

## TEST REPORT

**Applicant** : Sharp Corporation, Communication Systems Group  
**Address** : 2-13-1, Iida Hachihonmatsu, Higashi-Hiroshima City, Hiroshima,  
739-0192, JAPAN

**Products** : Cellular Phone  
**Model No.** : 202SH  
**Serial No.** : 004401/11/454413/9

**FCC ID** : APYHRO00182

**Test Standard** : CFR 47 FCC Rules and Regulations Part 24

**Test Results** : **Passed**

**Date of Test** : November 12 ~ 16, 2012



Kousei Shibata

Manager

Japan Quality Assurance Organization

KITA-KANSAI Testing Center

SAITO EMC Branch

7-3-10, Saito-asagi, Ibaraki-shi, Osaka 567-0085, Japan

- 
- The measurement values stated in Test Report was made with traceable to National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST) of Japan and National Institute of Information and Communications Technology (NICT) of Japan.
  - The applicable standard, testing condition and testing method which were used for the tests are based on the request of the applicant.
  - The test results presented in this report relate only to the offered test sample.
  - The contents of this test report cannot be used for the purposes, such as advertisement for consumers.
  - This test report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written approval of JQA.
  - VLAC does not approve, certify or warrant the product by this test report.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
1 Description of the Equipment Under Test.....	3
2 Summary of Test Results.....	4
3 Test Procedure.....	5
4 Test Location.....	5
5 Recognition of Test Laboratory.....	5
6 Details of the Equipment Under Test.....	6
7 Details of the Test Item.....	9

**DEFINITIONS FOR ABBREVIATION AND SYMBOLS USED IN THIS TEST REPORT****EUT** : Equipment Under Test**AE** : Associated Equipment**N/A** : Not Applicable**N/T** : Not Tested**EMC** : Electromagnetic Compatibility**EMI** : Electromagnetic Interference**EMS** : Electromagnetic Susceptibility - indicates that the listed condition, standard or equipment is applicable for this report. - indicates that the listed condition, standard or equipment is not applicable for this report.

## 1 Description of the Equipment Under Test

1. Manufacturer : Sharp Corporation, Communication Systems Group  
2-13-1, Iida Hachihonmatsu, Higashi-Hiroshima City, Hiroshima,  
739-0192, JAPAN
2. Products : Cellular Phone
3. Model No. : 202SH
4. Serial No. : 004401/11/454413/9
5. Product Type : Pre-production
6. Date of Manufacture : October, 2012
7. Power Rating : 4.0VDC (Internal Lithium-ion Battery SHBCU1 770mAh)
8. EUT Grounding : None
9. Transmitting Frequency : 1850.2 MHz(512CH) – 1909.8MHz(810CH)
10. Receiving Frequency : 1930.2 MHz(512CH) – 1989.8MHz(810CH)
11. Emission Designations : 243KGXW
12. Max. RF Output Power : 0.891W (EIRP)
13. Category : Broadband PCS
14. EUT Authorization : Certification
15. Received Date of EUT : November 9, 2012

### 16. Channel Plan

The carrier spacing is 200 kHz.

The carrier frequency is designated by the absolute frequency channel number (ARFCN).

The carrier frequency is expressed in the equation shown as follows:

$$\text{Transmitting Frequency (in MHz)} = 1850.2 + 0.2 \times (n - 512)$$

$$\text{Receiving Frequency (in MHz)} = 1930.2 + 0.2 \times (n - 512)$$

where, n : channel number ( $512 \leq n \leq 810$ )

## 2 Summary of Test Results

Applied Standard : CFR 47 FCC Rules and Regulations Part 24  
Subpart E - Broadband PCS

The EUT described in clause 1 was tested according to the applied standard shown above.  
Details of the test configuration is shown in clause 6.

The conclusion for the test items of which are required by the applied standard is indicated under the test result.

- The test result was **passed** for the test requirements of the applied standard.
- The test result was **failed** for the test requirements of the applied standard.
- The test result was **not judged** the test requirements of the applied standard.

In the approval of test results,

- Determining compliance with the limits in this report was based on the results of the compliance measurement, not taking into account measurement instrumentation uncertainty.
- No deviations were employed from the applied standard.
- No modifications were conducted by JQA to achieve compliance to the limitations.

Reviewed by:

Tested by:



Shigeru Kinoshita  
Deputy Manager  
JQA KITA-KANSAI Testing Center  
SAITO EMC Branch



Shigeru Osawa  
Deputy Manager  
JQA KITA-KANSAI Testing Center  
SAITO EMC Branch

### 3 Test Procedure

Test Requirements : CFR 47 FCC Rules and Regulations Part 2  
§2.1046, §2.1047, §2.1049, §2.1051, §2.1053, §2.1055 and §2.1057

Test Procedure : ANSI C63.4–2003, TIA/EIA–603-C-2004

### 4 Test Location

Japan Quality Assurance Organization (JQA)  
KITA-KANSAI Testing Center  
7-7, Ishimaru, 1-chome, Minoh-shi, Osaka, 562-0027, Japan  
SAITO EMC Branch  
7-3-10, Saito-asagi, Ibaraki-shi, Osaka 567-0085, Japan

### 5 Recognition of Test Laboratory

JQA KITA-KANSAI Testing Center SAITO EMC Branch is accredited under ISO/IEC 17025 by following accreditation bodies and the test facility is registered by the following bodies.

VLAC Accreditation No. : VLAC-001-2 (Expiry date : March 30, 2014)  
VCCI Registration No. : A-0002 (Expiry date : March 30, 2014)  
BSMI Registration No. : SL2-IN-E-6006, SL2-A1-E-6006 (Expiry date : September 14, 2013)  
IC Registration No. : 2079E-3, 2079E-4 (Expiry date : July 20, 2014)

Accredited as conformity assessment body for Japan electrical appliances and material law by METI.  
(Expiry date : February 22, 2013)

## 6 Details of the Equipment Under Test

### 6.1 Operating Condition

The test were carried under one modulation type shown as follows:

Modulation Burst Signal : DATA TSC 5 in accordance with GSM 05.02.

The Radiated Emission test were carried under 3 test configurations shown in clause 6.3.

In all tests, the fully charged battery is used for the EUT.

Detailed Transmitter portion:

Transmitter frequency : 1850.2 MHz(512CH) – 1909.8 MHz(810CH)

Local frequency : 1850.2 MHz(512CH) – 1909.8 MHz(810CH)

Detailed Receiver portion:

Receiver frequency : 1930.2 MHz(512CH) – 1989.8 MHz(810CH)

Local frequency : 3860.4MHz(512ch) – 3979.6MHz(810ch)

Other Clock Frequency

32.768 kHz, 26.0 MHz

The EUT was rotated through three orthogonal axis (X, Y and Z axis) in radiated measurement.

The EUT with temporary antenna port was used in conducted measurement.

## 6.2 Test Configuration

The equipment under test (EUT) consists of :

	Item	Manufacturer	Model No.	Serial No.	FCC ID
A	Cellular Phone	Sharp	202SH	004401/11/ 454413/9	APYHRO00182
B	Lithium-ion Battery	Sharp	SHBCU1	--	N/A
C	AC Adapter	Sharp	ZTDAA1	--	N/A
D	Headset (Include Conversion cable)	Softbank Mobile	ZTCAA1	--	N/A

The auxiliary equipment used for testing :


None

Type of Cable:


No.	Description	Identification (Manu. etc.)	Connector Shielded	Cable Shielded	Ferrite Core	Length (m)
1	DC Power Cord	--	NO	--	NO	1.5
2	Headset Cable	--	NO	--	NO	0.6

**6.3 Test Arrangement (Drawings)**

a) Single Unit




b) AC Adapter used



120VAC 60Hz

c) Headset used



**7 Details of the Test Item****7.1 RF Power Output (§2.1046)**

For the requirements,  - Applicable  - Tested.  - Not tested by applicant request.]  
 - Not Applicable

For the limits,  - Passed  - Failed  - Not judged

**7.1.1 Worst Point and Measurement Uncertainty**

Transmitter Power is 704.7 mW at 1850.200 MHz

Uncertainty of Measurement Results at Amplitude +/-0.7 dB(2σ)

Remarks : \_\_\_\_\_

**7.1.2 Test Site**

KITA-KANSAI Testing Center


Test site : SAITO  - Anechoic chamber (A1)  - Measurement room (M1)  
 - Measurement room (M2)  - Measurement room (M3)  
 - Shielded room (S1)  - Shielded room (S2)  
 - Shielded room (S3)  - Shielded room (S4)

**7.1.3 Test Instruments**

Type	Model	Manufacturer	ID No.	Last Cal.	Interval
Power Meter	N1911A	Agilent	B-63	2012/7	1 Year
Power Sensor	N1921A	Agilent	B-64	2012/7	1 Year
Attenuator	54A-10	Weinschel	D-28	2012/9	1 Year
RF Cable	SUCOFLEX102	SUHNER	C-52	2012/7	1 Year

**7.1.4 Test Method and Test Setup (Diagrammatic illustration)**

The Conducted RF Power Output was measured with a power meter, one 10dB attenuator and a short, low loss cable.



**7.1.5 Test Data****(GSM-PCS1900)**Test Date: November 12, 2012Temp.: 22 °C, Humi: 40 %

<b>Transmitting Frequency CH</b>	<b>[MHz]</b>	<b>Correction Factor [dB]</b>	<b>Meter Reading (Peak) [dBm]</b>	<b>Results (Peak) [dBm]</b>	<b>[mW]</b>
512	1850.200	9.99	18.49	28.48	704.7
661	1880.000	9.99	18.46	28.45	699.8
810	1909.800	9.99	18.33	28.32	679.2

Calculated result at 1850.200 MHz, as the maximum level point shown on underline:

Correction Factor	=	9.99	dB
+ ) Meter Reading	=	18.49	dBm
Result	=	28.48	dBm = 704.7 mW

NOTE : The correction factor shows the attenuation pad loss including the short, low loss cable or adapter.

**7.2 ERP / EIRP RF Power Output**

For the requirements,  - Applicable  - Tested.  - Not tested by applicant request.]  
 - Not Applicable

For the limits,  - Passed  - Failed  - Not judged

**7.2.1 Worst Point and Measurement Uncertainty**

Min. Limit Margin \_\_\_\_\_ 3.5 \_\_\_\_\_ dB at \_\_\_\_\_ 1850.200 \_\_\_\_\_ MHz

Uncertainty of Measurement Results \_\_\_\_\_ +/-2.2 \_\_\_\_\_ dB(2σ)

Remarks : The maximum EIRP is 0.891 W at 1850.200 MHz.

**7.2.2 Test Site**

KITA-KANSAI Testing Center SAITO EMC Branch

- Anechoic chamber A1  - Anechoic chamber A2

**7.2.3 Test Instruments**

Type	Model	Manufacturer	ID No.	Last Cal.	Interval
Test Receiver	ESU 26	Rohde & Schwarz	A-6	2012/4	1 Year
Signal Generator	E8257D	Agilent	B-39	2012/8	1 Year
Power Meter	N1911A	Agilent	B-63	2012/7	1 Year
Power Sensor	N1921A	Agilent	B-64	2012/7	1 Year
Attenuator(RX)	54A-10	Weinschel	D-30	2012/9	1 Year
Attenuator(TX)	54A-10	Weinschel	D-28	2012/9	1 Year
RF Cable(RX)	SUCOFLEX104	SUHNER	C-66	2012/1	1 Year
RF Cable(TX)	SUCOFLEX 102EA	SUHNER	C-69	2012/1	1 Year
Horn Antenna(TX)	91889-2	EATON	C-40-2	2011/6	2 Years
Horn Antenna(RX)	91889-2	EATON	C-41-2	2012/6	1 Year

#### 7.2.4 Test Method and Test Setup (Diagrammatic illustration)

##### Step 1:

In order to obtain the maximum emission, the EUT was placed at the height 1.5 m on the non-conducted support and was varying at three orthogonal axes, at the distance 3 m from the receiving antenna and rotated around 360 degrees.

The receiving antenna height was varied from 1 m to 4 m.

The EUT on the table was placed to be maximum emission against at the receiving antenna polarized (vertical and horizontal).

Then the meter reading of the spectrum analyzer at the maximum emission was A dB( $\mu$ V).

##### Step 2:

The EUT was replaced to substitution antenna at the same polarized under the same condition as step 1.

The RF power was fed to the transmitting antenna through the RF amplifier from the signal generator.

In order to obtain the maximum emission level, the height of the receiving antenna was varied from 1 m to 4 m.

The level of maximum emission was A dB( $\mu$ V), same as the recorded level in the step 1.

Then the RF power into the substitution horn antenna was P (dBm).


The ERP/EIRP output power was calculated in the following equation.

$$\text{ERP (dBm)} = \text{P (dBm)} - \text{Balun loss of the tuned dipole antenna (dB)} + \text{Cable loss (dB)}$$


$$\text{EIRP (dBm)} = \text{P (dBm)} + \text{Gh (dBi)}$$

where, Gh (dBi) : Gain of the substitution horn antenna.

– Side View –



(a) EUT



(b) Substitution Horn Antenna

7.2.5 Test Data

(GSM-PCS1900)

Test Date: November 14, 2012

Temp.: 18 °C, Humi: 50 %

1. Measurement Results

CH	Transmitting Frequency [MHz]	Emission Measurement [dB(uV)]		Substitution Measurement [dB(uV)]		Supplied Power to Substitution Antenna [dBm]	Gain of Substitution Antenna [dB]
		Hori. (Mh)	Vert. (Mv)	Hori. (Msh)	Vert. (Msv)		
512	1850.200	92.1	92.8	72.2	72.4	- 5.0	14.1
661	1880.000	92.3	92.5	72.5	72.6	- 5.0	14.3
810	1909.800	92.3	92.1	72.7	72.7	- 5.0	14.5

2. Calculation Results

CH	Transmitting Frequency [MHz]	Peak EIRP [dBm]		Maximum Peak EIRP [W]	Limits [dBm]	Margin [dB]
		(EIRPh)	Vert. (EIRPv)			
512	1850.200	29.0	29.5	0.891	33.0	+ 3.5
661	1880.000	29.1	29.2	0.832	33.0	+ 3.8
810	1909.800	29.1	28.9	0.813	33.0	+ 3.9

Calculated result at 1850.200 MHz, as the worst point shown on underline:

Emission Measurement (Mv)	=	92.8 dB(uV)
Substitution Measurement (Msv)	=	-72.4 dB(uV)
Supplied Power to Substitution Antenna	=	-5.0 dBm
+ ) Gain of Substitution Antenna	=	14.1 dB
Result (EIRPv)	=	29.5 dBm = 0.891 W

Minimum Margin: 33.0 - 29.5 = 3.5 (dB)

NOTE : Setting of measuring instrument(s) :

Detector Function	Resolution B.W.	V.B.W.	Sweep Time
Peak	1 MHz	1 MHz	20 msec.

**7.3 Modulation Characteristics (§2.1047)**

For the requirements,  - Applicable  - Tested.  - Not tested by applicant request.]  
 - Not Applicable

For the limits,  - Passed  - Failed  - Not judged

**7.4 Occupied Bandwidth (§2.1049)**

For the requirements,  - Applicable  - Tested.  - Not tested by applicant request.]  
 - Not Applicable

For the limits,  - Passed  - Failed  - Not judged

**7.4.1 Worst Point and Measurement Uncertainty**

The 99% Bandwidth is 242.8 kHz at 1909.800 MHz  
The 26dB Bandwidth is 316.8 kHz at 1880.000 MHz

Uncertainty of Measurement Results +/-0.9 %(2σ)

Remarks : \_\_\_\_\_

**7.4.2 Test Site**

KITA-KANSAI Testing Center


Test site : SAITO  - Anechoic chamber (A1)  - Measurement room (M1)  
 - Measurement room (M2)  - Measurement room (M3)  
 - Shielded room (S1)  - Shielded room (S2)  
 - Shielded room (S3)  - Shielded room (S4)

**7.4.3 Test Instruments**

Type	Model	Manufacturer	ID No.	Last Cal.	Interval
Spectrum Analyzer	E4446A	Agilent	A-39	2012/9	1 Year
Attenuator	54A-10	Weinschel	D-28	2012/9	1 Year
RF Cable	SUCOFLEX102	SUHNER	C-52	2012/7	1 Year

**7.4.4 Test Method and Test Setup (Diagrammatic illustration)**

The test system is shown as follows:



The setting of the spectrum analyzer are shown as follows:

Res. Bandwidth	10 kHz
Video Bandwidth	30 kHz
Span	1 MHz
Sweep Time	AUTO
Trace	Maxhold


### 7.4.5 Test Data

The resolution bandwidth was set to about 1% of emission bandwidth, -26dBc display line was placed on the screen (or 99% bandwidth), the occupied bandwidth is the delta frequency between the two points where the display line intersects the signal trace.


Test Date : November 12, 2012  
 Temp.:22°C, Humi:40%

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	99% Bandwidth (kHz)	-26dBc Bandwidth (kHz)
512	1850.200	242.5	314.5
661	1880.000	241.8	316.8
810	1909.800	242.8	315.6


Low Channel



### Middle Channel



### High Channel



**7.5 Spurious Emissions at Antenna Terminals (§2.1051)**

For the requirements,  - Applicable  - Tested.  - Not tested by applicant request.]  
 - Not Applicable

For the limits,  - Passed  - Failed  - Not judged

**7.5.1 Worst Point and Measurement Uncertainty**

Min. Limit Margin >37.5 dB at 19098.000 MHz

Uncertainty of Measurement Results  
9 kHz – 1GHz +/-1.0 dB(2 $\sigma$ )  
1GHz – 18GHz +/-1.2 dB(2 $\sigma$ )  
18GHz – 40GHz +/-1.6 dB(2 $\sigma$ )

Remarks : \_\_\_\_\_

**7.5.2 Test Site**

KITA-KANSAI Testing Center

Test site : SAITO  - Anechoic chamber (A1)  - Measurement room (M1)  
 - Measurement room (M2)  - Measurement room (M3)  
 - Shielded room (S1)  - Shielded room (S2)  
 - Shielded room (S3)  - Shielded room (S4)


**7.5.3 Test Instruments**

Type	Model	Manufacturer	ID No.	Last Cal.	Interval
Spectrum Analyzer	E4446A	Agilent	A-39	2012/9	1 Year
Attenuator	54A-10	Weinschel	D-28	2012/9	1 Year
RF Cable	SUCOFLEX102	SUHNER	C-52	2012/7	1 Year
HPF	HPM13899	MICRO-TRONICS	D-96	2012/2	1 Year

**7.5.4 Test Method and Test Setup (Diagrammatic illustration)**

The Antenna Conducted Emission was with a spectrum analyzer. The test system is shown as follows:

a) Frequency Range : 9kHz - 2GHz



b) Frequency Range : 2GHz - 20GHz



The setting of the spectrum analyzer are shown as follows:

Frequency Range	9 kHz - 150 kHz	150 kHz - 30 MHz	30 MHz - 20 GHz
Res. Bandwidth	200 Hz	10 kHz	1 MHz
Video Bandwidth	1 kHz	30 kHz	3 MHz
Sweep Time	AUTO	AUTO	AUTO
Trace	Maxhold	Maxhold	Maxhold

7.5.5 Test Data

(GSM-PCS1900)

Test Date: November 12, 2012

Temp.: 22 °C, Humi: 40 %

Transmitting Frequency CH [MHz]	Measured Frequency [MHz]	Corr. Factor [dB]	Meter Readings [dBm]	Limits [dBm]	Results [dBm]	Margin [dB]	Remarks
512 1850.200	3700.400	11.1	< -63.0	-13.0	< -51.9	> +38.9	C
	5550.600	11.3	< -63.0	-13.0	< -51.7	> +38.7	C
	7400.800	11.7	< -63.0	-13.0	< -51.3	> +38.3	C
	9251.000	12.0	< -63.0	-13.0	< -51.0	> +38.0	C
	11101.200	12.1	< -63.0	-13.0	< -50.9	> +37.9	C
	12951.400	12.1	< -63.0	-13.0	< -50.9	> +37.9	C
	14801.600	12.2	< -63.0	-13.0	< -50.8	> +37.8	C
	16651.800	12.4	< -63.0	-13.0	< -50.6	> +37.6	C
18502.000	12.4	< -63.0	-13.0	< -50.6	> +37.6	C	
661 1880.000	3760.000	11.1	< -63.0	-13.0	< -51.9	> +38.9	C
	5640.000	11.3	< -63.0	-13.0	< -51.7	> +38.7	C
	7520.000	11.7	< -63.0	-13.0	< -51.3	> +38.3	C
	9400.000	12.0	< -63.0	-13.0	< -51.0	> +38.0	C
	11280.000	12.1	< -63.0	-13.0	< -50.9	> +37.9	C
	13160.000	12.0	< -63.0	-13.0	< -51.0	> +38.0	C
	15040.000	12.2	< -63.0	-13.0	< -50.8	> +37.8	C
	16920.000	12.4	< -63.0	-13.0	< -50.6	> +37.6	C
18800.000	12.4	< -63.0	-13.0	< -50.6	> +37.6	C	
810 1909.800	3819.600	11.1	< -63.0	-13.0	< -51.9	> +38.9	C
	5729.400	11.3	< -63.0	-13.0	< -51.7	> +38.7	C
	7639.200	11.7	< -63.0	-13.0	< -51.3	> +38.3	C
	9549.000	12.1	< -63.0	-13.0	< -50.9	> +37.9	C
	11458.800	12.1	< -63.0	-13.0	< -50.9	> +37.9	C
	13368.600	12.0	< -63.0	-13.0	< -51.0	> +38.0	C
	15278.400	12.2	< -63.0	-13.0	< -50.8	> +37.8	C
	17188.200	12.4	< -63.0	-13.0	< -50.6	> +37.6	C
19098.000	12.5	< -63.0	-13.0	< -50.5	> +37.5	C	

Calculated result at 19098.0 MHz, as the worst point shown on underline:

Corr. Factor	=	12.5 dB
+ ) Meter Reading	=	<63.0 dBm
Result	=	<50.5 dBm


Minimum Margin: -13.0 - (<50.5) = >37.5 (dB)

NOTES


1. The spectrum was checked from 9 kHz to 20 GHz.
2. Applied limits : -13.0 [dBm] =  $10\log(TP[mW]) - (43 + 10\log(tp[W])) = 10\log(TP[mW]) - (43 + (10 \log(TP[mW]) - 30))$   
 where,  $tp[W] = TP[mW] / 1000$  : Transmitter power at antenna terminal
3. The correction factor is shown as follows:  
 Corr. Factor [dB] = Cable Loss + 10dB Pad Att. [dB] (9 kHz - 2 GHz)  
 Corr. Factor [dB] = Cable Loss + 10dB Pad Att. + High Pass Filter Loss (D-96) [dB] (over 2 GHz)
4. The symbol of "<" means "or less".
5. The symbol of ">" means "more than".
6. Setting of measuring instrument(s) :

	Detector Function	RES B.W.	V.B.W.	Sweep Time
A	Peak	200 Hz	1 kHz	AUTO
B	Peak	10 kHz	30 kHz	AUTO
C	Peak	1 MHz	3 MHz	AUTO


Low Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (9 kHz – 150 kHz)




Low Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (150 kHz – 30 MHz)




Low Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (30 MHz – 2 GHz)




Low Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (2 GHz – 20 GHz)




### Middle Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (9 kHz – 150 kHz)




### Middle Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (150 kHz – 30 MHz)




### Middle Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (30 MHz – 2 GHz)




### Middle Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (2 GHz – 20 GHz)




High Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (9 kHz – 150 kHz)




High Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (150 kHz – 30 MHz)



High Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (30 MHz – 2 GHz)



High Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (2 GHz – 20 GHz)



**7.6 Band-Edge Emission (§2.1051)**

For the requirements,  - Applicable  - Tested.  - Not tested by applicant request.]  
 - Not Applicable

For the limits,  - Passed  - Failed  - Not judged

**7.6.1 Worst Point and Measurement Uncertainty**

The Band-Edge level is       -16.6       dBm at       1850.0       MHz

Uncertainty of Measurement Results       +/-1.2       dB(2 $\sigma$ )

Remarks : \_\_\_\_\_

**7.6.2 Test Site**

KITA-KANSAI Testing Center


Test site : SAITO  - Anechoic chamber (A1)  - Measurement room (M1)  
 - Measurement room (M2)  - Measurement room (M3)  
 - Shielded room (S1)  - Shielded room (S2)  
 - Shielded room (S3)  - Shielded room (S4)

**7.6.3 Test Instruments**

Type	Model	Manufacturer	ID No.	Last Cal.	Interval
Spectrum Analyzer	E4446A	Agilent	A-39	2012/9	1 Year
Attenuator	54A-10	Weinschel	D-28	2012/9	1 Year
RF Cable	SUCOFLEX102	SUHNER	C-52	2012/7	1 Year

**7.6.4 Test Method and Test Setup (Diagrammatic illustration)**

The test system is shown as follows:



The setting of the spectrum analyzer are shown as follows:

TX Frequency	1850.20 MHz / 1909.80 MHz
Band-Edge Frequency	1850.00 MHz / 1910.00 MHz
Res. Bandwidth	3 kHz
Video Bandwidth	10 kHz
Span	2 MHz
Sweep Time	AUTO
Trace	Maxhold

**7.6.5 Test Data**


Test Date : November 12, 2012

Temp.:22°C, Humi:40%


(GSM-PCS1900)

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Band-Edge Frequency (MHz)	Band-Edge Level (dBm)
512	1850.200	1850.00	-16.6
810	1909.800	1910.00	-16.6

Low Channel, Band-Edge Emission



High Channel, Band-Edge Emission



**7.7 Field Strength of Spurious Radiation (§2.1053)**

For the requirements,  - Applicable  - Tested.  - Not tested by applicant request.]  
 - Not Applicable

For the limits,  - Passed  - Failed  - Not judged

**7.7.1 Worst Point and Measurement Uncertainty**

Min. Limit Margin 8.1 dB at 15278.400 MHz

Uncertainty of Measurement Results 30 MHz – 1000 MHz +/-1.4 dB(2 $\sigma$ )  
above 1 GHz +/-2.2 dB(2 $\sigma$ )

Remarks : \_\_\_\_\_

**7.7.2 Test Site**

KITA-KANSAI Testing Center SAITO EMC Branch

- Anechoic chamber A1

- Anechoic chamber A2

7.7.3 Test Instruments

Type	Model	Manufacturer	ID No.	Last Cal.	Interval
Test Receiver	ESU 26	Rohde & Schwarz	A-6	2012/4	1 Year
Signal Generator	E8257D	Agilent	B-39	2012/8	1 Year
Power Meter	N1911A	Agilent	B-63	2012/7	1 Year
Power Sensor	N1921A	Agilent	B-64	2012/7	1 Year
Horn Antenna(TX)	91889-2	EATON	C-40-2	2011/6	2 Year
Horn Antenna	91888-2	EATON	C-41-1	2012/6	1 Year
Horn Antenna(RX)	91889-2	EATON	C-41-2	2012/6	1 Year
Horn Antenna	3160-04	EMCO	C-55	2011/6	2 Years
Horn Antenna	3160-05	EMCO	C-56	2011/6	2 Years
Horn Antenna	3160-06	EMCO	C-57	2011/6	2 Years
Horn Antenna	3160-07	EMCO	C-58	2011/6	2 Years
Horn Antenna	3160-08	EMCO	C-59	2011/6	2 Years
Horn Antenna)	3160-09	EMCO	C-48	2011/6	2 Years
RF Cable(TX)	SUCOFLEX104	SUHNER	C-63	2012/7	1 Year
RF Cable(RX)	SUCOFLEX102E	SUHNER	C-75	2012/3	1 Year
RF Cable(RX)	SUCOFLEX104	SUHNER	C-66	2012/1	1 Year
RF Cable(RX)	SUCOFLEX104	SUHNER	C-67	2012/1	1 Year
RF Cable(RX)	SUCOFLEX102EA	SUHNER	C-69	2012/1	1 Year
Attenuator(TX)	2-10	Weinschel	D-40	2012/9	1 Year
Attenuator(RX)	54-10	Weinschel	D-30	2012/9	1 Year
Attenuator(RX)	54-10	Weinschel	D-29	2012/9	1 Year
Pre-Amplifier	WJ-6611-513	Watkins Johnson	A-23	2012/1	1 Year
Pre-Amplifier	WJ-6882-824	Watkins Johnson	A-21	2012/1	1 Year
Pre-Amplifier	DBL-0618N515	DBS Microwave	A-33	2012/1	1 Year
Pre-Amplifier	BZ1840LD1	B&Z	A-29	2012/1	1 Year
HPF	HPM13899	MICRO-TRONICS	D-96	2012/2	1 Year

#### 7.7.4 Test Method and Test Setup (Diagrammatic illustration)

Step 1) The spurious radiation for transmitter were measured at the distance 3 m away from the EUT which was placed on a non-conducted support 1.0 m in height and was varying at three orthogonal axes. The receiving antenna was oriented for vertical polarization and varied from 1 m to 4 m until the maximum emission level was detected on the measuring instrument. The EUT was rotated 360 degrees until the maximum emission was received. The measurement was also repeated with the receiving antenna in the horizontal polarization.

This test was carried out using the half-wave dipole antenna for up to 1GHz and using the horn antenna for above 1 GHz.

Step 2)

A) Up to 1 GHz

The ERP measurement was carried out with according to Step 2 in Clause 7.2.4. Then the RF power in the substitution antenna half-wave dipole antenna for up to 1 GHz and the substitution horn antenna for above 1 GHz.

The ERP is calculated in the following equation.

$$ERP(dBm) = P (dBm) - ( \text{Balun Loss of the half-wave dipole Ant. (dB)} ) + \text{Cable Loss (dB)}$$

B) Above 1 GHz

The ERP is calculated from the maximum emission level by the following formula.

$$\frac{e^2}{120\pi} = \frac{eirp}{4\pi d^2} \quad \text{----(Eq.1)}$$

$$erp = eirp - Gd \quad \text{----(Eq.2)}$$

Where,  $e[V/m]$  : Field Strength at measuring distance( $d=3m$ )

$eirp[W]$  : Equivalent Isotropic Radiated Power

$erp[W]$  : Effective Radiated Power

$Gd(dBi)$  : Gain of the substitution half-wave dipole antenna(2.15dBi)

$$eirp = \frac{(de)^2}{30} = \frac{3}{10} e^2$$

$$\therefore 10\log(eirp) = 20\log(e) + 10\log(3/10) = 20\log(e) - 5.23$$

$$10\log(eirp) = EIRP[dBm] - 30$$


$$20\log(e) = E[dB(\mu V/m)] - 120$$

$$\therefore EIRP = E - 120 + 30 - 5.23 = E - 95.23$$


$$\therefore ERP[dBm] = EIRP - 2.15 = E - 97.38$$

The respective calculated ERP of the spurious and harmonics were compared with the ERP of fundamental frequency by specified attenuation limits,  $43+10\log_{10}(TP \text{ in watt})[dB]$ . Where, TP = Transmitter power at the ANT OUT under test configuration as the hands free unit used.

## Radiated Emission 30 MHz to 1000 MHz




## Radiated Emission above 1 GHz



## NOTE

The antenna height is scanned depending on the EUT's size and mounting height.

## Radiated Emission 30 to 1000 MHz – Substitution Method



7.7.5 Test Data

(GSM-PCS1900)

Test Configuration : Single Unit

Test Date: November 13, 2012

Temp.: 19 °C, Humi: 46 %

CH	Transmitting Frequency [MHz]	Measured Frequency [MHz]	ERP [dBm]		Limits [dBm]	Margin [dB]	Remarks
			Hori.	Vert.			
512	1850.200	3700.400	-51.6	-50.2	-13.0	+37.2	C
		5550.600	-40.3	-39.7	-13.0	+26.7	C
		7400.800	< -46.1	< -46.1	-13.0	> +33.1	C
		9251.000	< -41.0	< -41.0	-13.0	> +28.0	C
		11101.200	< -40.3	< -40.3	-13.0	> +27.3	C
		12951.400	< -36.0	< -36.0	-13.0	> +23.0	C
		14801.600	-31.0	-29.6	-13.0	+16.6	C
		16651.800	< -46.5	< -46.5	-13.0	> +33.5	C
		18502.000	< -39.1	< -39.1	-13.0	> +26.1	C
661	1880.000	3760.000	-52.6	-52.4	-13.0	+39.4	C
		5640.000	-45.4	-45.0	-13.0	+32.0	C
		7520.000	< -46.1	< -46.1	-13.0	> +33.1	C
		9400.000	< -40.8	< -40.8	-13.0	> +27.8	C
		11280.000	< -40.4	< -40.4	-13.0	> +27.4	C
		13160.000	< -35.9	< -35.9	-13.0	> +22.9	C
		15040.000	-29.8	-28.5	-13.0	+15.5	C
		16920.000	< -46.7	< -46.7	-13.0	> +33.7	C
		18800.000	< -39.1	< -39.1	-13.0	> +26.1	C
810	1909.800	3819.600	-53.6	-50.2	-13.0	+37.2	C
		5729.400	-41.8	-40.4	-13.0	+27.4	C
		7639.200	< -44.1	< -44.1	-13.0	> +31.1	C
		9549.000	< -40.7	< -40.7	-13.0	> +27.7	C
		11458.800	< -40.6	< -40.6	-13.0	> +27.6	C
		13368.600	< -36.0	< -36.0	-13.0	> +23.0	C
		15278.400	-24.8	-21.1	-13.0	+ 8.1	C
		17188.200	< -46.8	< -46.8	-13.0	> +33.8	C
		19098.000	< -39.0	< -39.0	-13.0	> +26.0	C

Calculated result at 15278.4 MHz, as the worst point shown on underline:  
 Minimum Margin:  $-13.0 - (-21.1) = 8.1$  (dB)

NOTES

1. Test Distance : 3 m
2. The spectrum was checked from 30 MHz to 20 GHz.
3. All emissions not reported were more than 20 dB below the applied limits.
4. Applied limits :  $-13.0$  [dBm] =  $10\log(TP[mW]) - (43 + 10\log(tp[W])) = 10\log(TP[mW]) - (43 + (10 \log(TP[mW]) - 30))$   
 where,  $tp[W] = TP[mW] / 1000$  : Transmitter power at antenna terminal
5. The symbol of "<" means "or less".
6. The symbol of ">" means "more than".
7. Setting of measuring instrument(s) :

	Detector Function	RES B.W.	V.B.W.	Sweep Time
A	Peak	10 kHz	30 kHz	20 msec.
B	Peak	100 kHz	300 kHz	20 msec.
C	Peak	1 MHz	3 MHz	20 msec.

**7.8 Frequency Stability(\$2.1055)**

For the requirements,  - Applicable  - Tested.  - Not tested by applicant request.]  
 - Not Applicable

For the limits,  - Passed  - Failed  - Not judged

**7.8.1 Worst Point and Measurement Uncertainty**

The Frequency Stability level is  -0.05  ppm at  1880.000  MHz

Uncertainty of Measurement Results  +/-0.02  ppm(2σ)

Remarks : \_\_\_\_\_

**7.8.2 Test Site**

KITA-KANSAI Testing Center

Test site : SAITO  - Environment Testing Room  
 MINOH  - Environment Testing Room

**7.8.3 Test Instruments**

Type	Model	Manufacturer	ID No.	Last Cal.	Interval
Universal Radio Communication Tester	CMU200	Rohde & Schwarz	B-21	2012/4	1 Year
DC Voltage Meter	2011-39	YEW	B-33	2012/4	1 Year
Environmental Chamber	SH-641	ESPEC	F-32	2012/7	1 Year
DC Power Supply	NL035-10	TAKASAGO	F-4	N/A	N/A


**7.8.4 Test Method and Test Setup (Diagrammatic illustration)**

**Frequency Stability versus Temperature**

The EUT was placed in an environmental chamber and was tested in the range from -30 to +50 degrees Celsius. The EUT was stabilized at each temperature. The power (4.0VDC) supplied was applied to the transmitter and allowed to stabilize for 10 minutes. The transmitting frequency was measured at startup and 2 minutes, 5 minutes and 10 minutes after startup. This procedure was repeated from -30 to +50 degrees Celsius at the interval of 10 degrees.

**Frequency Stability versus Power Supply Voltage**

The EUT was placed in an environmental chamber and was tested at the temperature of +20 degrees Celsius. The EUT was stabilized at the temperature. The power (4.0VDC) and the power (3.7VDC, the ending voltage) was applied to the EUT allowed to stabilize for 10 minutes. The transmitting frequency was measured at startup and 2 minutes, 5 minutes and 10 minutes after startup.



7.8.5 Test Data

(GSM-PCS1900)

Test Date: November 14, 2012  
- November 16, 2012

1. Frequency Stability Measurement versus Temperature

Transmitting Frequency : 1880.000 MHz (661 ch)  
 DC Supply Voltage : 4.0 VDC

Ambient Temperature [°C]	Startup	Deviation [ppm]			Limits [ppm]	Margin [ppm]
		2 minutes	5 minutes	10 minutes		
-30	- 0.04	- 0.03	- 0.01	- 0.02	N/A	N/A
-20	- 0.04	- 0.02	- 0.03	- 0.02	N/A	N/A
-10	- 0.04	- 0.01	- 0.02	- 0.04	N/A	N/A
0	- 0.04	+ 0.00	- 0.03	- 0.04	N/A	N/A
10	- 0.04	- 0.01	- 0.02	- 0.02	N/A	N/A
20	<u>- 0.05</u>	+ 0.01	- 0.04	- 0.04	N/A	N/A
30	<u>- 0.05</u>	- 0.03	- 0.03	+ 0.01	N/A	N/A
40	- 0.04	- 0.02	+ 0.01	- 0.03	N/A	N/A
50	<u>- 0.05</u>	- 0.02	+ 0.01	- 0.01	N/A	N/A

2. Frequency Stability Measurement versus Power Supply Voltage

Transmitting Frequency : 1880.000 MHz (661 ch)  
 Ambient Temperature: : 20 °C

DC Supply Voltage [V]	Startup	Deviation [ppm]			Limits [ppm]	Margin [ppm]
		2 minutes	5 minutes	10 minutes		
4.0	<u>- 0.05</u>	+ 0.01	- 0.04	- 0.04	N/A	N/A
3.7 (Ending)	<u>- 0.05</u>	- 0.02	- 0.04	+ 0.01	N/A	N/A

Test condition example as the maximum deviation point shown on underline:

Ambient Temperature : 20 °C / Startup

DC Supply Voltage : 4 VDC

NOTE : The measurement were made after all of components of the oscillator sufficiently stabilized at each temperature.