenna and phantom surface. (mm)	Comments
NKN6544B	Yes	NA	NA
NKN6540A	Yes	NA	NA
NNTN4007A	Yes	NA	NA
NNTN4049A	Yes	NA	NA
NNTN4877A	Yes	NA	NA

Other attachments Models	Tested ?	Separation distances between DUT antenna and phantom surface. (mm)	Comments
NNTN4879A	Yes	NA	Tested with High performance battery
NNTN4880A	Yes	NA	Tested with Maximum capacity battery