



SAR TEST REPORT

Test Report No. : 31CE0252-HO-01-E

Applicant : Sharp Corporation

Type of Equipment : Mobile Terminal Equipment

Model No. : EB-W51GJ

FCC ID : APYHRO00141

Test regulation : FCC47CFR 2.1093
FCC OET BULLETIN 65, SUPPLEMENT C
(Edition 01-01)

Test Result : Complied

Max. SAR Value : 0.998W/kg (2462MHz)

IEEE802.11b/g :

1. This test report shall not be reproduced in full or partial, without the written approval of UL Japan, Inc.
2. The results in this report apply only to the sample tested.
3. This sample tested is in compliance with the limits of the above regulation.
4. The test results in this test report are traceable to the national or international standards.
5. This test report must not be used by the customer to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any agency of the Federal Government.

Date of test: November 5 and 8, 2010

Representative test engineer: 
Miyo Kishimoto
Engineer of EMC Service

Approved by: 
Mitsuru Fujimura
Manager of EMC Service



This laboratory is accredited by the NVLAP LAB CODE 200572-0, U.S.A. The tests reported herein have been performed in accordance with its terms of accreditation. *As for the range of Accreditation in NVLAP, you may refer to the WEB address, <http://www.ul.com/japan/jpn/pages/services/emc/about/mark1/index.jsp#nvlap>

CONTENTS	PAGE
SECTION 1: Customer information	3
SECTION 2: Equipment under test (E.U.T.)	3
SECTION 3 : Test standard information	4
3.1 Requirements for compliance testing defined by the FCC	4
3.2 Procedure and result	5
3.3 Exposure limit	5
3.4 Test Location.....	6
3.5 Confirmation before SAR testing.....	6
3.6 Confirmation after SAR testing	7
3.7 Measurement procedure	8
3.8 Test setup of EUT	9
SECTION 4 : Operation of E.U.T. during testing	10
4.1 Operating modes for SAR testing	10
SECTION 5 : Test surrounding	11
5.1 Measurement uncertainty	11
SECTION 6 : Confirmation before testing	12
6.1 Correlation of Output Power between EMC and SAR tests	12
6.2 Average power for SAR testing	13
SECTION 7 : Measurement results	14
7.1 BODY SAR 2450MHz	14
APPENDIX 1 : Photographs of test setup	15
1. Photograph of EUT	16
2. Antenna location.....	18
3. Photograph of test setup.....	19
APPENDIX 2 : SAR Measurement data	24
1. Evaluation procedure	25
2. Measurement data (Body SAR).....	26
APPENDIX 3 : Test instruments	35
1. Equipment used	36
2. Dosimetry assessment setup	37
3. Configuration and peripherals	38
4. System components	39
5. Test system specifications	41
6. Simulated Tissues Composition (2450MHz).....	42
7. Simulated Tissue Liquid Parameter confirmation (2450MHz).....	42
8. Body System validation of 2450MHz	43
9. Validation uncertainty	44
10. Validation Measurement data (2450MHz)	45
11. System Validation Dipole (D2450V2,S/N: 713)	46
12. Dosimetric E-Field Probe Calibration (EX3DV3,S/N: 3507).....	55
13. References	66

SECTION 1: Customer information

Company Name : Sharp Corporation
Address : 2-13-1 Iida, Hachihonmatsu, Higashi-Hiroshima City, Hiroshima, 739-0192 Japan
Telephone Number : +81-82-420-1556
Facsimile Number : +81-82-420-1654
Contact Person : Chikashi Saizaki

SECTION 2: Equipment under test (E.U.T.)

2.1 Identification of E.U.T.

Type of Equipment : Mobile Terminal Equipment
Model No. : EB-W51GJ
Serial No. : No.5
Rating : DC5.0V (AC adaptor)
Li-ion polymer battery
Model:6027A0115801
3.7V / 1530mAh (5.66Wh)
Option battery: none
Size : (L)167 x (W)92 x (H)12.9 Display:5.5inch
Accessory : Earphone (typical)
Receipt Date of Sample : November 5, 2010
Country of Mass-production : China
Condition of EUT : Production prototype
(Not for Sale: This sample is equivalent to mass-produced items.)
Modification of EUT : No Modification by the test lab

2.2 Product Description

Model No: EB-W51GJ (referred to as the EUT in this report) is the Mobile Terminal Equipment.

General Specification

Clock frequency in the system : CPU: 800MHz

Radio Specification

Radio Type : Transceiver
Frequency of Operation : 2412-2462MHz
Modulation : DSSS
Power Supply (radio part input) : DC 3.3V / DC1.8V
Antenna type : Chip Antenna
Antenna Gain : 1.93dBi

UL Japan, Inc.

Head Office EMC Lab.

4383-326 Asama-cho, Ise-shi, Mie-ken 516-0021 JAPAN

Telephone : +81 596 24 8116

Facsimile : +81 596 24 8124

SECTION 3 : Test standard information

3.1 Requirements for compliance testing defined by the FCC

The US Federal Communications Commission has released the report and order "Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of RF Radiation", ET Docket No. 93-62 in August 1996. The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g for an uncontrolled environment and 8.0 mW/g for an occupational/controlled environment as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992. According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

1 Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source (wireless portable device).

2 IEEE/ANSI Std. C95.1-1992 limits are used to determine compliance with FCC ET Docket 93-62.

Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01):

Supplement C (Edition 01-01) - Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions

OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01) - Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields

IEEE Std 1528-2003:

IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques Supplement C

In additions:

KDB 447498 D01(v04): Mobile and Portable Device RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies

KDB 248227 (rev.1.2): SAR Measurement Procedures for 802.11a/b/g Transmitters

UL Japan, Inc.

Head Office EMC Lab.

4383-326 Asama-cho, Ise-shi, Mie-ken 516-0021 JAPAN

Telephone : +81 596 24 8116

Facsimile : +81 596 24 8124

3.2 Procedure and result

No.	Item	Test Procedure	Limit	Remarks	Exclusion	Result
1	Human Exposure	FCC OET BULLETIN 65, SUPPLEMENT C	FCC47CFR 2.1093	SAR Measurement	N/A	Complied
Note: UL Japan, Inc. 's SAR Work Procedures QPM46 and QPM47						

Result of Max. SAR value

Max. SAR Value:

IEEE802.11b/g : 0.998W/kg (2462MHz)

3.3 Exposure limit

(A) Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1g of tissue)	Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10g)
0.4	8.0	20.0

(B) Limits for General population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1g of tissue)	Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10g)
0.08	1.6	4.0

Occupational/Controlled Environments: are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

General Population/Uncontrolled Environments: are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

**NOTE:GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE
SPATIAL PEAK(averaged over any 1g of tissue) LIMIT
1.6 W/kg**

UL Japan, Inc.

Head Office EMC Lab.

4383-326 Asama-cho, Ise-shi, Mie-ken 516-0021 JAPAN

Telephone : +81 596 24 8116

Facsimile : +81 596 24 8124

3.4 Test Location

*Shielded room for SAR testings
UL Japan, Inc. Head Office EMC Lab. *NVLAP Lab. code: 200572-0
4383-326 Asama-cho, Ise-shi, Mie-ken 516-0021 JAPAN
Telephone : +81 596 24 8116 Facsimile : +81 596 24 8124

3.5 Confirmation before SAR testing

3.5.1 Correlation of Output Power between EMC and SAR tests

Correlation of Output Power between EMC and SAR tests (WLAN IEEE802.11b/g)

It was checked that the antenna port power was correlated within 0~+5% (FCC requirements)

SAR power is equal to DATA of EMC test. (November 5, 2010) based on the following reason.

- EMC and SAR tests are performed with the same test sample (S/N: No.5) under the same condition.
- EMC and SAR tests are performed at the same laboratory.
- The test mode setting is simple, and there is no possibility that the power (value) is changed by the wrong setting.

The result is shown in Section 6.

3.5.2 Average power for SAR testing

Step.1 Data rate check

The data rate check was measurement all data rate in the middle frequency of each frequency band.

Reference of modulation table

11b		11g	
Modulation	Data rate [Mbps]	Modulation	Data rate [Mbps]
DBPSK	1	BPSK	6
DQPSK	2	BPSK	9
CCK	5.5	QPSK	12
CCK	11	QPSK	18
-	-	16QAM	24
-	-	16QAM	36
-	-	64QAM	48
-	-	64QAM	54

Step.2 Decision of SAR test channel

Mode	GHz	Channel	"Default Test Channel"	
			FCC 15.247	
			802.11b	802.11g
802.11 b/g	2.412	1	√	Δ
	2.437	6	√	Δ
	2.462	11	√	Δ

√ = "default test channels"

Δ = Possible 802.11g channels with maximum average output $\frac{1}{4}$ dB \geq the "default test channels"

UL Japan, Inc.

Head Office EMC Lab.

4383-326 Asama-cho, Ise-shi, Mie-ken 516-0021 JAPAN

Telephone : +81 596 24 8116

Facsimile : +81 596 24 8124

3.6 Confirmation after SAR testing

It was checked that the power drift [W] is within +/-5%. The verification of power drift during the SAR test is that DASY4 system calculates the power drift by measuring the E-field at the same location at beginning and the end of the scan measurement for each test position.

DASY4 system calculation Power drift value[dB] = $20\log(E_a)/(E_b)$

Before SAR testing : E_b [V/m]

After SAR testing : E_a [V/m]

Limit of power drift[W] = +/-5%

$X[\text{dB}] = 10\log[P] = 10\log(1.05/1) = 10\log(1.05) - 10\log(1) = 0.212\text{dB}$

from E-field relations with power.

$S = E \cdot H = E^2 / \eta = P / 4 \pi r^2$ (η : Space impedance)

$P = E^2 \cdot 4 \pi r^2 / \eta$

Therefore, The correlation of power and the E-field

$X[\text{dB}] = 10\log(P) = 10\log(E^2) = 20\log(E)$

From the above mentioned,

The calculated power drift of DASY4 System must be the less than +/-0.212dB.

UL Japan, Inc.

Head Office EMC Lab.

4383-326 Asama-cho, Ise-shi, Mie-ken 516-0021 JAPAN

Telephone : +81 596 24 8116

Facsimile : +81 596 24 8124

3.7 Measurement procedure

1. IEEE 802.11b

Step1. The searching for the modulation

The 11b(DSSS) mode test was performed on the DBPSK(1Mbps) and CCK (11Mbps) modulations because the CCK(11Mbps) was maximum average power.

Step2. The searching for the worst position

This test was performed at the worst modulation of Step1.

Step3. Change to the Low and High channels

This test was performed at the worst conditions of Step2.

2. IEEE 802.11g

According to the KDB 248227 (Rev1.2) SAR Measurement Procedures for 802.11 a/b/g Transmitters, SAR is not required for 802.11g channels when the maximum average output power is less than $\frac{1}{4}$ dB higher than that measured on the corresponding 802.11b channels. Refer to the Section 6.

UL Japan, Inc.

Head Office EMC Lab.

4383-326 Asama-cho, Ise-shi, Mie-ken 516-0021 JAPAN

Telephone : +81 596 24 8116

Facsimile : +81 596 24 8124

3.8 Test setup of EUT

When users operate or carry the EUT, it could be considered to touch or get close to their bodies. In order to assume this situation, we performed the test at the following positions. Please refer to "APPENDIX 1" for more details.

(1) Rear surface:

The test was performed in touch with rear surface of the transmitter to the flat phantom.

(2) Right edge :

The test was performed in touch with right edge of the transmitter to the flat phantom.

(3) Left edge:

The test was performed in touch with left edge of the transmitter to the flat phantom.

(4) Top edge:

The test was performed in touch with top edge of the transmitter to the flat phantom.

(5) Bottom edge:

The test was performed in touch with bottom edge of the transmitter to the flat phantom.

UL Japan, Inc.

Head Office EMC Lab.

4383-326 Asama-cho, Ise-shi, Mie-ken 516-0021 JAPAN

Telephone : +81 596 24 8116

Facsimile : +81 596 24 8124

SECTION 4 : Operation of E.U.T. during testing

4.1 Operating modes for SAR testing

4.1.1 Setting of EUT

This EUT was tested in IEEE.802.11b/g continuous transmitting modes by the following test tool.

Software name for test tool : adb version 7.0.0.0
Power setting : 11b:17dBm 11g:15dBm

The frequency band and the modulation used in the testing of IEEE.802.11b/g are shown as a following.

1. IEEE 802.11b mode
Tx frequency band : 2412-2462MHz
Channel : 1ch(2412MHz),6ch(2437MHz),11ch(2462MHz)
Modulation : DSSS
Crest factor : 1 (Duty 100%)

2. IEEE 802.11g mode
Tx frequency band : 2412-2462MHz
Channel : 1ch(2412MHz),6ch(2437MHz),11ch(2462MHz)
Modulation : OFDM
Crest factor : 1 (Duty 100%)

Note: IEEE802.11g mode was measured in power testing only.

UL Japan, Inc.

Head Office EMC Lab.

4383-326 Asama-cho, Ise-shi, Mie-ken 516-0021 JAPAN

Telephone : +81 596 24 8116

Facsimile : +81 596 24 8124

SECTION 5 : Test surrounding

5.1 Measurement uncertainty

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the DASY4 measurement system according to the SPEAG documents[6][7] and is given in the following Table.

Error Description	Uncertainty value \pm %	Probability distribution	divisor	(ci) 1g	Standard Uncertainty (1g)	vi or veff
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 6.8	Normal	1	1	± 6.8	∞
Axial isotropy of the probe	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	± 1.9	∞
Spherical isotropy of the probe	± 9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(cp)^{1/2}$	± 3.9	∞
Boundary effects	± 2.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.2	∞
Probe linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.7	∞
Detection limit	± 1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.6	∞
Readout electronics	± 0.3	Normal	1	1	± 0.3	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.5	∞
Integration time	± 2.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.5	∞
RF ambient Noise	± 3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.7	∞
RF ambient Reflections	± 3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.7	∞
Probe Positioner	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.5	∞
Probe positioning	± 9.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 5.7	∞
Max.SAR Eval.	± 4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.3	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device positioning	± 2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9	8
Device holder uncertainty	± 3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6	4
Power drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.9	∞
Phantom and Setup						
Phantom uncertainty	± 4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.3	∞
Liquid conductivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	± 1.8	∞
Liquid conductivity (meas.)	± 5.0	Rectangular	1	0.64	± 3.2	∞
Liquid permittivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Liquid permittivity (meas.)	± 5.0	Rectangular	1	0.6	± 3.0	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty						
					± 13.453	
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)						
					± 26.9	

UL Japan, Inc.

Head Office EMC Lab.

4383-326 Asama-cho, Ise-shi, Mie-ken 516-0021 JAPAN

Telephone : +81 596 24 8116

Facsimile : +81 596 24 8124

SECTION 6 : Confirmation before testing

6.1 Correlation of Output Power between EMC and SAR tests

6.1.1 EMC power

This data is reference data of EMC test.

Date of test: November 5, 2010

[IEEE 802.11b 11Mbps]

Freq. [MHz]	Reading [dBm]	Cable Loss [dB]	Atten. [dB]	Result	
				[dBm]	[mW]
2412	6.45	2.67	10.08	19.20	83.08
2437	6.63	2.51	10.08	19.22	83.50
2462	6.33	2.44	10.08	18.85	76.67

[IEEE 802.11g 6Mbps]

Freq. [MHz]	Reading [dBm]	Cable Loss [dB]	Atten. [dB]	Result	
				[dBm]	[mW]
2412	11.50	2.67	10.08	24.25	265.77
2437	11.44	2.51	10.08	24.03	252.76
2462	11.14	2.44	10.08	23.66	232.09

Sample Calculation:

Result = Reading + Cable Loss (including the cable(s) customer supplied) + Attenuator

6.1.2 SAR power

Date of test: November 5, 2010

[IEEE 802.11b 11Mbps]

Freq. [MHz]	Reading [dBm]	Cable Loss [dB]	Atten. [dB]	SAR Result		EMC Result		Difference between SAR and EMC [dB]
				[dBm]	[mW]	[dBm]	[mW]	
2412	6.45	2.67	10.08	19.20	83.08	19.20	83.08	0.00
2437	6.63	2.51	10.08	19.22	83.50	19.22	83.50	0.00
2462	6.33	2.44	10.08	18.85	76.67	18.85	76.67	0.00

[IEEE 802.11g 6Mbps]

Freq. [MHz]	Reading [dBm]	Cable Loss [dB]	Atten. [dB]	SAR Result		EMC Result		Difference between SAR and EMC [dB]
				[dBm]	[mW]	[dBm]	[mW]	
2412	11.50	2.67	10.08	24.25	265.77	24.25	265.77	0.00
2437	11.44	2.51	10.08	24.03	252.76	24.03	252.76	0.00
2462	11.14	2.44	10.08	23.66	232.09	23.66	232.09	0.00

Sample Calculation:

Result = Reading + Cable Loss (including the cable(s) customer supplied) + Attenuator

UL Japan, Inc.

Head Office EMC Lab.

4383-326 Asama-cho, Ise-shi, Mie-ken 516-0021 JAPAN

Telephone : +81 596 24 8116

Facsimile : +81 596 24 8124

6.2 Average power for SAR testing

Date of test: November 5, 2010

[IEEE802.11b] Rate Check

Rate [Mbps]	Freq. [MHz]	P/M Reading [dBm]		Cable Loss [dB]	Atten. [dB]	Result			
		PK	AVG			[dBm]		[mW]	
						PK	AVG	PK	AVG
1.0	2437	6.31	4.26	2.51	10.08	18.90	16.85	77.57	48.38
2.0	2437	6.54	4.36	2.51	10.08	19.13	16.95	81.79	49.51
5.5	2437	6.12	4.06	2.51	10.08	18.71	16.65	74.25	46.21
11.0	2437	6.63	4.50	2.51	10.08	19.22	17.09	83.50	51.13

[IEEE802.11b 11Mbps]

Ch	Frequency [MHz]	P/M Reading [dBm]		Cable Loss [dB]	Atten. [dB]	Result			
		PK	AVG			[dBm]		[mW]	
						PK	AVG	PK	AVG
1	2412	6.45	4.32	2.67	10.08	19.20	17.07	83.08	50.87
6	2437	6.63	4.50	2.51	10.08	19.22	17.09	83.50	51.13
11	2462	6.33	4.17	2.44	10.08	18.85	16.69	76.67	46.63

[IEEE802.11g] Rate Check

Rate [Mbps]	Freq. [MHz]	P/M Reading [dBm]		Cable Loss [dB]	Atten. [dB]	Result			
		PK	AVG			[dBm]		[mW]	
						PK	AVG	PK	AVG
6.0	2437	11.44	2.50	2.51	10.08	24.02	15.09	252.35	32.26
9.0	2437	10.95	1.97	2.51	10.08	23.00	14.56	199.53	28.56
12.0	2437	10.79	1.89	2.51	10.08	22.76	14.48	188.80	28.03
18.0	2437	11.24	2.27	2.51	10.08	23.59	14.86	228.56	30.60
24.0	2437	11.40	2.42	2.51	10.08	23.90	15.01	245.47	31.67
36.0	2437	11.25	2.16	2.51	10.08	23.49	14.75	223.36	29.83
48.0	2437	11.23	2.15	2.51	10.08	23.46	14.74	221.82	29.76
54.0	2437	11.22	2.05	2.51	10.08	23.35	14.64	216.27	29.09

** : SAR is not required for 802.11g channels when the maximum average output power is less than 1/4dB higher than that measured on the corresponding 802.11b channels.

Sample Calculation:

Result = Reading + Cable Loss (including the cable(s) customer supplied) + Attenuator

UL Japan, Inc.

Head Office EMC Lab.

4383-326 Asama-cho, Ise-shi, Mie-ken 516-0021 JAPAN

Telephone : +81 596 24 8116

Facsimile : +81 596 24 8124

SECTION 7 : Measurement results

7.1 BODY SAR 2450MHz

Model : EB-W51GJ
Serial No. : No.5
Modulation : DSSS
Measured By : Miyo Kishimoto

Date : November 8, 2010
Liquid Depth (cm) : 15.0
Parameters : $\epsilon_r = 50.4$ $\sigma = 1.98$
Ambient temperature(deg.c.) : 24.5
Relative Humidity (%) : 42

BODY SAR RESULT									
Frequency		Modulation	Phantom Section	EUT Set-up Conditions			Liquid Temp.[deg.c]		SAR(1g)
Channel	[MHz]			Antenna	Position	Separatio	Before	After	[W/kg]
Maximum value of multi-peak									
Step 1. Search for the worst modulation									
6	2437	DBPSK(1Mbps)	Flat	Fixed	Rear surface	0	24.0	24.0	0.916
6	2437	CCK(11Mbps)	Flat	Fixed	Rear surface	0	24.0	24.0	0.895
Step 2. Search for the worst position									
6	2437	DBPSK(1Mbps)	Flat	Fixed	Right edge	0	24.0	24.0	0.505
6	2437	DBPSK(1Mbps)	Flat	Fixed	Left edge	0	24.0	24.0	0.014
6	2437	DBPSK(1Mbps)	Flat	Fixed	Top edge	0	24.0	24.0	0.00748
6	2437	DBPSK(1Mbps)	Flat	Fixed	Bottom edge	0	24.0	24.0	0.020
Step 3. Change to the channels									
1	2412	DBPSK(1Mbps)	Flat	Fixed	Rear surface	0	24.0	24.0	0.841
11	2462	DBPSK(1Mbps)	Flat	Fixed	Rear surface	0	24.0	24.0	0.998

UL Japan, Inc.

Head Office EMC Lab.

4383-326 Asama-cho, Ise-shi, Mie-ken 516-0021 JAPAN

Telephone : +81 596 24 8116

Facsimile : +81 596 24 8124