

MORAVIA Consulting, spol. s r.o.

# TEST REPORT

**SCOPE OF WORK**

FCC TESTING–INTF002

**REPORT NUMBER**

SZHH02012187-011

**ISSUE DATE**

Jul 15, 2025

**[REVISED DATE]**

[-----]

**PAGES**

23

**DOCUMENT CONTROL NUMBER**

FCC ID 249\_C

© 2017 INTERTEK



**MORAVIA Consulting, spol. s r.o.**

Application  
For  
Certification

**FCC ID: 2BAYQINTF002**

**Interface**

**Model: INTF002**

2.4GHz Transceiver

Report No.: SZHH02012187-011

We hereby certify that the sample of the above item is considered to comply with the requirements of FCC Part 15, Subpart C for Intentional Radiator, mention 47 CFR [10-1-23]

Prepared and Checked by:

Approved by:

*Sign on file*  
**Terry Tang**  
Assistant Supervisor

---

**Johnny Wang**  
Project Engineer  
Date: Jul 15, 2025

This report is for the exclusive use of Intertek's Client and is provided pursuant to the agreement between Intertek and its Client. Intertek's responsibility and liability are limited to the terms and conditions of the agreement. Intertek assumes no liability to any party, other than to the Client in accordance with the agreement, for any loss, expense or damage occasioned by the use of this report. Only the Client is authorized to permit copying or distribution of this report and then only in its entirety. Any use of the Intertek name or one of its marks for the sale or advertisement of the tested material, product or service must first be approved in writing by Intertek. The observations and test results in this report are relevant only to the sample tested. This report by itself does not imply that the material, product, or service is or has ever been under an Intertek certification program.

**Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Longhua Branch**

101, 201, Building B, No. 308 Wuhe Avenue, Zhangkengjing Community, GuanHu Subdistrict, LongHua District, ShenZhen, P.R. China  
Tel: (86 755) 8601 6288 Fax: (86 755) 8601 6751

**MEASUREMENT/TECHNICAL REPORT**

This report concerns (check one:)                      Original Grant X                      Class II Change \_\_\_\_\_

Equipment Type: DXX - Part 15 Low Power Communication Device Transmitter

---

Deferred grant requested per 47 CFR 0.457(d)(1)(ii)?                      Yes \_\_\_\_\_                      No X

If yes, defer until: \_\_\_\_\_  
date

Company Name agrees to notify the Commission by: \_\_\_\_\_  
date

of the intended date of announcement of the product so that the grant can be issued on that date.

---

Transition Rules Request per 15.37?                      Yes \_\_\_\_\_                      No X

If no, assumed Part 15, Subpart C for intentional radiator – the new 47 CFR [10-1-23 Edition] provision.

---

Report prepared by:

Terry Tang  
Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Longhua Branch  
101, 201, Building B, No. 308 Wuhe Avenue,  
Zhangkengjing Community, GuanHu Subdistrict,  
LongHua District, ShenZhen, P.R. China  
Tel / Fax: 86-755-8601 6288/86-755-8601 6751

## Table of Contents

<b>1.0 Summary of Test Result</b>	4
<b>2.0 General Description</b>	5
2.1 Product Description	5
2.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants	5
2.3 Test Methodology	5
2.4 Test Facility	5
<b>3.0 System Test Configuration</b>	6
3.1 Justification	6
3.2 EUT Exercising Software	6
3.3 Special Accessories	6
3.4 Equipment Modification	6
3.5 Measurement Uncertainty	7
3.6 Support Equipment List and Description	7
<b>4.0 Emission Results</b>	8
4.1 Radiated Test Results	8
4.1.1 Field Strength Calculation	8
4.1.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph	9
4.1.3 Radiated Emissions	9
4.1.4 Transmitter Spurious Emissions	12
<b>5.0 Equipment Photographs</b>	16
<b>6.0 Product Labelling</b>	16
<b>7.0 Technical Specifications</b>	16
<b>8.0 Instruction Manual</b>	16
<b>9.0 Miscellaneous Information</b>	17
9.1 Bandedge Plot	17
9.2 20dB Bandwidth	19
9.3 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization	20
9.4 Transmitter Duty Cycle Calculation FCC Rule 15.35(b, c)	20
9.5 Emissions Test Procedures	21
<b>10.0 Test Equipment List</b>	23

**1.0**     **Summary of Test Result**

Applicant: MORAVIA Consulting, spol. s r.o.

Applicant Address: Olomoucka 83 Brno Czech Republic

Manufacturer: MORAVIA Consulting, spol. s r.o.

Manufacturer Address: Olomoucka 83 Brno Czech Republic

MODEL: INTF002

FCC ID: 2BAYQINTF002

Test Specification	Reference	Results
Transmitter Radiated Emission	15.249 &15.209 &15.205	Pass
Bandedge	15.249 &15.209 &15.205	Pass
20dB Bandwidth	15.215(c)	Pass

Notes: The EUT uses an Integral Antenna which in accordance to Section 15.203 is considered sufficient to comply with the provisions of this section.

## 2.0 General Description

### 2.1 Product Description

The equipment under test (EUT) is an Interface with Bluetooth 5.0 BLE function operating in 2402-2480MHz. The EUT is powered by DC 3.7V (1 x 3.7V rechargeable battery). Once use the USB cable charging to the EUT, the wireless function will be disabled. For more detail information pls. refer to the user manual.

Antenna Type: Integral antenna

Modulation Type: GFSK

Antenna Gain: -0.58dBi

Bluetooth Version: 5.0 BLE (Single Mode)

For electronic filing, the brief circuit description is saved with filename: descri.pdf.

### 2.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants

This is an application for certification of a transceiver for the Interface which has Bluetooth function.

### 2.3 Test Methodology

Radiated emission measurements were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.10 (2013). Radiated emission measurement was performed in Semi-anechoic chamber. For radiated emission measurement, preliminary scans were performed in the semi-anechoic chamber only to determine the worst-case modes. All radiated tests were performed at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters, unless stated otherwise in the "Justification Section" of this Application. All other measurements were made in accordance with the procedures in part 2 of CFR 47.

### 2.4 Test Facility

The Semi-Anechoic chamber and shield room used to collect the radiated data and conducted data are **Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Longhua Branch** and located at 101, 201, Building B, No. 308 Wuhe Avenue, Zhangkengjing Community, GuanHu Subdistrict, LongHua District, ShenZhen, P.R. China. This test facility and site measurement data have been fully placed on file with the FCC (Registration Number: CN1188).

## 3.0 System Test Configuration

### 3.1 Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it), and in the confines as outlined in ANSI C63.10 (2013).

The EUT is powered by DC 3.7V (1 x 3.7V rechargeable battery) during the test, only the worst data was reported in this report.

For maximizing emissions below 30 MHz, the EUT was rotated through 360°, the bottom of the loop antenna was placed 1 meter above the ground, and the antenna polarization was changed. For maximizing emissions, the EUT was rotated through 360°, the antenna height was varied from 1 meter to 4 meters above the ground plane, and the antenna polarization was changed. This step by step procedure for maximizing emissions led to the data reported in Section 4.

The rear of unit shall be flushed with the rear of the table.

The equipment under test (EUT) was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). The EUT was placed on a turn table, which enabled the engineer to maximize emissions through its placement in the three orthogonal axes.

### 3.2 EUT Exercising Software

The EUT exercise program (provided by client) used during testing was designed to exercise the various system components in a manner similar to a typical use.

Test software:

Test software: SSCOM V5.13.1

### 3.3 Special Accessories

No special accessories used.

### 3.4 Equipment Modification

Any modifications installed previous to testing by MORAVIA Consulting, spol. s r.o. will be incorporated in each production model sold / leased in the United States.

No modifications were installed by Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Longhua Branch.

## 3.5 Measurement Uncertainty

When determining the test conclusion, the Measurement Uncertainty of test has been considered.

## 3.6 Support Equipment List and Description

Description	Manufacturer	Remark
Cubetto (Provided by Applicant)	Provided by applicant	Model: CUBT002
Block (Provided by applicant)	Provided by applicant	16PCS



## 4.0 Emission Results

Data is included worst-case configuration (the configuration which resulted in the highest emission levels).

### 4.1 Radiated Test Results

A sample calculation, configuration photographs and data tables of the emissions are included.

#### 4.1.1 Field Strength Calculation

The field strength is calculated by adding the reading on the Spectrum Analyzer to the factors associated with preamplifiers (if any), antennas, cables, pulse desensitization and average factors (when specified limit is in average and measurements are made with peak detectors). A sample calculation is included below.

$$FS = RA + AF + CF - AG + PD + AV$$

Where

- FS = Field Strength in dB $\mu$ V/m
- RA = Receiver Amplitude (including preamplifier) in dB $\mu$ V
- CF = Cable Attenuation Factor in dB
- AF = Antenna Factor in dB/m
- AG = Amplifier Gain in dB
- PD = Pulse Desensitization in dB
- AV = Average Factor in -dB

In the radiated emission table which follows, the reading shown on the data table may reflect the preamplifier gain. An example of the calculations, where the reading does not reflect the preamplifier gain, follows:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF - AG + PD + AV$$

Assume a receiver reading of 62.0 dB $\mu$ V is obtained. The antenna factor of 7.4 dB and cable factor of 1.6 dB is added. The amplifier gain of 29 dB is subtracted. The pulse desensitization factor of the spectrum analyzer was 0 dB, and the resultant average factor was -10 dB. The net field strength for comparison to the appropriate emission limit is 32 dB $\mu$ V/m. This value in dB $\mu$ V/m was converted to its corresponding level in  $\mu$ V/m.

$$\begin{aligned} RA &= 62.0 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V} \\ AF &= 7.4 \text{ dB/m} \\ CF &= 1.6 \text{ dB} \\ AG &= 29.0 \text{ dB} \\ PD &= 0 \text{ dB} \\ AV &= -10 \text{ dB} \\ FS &= 62 + 7.4 + 1.6 - 29 + 0 = 42 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Level in } \mu\text{V/m} = \text{Common Antilogarithm } [(42 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m})/20] = 125.9 \mu\text{V/m}$$

#### 4.1.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph

For electronic filing, the worst case radiated emission configuration photograph is saved with filename: radiated photos. pdf.

#### 4.1.3 Radiated Emissions

The data on the following page lists the significant emission frequencies, the limit and the margin of compliance. Numbers with a minus sign are below the limit.

Worst Case Radiated Emission  
at  
897.665000 MHz

Judgement: Passed by 7.6 dB

#### **TEST PERSONNEL:**

*Sign on file*

Terry Tang, Assistant Supervisor  
*Typed/Printed Name*

Jan 22, 2025  
*Date*

## Test Report

Intertek Report No.: SZHH02012187-011

Applicant: MORAVIA Consulting, spol. s r.o.


Date of Test: Jan 22, 2025

Model: INTF002

Worst Case Operating Mode:

BT Link

ANT Polarity: Horizontal



Frequency (MHz)	QuasiPeak (dBuV/m)	Meas. Time (ms)	Bandwidth (kHz)	Polarization	Corr. (dB/m)	Margin - QPK (dB)	Limit - QPK (dBuV/m)
335.913750	30.2	1000.0	120.000	H	16.1	15.8	46.0
407.936250	32.6	1000.0	120.000	H	18.0	13.4	46.0
897.665000	38.4	1000.0	120.000	H	25.4	7.6	46.0

### Remark:

1. Corr. (dB/m)= Antenna Factor (dB/m) + Cable Loss (dB)
2. QuasiPeak (dBμV/m)= Corr. (dB/m)+ Read Level (dBμV)
3. Margin (dB) = Limit Line(dBμV/m) – Level (dBμV/m)

Applicant: MORAVIA Consulting, spol. s r.o.


Date of Test: Jan 22, 2025

Worst Case Operating Mode:

Model: INTF002

BT Link

ANT Polarity: Vertical



Frequency (MHz)	QuasiPeak (dBuV/m)	Meas. Time (ms)	Bandwidth (kHz)	Polarization	Corr. (dB/m)	Margin - QPK (dB)	Limit - QPK (dBuV/m)
58.857500	26.0	1000.0	120.000	V	7.4	14.0	40.0
99.476250	24.5	1000.0	120.000	V	9.3	19.0	43.5
431.943750	30.2	1000.0	120.000	V	18.5	15.8	46.0

Remark:

1. Corr. (dB/m)= Antenna Factor (dB/m) + Cable Loss (dB)
2. QuasiPeak (dBμV/m)= Corr. (dB/m)+ Read Level (dBμV)
3. Margin (dB) = Limit Line(dBμV/m) – Level (dBμV/m)

## 4.1.4 Transmitter Spurious Emissions (Radiated)

Worst Case Radiated Emission  
at  
2402.000 MHz

For electronic filing, the worst case radiated emission configuration photograph is saved with filename: radiated photos. pdf.

The data on the following page lists the significant emission frequencies, the limit and the margin of compliance. Numbers with a minus sign are below the limit.

Judgement: Passed by 10.6 dB

**TEST PERSONNEL:**

*Sign on file*

Terry Tang, Assistant Supervisor  
*Typed/Printed Name*

Jan 22, 2025  
*Date*

Applicant: MORAVIA Consulting, spol. s r.o.

Date of Test: Jan 22, 2025

Worst Case Operating Mode:

Model: INTF002

Transmitting

Table 1

## Radiated Emissions

(2402 MHz)

Polarization	Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dBμV)	Pre-Amp Gain (dB)	Antenna Factor (dB)	Net at 3m (dBμV/m)	Peak Limit at 3m (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)
Horizontal	2402.000	93.0	36.7	28.1	84.4	114.0	-29.6
Horizontal	4804.000	44.1	36.7	35.5	42.9	74.0	-31.1

Polarization	Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dBμV)	Pre-Amp Gain (dB)	Antenna Factor (dB)	Net at 3m (dBμV/m)	Average Limit at 3m (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)
Horizontal	2402.000	92.0	36.7	28.1	83.4	94.0	-10.6
Horizontal	4804.000	34.3	36.7	35.5	33.1	54.0	-20.9

Notes: 1. Peak detector is used for the emission measurement (RBW=1MHz / VBW=3MHz for Peak value, and RBW=1MHz / VBW=10Hz for Average value; RBW=3MHz is used for fundamental emission measurement).

2. All measurements were made at 3 meter. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3-meter distance were measured at 0.3-meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3-meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.

3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.

4. Horn antenna is used for the emission over 1000MHz.

Applicant: MORAVIA Consulting, spol. s r.o.

Date of Test: Jan 22, 2025

Worst Case Operating Mode:

Model: INTF002

Transmitting

Table 2

## Radiated Emissions

(2440 MHz)

Polarization	Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dBμV)	Pre-Amp Gain (dB)	Antenna Factor (dB)	Net at 3m (dBμV/m)	Peak Limit at 3m (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)
Horizontal	2440.000	90.6	36.7	28.1	82.0	114.0	-32.0
Horizontal	4880.000	44.6	36.7	35.5	43.4	74.0	-30.6

Polarization	Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dBμV)	Pre-Amp Gain (dB)	Antenna Factor (dB)	Net at 3m (dBμV/m)	Average Limit at 3m (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)
Horizontal	2440.000	89.3	36.7	28.1	80.7	94.0	-13.3
Horizontal	4880.000	35.0	36.7	35.5	33.8	54.0	-20.2

Notes: 1. Peak detector is used for the emission measurement (RBW=1MHz / VBW=3MHz for Peak value, and RBW=1MHz / VBW=10Hz for Average value; RBW=3MHz is used for fundamental emission measurement).

2. All measurements were made at 3 meter. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3-meter distance were measured at 0.3-meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3-meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.

3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.

4. Horn antenna is used for the emission over 1000MHz.

Applicant: MORAVIA Consulting, spol. s r.o.

Date of Test: Jan 22, 2025

Worst Case Operating Mode:

Model: INTF002

Transmitting

Table 3

## Radiated Emissions

(2480 MHz)

Polarization	Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dBμV)	Pre-Amp Gain (dB)	Antenna Factor (dB)	Net at 3m (dBμV/m)	Peak Limit at 3m (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)
Horizontal	2480.000	90.1	36.7	28.1	81.5	114.0	-32.5
Horizontal	4960.000	42.8	36.7	35.5	41.6	74.0	-32.4

Polarization	Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dBμV)	Pre-Amp Gain (dB)	Antenna Factor (dB)	Net at 3m (dBμV/m)	Average Limit at 3m (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)
Horizontal	2480.000	88.2	36.7	28.1	79.6	94.0	-14.4
Horizontal	4960.000	32.6	36.7	35.5	31.4	54.0	-22.6

Notes: 1. Peak detector is used for the emission measurement (RBW=1MHz / VBW=3MHz for Peak value, and RBW=1MHz / VBW=10Hz for Average value; RBW=3MHz is used for fundamental emission measurement).

2. All measurements were made at 3 meter. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3-meter distance were measured at 0.3-meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3-meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.

3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.

4. Horn antenna is used for the emission over 1000MHz.



## 5.0 Equipment Photographs

For electronic filing, the photographs of the tested EUT are saved with filename: external photos.pdf & internal photos.pdf.

## 6.0 Product Labelling

For electronic filing, the FCC ID label artwork and the label location are saved with filename: packaging.pdf.

## 7.0 Technical Specifications

For electronic filing, the block diagram and schematics of the tested EUT are saved with filename: block.pdf and circuit.pdf respectively.

## 8.0 Instruction Manual

For electronic filing, a preliminary copy of the Instruction Manual is saved with filename: manual.pdf.

This manual will be provided to the end-user with each unit sold/leased in the United States.

## 9.0 Miscellaneous Information

This miscellaneous information includes details of the measured bandedge, 20dB Bandwidth, the test procedure and calculation of factor such as pulse desensitization.

### 9.1 Bandedge Plot

The test plots are attached as below. From the below plots, the field strength of any emissions outside of the specified frequency band are attenuated to the general radiated emission limits in section 15.209. It fulfils the requirement of 15.249(d).

#### Peak Measurement

Bandedge compliance is determined by applying marker-delta method, i.e (Bandedge Plot).

#### (i) Lower channel 2402.000MHz:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Peak Resultant field strength} &= \text{Fundamental emissions (peak value)} - \text{delta} \\ &\quad \text{from the bandedge plot} \\ &= 84.4\text{dB}\mu\text{V/m} - 41.5\text{dB} \\ &= 42.9\text{dB}\mu\text{V/m}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Average Resultant field strength} &= \text{Fundamental emissions (average value)} - \text{delta} \\ &\quad \text{from the bandedge plot} \\ &= 83.4\text{dB}\mu\text{V/m} - 41.5\text{dB} \\ &= 41.9\text{dB}\mu\text{V/m}\end{aligned}$$


#### (ii) Upper channel 2480.000MHz:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Peak Resultant field strength} &= \text{Fundamental emissions (peak value)} - \text{delta} \\ &\quad \text{from the bandedge plot} \\ &= 81.5\text{dB}\mu\text{V/m} - 42.3\text{dB} \\ &= 39.2\text{dB}\mu\text{V/m}\end{aligned}$$


$$\begin{aligned}\text{Average Resultant field strength} &= \text{Fundamental emissions (average value)} - \text{delta} \\ &\quad \text{from the bandedge plot} \\ &= 79.6\text{dB}\mu\text{V/m} - 42.3\text{dB} \\ &= 37.3\text{dB}\mu\text{V/m}\end{aligned}$$

The resultant field strength meets the general radiated emission limit in section 15.209, which does not exceed 74dB $\mu$ V/m (Peak Limit) and 54dB $\mu$ V/m (Average Limit).

## Lowest frequency Channel





## Highest frequency Channel



## 9.2 20dB bandwidth

Pursuant to FCC part 15 Section 15.215(c), the 20dB bandwidth of the emission was contained within the frequency band designated (mentioned as above) which the EUT operated. The effects, if any, from frequency sweeping, frequency hopping, other modulation techniques and frequency stability over excepted variations in temperature and supply voltage were considered. The test plots are reported as below.



### 9.3 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization

Pulse desensitivity is not applicable for this device since the transmitter transmits the RF signal continuously.

### 9.4 Transmitter Duty Cycle Calculation, FCC Rule 15.35(b, c)

The EUT antenna output port was connected to the input of the spectrum analyzer. The analyzer center frequency was set to EUT RF channel carrier. The SWEP function on the analyzer was set to ZERO SPAN. The Transmitter ON time was determined from the resultant time-amplitude display:

	See attached spectrum analyzer chart (s) for Transmitter timing
	See Transmitter timing diagram provided by manufacturer
x	Not applicable, duty cycle was not used.

## 9.5 Emissions Test Procedures

The following is a description of the test procedure used by Intertek Testing Services in the measurements of transmitters operating under Part 15, Subpart C rules.

The test set-up and procedures described below are designed to meet the requirements of ANSI C63.10 - 2013.

The transmitting equipment under test (EUT) is placed on a styrene turntable which is four feet in diameter and approximately 0.8 meter up to 1GHz and 1.5 meter above 1GHz in height above the ground plane. During the radiated emissions test, the turntable is rotated and any cables leaving the EUT are manipulated to find the configuration resulting in maximum emissions. The EUT is adjusted through all three orthogonal axes to obtain maximum emission levels. The antenna height and polarization are varied during the testing to search for maximum signal levels.

Detector function for radiated emissions is in peak mode. Average readings, when required, are taken by measuring the duty cycle of the equipment under test and subtracting the corresponding amount in dB from the measured peak readings. A detailed description for the calculation of the average factor can be found in section 9.4.

The frequency range scanned is from the lowest radio frequency signal generated in the device which is greater than 9 kHz to the tenth harmonic of the highest fundamental frequency or 40 GHz, whichever is lower.

Detector function for conducted emissions is in QP & AV mode and IFBW setting is 9 kHz from the frequency band 150 kHz to 30MHz.

## 9.5 Emissions Test Procedures (cont'd)

The EUT is warmed up for 15 minutes prior to the test.

AC power to the unit is varied from 85% to 115% nominal and variation in the fundamental emission field strength is recorded. If battery powered, a new, fully charged battery is used.

Conducted measurements are made as described in ANSI C63.10 - 2013.

The IF bandwidth used for measurement of radiated signal strength was 10 kHz for emission below 30 MHz and 120 kHz for emission from 30 MHz to 1000 MHz. Where pulsed transmissions of short enough pulse duration warrant, a greater bandwidth is selected according to the recommendations of Hewlett Packard Application Note 150-2. Above 1000 MHz, a resolution bandwidth of 1 MHz is used (RBW 3MHz used for fundamental emission).

Transmitter measurements are normally conducted at a measurement distance of three meters. However, to assure low enough noise floor in the restricted bands and above 1 GHz, signals are acquired at a distance of one meter or less. All measurements are extrapolated to three meters using inverse scaling, but those measurements taken at a closer distance are so marked.

**10.0 Test Equipment List**

Equipment No.	Equipment	Manufacturer	Model No.	Serial No.	Cal. Date	Due Date
SZ061-13	BiConiLog Antenna	ETS	3142E	00217919	13-Jul-2022	13-Jul-2025
SZ185-04	EMI Receiver	R & S	ESR7	102466	10-Nov-2024	10-Nov-2025
SZ061-09	Horn Antenna	ETS	3115	00092347	14-Oct-2022	14-Oct-2025
SZ061-06	Active Loop Antenna	Electro-Metrics	EM-6876	217	5-May-2024	5-May-2027
SZ061-15	Double-Ridged Waveguide Horn Antenna	ETS	3116C-PA	00224718	14-Jun-2024	14-Jun-2027
SZ056-06	Spectrum Analyzer	R&S	FSV40	101101	10-Nov-2024	10-Nov-2025
SZ181-04	Preamplifier	Agilent	8449B	3008A02474	23-Apr-2024	23-Apr-2025
SZ188-01	Anechoic Chamber	ETS	RFD-F/A-100	4102	12-Dec-2021	12-Dec-2026
SZ062-02	RF Cable	RADIAL	RG 213U	--	1-Nov-2024	1-May -2025
SZ062-05	RF Cable	RADIAL	0.04-26.5GHz	--	1-Nov-2024	1-May -2025
SZ062-12	RF Cable	RADIAL	0.04-26.5GHz	--	1-Nov-2024	1-May -2025
SZ067-04	Notch Filter	Micro-Tronics	BRM5070 2-02	--	23-Apr-2024	23-Apr-2025

\*\*\*\*\* End of Report \*\*\*\*\*