Ref: Kenwood Communications Corporation, FCC ID: ALH31103120, 731 Conf #: TC99245, Correspondence Ref # 827

Dear Mr. Coperich:

The Grant condition in question was taken from the "TCB RF Exposure Procedures" guide, distributed by the FCC. The Grant condition was selected because of the device type: specifically, a fixed transmitter operating with an outdoor antenna and was NOT identified in table 1 of §1.1307. On page 5 of this document, in section C, the specific Grant Condition is defined.

The Test lab, in their MPE Report, determined that a safe distance was 1.7M in accordance with their calculations.

We have not been extended any latitude in the Grant Conditions, as they are clearly defined in the referenced document. As I am responding to your inquiries, I am also sending this question to Ray LaForge at his "tcbinfo" e-mail for him to investigate, as we feel that this is a good candidate for some further scrutiny by the TCB "powers that be".

Our question to the both of you is: What happens when a test report shows a safe distance that is less than the safe distance that the Grant Condition specifies? Does the Grant Condition over rule and prevail? In this case the 1.7M that the test lab provided would never be in jeopardy of being crossed if the Grant Condition is heeded as the final word.

Please feel free to contact me with any comments or questions that you have in regard to this. We're eager during this "up-start" TCB process to sort out details such as this and get on track with your procedures and thinking.

Best regards,

Eric Dobson Timco Engineering, Inc.