



SAR TEST REPORT

For

Shenzhen Snapmaker Technologies Co., Ltd.

5F, Honglai Kechuang Building 13, Pingshan 1st Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China

FCC ID: 2AVDG-80018XHFW

Report Type: Original Report		Product Type: Snapmaker Modular 3D Printer		
Report Number:	RSZ200416002-SAA			
Report Date:	2020-06-01			
Paviowad Rv	Yates Li		lates Li	
Reviewed By: Prepared By:	Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzher 6/F., West Wing, Third Phase of Wanli Industrial Building, Shihua Road, Futian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-755-33320018 Fax: +86-755-33320008 www.baclcorp.com.cn		se of Wanli İndustrial an Free Trade Zone,	

Note: This report may contain data that are not covered by the A2LA accreditation and are marked with an asterisk "★".

BACL is not responsible for the authenticity of any test data provided by the applicant. Data included from the applicant that may affect test results are marked with an asterisk '*'. Customer model name, addresses, names, trademarks etc. are not considered data

This report cannot be reproduced except in full, without prior written approval of the Company. Unless otherwise stated the results shown in this test report refer only to the sample(s) tested. This report is valid only with a valid digital signature. The digital signature may be available only under the Adobe software above version 7.0.

Attestation of Test Results						
EUT Description Tested Model		Snapmaker Modular 3D Printer				
		Tested Model	A350			
		Multiple Models	A150, A250			
Inf	EUT ormation	Model Differences	Refer to the DoS letter			
		FCC ID	2AVDG-80018XHFW			
		Serial Number	RSZ200416002-SA-S1			
		Test Date	2020/04/27 to 2020/04/30			
	MODE		Max. SAR Level(s) Reported(W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)		
	2.4G WLAN	10g SAR	0.25			
Handheld	5.2G WLAN	10g SAR	0.43	4.0		
	5.8G WLAN	10g SAR	0.61			
	2.4G WLAN	1g SAR	0.03			
Face Up	5.2G WLAN	1g SAR	0.09	1.6		
	5.8G WLAN	1g SAR	0.15			

FCC 47 CFR part 2.1093

Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices

IEEE1528:2013

IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

ANSI / IEEE C95.1:2005

IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fileds, 3 kHz to 300 GHz.

Applicable Standards

ANSI / IEEE C95.3: 2002

IEEE Recommended Practice for Measurements and Computations of Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields With Respect to Human Exposure to SuchFields, 100 kHz—300 GHz.

IEC 62209-2:2010

Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices-Human models, instrumentation, and procedures-Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)

KDB procedures

KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06

KDB 865664 D01 SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04

KDB 865664 D02 RF Exposure Reporting v01r02

KDB 248227 D01 802.11 Wi-Fi SAR v02r02

Note: This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2.1093 and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013 and RF exposure KDB procedures.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

SAR Evaluation Report 2 of 38

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	4
EUT DESCRIPTION	5
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION.	5
REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUIDELINES	6
SAR LIMITS	
FACILITIES	
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM	9
EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION	15
EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION	15
SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION	16
LIQUID VERIFICATION	
SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATIONSAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA	
EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY	21
TEST POSITIONS FOR BODY-WORN AND OTHER CONFIGURATIONS	21
TEST DISTANCE FOR SAR EVALUATION	
CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT	
PROVISION APPLICABLE	
TEST PROCEDURE.	24
MAXIMUM TARGET OUTPUT POWERTEST RESULTS:	
STANDALONE SAR TEST EXCLUSION CONSIDERATIONS	
ANTENNA DISTANCE TO EDGE	
SAR TEST EXCLUSION FOR THE EUT EDGE CONSIDERATIONS RESULT	
SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	28
SAR TEST DATA	28
SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY	31
SAR SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION DESCRIPTION	32
NOTE: WLAN AND BLUETOOTH TRANSMITE WITH A SAME ANTENNA SAR PLOTS	32
SAR PLOTS	33
APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	34
APPENDIX B EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS	36
APPENDIX C PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	37
ADDENDIV D DIDOLE CALIDDATION CEDTIFICATES	20

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

Revision Number	Report Number	Description of Revision	Date of Revision
0	RSZ200416002-SAA	Original Report	2020-06-01

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

SAR Evaluation Report 4 of 38

EUT DESCRIPTION

This report has been prepared on behalf of *Shenzhen Snapmaker Technologies Co., Ltd.* and their product *Snapmaker Modular 3D Printer*, Model: *A350, A150, A250*, FCC ID: *2AVDG-80018XHFW* or the EUT (Equipment under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report.

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

*All measurement and test data in this report was gathered from production sample serial number: RSZ200416002-SA-S1(Assigned by BACL, Shenzhen). The EUT supplied by the applicant was received on 2020/4/20.

Technical Specification

Device Type:	Portable
Exposure Category:	Population / Uncontrolled
Antenna Type(s):	Internal Antenna
Operation Mode:	Wi-Fi, BT
Frequency Band: Conducted RF Power:	2.4G Wi-Fi:2412-2462MHz/2422-2452MHz (TX & RX) 5.2G Wi-Fi: 5180-5240MHz(TX & RX) 5.8G Wi-Fi: 5725-5850MHz(TX & RX) Bluetooth:2402-2480 MHz(TX & RX) 2.4G WLAN: 9.89 dBm 5.2G WLAN: 10.87 dBm 5.8 G WLAN: 10.92 dBm Bluetooth:0.19 dBm
Power Source:	DC 24.0V from adapter
Adapter information	Model: ROSE-0903000 Input: AC 200-240V,50/60Hz,1A Output: DC 9V,3A
Normal Operation:	Handheld and Face Up

SAR Evaluation Report 5 of 38

REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUIDELINES

FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

SAR Evaluation Report 6 of 38

SAR Limits

FCC Limit

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

	SAR (W/kg)				
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)			
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4			
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60	8.0			
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0			

CE Limit

	SAR (W/kg)			
	(General Population /	(Occupational /		
EXPOSURE LIMITS	Uncontrolled Exposure	Controlled Exposure		
	Environment)	Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue)	2.0	10		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

General Population/Uncontrolled environments Spatial Peak limit 1.6W/kg (FCC) & 2 W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

SAR Evaluation Report 7 of 38

FACILITIES

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect data is located at 6/F., West Wing, Third Phase of Wanli Industrial Building, Shihua Road, Futian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China.

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

The test site has been approved by the FCC under the KDB 974614 D01 and is listed in the FCC Public Access Link (PAL) database, FCC Registration No.: 342867, the FCC Designation No.: CN1221.


The test site has been registered with ISED Canada under ISED Canada Registration Number 3062B.

SAR Evaluation Report 8 of 38

DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM


These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY6 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) which is the Fifth generation of the system shown in the figure hereinafter:

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA



DASY6 System Description

The DASY6 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:



SAR Evaluation Report 9 of 38

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Staubli TX=RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- An isotropic field probe optimized and calibrated for the targeted measurement.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal application, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion from optical to electrical signals for the digital communication to the DAE. To use optical surface detection, a special version of the EOC is required. The EOC signal is transmitted to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- The Light Beam used is for probe alignment. This improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer running Win7 professional operating system and the DASY52 software.
- Remote control and teach pendant as well as additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The phantom, the device holder and other accessories according to the targeted measurement.

DASY6 Measurement Server

The DASY6 measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with a 400 MHz Intel ULV Celeron, 128 MB chip-disk and 128 MB RAM. The necessary circuits for communication with the DAE4 (or DAE3) electronics box, as well as the 16-bit AD converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY6 I/O board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.



Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

The measurement server performs all real-time data evaluations of field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements, and handles safety operations. The PC operating system cannot interfere with these time-critical processes. All connections are supervised by a watchdog, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program- controlled robot movements. Furthermore, the measurement server is equipped with an expansion port, which is reserved for future applications. Please note that this expansion port does not have a standardized pinout, and therefore only devices provided by SPEAG can be connected. Connection of devices from any other supplier could seriously damage the measurement server.

Data Acquisition Electronics

The data acquisition electronics (DAE4) consist of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder with a control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information, as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.

The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection.

The input impedance of both the DAE4 as well as of the DAE3 box is 200MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.

SAR Evaluation Report 10 of 38

EX3DV4 E-Field Probes

Frequency	10 MHz to > 6 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in TSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in TSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	10 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g Linearity: \pm 0.2 dB (noise: typically < 1 μ W/g)
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm
Application	High precision dosimetric measurements in any exposure scenario (e.g., very strong gradient fields); the only probe that enables compliance testing for frequencies up to 6 GHz with precision of better 30%.
Compatibility	DASY3, DASY4, DASY52 SAR and higher, EASY4/MRI

SAM Twin Phantom

The SAM Twin Phantom (shown in front of DASY6) is a fiberglass shell phantom with shell thickness 2 mm, except in the ear region where the thickness is increased to 6 mm. The phantom has three measurement areas: 1) Left Head, 2) Right Head, and 3) Flat Section. For larger devices, the use of the ELI-Phantom (shown behind DASY6) is required. For devices such as glasses with a wireless link, the Face Down Phantom is the most suitable (between the SAM Twin and ELI phantoms).

When the phantom is mounted inside allocated slot of the DASY6 platform, phantom reference points can be taught directly in the DASY5 V5.2 software. When the DASY6 platform is used to mount the

Phantom, some of the phantom teaching points cannot be reached by the robot in DASY5 V5.2. A special tool called P1a-P2aX-Former is provided to transform two of the three points, P1 and P2, to reachable locations. To use these new teaching points, a revised phantom configuration file is required.

In addition to our standard broadband liquids, the phantom can be used with the following tissue simulating liquids:



Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA



Sugar-water-based liquids can be left permanently in the phantom. Always cover the liquid when the system is not in use to prevent changes in liquid parameters due to water evaporation.

DGBE-based liquids should be used with care. As DGBE is a softener for most plastics, the liquid should be taken out of the phantom, and the phantom should be dried when the system is not in use (desirable at least once a week).

Do not use other organic solvents without previously testing the solvent resistivity of the phantom. Approximately 25 liters of liquid is required to fill the SAM Twin phantom.

SAR Evaluation Report 11 of 38

ELI Phantom

The ELI phantom is intended for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30MHz to 6 GHz. ELI is fully compatible with the latest draft of the standard IEC 62209-2 and the use of all known tissue simulating liquids. ELI has been optimized for performance and can be integrated into a SPEAG standard phantom table. A cover is provided to prevent evaporation of water and changes in liquid parameters. Reference markings on the phantom allow installation of the complete setup, including all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids, by teaching three points.

The phantom can be used with the following tissue simulating liquids:

- Sugar-water-based liquids can be left permanently in the phantom.
 Always cover the liquid when the system is not in use to prevent changes in liquid parameters due to water evaporation.
- DGBE-based liquids should be used with care. As DGBE is a
 softener for most plastics, the liquid should be taken out of the phantom, and the phantom should be dried
 when the system is not in use (desirable at least once a week).
- Do not use other organic solvents without previously testing the solvent resistivity of the phantom.


Approximately 25 liters of liquid is required to fill the ELI phantom.



The DASY6 system uses the high-precision industrial robots TX60L, TX90XL, and RX160L from St aubli SA (France). The TX robot family - the successor of the well-known RX robot family - continues to offer the features important for DASY6 applications:

- High precision (repeatability 0.02mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Low maintenance costs (virtually maintenance free due to direct drive gears; no belt drives)
- Jerk-free straight movements (brushless synchrony motors; no stepper motors)
- Low ELF interference (motor control fields shielded via the closed metallic construction shields)

The robots are controlled by the Staubli CS8c robot controllers. All information regarding the use and maintenance of the robot arm and the robot controller is provided



Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA



SAR Evaluation Report 12 of 38

Calibration Frequency Points for EX3DV4 E-Field Probes SN: 7522 Calibrated: 2020/04/01

Calibration Frequency	Frequency	Range(MHz)	Conversion Factor			
Point(MHz)	From To		X	Y	Z	
750 Head	650	850	9.92	9.92	9.92	
900 Head	850	1000	9.4	9.4	9.4	
1750 Head	1650	1850	8.21	8.21	8.21	
1900 Head	1850	2000	7.95	7.95	7.95	
2300 Head	2200	2400	7.53	7.53	7.53	
2450 Head	2400	2550	7.15	7.15	7.15	
2600 Head	2550	2700	7.04	7.04	7.04	
5200 Head	5090	5250	5.2	5.2	5.2	
5300 Head	5250	5410	4.96	4.96	4.96	
5600 Head	5490	5700	4.55	4.55	4.55	
5800 Head	5700	5910	4.65	4.65	4.65	

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

Area Scans

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 15mm 2 step integral, with 1.5mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.

Where the system identifies multiple SAR peaks (which are within 25% of peak value) the system will provide the user with the option of assessing each peak location individually for zoom scan averaging.

Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)

The averaging zoom scan volume utilized in the DASY5 software is in the shape of a cube and the side dimension of a 1 g or 10 g mass is dependent on the density of the liquid representing the simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m³ is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10g cube is 21.5mm.

When the cube intersects with the surface of the phantom, it is oriented so that 3 vertices touch the surface of the shell or the center of a face is tangent to the surface. The face of the cube closest to the surface is modified in order to conform to the tangent surface.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications (including FCC) utilize a physical step of 7 x7 x 7 (5mmx5mmx5mm) providing a volume of 30 mm in the X & Y & Z axis.

SAR Evaluation Report 13 of 38

Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEC 62209-1:2016

Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head liquid

Table A.3 - Dielectric properties of the head tissue-equivalent liquid

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

Frequency	Relative permittivity	Conductivity (σ)
MHz	$arepsilon_{ m r}$	S/m
300	45,3	0,87
450	43,5	0,87
750	41,9	0,89
835	41,5	0,90
900	41,5	0,97
1 450	40,5	1,20
1 500	40,4	1,23
1 640	40,2	1,31
1 750	40,1	1,37
1 800	40,0	1,40
1 900	40,0	1,40
2 000	40,0	1,40
2 100	39,8	1,49
2 300	39,5	1,67
2 450	39,2	1,80
2 600	39,0	1,96
3 000	38,5	2,40
3 500	37,9	2,91
4 000	37,4	3,43
4 500	36,8	3,94
5 000	36,2	4,45
5 200	36,0	4,66
5 400	35,8	4,86
5 600	35,5	5,07
5 800	35,3	5,27
6 000	35,1	5,48

NOTE For convenience, permittivity and conductivity values at those frequencies which are not part of the original data provided by Drossos et al. [33] or the extension to 5 800 MHz are provided (i.e. the values shown *in italics*). These values were linearly interpolated between the values in this table that are immediately above and below these values, except the values at 6 000 MHz that were linearly extrapolated from the values at 3 000 MHz and 5 800 MHz.

SAR Evaluation Report 14 of 38

EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION

Equipments List & Calibration Information


Equipment	Model	Model S/N		Calibration Due Date
DASY5 Test Software	DASY52 52.10.2	N/A	NCR	NCR
DASY6 Measurement Server	DASY6 6.0.31	N/A	NCR	NCR
Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	1562	2020/03/03	2021/03/02
E-Field Probe	EX3DV4	7522	2020/04/01	2021/03/31
Mounting Device	MD4HHTV5	SD 000 H01 KA	NCR	NCR
SAM Twin Phantom	SAM-Twin V8.0	1962	NCR	NCR
Dipole, 2450MHz	D2450V2	751	2017/10/12	2020/10/11
Dipole, 5GHz	D5GHzV2	1301 2020/01/1		2023/01/09
Tissue Liquid Head	HBBL600-10000V6	180622-2	Each Time	
Network Analyzer	8753D	3410A08288	2019/07/22	2020/07/21
Dielectric Assessment Kit	DAK-3.5	1248	NCR	NCR
Anritsu Signal Generator	68369B	4114	2019/07/09	2020/07/08
USB wideband power sensor	U2021XA	MY54250003 2019/07/10		2020/07/09
Power Amplifier 5S1G-		71377	NCR	NCR
Amplifier ZVE-8G+		558401902	NCR	NCR
Directional Coupler	4242-10	3307	NCR	NCR
Attenuator	6dB	773-6	NCR	NCR

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

SAR Evaluation Report 15 of 38

SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

Liquid Verification



Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

Liquid Verification Results

Frequency	Liquid Type	Liquid Parameter		l arget Value		Delta (%)		Tolerance
(MHz)	Liquid Type	ε _r	O' (S/m)	$\epsilon_{ m r}$	O' (S/m)	Λε. ΛΟ	(%)	
2412	Head	39.999	1.79	39.28	1.77	1.83	1.13	±5
2442	Head	39.361	1.802	39.22	1.79	0.36	0.67	±5
2450	Head	39.268	1.787	39.20	1.80	0.17	-0.72	±5
2472	Head	39.237	1.86	39.17	1.82	0.17	2.2	±5

*Liquid Verification above was performed on 2020/4/30.

Frequency	Liquid Tono	Liquid Parameter		Target Value		Delta (%)		Tolerance
(MHz)	(Hz) Liquid Type	ε _r	O' (S/m)	$\epsilon_{ m r}$	O' (S/m)	$\Delta \epsilon_{ m r}$	ΔO	(%)
5180	Head	36.366	4.567	36.02	4.64	0.96	-1.57	±5
5200	Head	36.18	4.598	36.00	4.66	0.5	-1.33	±5
5240	Head	36.396	4.679	35.96	4.70	1.21	-0.45	±5
5250	Head	36.077	4.689	35.95	4.71	0.35	-0.45	±5

*Liquid Verification above was performed on 2020/4/27.

Frequency	Liquid Tuno	Liquid Parameter		Target Value		Delta (%)		Tolerance
(MHz)		ε _r	O' (S/m)	ε _r	O' (S/m)	$\Delta \epsilon_{ m r}$	ΔO	(%)
5745	Head	35.841	5.18	35.28	5.22	1.59	-0.77	±5
5785	Head	35.764	5.284	35.22	5.26	1.54	0.46	±5
5800	Head	35.629	5.324	35.3	5.27	0.93	1.02	±5
5825	Head	35.584	5.339	35.28	5.3	0.86	0.74	±5

^{*}Liquid Verification above was performed on 2020/4/29

SAR Evaluation Report 16 of 38

System Accuracy Verification


Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of $\pm 10\%$. The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

The spacing distances in the **System Verification Setup Block Diagram** is given by the following:

- a) $s = 15 \text{ mm} \pm 0.2 \text{ mm for } 300 \text{ MHz} \le f \le 1000 \text{ MHz};$
- b) $s = 10 \text{ mm} \pm 0.2 \text{ mm} \text{ for } 1000 \text{ MHz} < f \le 3000 \text{ MHz};$
- c) $s = 10 \text{ mm} \pm 0.2 \text{ mm}$ for 3 000 MHz $< f \le 6$ 000 MHz.

System Verification Setup Block Diagram



System Accuracy Check Results

Date	Frequency Band (MHz)	Liquid Type	Input Power (mW)	er SAR		SAR to 1W (W/kg)		Delta (%)	Tolerance (%)
2020/4/30	2450	Head	100	1g	5.08	50.8	51.7	-1.741	±10
2020/4/30	2450	Head	100	10g	2.54	25.4	24.2	4.959	±10
2020/4/27	5250	Head	100	1g	8.04	80.4	80.7	-0.372	±10
2020/4/27	5250	Head	100	10g	2.28	22.8	23	-0.870	±10
2020/4/29	5800	Head	100	1g	7.98	79.8	80.2	-0.499	±10
2020/4/29	5800	Head	100	10g	2.24	22.4	22.6	-0.885	±10

^{*}The SAR values above are normalized to 1 Watt forward power.

SAR Evaluation Report 17 of 38

SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA

System Performance 2450 MHz Head

DUT: Dipole 2450MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 751

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz; $\sigma = 1.787$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 39.268$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

• Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(7.15, 7.15, 7.15) @ 2450 MHz;

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 3/3/2020

• Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA; Serial: 1962

• DASY52 52.10.2(1495); SEMCAD X 14.6.12(7450)

Head 2450MHz Pin=100mW/Area Scan (81x121x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 5.59 W/kg

Head 2450MHz Pin=100mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

Reference Value = 55.18 V/m; Power Drift = -0.18 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 5.35 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 5.08 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.54 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 5.18 W/kg



0 dB = 5.18 W/kg = 7.14 dBW/kg

SAR Evaluation Report 18 of 38

System Performance 5250 MHz Head

DUT: Dipole, 5GHz; Type: D5GHZV2; Serial: 1301

Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Frequency: 5250 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium parameters used: f = 5250 MHz; $\sigma = 4.689$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 36.077$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

• Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(5.2, 5.2, 5.2) @ 5250 MHz;

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 3/3/2020

• Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA; Serial: 1962

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Head 5200MHz Pin=100mW/Area Scan (61x81x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 26.28 W/kg

Head 5200MHz Pin=100mW/Zoom Scan (8x8x12)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=2mm


Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

Reference Value = 70.84 V/m; Power Drift = -0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 24.81 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.04 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.28 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 20.5 W/kg



0 dB = 20.5 W/kg = 13.11 dBW/kg

SAR Evaluation Report 19 of 38

System Performance 5800 MHz Head

DUT: Dipole, 5GHz; Type: D5GHZV2; Serial: 1301

Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Frequency: 5800 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium parameters used: f = 5800 MHz; $\sigma = 5.324$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 35.629$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(4.65, 4.65, 4.65) @ 5800 MHz;

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 3/3/2020

Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA; Serial: 1962

• Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Head 5800MHz Pin=100mW/Area Scan (61x81x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) =30.84 W/kg

Head 5800MHz Pin=100mW/Zoom Scan (8x8x12)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=2mm


Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

Reference Value = 74.18 V/m; Power Drift = -0.08 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 28.51 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.98 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.24 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.6 W/kg



0 dB = 19.6 W/kg = 12.92 dBW/kg

SAR Evaluation Report 20 of 38

EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY

Test positions for body-worn and other configurations

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.




Figure 5 - Test positions for body-worn devices

Test positions for Body-supported device


A typical example of a body supported device is a wireless enabled laptop device that among other orientations may be supported on the thighs of a sitting user. To represent this orientation, the device shall be positioned with its base against the flat phantom. Other orientations may be specified by the manufacturer in the user instructions. If the intended use is not specified, the device shall be tested directly against the flat phantom in all usable orientations.

The screen portion of the device shall be in an open position at a 90° angle as seen in Figure below (left side), or at an operating angle specified for intended use by the manufacturer in the operating instructions. Where a body supported device has an integral screen required for normal operation, then the screen-side will not need to be tested if it ordinarily remains 200 mm from the body. Where a screen mounted antenna is present, this position shall be repeated with the screen against the flat phantom as shown in Figure below (right side), if this is consistent with the intended use.

SAR Evaluation Report 21 of 38

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

Other devices that fall into this category include tablet type portable computers and credit card transaction authorisation terminals, point-of-sale and/or inventory terminals. Where these devices may be torso or limb-supported, the same principles for body-supported devices are applied.



a) Portable computer with external antenna plug-in-radio-card (left side) or with internal antenna located in screen section (right side)

Test positions for Hand-held device

Hand-held device means a portable device which is located in a user's hand during its intended use Hand-held usage of the device, not at the head or torso. The device shall be placed directly against the flat phantom as shown in Figure J.1, for those sides of the device that are in contact with the hand during intended use.




Figure J.1 – Test position for hand-held devices, not used at the head or torso

Test Distance for SAR Evaluation

For this case the EUT(Equipment Under Test) is set 0mm away from the phantom, the test distance is 0mm.

SAR Evaluation Report 22 of 38

SAR Evaluation Procedure

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or radiating structures of the EUT, the horizontal grid spacing was 15 mm x 15 mm, and the SAR distribution was determined by integrated grid of 1.5mm x 1.5mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
 - 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

SAR Evaluation Report 23 of 38

CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT


Provision Applicable

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

Test Procedure

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the EMI Test Receiver through Connector.

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA



Maximum Target Output Power

Max Target Power for Production Unit (dBm)							
M. I./D I		Channel					
Mode/Band	Low	Middle	ldle High				
WLAN 2.4G	10.2	10.2	10.2				
WLAN 5.2G	11	11	11				
WLAN 5.8G	11.5	11.5	11.5				
Bluetooth	0.5 0.5 0.5						

Test Results:

WLAN 2.4G:

Mode	Channel	Data Rate	RF Output
Mode	frequency (MHz)	Data Rate	Power(dBm)
	2412		9.89
802.11b	2437	1Mbps	8.98
	2462		9.14
	2412		9.84
802.11g	2437	6Mbps	9.19
	2462		9.21
	2412		9.14
802.11n HT20	2437	MCS0	8.20
	2462		8.12
	2422		9.47
802.11n HT40	2437	MCS0	9.03
	2452		9.84

SAR Evaluation Report 24 of 38

Mode	Channel	Data Rate	RF Output
Mode	frequency (MHz)	Data Rate	Power(dBm)
	5180		10.05
802.11a	5200	6Mbps	10.21
	5240		9.37
	5180		10.82
802.11n HT20	5200	MCS0	10.87
	5240		10.12
000 11 _m HT40	5190	MCSO	9.66
802.11n HT40	5230	MCS0	9.37

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

WLAN 5.8G:

Mode	Channel	Data Rate	RF Output
	frequency (MHz)		Power(dBm)
	5745		10.74
802.11a	5785	6Mbps	10.92
	5825		10.24
	5745		10.91
802.11n HT20	5785	MCS0	10.91
	5825		10.21
802.11n HT40	5755	MCS0	10.85
	5795	MCSU	10.91

Bluetooth:

Mode	Channel frequency (MHz)	RF Output Power (dBm)
	2402	-0.52
DH1	2441	0.06
	2480	-0.64
	2402	-0.11
2DH1	2441	0.02
	2480	-0.58
	2402	0.10
3DH1	2441	0.19
	2480	-0.34


SAR Evaluation Report 25 of 38

Standalone SAR test exclusion considerations

Antennas Location:

Right

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA



Antenna Distance To Edge

Antenna Distance To Edge(mm)							
Antenna	Back	Left	Right	Тор	Bottom		
Bluetooth/ WLAN Ant	<5	10	10	<5	120		

Standalone SAR test exclusion considerations

Mode	EUT Position	Frequency (MHz)	P _{avg} (dBm)	P _{avg} (mW)	Distance (mm)	Calculated value	Threshold (1-g)	SAR Test Exclusion
Bluebooth	Face Up	2441	0.5	1.12	10	0.2	3	Yes

Mode	EUT Position	Frequency (MHz)	P _{avg} (dBm)	P _{avg} (mW)	Distance (mm)	Calculated value	Threshold (10-g)	SAR Test Exclusion
Bluebooth	Handheld	2441	0.5	1.12	0	0.4	7.5	Yes

SAR Evaluation Report 26 of 38

SAR test exclusion for the EUT edge considerations Result

Exclusion Result								
Mode Face Up Back Left Right Top Bottom								
WLAN Required Required Required Required Exclusion								
Bluebooth	Exclusion*	Exclusion*	Exclusion*	Exclusion*	Exclusion*	Exclusion*		

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

Note:

Required: The distance to Edge is less than 25mm, testing is required. **Exclusion*:** SAR test exclusion evaluation has been done above. **Exclusion:** The distance to Edge is more than 25 mm, testing is not required.

SAR Evaluation Report 27 of 38

SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

SAR Test Data

Environmental Conditions

Temperature:	21.4-22.8 ℃	21.3-22.6 ℃	21.4-23.2 ℃
Relative Humidity:	53-59 %	57-68%	56-64 %
ATM Pressure:	101.1 kPa	101.1 kPa	102.2 kPa
Test Date:	2020/4/27	2020/4/29	2020/4/30

Testing was performed by Thomas Deng, Seven Liang, Ricardo Lan.

2.4G WLAN

EUT	Frequency (MHz)		Meas. Avg. Power	Max. Rated Avg.	10	10 g SAR Value (W/Kg)				
Position	(141112)		(dBm)	Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	Plot		
H 11 11 D 1	2412	802.11b	9.89	10.2	1.074	0.123	0.13	1#		
Handheld Back (0mm)	2437	802.11b	8.98	10.2	1.324	0.188	0.25	2#		
(viiiii)	2462	802.11b	9.14	10.2	1.276	0.182	0.23	3#		
11 11 11 1 0	2412	802.11b	9.89	10.2	1.074	0.019	0.02	4#		
Handheld Left (0mm)	2437	802.11b	/	/	/	/	/	/		
(omm)	2462	802.11b	/	/	/	/	/	/		
II. 11.11.D. 1.	2412	802.11b	9.89	10.2	1.074	0.019	0.02	5#		
Handheld Right (0mm)	2437	802.11b	/	/	/	/	/	/		
(omm)	2462	802.11b	/	/	/	/	/	/		
Handheld Top	2412	802.11b	9.89	10.2	1.074	0.035	0.04	6#		
(0mm)	2437	802.11b	/	/	/	/	/	/		
(omm)	2462	802.11b	/	/	/	/	/	/		

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

EUT	Frequency (MHz)	Test Mode	Meas. Avg. Power	Max. Rated Avg.	1	g SAR V	alue (W/K	g)
Position	(WIIIZ)		(dBm)	Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	Plot
Face Up	2412	802.11b	9.89	10.2	1.074	0.022	0.02	7#
(10mm)	2437	802.11b	8.98	10.2	1.324	0.023	0.03	8#
(1011111)	2472	802.11b	9.14	10.2	1.276	0.026	0.03	9#

SAR Evaluation Report 28 of 38

5.2G WLAN

EUT	Frequency (MHz)	Test Mode	101101	Max. Rated Avg.	10 g SAR Value (W/Kg)				
Position	(WIIIZ)		(dBm)	Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	Plot	
	5180	802.11n20	10.82	11	1.042	0.36	0.38	10#	
Handheld Back (0mm)	5200	802.11n20	10.87	11	1.030	0.42	0.43	11#	
(onini)	5240	802.11n20	10.12	11	1.225	0.334	0.41	12#	
11 11 11 1 0	5180	802.11n20	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Handheld Left (0mm)	5200	802.11n20	10.87	11	1.030	0.107	0.11	13#	
(omm)	5240	802.11n20	/	/	/	/	/	/	
TT 11 11 D' 1	5180	802.11n20	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Handheld Right (0mm)	5200	802.11n20	10.87	11	1.030	0.119	0.12	14#	
(Ollilli)	5240	802.11n20	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Handheld Top	5180	802.11n20	/	/	/	/	/	/	
(0mm)	5200	802.11n20	10.87	11	1.030	0.294	0.30	15#	
(onini)	5240	802.11n20	/	/	/	/	/	/	

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

EUT	Frequency (MHz)	Test Mode	Meas. Avg. Power	Max. Rated Avg.	1	g SAR V	alue (W/K	g)
Position	(WIIIZ)		(dBm)	Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	Plot
Face Un	5180	802.11n20	10.82	11	1.042	0.076	0.08	16#
Face Up (10mm)	5200	802.11n20	10.87	11	1.030	0.088	0.09	17#
(10mm)	5240	802.11n20	10.12	11	1.225	0.076	0.09	18#

SAR Evaluation Report 29 of 38

5.8G WLAN

EUT	Frequency (MHz)	Test Mode		Max. Rated Avg.	10	10 g SAR Value (W/Kg)				
Position	(MIIIZ)		(dBm)	Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	Plot		
	5745	802.11a	10.74	11.5	1.191	0.493	0.59	19#		
Handheld Back (0mm)	5785	802.11a	10.92	11.5	1.143	0.452	0.52	20#		
(Ollini)	5825	802.11a	10.24	11.5	1.337	0.46	0.61	21#		
	5745	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/		
Handheld Left (0mm)	5785	802.11a	10.92	11.5	1.143	0.1	0.11	22#		
(Ollini)	5825	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/		
TT 11 11 D' 1	5745	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/		
Handheld Right (0mm)	5785	802.11a	10.92	11.5	1.143	0.108	0.12	23#		
(Ollini)	5825	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/		
Handheld Top	5745	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/		
(0mm)	5785	802.11a	10.92	11.5	1.143	0.16	0.18	24#		
(omin)	5825	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/		

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

EUT	Frequency (MHz)	Test Mode	Meas. Avg. Power	Max. Rated Avg.	1	g SAR V	alue (W/K	g)
Position	(WIIIZ)		(dBm)	Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	Plot
Face Up (10mm)	5745	802.11a	10.74	11.5	1.191	0.097	0.12	25#
	5785	802.11a	10.92	11.5	1.143	0.106	0.12	26#
	5825	802.11a	10.24	11.5	1.337	0.114	0.15	27#

- When the 1-g SAR is≤ 0.8W/Kg, testing for other channels are optional.
 When the 10g SAR is≤ 1.6W/Kg, testing for other channels are optional.
 When the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg, OFDM SAR is not required.
 When SAR or MPE is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production units, the results must be
- scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit according to the power applied to the individual channels tested to determine compliance.

SAR Evaluation Report 30 of 38

SAR Measurement Variability

In accordance with published RF Exposure KDB procedure 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

- 1) Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
- 2) When the original highest measured SAR is \geq 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/kg ($\sim 10\%$ from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20.

Note: The same procedures should be adapted for measurements according to extremity and occupational exposure limits by applying a factor of 2.5 for extremity exposure and a factor of 5 for occupational exposure to the corresponding SAR thresholds.

The Highest Measured SAR Configuration in Each Frequency Band

Head

SAR probe	Frequency	Ence (MII-)	EUT Dogition	Meas. SA	AR (W/kg)	Largest to	
calibration point	Band		EUT Position	Original	Repeated	Smallest SAR Ratio	
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	

Body

SAR probe	Frequency	Freq.(MHz)	EUT Position	Meas. SA	.R (W/kg)	Largest to Smallest
calibration point	Band	rieq.(Wiriz)	EUT FOSITION	Original	Repeated	SAR Ratio
/	/	/	/	/	/	/

Note:

- 1. Second Repeated Measurement is not required since the ratio of the largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurement is not > 1.20.
- 2. The measured SAR results **do not** have to be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance to determine if repeated measurements are required.
- 3. SAR measurement variability must be assessed for each frequency band, which is determined by the SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium used for the device measurements.

SAR Evaluation Report 31 of 38

SAR SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION DESCRIPTION

Simultaneous Transmission:

Description of Simultaneous Transmit Capabilities						
Transmitter Combination	Simultaneous?					
Wi-Fi+ Bluetooth	×					

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

Note: WLAN and Bluetooth transmite with a same antenna

SAR Evaluation Report 32 of 38

e Attachment.	Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen)	Report No.: RSZ200416002-SA
e Attachment.	SAR Plots	
	Please Refer to the Attachment.	

SAR Evaluation Report 33 of 38

APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Measurement uncertainty evaluation for IEEE1528-2013 SAR test

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

Source of uncertainty	Tolerance/ uncertainty ± %	Probability distribution	Divisor	ci (1 g)	ci (10 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (1 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (10 g)			
Measurement system										
Probe calibration	6.55	N	1	1	1	6.6	6.6			
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7			
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	√3	0	0	0.0	0.0			
Boundary effect	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6			
Linearity	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7			
Detection limits	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6			
Readout electronics	0.3	N	1	1	1	0.3	0.3			
Response time	0.0	R	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0			
Integration time	0.0	R	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0			
RF ambient conditions – noise	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6			
RF ambient conditions–reflections	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6			
Probe positioner mech. Restrictions	0.8	R	√3	1	1	0.5	0.5			
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	6.7	R	√3	1	1	3.9	3.9			
Post-processing	2.0	R	√3	1	1	1.2	1.2			
		Test sample	related							
Test sample positioning	2.8	N	1	1	1	2.8	2.8			
Device holder uncertainty	6.3	N	1	1	1	6.3	6.3			
Drift of output power	5.0	R	√3	1	1	2.9	2.9			
		Phantom and	l set-up							
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.3	2.3			
Liquid conductivity target)	5.0	R	√3	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2			
Liquid conductivity meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1			
Liquid permittivity target)	5.0	R	√3	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4			
Liquid permittivity meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2			
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS				12.2	12.0			
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence interval)						24.3	23.9			

SAR Evaluation Report 34 of 38

Measurement uncertainty evaluation for IEC62209-2 SAR test

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

Source of uncertainty	Tolerance/ uncertainty ± %	Probability distribution	Divisor	ci (1 g)	ci (10 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (1 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (10 g)
Measurement system							
Probe calibration	6.55	N	1	1	1	6.6	6.6
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	√3	0	0	0.0	0.0
Linearity	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7
Modulation Response	0.0	R	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0
Detection limits	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6
Boundary effect	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6
Readout electronics	0.3	N	1	1	1	0.3	0.3
Response time	0.0	R	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0
Integration time	0.0	R	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0
RF ambient conditions – noise	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6
RF ambient conditions-reflections	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Probe positioner mech. Restrictions	0.8	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	6.7	R	√3	1	1	3.9	3.9
Post-processing	2.0	R	√3	1	1	1.2	1.2
Test sample related							
Device holder Uncertainty	6.3	N	1	1	1	6.3	6.3
Test sample positioning	2.8	N	1	1	1	2.8	2.8
Power scaling	4.5	R	√3	1	1	2.6	2.6
Drift of output power	5.0	R	√3	1	1	2.9	2.9
Phantom and set-up							
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	4.0	R	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3
Algorithm for correcting SAR for deviations in permittivity and conductivity	1.9	N	1	1	0.84	1.1	0.9
Liquid conductivity (meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1
Liquid permittivity (meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2
Temp. unc Conductivity	1.7	R	√3	0.78	0.71	0.8	0.7
Temp. unc Permittivity	0.3	R	√3	0.23	0.26	0.0	0.0
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS				12.2	12.1
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence interval)						24.5	24.2

SAR Evaluation Report 35 of 38

SAR Evaluation Report 36 of 38

APPENDIX C PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

Please Refer to the Attachment.

SAR Evaluation Report 37 of 38

APPENDIX D DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

Please Refer to the Attachment.

***** END OF REPORT *****

Report No.: RSZ200416002-SAA

SAR Evaluation Report 38 of 38