

Date: February 6, 2002

Re : Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.

FCC ID : ACJ9TGCF-M342

Dear Mr. William H. Graff,

Please find the following replies to your comments dated February 5, 2002;

1) When we submitted the similar application (FCC ID: ACJ9TGCF-M34) with Confidentiality for internal photographs to your Mr. your Timothy Johnson, and then he accepted it. So, please consider it for this project again.

2) Cisco will submit processing gain to you in near future.

3) The spurious level of 1.5GHz is lower when the transmitter power is down. All testing have been performed by the worst conditions (maximum output power mode). Also, on the receiving mode, there is no spurious emission of 1.5GHz range.

4) Since the antenna fitted to EUT can be changed the angle freely, we sought the angle which the radiated emission is worst by changing the antenna angle from 0 to 180 ° . Also, on receiving antenna is transverse electric, the antenna of EUT, when the antenna of EUT is indicated the angle of 180 ° (horizontal), it is the maximum emission. So, we performed the test under the condition of each maximum emission.

5) This antenna fitted to EUT is exclusive use for this model only. Accordingly, it is settled the specification which user can not replace by any another antenna.

6) We submitted the file titled “the manual statement.doc” in the filing. This notice was given from your Mr. Mr. Timothy Johnson. Doesn't it's information enough ? Do they need to replace to the statement which you advised ?

FCC Radiation Exposure Statement:

This equipment complies with FCC radiation exposure limits set forth for an uncontrolled environment.

This equipment should be installed and operated with minimum distance 20cm between the radiator and body (excluding extremities: hands, wrists and feet) and must not be co-located or operated with any antenna or transmitter.

Kanako Sanda

A-Pex International Co., Ltd.