

 MOTOROLA	 ACCREDITED TESTING CERT # 2518.01
---	--

FCC ID: AZ489FT4881
DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE SAR ASSESSMENT Part 1 of 2

Government & Public Safety EME Test Laboratory 8000 West Sunrise Blvd Fort Lauderdale, FL. 33322	Date of Report: 1/18/08 Report Revision: Rev A Report ID: XTS4000 ASTRO_080118_SR5727
--	--

<p>Responsible Engineer: Michael Sailsman (SR. Staff EME Engineer) Date/s Tested: 11/19/07-11/26/07 Manufacturer/Location: Motorola/Penang Sector/Group/Div.: GTDG Date submitted for test: 11/16/07 DUT Description: Covert UHF1 380-470 MHz 1-2.3 Watt radio Test TX mode(s): CW Max. Power output: 2.8 W Nominal Power: 2.3 W Tx Frequency Bands: 380-470MHz Signaling type: FM, APCO 25 Model(s) Tested: H18QCN9PW9AN/NUE3623A Model(s) Certified: H18QCN9PW9AN/NUE3623A Serial Number(s): 310071203 Classification: Occupational/Controlled Rule Part(s): 90</p> <p>Antenna(s): NAE6552A (380-470MHz retractable ¼ wave antenna, -4dBi) Battery(ies): NNTN6944A (630mAh Standard Li Ion) Body worn accessory(ies): NNTN6946A (Leather belt clip), NNTN6945A (Plastic belt clip) Audio/Data cable accessory(ies): NNTN5006BP (Headset Earbud w/ PTT), NNTN5211B (2-wire Surveillance Kit)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Max. Calc. : 1-g Avg. SAR: 1.23 W/kg (Body); 10-g Avg. SAR: 0.90 W/kg (Body) Max. Calc. : 1-g Avg. SAR: 1.34 W/kg (Face); 10-g Avg. SAR: 1.00 W/kg (Face) Max. Calc. : 1-g Avg. SAR: 5.69 W/kg (Head); 10-g Avg. SAR: 3.16 W/kg (Head)</p>	
--	---

Based on the information and the testing results provided herein, the undersigned certifies that when used as stated in the operating instructions supplied, said product complies with the national and international reference standards and guidelines listed in section 2.0 of this report. This report shall not be reproduced without written approval from an officially designated representative of the Motorola EME Laboratory.

I attest to the accuracy of the data and assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements.
 This reporting format is consistent with the suggested guidelines of the TIA TSB-150 December 2004
 The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

Signature on file –Deanna Zakharia Deanna Zakharia G&PS EME Lab Senior Resource Manager, Laboratory Director, Approval Date: 1/18/08	Certification Date: 1/18/08 Certification No.: L1080138P
---	---

Part 1 of 2

1.0 Introduction and Overview..... 3
 2.0 Referenced Standards and Guidelines..... 3
 2.1 SAR Limits 4
 3.0 Description of Device Under Test (DUT)..... 4
 4.0 Description of Test System 5
 4.1 Description of Robotics/Probes/Readout Electronics..... 5
 4.2 Description of Phantom(s)..... 5
 4.2.1 Flat Phantom..... 5
 4.2.2 SAM Phantom 5
 4.3 Description of Equivalent Tissues 6
 5.0 Additional Test Equipment..... 6
 6.0 SAR Measurement System Verification..... 6
 6.1 Equivalent Tissue Test results 7
 6.2 System Check Test results 7
 7.0 DUT Test Strategy and Methodology 8
 7.1 DUT Configuration(s) 8
 7.2 Device Positioning Procedures 9
 7.2.1 Body 9
 7.2.2 Head 9
 7.2.3 Face 9
 8.0 Environmental Test Conditions..... 9
 9.0 Test Results Summary..... 10
 9.1 Highest SAR results calculation methodology 12
 10.0 Conclusion..... 12

APPENDICES

A Measurement Uncertainty 13
 B Probe Calibration Certificates 16
 C Dipole Calibration Certificates..... 26
 D Test System Verification Scans..... 31

Part 2 of 2

E DUT Scans (Shortened Scans and Highest SAR configurations) 2
 F DUT Supplementary Data (e.g. Power Slump) 7
 G DUT Test Position Photos 9
 H DUT and Body-worn Accessory Photos 14
 I DUT Antenna Separation Distances and Offered Accessory Test Status 15

Report Revision History

Date	Revision	Comments
12/12/07	O	Initial release
1/18/08	A	To revise the nominal power to 2.3W and correct the FCC ID indicated on the cover page for part 1 of 2.

1.0 Introduction and Overview

This report details the utilization, test setup, test equipment, and test results of the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) measurements performed at the G&PS EME Test Lab for the model number H18QCN9PW9AN/NUE3623A of FCC ID: AZ489FT4881. The results herein reflect initial test results.

The highest SAR levels clearly demonstrate compliance to ICNIRP (1998) Guidelines for limiting exposure in time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields (up to 300GHz) RF Exposure limits of 10.0 W/kg averaged over 10grams of contiguous tissue. The results also adhere to the 8.0 W/kg averaged over 1 gram of tissue as stipulated in ANSI C95.1-2005.

2.0 Referenced Standards and Guidelines

This product is designed to comply with the following applicable national and international standards and guidelines.

- IEC62209-1(2005) Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)
- United States Federal Communications Commission, Code of Federal Regulations; Rule Part 47CFR § 2.1093 sub-part J:1999
- Federal Communications Commission, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01), FCC, Washington, D.C.: June 2001.
- IEEE 1528, 2003 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques"
- American National Standards Institute (ANSI) / Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE) C95. 1-1992
- Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE) C95.1-2005 Edition
- International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) 1998
- Ministry of Health (Canada) Safety Code 6. Limits of Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz to 300 GHz, 1999
- Australian Communications Authority Radiocommunications (Electromagnetic Radiation - Human Exposure) Standard 2003
- ANATEL, Brazil Regulatory Authority, Resolution No. 303 of July 2, 2002 "Regulation of the limitation of exposure to electrical, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields in the radio frequency range between 9KHz and 300 GHz." and "Attachment to resolution # 303 from July 2, 2002"

2.1 SAR Limits

EXPOSURE LIMITS	SAR (W/kg)	
	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)
Spatial Average - ANSI - (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4
Spatial Peak - ANSI - (averaged over any 1-g of tissue)	1.60	8.0
Spatial Peak - ICNIRP/ANSI - (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10-g)	4.0	20.0
Localized SAR - ICNIRP - (Head and Trunk 10-g)	2.0	10.0

3.0 Description of Device Under Test (DUT)


FCC ID: AZ489FT4881 is a UHF portable two-way radio disguised as a standard cellular phone that operates using APCO 25(C4FM) digital voice frequency modulation (FM) incorporating traditional simplex transmission protocol. This device uses a retractable antenna that is capable of transmitting in the 380-470MHz band. The nominal output power is 2.3 Watts with maximum output powers of 2.8 watts as defined by the upper limit of the production line final test station. This device uses PTT operation only “at the head”, “at the face” with the DUT at least 1 inch (2.5cm) from the mouth and “at the body” by means of the offered body-worn accessories. This device will be marketed to and used by employees solely for occupational operations, such as public safety agencies, e.g. police, fire and emergency medical. User training is the responsibility of these agencies, which can be expected to employ the usage instructions, safety information and operational cautions set forth in the user's manual, instructional sessions or other means. Motorola also makes available to its customers training classes on the proper use of two-way radios and wireless data devices.

FCC ID: AZ489FT4881 is offered with the options and accessories listed on the coversheet of this report.

Test Output Power

A table of the characteristic power slump versus time is provided in Appendix F.

4.0 Description of Test System



4.1 Descriptions of Robotics/probes/Readout Electronics

The laboratory utilizes a Dosimetric Assessment System (DASY4™) SAR measurement system Version 4.7 build 53 manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™), of Zurich Switzerland. The test system consists of a Stäubli RX90L robot, DAE3V1, and ET3DV6 E-Field probe. Please reference the SPEAG user manual and application notes for detailed probe, robot, and SAR computational procedures. Section 5.0 presents relevant test equipment information. Appendices B and C present the applicable calibration certificates. The E-field probe first scans a coarse grid over a large area inside the phantom in order to locate the interpolated maximum SAR distribution. After the coarse scan measurement, the probe is automatically moved to a position at the interpolated maximum. The subsequent scan can directly use this position as reference for the cube evaluations.

4.2 Description of Phantom(s)

4.2.1 Flat Phantom

Phantom Type	Phantom Material	Phantom Dimensions (cm)	Support structure opening dimensions (cm)	Support structure material	Loss Tangent (wood)
Flat	High Density Polyethylene (HDPE)	80x30x20x0.2	68.58x20.32	Wood	< 0.05

4.2.2 SAM Phantom

Phantom Type	Material Parameters	Material Thickness (mm)	Support structure material	Loss Tangent (wood)
SAMTP1209	200MHz -3GHz; Er = <5, Loss Tangent = <0.05	2mm +/- 0.2mm	Wood	< 0.05

4.3 Description of Equivalent tissues

Type of Simulated Tissue

The simulated tissue used is compliant to that specified in FCC Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01) and IEEE 1528, 2003 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques".

The sugar based simulate tissue is produced by placing the correct measured amount of De-ionized water into a large container. Each of the dried ingredients are weighed and added to the water carefully to avoid clumping. If the solution has a high sugar concentration the water is pre-heated to aid in dissolving the ingredients. For Diacetin and similar type simulates, sugar and HEC ingredients are not needed. The solution is mixed thoroughly, covered, and allowed to sit overnight prior to use.

Simulated Tissue Composition

% of listed ingredients	450MHz	
	Head	Body
Sugar	56.0	46.5
Diacetin	NA	NA
De ionized -Water	39.1	50.53
Salt	3.8	1.87
HEC	1.0	1
Bact.	0.1	0.1

Reference section 6.1 for target parameters

5.0 Additional Test Equipment

Equipment Type	Model Number	Serial Number	Calibration Due Date
Power Meter(Agilent)	E4418B	GB40206553	4/25/08
Power Meter(Hp)	E4418B	US39251150	4/25/08
Power Meter(Agilent)	E4418B	GB40206480	12/13/07
Power Sensor(HP)	8482B	3318A06773	5/2/08
Power Sensor(Agilent)	8482B	3318A07546	5/16/08
Power Sensor(Agilent)	8482B	3318A07548	12/27/07
Bi-Directional Coupler (NARDA)	3020A	40296	2/17/08
Sig Gen (HP)	E4421B	US39270649	8/16/08
AMP (Amplifier Research)	10W1000	5924	CNR
Agilent PNA-L Network Analyzer	N5230A	MY45001092	5/22/08
Dielectric Probe Kit (HP)	85070C	US99360076	CNR
SPEAG Dipole	D450V2	1001	5/25/08

6.0 SAR Measurement System Verification

The SAR measurements were conducted with probe model/serial number ET3DV6/SN1393. The system performance check was conducted daily and within 24 hours prior to testing. DASY output files of the probe/dipole calibration certificates and system performance test results are included in

appendices B, C, D respectively. The table below summarizes the system performance check results normalized to 1W.

Dipole validation scans at the head from SPEAG are provided in APPENDIX D. The G&PS EME lab validated the dipole to the applicable IEEE system performance targets. Within the same day system validation was performed using FCC body tissue parameters to generate the system performance target values for body at the applicable frequency. The results of the G&PS EME system performance validation are provided herein.

6.1 Equivalent Tissue Test Results

Simulated tissue prepared for SAR measurements is measured daily and within 24 hours prior to actual SAR testing to verify that the tissue is within +/- 5% of target parameters at the center of the transmit band. This measurement is done using the applicable equipment indicated in section 5.0.

Target versus Actual tissue parameters (11/19/07-11/26/07)

FCC Body				
Frequency (MHz)	Di-electric Constant Target	Di-electric Constant Meas. (Range)	Conductivity Target S/m	Conductivity Meas. (Range) S/m
450	56.7	55.2-55.5	0.94	0.93-0.94
425	57.0	55.6-55.9	0.94	0.91-0.92

IEEE Head				
Frequency (MHz)	Di-electric Constant Target	Di-electric Constant Meas. (Range)	Conductivity Target S/m	Conductivity Meas. (Range) S/m
450	43.5	43.5-43.9	0.87	0.87-0.89
425	43.8	44.0-44.4	0.87	0.85-0.86

6.2 System Check Test Results

Probe Serial #	Tissue Type	Probe Cal Date	Dipole Kit / Serial #	System Perf. Result when normalized to 1W (mW/g)	Reference SAR @ 1W (mW/g)	Test Date(s)
1393	FCC Body	3/19/07	SPEAG 450V2 /1001	4.33 +/- 0.05	4.44 +/- 10%	11/19/07, 11/26/07 2 test days
1393	IEEE Head	3/19/07	SPEAG 450V2 /1001	4.64 +/- 0.00	4.77 +/- 10%	11/20/07-11/21/07 2 test days

Note: See APPENDIX D for an explanation of the reference SAR targets stated above.
(System performance results reflects the median performance +/- ½ of the test date(s) performance ranges)

The DASY4™ system is operated per the instructions in the DASY4™ Users Manual. The complete manual is available directly from SPEAG™. All measurement equipment used to assess EME SAR compliance was calibrated according to 17025 A2LA guidelines.

7.0 DUT Test Strategy and Methodology

7.1 DUT Configuration(s)

The DUT is a portable two-way device with APCO 25 FM transmission signaling operational at the head, face and body using the offered accessories. The device is placed in the test positions presented in Appendix G.

Test Plan

All options and accessories listed on the cover page of this report were considered in order to develop the SAR test plan for this product. SAR measurements were performed using a flat phantom and a SAM phantom with the applicable simulated tissue to assess performance at the body, head and face respectively using the relevant transmission modes.

Note that a coarse-to-cube approximation methodology was utilized to determine the worst-case SAR performance configuration for each applicable body location. The test configurations that produced the highest SAR results for each body position using the coarse-to-cube approximation methodology were assessed using the full DASY4™ coarse and 5x5x7 cube scans.

Assessments at the Head (PTT) [Page 10 of 41; Tables 1]

- Assessment in the 380-470MHz band of the offered battery using the applicable test configurations at the head with the antenna in and out.
- Assessment at the 380-470MHz band edges using the worst case configuration from above.

Assessments at the Face (PTT) [Page 11 of 41; Table 2]

- Assessment in the 380-470MHz band of the offered battery at the face with the antenna in and out and the flip opened and closed.
- Assessment at the 380-470MHz band edges using the worst case configuration from above.

Assessments at the Body (PTT) [Page 11 of 41; Table 3]

- Assessment in the 380-470MHz band of the offered battery at the body with the offered body worn and audio accessories
- Assessment at the 380-470MHz band edges using the worst case configuration from above.

Assessments at the Body 2.5cm (PTT)[Page 11 of 41; Table 3]

- Assessment using the worst case test configuration at the body overall from above with the back and front housing of the DUT separated 2.5cm from the phantom.

Shortened scan assessment at the Body [Page 12 of 41; Table 4]

- A “shortened” scan was performed using the offered battery and test configuration that produced the highest SAR results overall. Note that the shortened scan is obtained by first running a coarse scan to find the peak area and then, using a newly charged battery, a cube scan only was performed. The shortened scan represents the cube scan performance results.

7.2 Device Positioning Procedures

Reference Appendix G for photos of the DUT tested positions.

7.2.1 Body

The DUT was positioned in normal use configuration against the phantom with the offered body worn accessory and with the antenna in and out.
 The DUT was positioned with its’ front and back sides separated 2.5cm from the phantom and with the antenna in and out.

7.2.2 Head

The DUT was placed against the right and left heads of the SAM phantom in the cheek touch and tilt positions and with the antenna in and out.

7.2.3 Face

The DUT was positioned with its’ front side separated 2.5cm from the phantom with the flip opened and closed and with the antenna in and out.

8.0 Environmental Test Conditions

The EME Laboratory ambient environment is well controlled resulting in very stable simulated tissue temperature and therefore stable dielectric properties. Simulated tissue temperature is measured prior to each scan to insure it is within +/- 2°C of the temperature at which the dielectric properties were determined. The liquid depth within the phantom used for measurements was 15cm +/- 0.5cm. Additional precautions are routinely taken to ensure the stability of the simulated tissue such as covering the phantoms when scans are not actively in process in order to minimize evaporation. The lab environment is continuously monitored. The table below presents the range and average environmental conditions during the SAR tests reported herein:

	Target	Measured
Ambient Temperature	20 - 25 °C	Range: 21.1-23.5°C Avg. 22.00°C
Relative Humidity	30 - 70 %	Range: 52.0-73.7% Avg. 61.4%
Tissue Temperature	NA	Range: 20.9-22.0°C Avg. 21.37 °C

The EME Lab RF environment uses a Spectrum Analyzer to monitor for extraneous large signal RF contaminants that could possibly affect the test results. If such unwanted signals are discovered the SAR scans are repeated.

9.0 Test Results Summary

All SAR results obtained by the tests described in Section 7.1 are listed below. As noted in section 7.1, a coarse-to-cube approximation methodology, was utilized to ascertain the worst case test configuration for each body location per band (in bold with *). The worst case test configurations observed for each body location were assessed using the full DASY4™ coarse and 5x5x7 cube methodology and they are summarized in the worst case table below. The associated SAR plots are provided in APPENDIX E. Appendix E also presents shortened SAR cube scans to assess the validity of the calculated results presented herein. Note: The results of the shortened cube scans presented in Appendix E demonstrate that the scaling methodology used to determine the calculated SAR results presented herein are valid.

Table 1

Assessments at the Head (PTT) 380-470MHz band												
Run Number/ SN	Antenna Pos.	Freq. (MHz)	Battery	Test position	Carry Case	Additional attachments	Initial Power (W)	SAR Drift (dB)	Meas. 1g-SAR (mW/g)	Meas. 10g-SAR (mW/g)	Max Calc. 1g-SAR (mW/g)	Max Calc. 10g-SAR (mW/g)
Assessment at the left ear - antenna positions, offered battery, Touch/Tilt												
JsT(Vee)-Lear-071120-03/310071203	In	425.00	NNTN6944 A	Touch	None	None	2.91	-0.649	3.84	2.61	2.23	1.52
JsT(Vee)-Lear-071120-02/310071203	Out	425.00	NNTN6944 A	Touch	None	None	2.89	-0.528	3.75	2.54	2.12	1.43
JsT(Vee)-Lear-071120-04/310071203	In	425.00	NNTN6944 A	Tilt	None	None	2.89	-0.527	1.12	0.75	0.63	0.42
JsT(Vee)-Lear-071120-05/310071203	Out	425.00	NNTN6944 A	Tilt	None	None	2.88	-0.373	0.898	0.599	0.49	0.33
Left ear - band edge assessment (WC configuration from above)												
*JsT(Vee)-Lear-071120-06/310071203	In	380.00	NNTN6944 A	Touch	None	None	2.86	-0.600	10.20	6.44	5.86	3.70
JsT(Vee)-Lear-071120-07/310071203	In	470.00	NNTN6944 A	Touch	None	None	2.90	-0.478	6.02	4.20	3.36	2.34
Assessment at the right ear - antenna positions, offered battery, Touch/Tilt												
JsT(vee)-Rear-071120-08/310071203	In	425.00	NNTN6944 A	Touch	None	None	2.92	-0.276	3.53	2.53	1.88	1.35
JsT(vee)-Rear-071120-09/310071203	Out	425.00	NNTN6944 A	Touch	None	None	2.94	-0.377	3.40	2.41	1.85	1.31
JsT(vee)-Rear-071120-10/310071203	In	425.00	NNTN6944 A	Tilt	None	None	2.93	-0.412	1.19	0.754	0.65	0.41
JsT(vee)-Rear-071120-11/310071203	Out	425.00	NNTN6944 A	Tilt	None	None	2.89	-0.238	0.908	0.603	0.48	0.32
Right ear - band edge assessment (WC configuration from above)												
JsT(vee)-Rear-071120-12/310071203	In	380.00	NNTN6944 A	Touch	None	None	2.86	-0.382	9.02	6.16	4.92	3.36
JsT(vee)-Rear-071120-13/310071203	In	470.00	NNTN6944 A	Touch	None	None	2.90	-0.310	6.29	4.44	3.38	2.38

Table 2

Assessments at the Face (PTT) 380-470MHz band												
Run Number/ SN	Antenna Pos.	Freq. (MHz)	Battery	Test position	Carry Case	Additional attachments	Initial Power (W)	SAR Drift (dB)	Meas. 1g-SAR (mW/g)	Meas. 10g-SAR (mW/g)	Max Calc. 1g-SAR (mW/g)	Max Calc. 10g-SAR (mW/g)
Assessment at the Face - antenna positions, offered battery, flip opened/closed												
CM-Face-071120-18/310071203	In	425.00	NNTN6944 A	Front 2.5cm	None, flip opened	None	2.93	0.136	0.0858	0.0633	0.04	0.03
CM-Face-071120-20/310071203	Out	425.00	NNTN6944 A	Front 2.5cm	None, flip opened	None	2.94	-0.789	0.1210	0.0908	0.07	0.05
CM-Face-071120-21/310071203	In	425.00	NNTN6944 A	Front 2.5cm	None, flip closed	None	2.94	-0.620	0.602	0.447	0.35	0.26
CM-Face-071120-22/310071203	Out	425.00	NNTN6944 A	Front 2.5cm	None, flip closed	None	2.93	-0.513	0.871	0.648	0.49	0.36
Face - band edge assessment (WC configuration from above)												
*CM-Face-071120-23/310071203	Out	380.00	NNTN6944 A	Front 2.5cm	None, flip closed	None	2.81	-0.350	2.62	1.96	1.42	1.06
CM-Face-071120-24/310071203	Out	470.00	NNTN6944 A	Front 2.5cm	None, flip closed	None	2.93	-0.623	1.15	0.855	0.66	0.49

Table 3

Assessments at the Body (PTT) 380-470MHz band												
Run Number/ SN	Antenna Pos.	Freq. (MHz)	Battery	Test position	Carry Case	Additional attachments	Initial Power (W)	SAR Drift (dB)	Meas. 1g-SAR (mW/g)	Meas. 10g-SAR (mW/g)	Max Calc. 1g-SAR (mW/g)	Max Calc. 10g-SAR (mW/g)
Assessment at the body – antenna position, offered battery, body worn and audio accessories												
JsT(vee)-Ab-071121-05/310071203	In	425.00	NNTN6944 A	Against phantom	NNTN6946A	NNTN5006B P	2.93	-0.513	0.676	0.499	0.38	0.28
CM-Ab-071121-06/310071203	Out	425.00	NNTN6944 A	Against phantom	NNTN6946A	NNTN5006B P	2.94	-0.385	1.05	0.778	0.57	0.43
CM-Ab-071121-07/310071203	In	425.00	NNTN6944 A	Against phantom	NNTN6945A	NNTN5006B P	2.93	-0.386	0.929	0.681	0.51	0.37
CM-Ab-071121-08/310071203	Out	425.00	NNTN6944 A	Against phantom	NNTN6945A	NNTN5006B P	2.90	-0.444	1.32	0.976	0.73	0.54
CM-Ab-071121-09/310071203	Out	425.00	NNTN6944 A	Against phantom	NNTN6945A	NNTN5211B	2.90	-0.382	1.31	0.967	0.72	0.53
Body - band edge assessment (WC configuration from above)												
CM-Ab-071121-10/310071203	Out	380.00	NNTN6944 A	Against phantom	NNTN6945A	NNTN5006B P	2.87	-0.530	2.25	1.67	1.27	0.94
CM-Ab-071121-11/310071203	Out	470.00	NNTN6944 A	Against phantom	NNTN6945A	NNTN5006B P	2.94	-0.585	1.38	1.02	0.79	0.58
Body - 2.5cm Assessment (WC configuration from above)												
*CM-Ab-071121-13/310071203	Out	380.00	NNTN6944 A	Back - ant. at 2.5cm	None	NNTN5006B P	2.87	-0.248	4.62	3.39	2.45	1.79
CM-Ab-071126-02/310071203	Out	380.00	NNTN6944 A	Front at 2.5cm	None	NNTN5006B P	2.89	-0.360	1.20	0.894	0.65	0.49

Table 4

Run Number/ SN	Antenna Pos.	Freq. (MHz)	Battery	Test position	Carry Case	Additional attachments	Initial Power (W)	SAR Drift (dB)	Meas. 1g-SAR (mW/g)	Meas. 10g-SAR (mW/g)	Max Calc. 1g-SAR (mW/g)	Max Calc. 10g-SAR (mW/g)
*Worst case configuration per body location from above (including shortened scan) –using the DASYS 4 full coarse and 5x5x7 cube scan measurements.												
CM-Lear-071120- 14/310071203	In	380	NNTN6944 A	Touch	None	None	2.88	-0.435	10.30	5.71	5.69	3.16
JsT(Vee)-Ab-071126- 03/310071203	Out	380	NNTN6944 A	Back- ant. At 2.5cm	None	NNTN5006B P	2.90	-0.559	2.17	1.58	1.23	0.90
JsT(Vee)-Face-071121- 03/310071203	Out	380	NNTN6944 A	Front at 2.5cm	None, flip closed	None	2.88	-0.505	2.39	1.78	1.34	1.00
JsT(Vee)-Lear-071121- 04/310071203 (Short scan)	In	380	NNTN6944 A	Touch	None	None	2.88	-0.372	10.20	5.79	5.56	3.15

9.1 Highest SAR results calculation methodology

The calculated maximum 1-gram and 10-gram averaged SAR results reported herein for the full DASYS™ coarse and 5x5x7 cube measurements are determined by scaling the measured SAR to account for power leveling variations and power slump. For this device the Maximum Calculated 1-gram and 10-gram averaged peak SAR is calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Max. Calc. 1-g/10-g Avg. SAR} = ((\text{SAR meas.} / (10^{(\text{Pdrift}/10)})) * (\text{Pmax}/\text{Pint})) * \text{DC\%}$$

$$\text{P}_{\text{max}} = \text{Maximum Power (W)}$$

$$\text{P}_{\text{int}} = \text{Initial Power (W)}$$

$$\text{Pdrift} = \text{DASY drift results (dB) - (for conservative results positive drifts are not accounted for)}$$

$$\text{SAR}_{\text{meas.}} = \text{Measured 1-g/10-g Avg. SAR (mW/g)}$$

$$\text{DC \%} = \text{Transmission mode duty cycle in \% where applicable}$$

50% duty cycle is applied for PTT operation.

10.0 Conclusion

The highest Operational Maximum Calculated 1-gram and 10-gram average SAR values found for FCC ID: AZ489FT4881 models H18QCN9PW9AN/NUE3623A.

Max. Calc. : 1-g Avg. SAR: 1.23 W/kg (Body); 10-g Avg. SAR: 0.90 W/kg (Body)
Max. Calc. : 1-g Avg. SAR: 1.34 W/kg (Face); 10-g Avg. SAR: 1.00 W/kg (Face)
Max. Calc. : 1-g Avg. SAR: 5.69 W/kg (Head); 10-g Avg. SAR: 3.16 W/kg (Head)

These test results clearly demonstrate compliance with FCC Occupational/Controlled Exposure limits of 8.0W/kg per the requirements of 47 CFR 2.1093(d).

APPENDIX A
Measurement Uncertainty

Uncertainty Budget for Device Under Test, for 30 MHz to 3 GHz

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e = f(d,k)</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>h = c x f / e</i>	<i>i = c x g / e</i>	<i>k</i>
Uncertainty Component	IEEE 1528 section	Tol. (± %)	Prob Dist	Div.	<i>c_i</i> (1 g)	<i>c_i</i> (10 g)	1 g <i>u_i</i> (±%)	10 g <i>u_i</i> (±%)	<i>v_i</i>
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	5.9	N	1.00	1	1	5.9	5.9	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	4.7	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	1.9	1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	9.6	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	3.9	3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.3	N	1.00	1	1	0.3	0.3	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	1.1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	1.1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	E.6.1	0.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Probe Positioner Mech. Tolerance	E.6.2	0.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w.r.t Phantom	E.6.3	1.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.8	0.8	∞
Max. SAR Evaluation (ext., int., avg.)	E.5	3.4	R	1.73	1	1	2.0	2.0	∞
Test sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	E.4.2	3.2	N	1.00	1	1	3.2	3.2	29
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	4.0	N	1.00	1	1	4.0	4.0	8
SAR drift	6.6.2	5.0	R	1.73	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity (target)	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity (measurement)	E.3.3	3.3	N	1.00	0.64	0.43	2.1	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity (target)	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity (measurement)	E.3.3	1.9	N	1.00	0.6	0.49	1.1	0.9	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				11	11	411
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			<i>k</i> =2				22	22	

FCD-0558 Rev 5

Uncertainty Budget for System Validation (dipole & flat phantom) for 30 MHz to 3 GHz

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e = f(d,k)</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>h = c x f / e</i>	<i>i = c x g / e</i>	<i>k</i>
Uncertainty Component	IEEE 1528 section	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	<i>c_i</i> (1 g)	<i>c_i</i> (10 g)	1 g <i>u_i</i> (±%)	10 g <i>u_i</i> (±%)	<i>v_i</i>
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	5.9	N	1.00	1	1	5.9	5.9	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
Spherical Isotropy	E.2.2	9.6	R	1.73	0	0	0.0	0.0	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.3	N	1.00	1	1	0.3	0.3	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	1.1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	0.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	E.6.1	0.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w.r.t. Phantom	E.6.3	1.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.8	0.8	∞
Max. SAR Evaluation (ext., int., avg.)	E.5	3.4	R	1.73	1	1	2.0	2.0	∞
Dipole									
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	8, E.4.2	2.0	R	1.73	1	1	1.2	1.2	∞
Input Power and SAR Drift Measurement	8, 6.6.2	5.0	R	1.73	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity (target)	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity (measurement)	E.3.3	3.3	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.2	0.8	∞
Liquid Permittivity (target)	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity (measurement)	E.3.3	1.9	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	0.6	0.5	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				9	9	99999
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			<i>k</i> =2				18	17	

FCD-0558 Rev 5

Notes for Tables 1 and 2

- a) Column headings *a-k* are given for reference.
- b) Tol. - tolerance in influence quantity.
- c) Prob. Dist. – Probability distribution
- d) N, R - normal, rectangular probability distributions
- e) Div. - divisor used to translate tolerance into normally distributed standard uncertainty
- f) *c_i* - sensitivity coefficient that should be applied to convert the variability of the uncertainty component into a variability of SAR.
- g) *u_i* – SAR uncertainty
- h) *v_i* - degrees of freedom for standard uncertainty and effective degrees of freedom for the expanded uncertainty.

Appendix B
Probe Calibration Certificates

**Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG**
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
S Service suisse d'étalonnage
C Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **Motorola CGISS**

Certificate No: **ET3-1393_Mar07**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **ET3DV6 - SN:1393**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v5 and QA CAL-12.v4
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date: **March 19, 2007**

Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	5-Apr-06 (METAS, No. 251-00557)	Apr-07
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	5-Apr-06 (METAS, No. 251-00557)	Apr-07
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	5-Apr-06 (METAS, No. 251-00557)	Apr-07
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	10-Aug-06 (METAS, No. 217-00592)	Aug-07
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	4-Apr-06 (METAS, No. 251-00558)	Apr-07
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	10-Aug-06 (METAS, No. 217-00593)	Aug-07
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	4-Jan-07 (SPEAG, No. ES3-3013_Jan07)	Jan-08
DAE4	SN: 654	21-Jun-06 (SPEAG, No. DAE4-654_Jun06)	Jun-07

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-05)	In house check: Nov-07
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-06)	In house check: Oct-07

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	
Approved by:	Fin Bomholt	R&D Director	

Issued: March 19, 2007

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

ET3DV6 SN:1393

March 19, 2007

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1393

Sensitivity in Free Space^A

Diode Compression^B

NormX	1.92 ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP X	91 mV
NormY	1.49 ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP Y	96 mV
NormZ	1.78 ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP Z	91 mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Please see Page 8.

Boundary Effect

TSL **900 MHz** **Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm**

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		3.7 mm	4.7 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	8.8	4.8
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.1	0.2

TSL **1810 MHz** **Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm**

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		3.7 mm	4.7 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	12.5	8.6
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.2	0.1

Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center **2.7 mm**

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 8).


^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

ET3DV6 SN:1393

March 19, 2007

Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)






Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 6.3\%$ (k=2)

ET3DV6 SN:1393

March 19, 2007

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\vartheta = 0^\circ$




Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 0.5\%$ ($k=2$)

ET3DV6 SN:1393

March 19, 2007

Dynamic Range $f(SAR_{head})$ (Waveguide R22, $f = 1800$ MHz)





Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: $\pm 0.6\%$ ($k=2$)

ET3DV6 SN:1393

March 19, 2007

Conversion Factor Assessment



f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] ^c	TSL	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF Uncertainty
450	± 50 / ± 100	Head	43.5 ± 5%	0.87 ± 5%	0.35	1.78	7.36 ± 13.3% (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.32	2.56	6.16 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.48	2.76	5.12 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1950	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.53	2.65	4.90 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2300	± 50 / ± 100	Head	39.4 ± 5%	1.71 ± 5%	0.58	2.42	4.65 ± 11.8% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Head	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	0.67	1.96	4.50 ± 11.8% (k=2)
450	± 50 / ± 100	Body	56.7 ± 5%	0.94 ± 5%	0.29	1.87	7.90 ± 13.3% (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	Body	55.0 ± 5%	1.05 ± 5%	0.32	2.70	6.10 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.61	2.63	4.80 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1950	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.72	2.27	4.52 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2300	± 50 / ± 100	Body	52.8 ± 5%	1.85 ± 5%	0.63	2.08	4.30 ± 11.8% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Body	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	0.65	2.07	4.08 ± 11.8% (k=2)


^c The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

ET3DV6 SN:1393

March 19, 2007

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (ϕ, ϑ), $f = 900$ MHz




Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 2.6\%$ ($k=2$)

Additional Conversion Factors for Dosimetric E-Field Probe

Type:	ET3DV6
Serial Number:	1393
Place of Assessment:	Zurich
Date of Assessment:	March 21, 2007
Probe Calibration Date:	March 19, 2007

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies that conversion factor(s) of this probe have been evaluated on the date indicated above. The assessment was performed using the FDTD numerical code SEMCAD of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG. Since the evaluation is coupled with measured conversion factors, it has to be recalculated yearly, i.e., following the re-calibration schedule of the probe. The uncertainty of the numerical assessment is based on the extrapolation from measured value at 900 MHz or at 1810 MHz.

Assessed by:



Dosimetric E-Field Probe ET3DV6 SN:1393

Conversion factor (\pm standard deviation)

150 MHz	<i>ConvF</i>	$8.4 \pm 10\%$	$\epsilon_r = 52.3$ $\sigma = 0.76 \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
250 MHz	<i>ConvF</i>	$7.6 \pm 10\%$	$\epsilon_r = 47.6$ $\sigma = 0.83 \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
300 MHz	<i>ConvF</i>	$7.5 \pm 9\%$	$\epsilon_r = 45.3$ $\sigma = 0.87 \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
750 MHz	<i>ConvF</i>	$6.4 \pm 7\%$	$\epsilon_r = 41.9$ $\sigma = 0.89 \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
150 MHz	<i>ConvF</i>	$8.1 \pm 10\%$	$\epsilon_r = 61.9$ $\sigma = 0.80 \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
250 MHz	<i>ConvF</i>	$7.7 \pm 10\%$	$\epsilon_r = 59.4$ $\sigma = 0.88 \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
300 MHz	<i>ConvF</i>	$7.5 \pm 9\%$	$\epsilon_r = 58.2$ $\sigma = 0.92 \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
750 MHz	<i>ConvF</i>	$6.2 \pm 7\%$	$\epsilon_r = 55.5$ $\sigma = 0.96 \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)

Important Note:

For numerically assessed probe conversion factors, parameters Alpha and Delta in the DASY software must have the following entries: Alpha = 0 and Delta = 1.

Please see also Section 4.7 of the DASY4 Manual.

Appendix C
Dipole Calibration Certificates

**Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG**
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **Motorola CGISS**

Certificate No: **D450V2-1001_May06**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D450V2 - SN: 1001**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-15.v4
Calibration Procedure for dipole validation kits below 800 MHz**

Calibration date: **May 25, 2006**

Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	5-Apr-06 (METAS, No. 251-00557)	Apr-07
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	5-Apr-06 (METAS, No. 251-00557)	Apr-07
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	5-Apr-06 (METAS, No. 251-00557)	Apr-07
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	11-Aug-05 (METAS, No. 251-00499)	Aug-06
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	4-Apr-06 (METAS, No. 251-00558)	Apr-07
Reference Probe ET3DV6	SN 1507	11-Jul-05 (SPEAG, No. ET3-1507_Jul05)	Jul-06
DAE4	SN 601	15-Dec-05 (SPEAG, No. DAE4-601_Dec05)	Dec-06
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-05)	In house check: Nov-07
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-05)	In house check: Nov 06

Calibrated by:	Name Katja Pokovic	Function Technical Manager	Signature
Approved by:	Fin Bomholt	R&D Director	

Issued: May 25, 2006

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY4	V4.6
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Flat Phantom V4.4	Shell thickness: 6 ± 0.2 mm
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	15 mm	with Spacer
Area Scan resolution	dx, dy = 15 mm	
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	450 MHz ± 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	43.5	0.87 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	43.6 ± 6 %	0.86 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature during test	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	---	---

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm³ (1 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	398 mW input power	2.00 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	5.03 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters ¹	normalized to 1W	5.06 mW / g ± 18.1 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	398 mW input power	1.35 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	3.39 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters ¹	normalized to 1W	3.40 mW / g ± 17.6 % (k=2)

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	51.0 Ω - 9.6 $j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 20.4 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.343 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	March 22, 2002

DASY4 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date/Time: 25.05.2006 13:20:31

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 450 MHz; Type: D450V2; Serial: D450V2 - SN:1001

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL450;

Medium parameters used: $f = 450 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.86 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 43.6$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507 (LF); ConvF (6.59, 6.59, 6.59); Calibrated: 11.07.2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 15.12.2005
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.4; Type: Flat Phantom 4.4
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 23; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 161

d=15mm, Pin=398mW/Area Scan (61x201x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.14 mW/g


d=15mm, Pin=398mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 52.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.014 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.85 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.35 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.14 mW/g



0 dB = 2.14mW/g

Appendix D

Test System Verification Scans

Dipole validation scans at the head from SPEAG are provided in APPENDIX C. G&PS' EME lab validates its' dipole(s) to the applicable IEEE system performance targets. A system validation was performed using FCC body tissue parameters to generate the system performance target values for body at the applicable frequency. Dipoles are assessed using multiple probes and measurements were performed using the isotropic assessment procedure mentioned below.

To assess the isotropic characteristics of the measurement probe, two system performance zoom scans (0 and 90 degrees) were measured. The measured results were averaged together in order to obtain the final calculated 1 gram results.

The results obtained from each probe were then averaged together to determine the new measured SAR target.

Motorola Government & Public Safety EME Laboratory

Date/Time: 11/19/2007 10:06:35 AM

Robot# / Run#: DASY4-FL-3 / JsT(Vee)-SYSP-450B-071119-01
Phantom# / Tissue Temp.: 80302002B-S8 / 21.1 (C)
Dipole Model# / Serial#: D450V2 / 1001
TX Freq. / Start power: 450 (MHz) / 250 (mW)

Target: 4.44 mW/g (1g)
Calculated: 4.28 mW/g (1g)
Percent from Target (+/-): 3.6 % (1g)

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1393, Calibrated: 3/19/2007, ConvF(7.9, 7.9, 7.9)
Electronics: DAE3 Sn401, Calibrated: 8/28/2007

Duty Cycle: 1:1, Medium parameters used: $f = 450$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.93$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³


System Performance Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:


$dx=7.5$ mm, $dy=7.5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm
Reference Value = 35.9 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0314 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.69 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.09 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.724 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.17 mW/g

System Performance Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:

$dx=7.5$ mm, $dy=7.5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm
Reference Value = 35.9 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0314 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.65 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.05 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.700 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.13 mW/g

System Performance Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x17): Measurement grid: $dx=20$ mm, $dy=20$ mm, $dz=10$ mm





Motorola Government & Public Safety EME Laboratory

Date/Time: 11/20/2007 7:37:17 AM

Robot# / Run#: DASY4-FL-3 / ErC(Vee)-SYSP-450H-071120-01
Phantom# / Tissue Temp.: SAMTP1209 / 21.2 (C)
Dipole Model# / Serial#: D450V2 / 1001
TX Freq. / Start power: 450 (MHz) / 250 (mW)

Target: 4.77 mW/g (1g)
Calculated: 4.64 mW/g (1g)
Percent from Target (+/-): 2.7 % (1g)

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1393, Calibrated: 3/19/2007, ConvF(7.36, 7.36, 7.36)
Electronics: DAE3 Sn401, Calibrated: 8/28/2007

Duty Cycle: 1:1, Medium parameters used: f = 450 MHz; $\sigma = 0.89$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 43.9$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³


System Performance Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:


dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 38.4 V/m; Power Drift = -0.045 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.83 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.17 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.771 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.25 mW/g

System Performance Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:

dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 38.4 V/m; Power Drift = -0.045 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.81 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.15 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.764 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.22 mW/g

System Performance Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x17): Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=10mm





Motorola Government & Public Safety EME Laboratory

Date/Time: 11/21/2007 7:44:48 AM

Robot# / Run#: DASY4-FL-3 / ErC(Vee)-SYSP-450H-071121-01
Phantom# / Tissue Temp.: SAMTP1209 / 21.1 (C)
Dipole Model# / Serial#: D450V2 / 1001
TX Freq. / Start power: 450 (MHz) / 250 (mW)

Target: 4.77 mW/g (1g)
Calculated: 4.64 mW/g (1g)
Percent from Target (+/-): 2.7 % (1g)

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1393, Calibrated: 3/19/2007, ConvF(7.36, 7.36, 7.36)
Electronics: DAE3 Sn401, Calibrated: 8/28/2007

Duty Cycle: 1:1, Medium parameters used: $f = 450 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.87 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 43.5$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

System Performance Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:


$dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 38.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0405 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.85 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.18 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.783 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.26 mW/g


System Performance Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:

$dx=7.5\text{mm}$, $dy=7.5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 38.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0405 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.79 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.14 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.758 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.21 mW/g

System Performance Check/Dipole Area Scan (5x9x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

System Performance Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x17): Measurement grid: $dx=20\text{mm}$, $dy=20\text{mm}$, $dz=10\text{mm}$





Motorola Government & Public Safety EME Laboratory
Date/Time: 11/26/2007 9:36:01 AM

Robot# / Run#: DAS4-FL-3 / JsT(Vee)-SYSP-450B-071126-01
Phantom# / Tissue Temp.: 80302002B-S8 / 21.8 (C)
Dipole Model# / Serial#: D450V2 / 1001
TX Freq. / Start power: 450 (MHz) / 250 (mW)

Target: 4.44 mW/g (1g)
Calculated: 4.38 mW/g (1g)
Percent from Target (+/-): 1.4 % (1g)

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1393, Calibrated: 3/19/2007, ConvF(7.9, 7.9, 7.9)
Electronics: DAE3 Sn401, Calibrated: 8/28/2007

Duty Cycle: 1:1, Medium parameters used: f = 450 MHz; $\sigma = 0.94$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

System Performance Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:


dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 35.9 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0224 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.73 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.11 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.734 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.18 mW/g


System Performance Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:

dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 35.9 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0224 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.68 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.08 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.716 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.14 mW/g

System Performance Check/Dipole Area Scan (5x9x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

System Performance Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x17): Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=10mm
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.19 mW/g





DIPOLE SAR TARGET - HEAD

Date: 03/13/07 Frequency (MHz): 450
 Lab Location: NE Mixture Type: IEEE Head
 DAE Serial #: 363 Ambient Temp.(°C): 20.9

Tissue Characteristics
 Permittivity: 43.7 Phantom Type/SN: 80302002A-S7
 Conductivity: 0.85 Distance (mm): 15
 Tissue Temp.(°C): 20.5

Reference Source: Dipole Power to Dipole: 250 mW
 Reference SN: 1001

Target SAR Value: 4.9 mW/g (1g avg.), 3.3 mW/g (10g avg.)
 (normalized to 1.0 W)

New Target:

Average Measured SAR Value: 4.77 mW/g (1g avg.), 3.15 mW/g (10g avg.)

Percent Difference From Target (MUST be within k=2 Uncertainty): -2.70% (1g ave)
-4.70% (10g ave)

Test performed by: J. Turco Initial: 

Probe SN #s	1-G Cube	Diff from Ave	10-G Cube	Diff from Ave	Robot
1383	4.79	0.47%	3.14	-0.16%	R1
1545	4.76	-0.16%	3.15	0.16%	R1
1547	4.75	-0.37%	3.14	-0.16%	R1
1384	4.77	0.05%	3.15	0.16%	R1
5	NA	#VALUE!	NA	#VALUE!	NA
Average	4.7675		3.1450		New Measured SAR Value
(normalized to 1.0 W, including drift)					

DIPOLE SAR TARGET - BODY

Date: 03/13/07 Frequency (MHz): 450
 Lab Location: NE Mixture Type: FCC Body
 DAE Serial #: 363 Ambient Temp.(°C): 20.7

Tissue Characteristics

Permittivity: 57.2 Phantom Type/SN: 80302002D-S15
 Conductivity: 0.96 Distance (mm): 15
 Tissue Temp.(°C): 20.5

Reference Source: Dipole Power to Dipole: 250 mW
 Reference SN: 1001

New Target:

Average Measured SAR Value: 4.44 mW/g(1g avg.), 2.93 mW/g (10g avg.)

Test performed by: J. Turco Initial: 

Probe SN #s	1-G Cube	Diff from Ave	10-G Cube	Diff from Ave	Robot
1384	4.50	1.5%	2.97	1.4%	R1
1547	4.40	-0.8%	2.91	-0.7%	R1
1383	4.46	0.6%	2.95	0.7%	R1
1545	4.38	-1.2%	2.89	-1.4%	R1
5	NA	#VALUE!	NA	#VALUE!	NA
Average	4.4350		2.9300		New Measured SAR Value
(normalized to 1.0 W, including drift)					