PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.
6660-B Dobbin Road, Columbia, MD 21045 USA
Tel. 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6554
http://www.pctestlab.com

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)

Applicant Name:
Panasonic Corporation of North America
One Pnasonic Way, 4B-8
Secaucus, NJ 07094

Date of Testing:
09/15/2006-09/21/2006
Test Site/Location:
PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA
Test Report Serial No.:
0608220698

FCC ID:	**ACJ9TGCF-192**
APPLICANT:	**PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA**

EUT Type:	Toughbook Model: CF-19
Application Type:	Certification
FCC Rule Part(s):	§2.1093; FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C [July 2001]
FCC Classification:	FCC Part 15 Frequency Hopping Spread Spectrum Transceiver (DSS) Digital Transmission System (DTS)
Model(s):	CF-19
Tx Frequency:	2412 - 2462MHz (DSS/OFDM) / 5745 - 5825MHz (OFDM) 824.70 - 848.31 MHz (Cellular CDMA)/1851.25 - 1908.75 MHz (PCS CDMA)
Conducted Power:	14.25 dBm Conducted (a) 14.68 dBm Conducted (b) 14.24 dBm Conducted (g) 0.289 W Cellular CDMA (EVDO) (24.609 dBm)/ 0.344 W PCS CDMA (EVDO) (25.366 dBm) 19.099 mW (12.81 dBm) Bluetooth Conducted
Max. SAR Measurement:	0.128 W/kg 802.11b Body SAR / 0.960 W/kg 802.11g Body SAR 0.130 W/kg 802.11a Body SAR / 0.060 W/kg Bluetooth Body SAR 0.417 W/kg CDMA EVDO Body SAR/ 0.775 W/kg PCS EVDO Body SAR
Test Device Serial No.:	*Pre-Production* [S/N: N/A]

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-2005 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (2001) and IEEE Std. 1528-2003.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

Grant Conditions: . SAR compliance for body-worn operating configuration is based on a separation distance of 1.5 cm between the bottom of the unit and the body of the user. End-users must be informed of the body-worn operating requirements for satisfying RF exposure compliance.

PCTEST certifies that no party to this application has been denied the FCC benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. 862.

Randy Ortanez
President

2041.01

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION

The FCC has adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency (RF) radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices.[1]

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95.1-2005 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz. (c) 1992 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, New York 10017.[2] The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSI C95.3-2002 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave[3] is used for guidance in measuring the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the International Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields," Report No. Vol 74. SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

1.1 SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (ρ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Fig. 1-1).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{dU}{dm}\right) = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{dU}{\rho\, dv}\right)$$

Figure 1-1
SAR Mathematical Equation

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

$SAR = \sigma E^2 / \rho$

where:

σ = conductivity of the tissue-simulant material (S/m)
ρ = mass density of the tissue-simulant material (kg/m^3)
E = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relation to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.[6]

2 TEST SITE LOCATION

2.1 INTRODUCTION

The map at the right shows the location of the PCTEST LABORATORY in Columbia, Maryland. It is in proximity to the FCC Laboratory, the Baltimore-Washington International (BWI) airport, the city of Baltimore and Washington, DC (See Figure 2-1).

These measurement tests were conducted at the PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc. facility in New Concept Business Park, Guilford Industrial Park, Columbia, Maryland. The site address is 6660-B Dobbin Road, Columbia, MD 21045. The test site is one of the highest points in the Columbia area with an elevation of 390 feet above mean sea level. The site coordinates are 39° 11'15" N latitude and 76° 49' 38" W longitude. The facility is 1.5 miles north of the FCC laboratory, and the ambient signal and ambient signal strength are approximately equal to those of the FCC laboratory. There are no FM or TV transmitters within 15 miles of the site. The detailed description of the measurement facility was found to be in compliance with the requirements of § 2.948 according to ANSI C63.4-2003 on January 27, 2006 and Industry Canada.



Figure 2-1
Map of the Greater Baltimore and Metropolitan Washington, D.C. area

2.2 Test Facility / A2LA Accreditation:

Measurements were performed at an independent accredited PCTEST Engineering Lab located in Columbia, MD 21045, U.S.A.

- PCTEST facility is an FCC registered (PCTEST Reg. No. 90864) test facility with the site description report on file and has met all the requirements specified in Section 2.948 of the FCC Rules and Industry Canada (IC 2451).
- PCTEST Lab is accredited to ISO 17025-2005 by U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP Lab code: 100431-0) in EMC, FCC and Telecommunications.
- PCTEST Lab is accredited to ISO 17025 by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) for Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) testing, CTIA Test Plans, FCC, Hearing-Aid Compatibility (HAC) testing, CTIA OTA and Industry Canada Rules.
- PCTEST Lab is a recognized U.S. Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) in EMC and R&TTE (n.b. 0982) under the US-EU Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA).
- PCTEST TCB is a Telecommunication Certification Body (TCB) accredited to ISO/IEC Guide 65 by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) in all scopes of FCC Rules and all Industry Canada Standards (RSS).
- PCTEST facility is an IC registered (IC-2451) test laboratory with the site description on file at Industry Canada.
- PCTEST is a CTIA Authorized Test Laboratory (CATL) in AMPS and CDMA mobile phones.

3 SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

3.1 Robotic System

Measurements are performed using the DASY4 automated dosimetric assessment system. The DASY4 is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, Pentium 4 computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the generic twin phantom containing the brain equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF) (see Figure 3-1).

3.2 System Hardware

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and a remote control used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the Gateway Pentium 4 2.53 GHz computer with Windows XP system and SAR Measurement Software DASY4, A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card.

3.3 System Electronics



Figure 3-1
SAR Measurement System Setup

The DAE4 consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer. The system is described in detail in [7].

3.4 Automated Test System Specifications

Positioner

Robot:	Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot RX60L
Repeatability:	0.02 mm
No. of Axes:	6

Data Acquisition Electronic System (DAE)

Cell Controller

Processor:	Pentium 4
Clock Speed:	2.53 GHz
Operating System:	Windows XP Professional

Data Converter

Features:	Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter & control logic
Software:	DASY4, SEMCAD software
Connecting Lines:	Optical Downlink for data and status info Optical upload for commands and clock

PC Interface Card

Function:	166MHz low power Pentium MMX 32MB chipdisk Link to DAE 16-bit A/D converter for surface detection system Two Serial & Ethernet link to robotics Direct emergency stop output for robot

Phantom

Type:	SAM Twin Phantom (V4.0)
Shell Material:	Composite
Thickness:	2.0 ± 0.2 mm

Figure 3-2
DASY4 SAR Measurement System

4 DASY E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM

4.1 Probe Measurement System

Figure 4-1
SAR System

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe EX3DV4, designed in the classical triangular configuration [7] (see Fig. 4.2) and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multi-fiber line ending at the front of the probe tip (see Fig. 4.3). It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY4 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2nd order fitting (see Figure 5-1). The approach is stopped at reaching the maximum.

4.2 Probe Specifications

Model:	EX3DV4
Frequency Range:	10 MHz – 6.0 GHz
Calibration:	In brain and muscle simulating tissue at Frequencies from 835 up to 5800MHz
Linearity:	± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Dynamic Range:	10 mW/kg – 100 W/kg
Probe Length:	330 mm
Probe Tip Length:	20 mm
Body Diameter:	12 mm
Tip Diameter:	2.5 mm
Tip-Center:	1 mm
Application:	SAR Dosimetry Testing Compliance tests of mobile phones

Figure 4-2
Probe Thick Film Technique



Figure 4-3
Triangular Probe Configuration

5 PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS

5.1 Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each E-Probe/Probe amplifier combination has unique calibration parameters. A TEM cell calibration procedure is conducted to determine the proper amplifier settings to enter in the probe parameters. The amplifier settings are determined for a given frequency by subjecting the probe to a known E-field density (1 mW/cm^2) using an RF Signal generator, TEM cell, and RF Power Meter.

5.2 Free Space Assessment

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This calibration can be performed in a TEM cell if the frequency is below 1 GHz and in a waveguide or other methodologies above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is rotated 360 degrees until the three channels show the maximum reading. The power density readings equates to 1 mW/cm^2.

5.3 Temperature Assessment

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The E-field in the medium correlates with the temperature rise in the dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

$$SAR = C\frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

where:

Δt = exposure time (30 seconds),
C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),
ΔT = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

$$SAR = \frac{|E|^2 \cdot \sigma}{\rho}$$

where:

σ = simulated tissue conductivity,
ρ = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm3 for brain tissue)

SAR is proportional to $\Delta T/\Delta t$, the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. Now it's possible to quantify the electric field in the simulated tissue by equating the thermally derived SAR to the E- field;



Figure 5-1 E-Field and Temperature measurements at 900MHz [7]



Figure 5-2 E-Field and temperature measurements at 1.9GHz [7]

6 PHANTOM AND EQUIVALENT TISSUES

6.1 SAM Phantoms

Figure 6-1
SAM Phantoms

The SAM Twin Phantom V4.0 is constructed of a fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is based on data from an anatomical study designed to determine the maximum exposure in at least 90% of all users [11][12]. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot. (see Fig. 5.1)

6.2 Brain & Muscle Simulating Mixture Characterization

Figure 6-2
Head Simulated

The brain and muscle mixtures consist of a viscous gel using hydroxethylcellulose (HEC) gelling agent and saline solution (see Table 6-1). Preservation with a bactericide is added and visual inspection is made to make sure air bubbles are not trapped during the mixing process. The mixture is calibrated to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the desired tissue. The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 have been incorporated in the following table. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not bee specified in IEEE-1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations The mixture characterizations used for the brain and muscle tissue simulating liquids are according to the data by C. Gabriel and G. Hartsgrove [13].(See Table 6-1)

Table 6-1
Composition of the Brain & Muscle Tissue Equivalent Matter

Ingredients (% by weight)	FREQUENCY (MHz)											
Tissue Type	450		835		1900		2450		5200 *		5800 *	
	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.40	54.90	40.40	62.70	73.20	60.0 - 78.0	60.0 - 78.0	60.0 - 78.0	60.0 - 78.0
Salt (NaCl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.40	0.18	0.50	0.50	0.04	0.4 - 3.0	0.4 - 3.0	0.4 - 3.0	0.4 - 3.0
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.00	45.00	0.00	58.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Triton X-100	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	36.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DGBE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	44.92	0.00	0.00	26.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Emulsifiers	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.5 - 15.0	0.5 - 15.0	0.5 - 15.0	0.5 - 15.0
Mineral Oil	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.0 - 36.0	11.0 - 36.0	11.0 - 36.0	11.0 - 36.0

Salt: 99% Pure Sodium Chloride
Water: De-ionized, 16M resistivity
DGBE: 99% Di(ethylene glycol) butyl ether, [2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol]
Triton X-100 (ultra pure): Polyethylene glycol mono [4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenyl] ether
Sugar: 98% Pure Sucrose
HEC: Hydroxyethyl Cellulose

*** Speag Proprietary Reciepe**

7 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT & PHANTOM SPECS

7.1 Measurement Procedure

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure:

1. The SAR measurement was taken at a selected spatial reference point to monitor power variations during testing. This fixed point was measured and used as a reference value.
2. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 3.0mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 15mm x 15mm.
3. Based on the area scan data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume of 32mm x 32mm x 30mm (fine resolution volume scan, zoom scan) was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points. On this basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (see Figure 7-1):
   a. The data at the surface was extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm [15]. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
   b. The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions) [15][16]. The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.
   c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as step 1, was re-measured. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation is repeated.



Figure 7-1
Sample SAR Area Scan

7.2 Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) Specifications

The phantom for handset SAR assessment testing is a low-loss dielectric shell, with shape and dimensions derived from the anthropometric data of the 90th percentile adult male head dimensions as tabulated by the US Army. The SAM Twin Phantom shell is bisected along the mid-sagittal plane into right and left halves (see Figure 7-2). The perimeter sidewalls of each phantom halves are extended to allow filling with liquid to a depth that is sufficient to minimized reflections from the upper surface. The liquid depth is maintained at a minimum depth of 15cm to minimize reflections from the upper surface.

Figure 7-2
SAM Twin Phantom Shell

8 DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINTS

8.1 EAR REFERENCE POINT

Figure 8-1 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Twin Phantom. The point "M" is the reference point for the center of the mouth, "LE" is the left ear reference point (ERP), and "RE" is the right ERP. The ERP is 15mm posterior to the entrance to the ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 8-1. The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) is perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Figure 8-2). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning [5].



Figure 8-1
Close-Up Side view of ERP

8.2 HANDSET REFERENCE POINTS

Two imaginary lines on the handset were established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device was placed in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point" (See Figure 8-3). The "test device reference point" was than located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device was positioned so that the "vertical centerline" was bisecting the front surface of the handset at it's top and bottom edges, positioning the "ear reference point" on the outer surface of the both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point.

Figure 8-2
Front, back and side view of SAM Twin Phantom



Figure 8-3
Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line Reference Points

9 TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS

9.1 Body Holster /Belt Clip Configurations

Body-worn operating configurations are tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration. A device with a headset output is tested with a headset connected to the device.

Accessories for Body-worn operation configurations are divided into two categories: those that do not contain metallic components and those that do contain metallic components. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. Then multiple accessories that contain metallic components are tested with the device with each accessory. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (i.e. the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components) only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body is tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices intended to be authorized for body-worn use. In this case, a test configuration with a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used. Test position spacing was documented. Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance with the front of the device positioned to face the flat phantom in brain fluid. For devices that are carried next to the body such as a shoulder, waist or chest-worn transmitters, SAR compliance is tested with the accessories, including headsets and microphones, attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration.

In all cases SAR measurements are performed to investigate the worst-case positioning. Worst-case positioning is then documented and used to perform Body SAR testing.

10 ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

10.1 Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

10.2 Controlled Environment

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT Occupational (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR [1] Brain	1.60	8.00
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR [2] Whole Body	0.08	0.40
SPATIAL PEAK SAR [3] Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.00	20.00

1 The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
2 The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
3 The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

11 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h = c x f/e	i = c x g/e	k
Uncertainty Component	**IEEE 1528 Sec.**	**Tol. (± %)**	**Prob. Dist.**	**Div.**	**c_i 1gm**	**c_i 10 gms**	**1gm u_i (± %)**	**10gms u_i (± %)**	**v_i**
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	6.6	N	1	1.0	1.0	6.6	6.6	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	0.25	N	1	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.2	∞
Hemishperical Isotropy	E.2.2	1.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.3	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	0.4	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.4	0.4	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	0.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.3	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	5.1	N	1	1.0	1.0	5.1	5.1	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0.8	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.6	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.4	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom	E.6.3	2.9	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	1.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.6	∞
Test Sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	E.4.2	6.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	287
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	3.32	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.9	1.9	∞
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.2	5.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom & Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	3.8	N	1	0.64	0.43	2.4	1.6	6
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.60	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	4.5	N	1	0.60	0.49	2.7	2.2	6
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)			RSS				12.4	12.0	299
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			k=2				24.7	24.0	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE Std. 1528-2003

12 SYSTEM VERIFICATION

12.1 Tissue Verification

Table 12-1
Measured Tissue Properties

Calibrated Date:	09/18/06		09/18/06		09/18/06		09/18/06		09/18/06		09/18/06		09/18/06		09/18/06	
	835 MHz Brain		835 MHz Muscle		1900 MHz Brain		1900 MHz Muscle		2450 MHz Brain		2450 MHz Muscle		5800 MHz Brain		5800 MHz Muscle	
	Target	Measured	Target	Measured	Target	Measured	Target	Measured	Target	Measured	Target	Measured	Target	Measured	Target	Measured
Dielectric Constant	41.5	39.9	55.2	54.1	40.0	39.7	53.3	54.7	39.2	39.4	52.7	51.9	35.3	36.2	48.2	47.4
Conductivity	0.90	0.86	0.97	0.94	1.40	1.38	1.52	1.58	1.80	1.81	1.95	1.94	5.27	5.44	6.00	6.19

12.2 Test System Verification

Prior to assessment, the system is verified to ±10% of the specifications at 835, 1900, 2450 and 5800 MHz by using the system validation kit(s). (Graphic Plots Attached)

Table 12-2
System Verification Results

System Verification TARGET & MEASURED							
Date:	**Amb. Temp (?C)**	**Liquid Temp(?C)**	**Input Power (W)**	**Tissue Frequency (Mhz)**	**Targeted SAR_{1g} (mW)**	**Measured SAR_{1g} (mW)**	**Deviation (%)**
9/19/2006	23.8	21.5	**0.250**	**835 MHz Brain**	**2.375**	2.18	-8.21%
9/18/2006	23	21.1	**0.100**	**1900 MHz Brain**	**3.97**	3.7	-6.80%
9/15/2006	23.4	21.5	**0.100**	**1900 MHz Brain**	**5.24**	4.83	-7.82%
09/18/06	23.2	21.3	**0.100**	**2450 MHz Brain**	**5.24**	5.17	-1.33%
09/19/06	23.9	21.3	**0.100**	**2450 MHz Brain**	**5.24**	4.93	-5.91%
09/20/06	23.1	20.9	**0.025**	**5800 MHz Brain**	**2.25**	2.41	7.11%
09/21/06	23.1	21.6	**0.025**	**5800 MHz Brain**	**2.25**	2.33	3.55%



Figure 12-1
System Verification Setup Diagram

Figure 12-2
System Verification Setup Photo

13 SAR DATA SUMMARY

13.1 802.11a Body SAR Results

MEASUREMENT RESULTS											
FREQUENCY		Mode	C_Power[dBm]		LCD	Test Position	Antenna Type	Spacing (cm)	Data Rate (Mbps)	Remarks	SAR
MHz	Ch.		Start	End							(W/kg)
2437	6	DSSS	14.60	14.62	Open	Bystander	Main	1.5	1		0.050
2437	6	DSSS	14.60	14.49	Open	Bystander	Main	1.5	1	w/ Bluetooth	0.053
2437	6	DSSS	14.30	14.46	Open	Bystander	Aux	1.5	1		0.067
2437	6	DSSS	14.60	14.57	Flip	Laptop	Main	0.0	1		0.021
2437	6	DSSS	14.60	14.48	Flip	Laptop	Main	0.0	1	w/ Bluetooth	0.022
2437	6	DSSS	14.30	14.21	Flip	Laptop	Aux	0.0	1		0.016
2437	6	DSSS	14.60	14.46	Flip	Tablet	Main	0.0	1		0.071
2437	6	DSSS	14.60	14.59	Flip	Tablet	Main	0.0	1	w/ Bluetooth	0.064
2437	6	DSSS	14.30	14.22	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0.0	1		**0.130**
2437	6	DSSS	14.70	14.73	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0.0	2		0.125
2437	6	DSSS	14.50	14.54	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0.0	5.5		0.119
2437	6	DSSS	14.20	14.27	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0.0	11		0.113
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005 - SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population**						**Body** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram					

Notes:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Batteries are fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries were tested..
4. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
5. Both sides of the EUT were tested, and the worst-case is reported.
6. Liquid tissue depth is 15.1 cm. ± 0.1.

Randy Ortanez
President

13.2 802.11b Body SAR Results

MEASUREMENT RESULTS											
FREQUENCY		Mode	C_Power[dBm]		LCD	Test Position	Antenna Type	Spacing (cm)	Data Rate (Mbps)	Remarks	SAR
MHz	Ch.		Start	End							(W/kg)
2437	6	OFDM	14.70	14.59	Open	Bystander	Main	1.5	6		0.030
2437	6	OFDM	14.70	14.65	Open	Bystander	Main	1.5	6	w/ Bluetooth	0.034
2437	6	OFDM	14.20	14.40	Open	Bystander	Aux	1.5	6		0.060
2437	6	OFDM	14.70	14.52	Flip	Laptop	Main	0.0	6		0.012
2437	6	OFDM	14.70	14.86	Flip	Laptop	Main	0.0	6	w/ Bluetooth	0.018
2437	6	OFDM	14.20	14.31	Flip	Laptop	Aux	0.0	6		0.017
2437	6	OFDM	14.70	14.67	Flip	Tablet	Main	0.0	6		0.077
2437	6	OFDM	14.70	14.66	Flip	Tablet	Main	0.0	6	w/ Bluetooth	0.078
2437	6	OFDM	14.20	14.25	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0.0	6		**0.128**
2437	6	OFDM	14.40	14.47	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0.0	9		0.125
2437	6	OFDM	14.20	14.03	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0.0	12		0.118
2437	6	OFDM	13.60	13.67	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0.0	18		0.104
2437	6	OFDM	13.50	13.37	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0.0	24		0.099
2437	6	OFDM	13.00	13.02	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0.0	36		0.090
2437	6	OFDM	12.40	12.43	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0.0	48		0.075
2437	6	OFDM	11.50	11.48	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0.0	54		0.091
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005 - SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population**						**Body** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram					

Notes:

7. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C [July 2001].
8. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
9. Batteries are fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries were tested..
10. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
11. Both sides of the EUT were tested, and the worst-case is reported.
12. Liquid tissue depth is 15.1 cm. ± 0.1.

Randy Ortanez
President

13.3 802.11g Body SAR Results

MEASUREMENT RESULTS											
FREQUENCY		Mode	C_Power[dBm]		LCD	Test Position	Antenna Type	Spacing (cm)	Data Rate (Mbps)	Remarks	SAR
MHz	Ch.		Start	End							(W/kg)
5785.00	157	OFDM	13.80	13.93	Open	Bystander	Main	1.5	6		0.037
5785.00	157	OFDM	12.50	12.48	Open	Bystander	Aux	1.5	6		0.388
5785.00	157	OFDM	13.80	13.99	Open	Laptop	Main	1.5	6		0.013
5785.00	157	OFDM	12.50	12.65	Flip	Laptop	Aux	0.0	6		0.017
5785.00	157	OFDM	13.80	13.88	Flip	Tablet	Main	0.0	6		0.172
5785.00	157	OFDM	13.80	13.97	Flip	Tablet	Main	0.0	6	w/ Bluetooth	0.152
5785.00	157	OFDM	12.50	12.50	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0.0	6		0.861
5785.00	157	OFDM	12.40	12.36	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0.0	9		0.823
5785.00	157	OFDM	12.40	12.34	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0.0	12		0.785
5785.00	157	OFDM	12.10	12.08	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0.0	18		0.796
5785.00	157	OFDM	12.00	12.10	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0.0	24		0.779
5785.00	157	OFDM	11.30	11.44	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0	36		0.605
5785.00	157	OFDM	8.50	8.61	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0	48		0.385
5785.00	157	OFDM	6.80	6.93	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0	54		0.225
5745.00	149	OFDM	12.38	12.49	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0	6		0.651
5825.00	165	OFDM	13.15	13.18	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0	6		**0.960**
5825.00	165	OFDM	13.15	13.19	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0	6	w/ CDMA EVDO	0.881
5825.00	165	OFDM	13.50	13.19	Flip	Tablet	Aux	0	6	w/ PCS EVDO	0.815
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005 - SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population**						**Body** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram					

Notes:

14. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C [July 2001].
15. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
16. Batteries are fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries were tested..
17. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
18. Both sides of the EUT were tested, and the worst-case is reported.
19. Liquid tissue depth is 15.1 cm. ± 0.1.

Randy Ortanez
President

13.4 CDMA EVDO Body SAR Results

MEASUREMENT RESULTS											
FREQUENCY		Mode	C_Power[dBm]		Position	Spacing	Antenna Type	LCD	Data Rate (Mbps)	Remarks	SAR
MHz	Ch.		Start	End							(W/kg)
836.52	384	CDMA	25.05	25.10	Bystander	1.5 cm	-	Open	307.2	-	0.096
836.52	384	CDMA	25.05	25.09	Laptop	0.0 cm	-	Flip	307.2	-	0.111
836.52	384	CDMA	25.05	25.04	Tablet	0.0 cm	-	Flip	307.2	-	**0.417**
836.52	384	CDMA	25.05	25.08	Tablet	0.0 cm	-	Flip	307.2	w/ 802.11b	0.413
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005 - SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population**					**Body** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram						

Notes:

21. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C [July 2001].
22. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
23. Batteries are fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries were tested..
24. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
25. Both sides of the EUT were tested, and the worst-case is reported.
26. Liquid tissue depth is 15.1 cm. ± 0.1.

Randy Ortanez
President

13.5 PCS EVDO Body SAR Results

MEASUREMENT RESULTS											
FREQUENCY		Mode	C_Power[dBm]		Position	Spacing	Antenna Type	LCD	Data Rate (Mbps)	Remarks	SAR
MHz	Ch.		Start	End							(W/kg)
1880.00	1013	CDMA	25.20	25.14	Bystander	1.5 cm	-	Open	307.2	-	0.271
1880.00	384	CDMA	25.20	25.32	Laptop	0.0 cm	-	Flip	307.2	-	0.101
1880.00	777	CDMA	25.20	25.30	Tablet	0.0 cm	-	Flip	307.2	-	**0.775**
1880.00	#N/A	CDMA	25.20	25.17	Tablet	0.0 cm	-	Flip	307.2	w/ 802.11b	0.774
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005 - SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population**					**Body** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram						

Notes:

28. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C [July 2001].
29. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
30. Batteries are fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries were tested..
31. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
32. Both sides of the EUT were tested, and the worst-case is reported.
33. Liquid tissue depth is 15.1 cm. ± 0.1.

Randy Ortanez
President

13.6 Bluetooth Body SAR Results

MEASUREMENT RESULTS											
FREQUENCY		Mode	C_Power[dBm]		Test Position	Spacing	Antenna Type	LCD	Data Rate (Mbps)	Remarks	SAR
MHz	Ch.		Start	End							(W/kg)
2441.00	39	FHSS	11.80	11.92	Bystander	1.5 cm	-	Open	-		0.033
2441.00	39	FHSS	11.80	11.99	Laptop	0.0 cm	-	Flip	-		0.008
2441.00	39	FHSS	11.80	11.80	Tablet	0.0 cm	-	Flip	-		**0.060**
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005 - SAFETY LIMIT **Spatial Peak** **Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population**						**Body** **1.6 W/kg (mW/g)** averaged over 1 gram					

Notes:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Batteries are fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries were tested..
4. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
5. Both sides of the EUT were tested, and the worst-case is reported.
6. Liquid tissue depth is 15.1 cm. ± 0.1.

Randy Ortanez
President

14 EQUIPMENT LIST

EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS		
Type	**Cal Due**	**Serial Number**
Staubli Robot RX60L	Oct 2007	599131-01
Staubli Robot Controller	Oct 2007	PCT592
Staubli Teach Pendant (Joystick)	Oct 2007	3323-00161
Gateway Computer, 2.52GHz/768MB,Windows-XP	N/A	PCT678
SPEAG EDC3	Oct 2007	321
SPEAG DAE4	Sep 2006	649
SPEAG DAE4	Sep 2006	665
SPEAG E-Field Probe EX3DV4	Jan 2007	3550
SPEAG Dummy Probe	Oct 2006	PCT583
SPEAG SAM Twin Phantom V4.0	Oct 2006	PCT666
SPEAG Light Alignment Sensor	Oct 2006	205
SPEAG Validation Dipole D835V2	Feb 2007	PCT512
SPEAG Validation Dipole D1900V2	Feb 2007	PCT613
Rohde & Schwarz CMU200 Base Station Simulator	Oct 2006	650378
Rohde & Schwarz CMU200 Base Station Simulator	Apr 2007	836371
Agilent 8960 Test Communications Set	Jan 2007	GB43193972
SPEAG Freespace 1900MHz Dipole	Feb 2007	1002
SPEAG Freespace 2450 MHz Dipole	Feb 2007	1004
ETS Freespace 835 MHz Dipole	Feb 2007	A005
SPEAG Freespace 835 MHz Dipole	Feb 2007	1003
MW Amp. Model: 5S1G4, (800MHz - 4.2GHz)	Jan 2007	22332
Gigatronics 8651A Power Meter	Jan 2007	1835299
Gigatronics 80701A Sensor(50MHz-18GHz)	Jan 2007	PCT606
HP-8648D (9kHz ~ 4GHz) Signal Generator	Jan 2007	PCT530
HP-8241A (-18GHz) Signal Generator	Jan 2007	
Amplifier Research 5S1G4 AMP	Jan 2007	PCT540
HP-8753E (30kHz ~ 6GHz) Network Analyzer	May 2007	PCT552
HP85070B Dielectric Probe Kit	Jun 2007	PCT501
Ambient Noise/Reflection, etc. (<12mW/kg/<3%of SAR)	N/A	Anechoic Room PCT01

Notes:

The E-field probe was calibrated by SPEAG, by the waveguide technique procedure. Dipole Validation measurement is performed by PCTEST prior to SAR evaluation. The brain simulating material is calibrated by PCTEST using the dielectric probe system and network analyzer to determine the conductivity and permittivity (dielectric constant) of the brain-equivalent material.

15 CONCLUSION

15.1 Measurement Conclusion

The SAR evaluation indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC, with respect to all parameters subject to this test. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because various factors may interact with one another to vary the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide should consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables. [3]

16 REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.

[2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 300kHz to 100GHz, New York: IEEE, April 2006.

[3] ANSI/IEEE C95.3-2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, December 2002.

[4] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01), Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields, July 2001.

[5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. 1528-2003, Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices.

[6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.

[7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.

[8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 120-124.

[9]K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.

[10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.

[11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Head Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.

[12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.

[13] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.

[14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.

[15] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.

[16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

[17] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65, Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields. Supplement C, Dec. 1997.

[18] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.

[19] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.

[20] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgen ssische Technische Hoschschule Z rich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.

APPENDIX A: SAR TEST DATA

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
MMedium: 835 Muscle (σ = 0.94 mho/m, ε_r = 54.05, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Bystander Position; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 09-19-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.5°C; Tissue Temp: 21.4°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(7.56, 7.56, 7.56); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: CDMA EVDO FTAP, Bystander position, LCD Open, Mid.ch

Area Scan (5x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 10.9 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.140 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.096 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.066 mW/g



0 dB = 0.113mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 835 Muscle (σ = 0.94 mho/m, ε_r = 54.05, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Laptop Position; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 09-19-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.5°C; Tissue Temp: 21.4°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(7.56, 7.56, 7.56); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: CDMA EVDO FTAP, Laptopt position, LCD Flip, Mid.ch

Area Scan (6x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 11.3 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.174 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.111 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.074 mW/g



0 dB = 0.131mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 835 Muscle (σ = 0.94 mho/m, ε_r = 54.05, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; LCD Right Side; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 09-19-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.5°C; Tissue Temp: 21.4°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(7.56, 7.56, 7.56); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: CDMA EVDO FTAP, Tablet position, Right side, LCD Flip, Mid.ch

Area Scan (7x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 23.2 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.603 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.417 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.264 mW/g



0 dB = 0.512mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1880 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 1900 Muscle (σ = 1.58 mho/m, ε_r = 54.70, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Bystander Position; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 09-18-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.6°C; Tissue Temp: 21.7°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.3, 6.3, 6.3); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: PCS EVDO FTAP, Bystander position, LCD Open, Mid.ch

Area Scan (5x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 14.3 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.422 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.271 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.170 mW/g



0 dB = 0.325mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1880 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 1900 Muscle (σ = 1.58 mho/m, ε_r = 54.70, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Laptop Position; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 09-18-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.6°C; Tissue Temp: 21.7°C

Probe: EX3DV4- SN3550; ConvF(6.3, 6.3, 6.3); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: PCS EVDO FTAP, Laptopt position, LCD Flip, Mid.ch

Area Scan (6x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 8.82 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.175 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.101 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.059 mW/g



0 dB = 0.121mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1880 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 1900 Muscle (σ = 1.58 mho/m, ε_r = 54.70, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; LCD Right Side; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 09-18-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.6°C; Tissue Temp: 21.7°C

Probe: EX3DV4- SN3550; ConvF(6.3, 6.3, 6.3); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: PCS EVDO FTAP, Tablet position, Right side, LCD Flip, Mid.ch

Area Scan (7x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 23.8 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.27 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.775 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.439 mW/g



0 dB = 0.952mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Muscle (σ = 1.94 mho/m, ε_r = 51.92, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Bystander Position; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 09-18-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.1°C; Tissue Temp: 20°C

Probe: EX3DV4- SN3550; ConvF(6.27, 6.27, 6.27); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Bystander position, Mid.ch, 1Mbps, Main Ant, with Bluetooth

Area Scan (5x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 5.80 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.095 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.053 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.031 mW/g



0 dB = 0.064mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Muscle (σ = 1.94 mho/m, ε_r = 51.92, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Bystander Position; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 09-18-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.1°C; Tissue Temp: 20.2°C

Probe: EX3DV4- SN3550; ConvF(6.27, 6.27, 6.27); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Bystander position, Mid.ch, 1Mbps, Aux Ant

Area Scan (5x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 6.50 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.121 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.067 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.039 mW/g



0 dB = 0.081mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Muscle (σ = 1.94 mho/m, ε_r = 51.92, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Laptop Position; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 09-18-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.1°C; Tissue Temp: 20.2°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.27, 6.27, 6.27); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Laptop position, Mid.ch, 1Mbps, Main Ant, with Bluetooth

Area Scan (6x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 3.95 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.047 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.022 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.011 mW/g



0 dB = 0.100mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Muscle (σ = 1.94 mho/m, ε_r = 51.92, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Laptop Position; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 09-18-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.1°C; Tissue Temp: 20.2°C

Probe: EX3DV4- SN3550; ConvF(6.27, 6.27, 6.27); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Laptop position, Mid.ch, 1Mbps, Aux Ant

Area Scan (6x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 3.40 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.034 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.016 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00878 mW/g



0 dB = 0.100mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Muscle (σ = 1.94 mho/m, ε_r = 51.92, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; LCD Left Side; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 09-18-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.1°C; Tissue Temp: 20°C

Probe: EX3DV4- SN3550; ConvF(6.27, 6.27, 6.27); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Tablet position, Left side, LCD Flip, Mid.ch, 1Mbps, Main Ant

Area Scan (7x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 6.91 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.137 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.071 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.038 mW/g



0 dB = 0.089mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Muscle (σ = 1.94 mho/m, ε_r = 51.92, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; LCD Right Side; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 09-15-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.8°C; Tissue Temp: 21.6°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.27, 6.27, 6.27); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Tablet position, Right side, LCD Flip, Mid.ch, 1Mbps, Aux Ant

Area Scan (7x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 9.31 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.238 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.130 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.071 mW/g



0 dB = 0.162mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: IEEE 802.11g; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Muscle (σ = 1.94 mho/m, ε_r = 51.92, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Bystander Position; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 09-18-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.1°C; Tissue Temp: 20°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.27, 6.27, 6.27); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: IEEE 802.11g, Bystander position, LCD Open, Mid.ch, 6Mbps, Main Ant, with Bluetooth

Area Scan (5x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 4.58 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.062 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.034 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.020 mW/g



0 dB = 0.100mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: IEEE 802.11g; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Muscle (σ = 1.94 mho/m, ε_r = 51.92, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Bystander Position; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 09-18-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.1°C; Tissue Temp: 20°C

Probe: EX3DV4- SN3550; ConvF(6.27, 6.27, 6.27); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: IEEE 802.11g, Bystander position, LCD Open, Mid.ch, 6Mbps, Aux Ant

Area Scan (5x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 6.03 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.109 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.060 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.035 mW/g



0 dB = 0.074mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: IEEE 802.11g; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Muscle (σ = 1.94 mho/m, ε_r = 51.92, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Laptop Position; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 09-18-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.1°C; Tissue Temp: 20.2°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.27, 6.27, 6.27); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: IEEE 802.11g, Laptop position, LCD Flip, Mid.ch, 6Mbps, Main Ant, with Bluetooth

Area Scan (6x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 3.45 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.037 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.018 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00888 mW/g



0 dB = 0.100mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: IEEE 802.11g; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Muscle (σ = 1.94 mho/m, ε_r = 51.92, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Laptop Position; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 09-18-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.1°C; Tissue Temp: 20.2°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.27, 6.27, 6.27); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: IEEE 802.11g, Laptop position, LCD Flip, Mid.ch, 6Mbps, Aux Ant

Area Scan (6x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 3.15 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.035 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.017 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00921 mW/g



0 dB = 0.100mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: IEEE 802.11g; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Muscle (σ = 1.94 mho/m, ε_r = 51.92, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; LCD Left Side; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 09-18-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.1°C; Tissue Temp: 20.2°C

Probe: EX3DV4- SN3550; ConvF(6.27, 6.27, 6.27); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: IEEE802.11g, Tablet position, Left side, LCD Flip, Mid.ch, 6Mbps, Main Ant with Bluetooth

Area Scan (7x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 7.17 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.152 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.078 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.042 mW/g



0 dB = 0.099mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: IEEE 802.11g; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Muscle (σ = 1.94 mho/m, ε_r = 51.92, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; LCD Right Side; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 09-15-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.8°C; Tissue Temp: 21.6°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.27, 6.27, 6.27); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: IEEE 802.11g, Tablet position, Right side, LCD Flip, Mid.ch, 6Mbps, Aux Ant, Area scan

Area Scan (7x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 9.17 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.239 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.128 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.070 mW/g



0 dB = 0.159mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: Bluetooth; Frequency: 2441 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Muscle (σ = 1.94 mho/m, ε_r = 51.92, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Bystander Position; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 09-19-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.2°C; Tissue Temp: 21.2°C

Probe: EX3DV4- SN3550; ConvF(6.27, 6.27, 6.27); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: Bluetooth, Bystander position, LCD Open, Mid.ch

Area Scan (5x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 4.46 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.061 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.033 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.020 mW/g



0 dB = 0.100mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: Bluetooth; Frequency: 2441 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Muscle (σ = 1.94 mho/m, ε_r = 51.92, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Laptop Position; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 09-19-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.2°C; Tissue Temp: 21.2°C

Probe: EX3DV4- SN3550; ConvF(6.27, 6.27, 6.27); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: Bluetooth, Laptop position, LCD Flip, Mid.ch

Area Scan (6x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 2.06 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.011 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.00755 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00426 mW/g



0 dB = 0.100mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: Bluetooth; Frequency: 2441 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Muscle (σ = 1.94 mho/m, ε_r = 51.92, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; LCD Left Side; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 09-19-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.2°C; Tissue Temp: 21.2°C

Probe: EX3DV4- SN3550; ConvF(6.27, 6.27, 6.27); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: Bluetooth, Tablet position, Left Side, LCD Flip, Mid.ch

Area Scan (7x17x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 6.27 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.110 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.060 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.033 mW/g



0 dB = 0.100mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: IEEE 802.11a; Frequency: 5785 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 5800 Muscle (σ = 6.19 mho/m, ε_r = 47.41, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Bystander Position; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 09-21-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.3°C; Tissue Temp: 21.2°C

Probe: EX3DV4- SN3550; ConvF(3.79, 3.79, 3.79); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: IEEE 802.11a, Bystander position, LCD Open, Mid.ch, 6Mbps, Main Ant

Area Scan (7x25x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm
Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm
Reference Value = 2.75 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.207 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.037 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.014 mW/g



0 dB = 0.100mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: IEEE 802.11a; Frequency: 5785 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 5800 Muscle (σ = 6.19 mho/m, ε_r = 47.41, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Bystander Position; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 09-20-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.8°C; Tissue Temp: 21.0°C

Probe: EX3DV4- SN3550; ConvF(3.79, 3.79, 3.79); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: IEEE 802.11a, Bystander position, LCD Open, Mid.ch, 6Mbps, Aux Ant

Area Scan (7x25x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm
Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm
Reference Value = 9.61 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.63 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.388 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.160 mW/g



0 dB = 0.492mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: CF-19; Type: Panasonic Notebook PC with WLAN + Bluetooth + EVDO; Sample #2

Communication System: IEEE 802.11a; Frequency: 5785 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 5800 Muscle (σ = 6.19 mho/m, ε_r = 47.41, ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)
Phantom section: Flat Section; Laptop Position; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 09-21-2006; Ambient Temp: 23.3°C; Tissue Temp: 21.2°C

Probe: EX3DV4- SN3550; ConvF(3.79, 3.79, 3.79); Calibrated: 1/18/2006
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 9/4/2006
Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 44; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 171

Mode: IEEE 802.11a, Laptop position, LCD Flip, Mid.ch, 6Mbps, Main Ant

Area Scan (7x25x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm
Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4.3mm, dy=4.3mm, dz=3mm
Reference Value = 1.01 V/m
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.033 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.013 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00336 mW/g



0 dB = 0.100mW/g