

Xigang Technologies Ltd.

TEST REPORT

SCOPE OF WORK

FCC TESTING—MED03, 10335, 10346, 10334

REPORT NUMBER

200526034SZN-002

ISSUE DATE

03 August 2020

[REVISED DATE]

[-----]

PAGES

26

DOCUMENT CONTROL NUMBER

FCC ID 249_C

© 2017 INTERTEK



Xigang Technologies Ltd.

Application
For
Certification

FCC ID: 2AR9J-MED**PHONESOAP MED, Mobile device disinfection station****Model: MED03, 10335, 10346, 10334****Brand Name: PHONESOAP, UVONE pclocs, UVONE lockncharge****2.4GHz Transceiver****Report No.: 200526034SZN-002**

We hereby certify that the sample of the above item is considered to comply with the requirements of FCC Part 15, Subpart C for Intentional Radiator, mention 47 CFR [10-1-19]

Prepared and Checked by:

Approved by:

Judy Xu
Asst. Engineer

Kidd Yang
Technical Supervisor
Date: 03 August 2020

This report is for the exclusive use of Intertek's Client and is provided pursuant to the agreement between Intertek and its Client. Intertek's responsibility and liability are limited to the terms and conditions of the agreement. Intertek assumes no liability to any party, other than to the Client in accordance with the agreement, for any loss, expense or damage occasioned by the use of this report. Only the Client is authorized to permit copying or distribution of this report and then only in its entirety. Any use of the Intertek name or one of its marks for the sale or advertisement of the tested material, product or service must first be approved in writing by Intertek. The observations and test results in this report are relevant only to the sample tested. This report by itself does not imply that the material, product, or service is or has ever been under an Intertek certification program.

Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Longhua Branch

101, 201, Building B, No. 308 Wuhe Avenue, Zhangkengjing Community, GuanHu Subdistrict, LongHua District, ShenZhen, P.R. China
Tel: (86 755) 8601 6288 Fax: (86 755) 8601 6751

MEASUREMENT/TECHNICAL REPORT

This report concerns (check one:) Original Grant X Class II Change _____

Equipment Type: DXX - Part 15 Low Power Communication Device Transmitter

Deferred grant requested per 47 CFR 0.457(d)(1)(ii)? Yes _____ No X

If yes, defer until: _____
date

Company Name agrees to notify the Commission by: _____
date

of the intended date of announcement of the product so that the grant can be issued on that date.

Transition Rules Request per 15.37? Yes _____ No X

If no, assumed Part 15, Subpart C for intentional radiator – the new 47 CFR [10-1-19 Edition] provision.

Report prepared by:

Judy Xu
Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Longhua Branch
101, 201, Building B, No. 308 Wuhe Avenue,
Zhangkengjing Community, GuanHu Subdistrict,
LongHua District, ShenZhen, P.R. China
Tel / Fax: 86-755-8614 0743/86-755-8601 6680

Table of Contents

1.0 Summary of Test Result	4
2.0 General Description	5
2.1 Product Description	5
2.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants	5
2.3 Test Methodology	5
2.4 Test Facility	5
3.0 System Test Configuration	6
3.1 Justification	6
3.2 EUT Exercising Software	6
3.3 Special Accessories	6
3.4 Equipment Modification	6
3.5 Measurement Uncertainty	7
3.6 Support Equipment List and Description	7
4.0 Emission Results	8
4.1 Radiated Test Results	8
4.1.1 Field Strength Calculation	8
4.1.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph	9
4.1.3 Radiated Emissions	9
4.1.4 Transmitter Spurious Emissions	12
4.2 Conducted Emission Configuration Photograph	16
4.2.1 Conducted Emission	16
5.0 Equipment Photographs	19
6.0 Product Labelling	19
7.0 Technical Specifications	19
8.0 Instruction Manual	19
9.0 Miscellaneous Information	20
9.1 Bandedge Plot	20
9.2 20dB Bandwidth	22
9.3 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization	23
9.4 Transmitter Duty Cycle Calculation FCC Rule 15.35(b, c)	23
9.5 Emissions Test Procedures	24
10.0 Test Equipment List	26

1.0 Summary of Test Result

Applicant: Xigang Technologies Ltd.

Applicant Address: Room 123, Building B, Lane 139, Fanghua RD, Shanghai, China

MODEL: MED03, 10335, 10346, 10334

FCC ID: 2AR9J-MED

Test Specification	Reference	Results
Transmitter Radiated Emission	15.249 &15.209 &15.205	Pass
Conducted Emission	15.207	Pass
Bandedge	15.249 &15.209 &15.205	Pass
20dB Bandwidth	15.215(c)	Pass

Notes: The EUT uses an Integral Antenna which in accordance to Section 15.203 is considered sufficient to comply with the provisions of this section.

2.0 General Description

2.1 Product Description

The equipment under test (EUT) is a PHONESOAP MED/Mobile device disinfection station with Bluetooth 4.2 (dual-mode) function operating in 2402-2480MHz, 2.4G WIFI function operating in 2412-2462MHz. The EUT is powered by AC/DC adaptor through AC120V/60Hz. For more detail information pls. refer to the user manual.

Antenna Type: Integral antenna

Modulation Type: GFSK

Antenna Gain: 3.7dBi Max

Bluetooth Version: 4.2 BLE (dual mode)

The Model: 10335, 10346, 10334 are the same as the Model: MED03 in hardware and electrical aspect. The difference in model number, production name and trade name serve as marketing strategy. Please refer to the below table.

Production name	Trade name	Model No.
PHONESOAP MED	PHONESOAP	MED03
Mobile device disinfection station	UVONE pclocs	10335
Mobile device disinfection station	UVONE lockncharge	10346
Mobile device disinfection station	UVONE lockncharge	10334

For electronic filing, the brief circuit description is saved with filename: descri.pdf.

2.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants

This is an application for certification of a PHONESOAP MED/Mobile device disinfection station with Bluetooth BLE function. For the 2.4GHz WIFI function was tested and demonstrated in report 200526034SZN-001. For the Bluetooth EDR function was tested and demonstrated in report 200526034SZN-003. Other Digital Functions are Subject to FCC Part 15B SDOC.

2.3 Test Methodology

Both AC mains line-conducted and radiated emission measurements were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.10 (2013). Radiated emission measurement was performed in Semi-anechoic chamber and conducted emission measurement was performed in shield room. For radiated emission measurement, preliminary scans were performed in the semi-anechoic chamber only to determine the worst-case modes. All radiated tests were performed at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters, unless stated otherwise in the "Justification Section" of this Application. All other measurements were made in accordance with the procedures in part 2 of CFR 47.

2.4 Test Facility

The Semi-Anechoic chamber and shield room used to collect the radiated data and conducted data are **Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Longhua Branch** and located at 101, 201, Building B, No. 308 Wuhe Avenue, Zhangkengjing Community, GuanHu Subdistrict, LongHua District, ShenZhen, P.R. China. This test facility and site measurement data have been fully placed on file with the FCC (Registration Number: CN1188).

3.0 System Test Configuration

3.1 Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it), and in the confines as outlined in ANSI C63.10 (2013).

The EUT is powered by AC/DC adaptor with AC120V/60Hz input during the test, only the worst data was reported in this report.

For maximizing emissions below 30 MHz, the EUT was rotated through 360°, the centre of the loop antenna was placed 1 meter above the ground, and the antenna polarization was changed. For maximizing emissions, the EUT was rotated through 360°, the antenna height was varied from 1 meter to 4 meters above the ground plane, and the antenna polarization was changed. This step by step procedure for maximizing emissions led to the data reported in Section 4.

The rear of unit shall be flushed with the rear of the table.

The equipment under test (EUT) was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). The EUT was placed on a turn table, which enabled the engineer to maximize emissions through its placement in the three orthogonal axes.

3.2 EUT Exercising Software

The EUT exercise program (provided by client) used during testing was designed to exercise the various system components in a manner similar to a typical use.

Test Software: ESP_RF_test_tool_v2.5

3.3 Special Accessories

AC power line with a ferrite core.

3.4 Equipment Modification

Any modifications installed previous to testing by Xigang Technologies Ltd. will be incorporated in each production model sold / leased in the United States.

No modifications were installed by Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Longhua Branch.

3.5 Measurement Uncertainty

When determining the test conclusion, the Measurement Uncertainty of test has been considered.

3.6 Support Equipment List and Description

Description	Manufacturer	Model No.
Adapter	N/A	Model: KS150DU-1201250 Input: 100-240V~, 50/60Hz, 2.5A; Output: 12.0Vdc, 12.5A, 150W (AC power line with a ferrite core)

4.0 Emission Results

Data is included worst-case configuration (the configuration which resulted in the highest emission levels).

4.1 Radiated Test Results

A sample calculation, configuration photographs and data tables of the emissions are included.

4.1.1 Field Strength Calculation

The field strength is calculated by adding the reading on the Spectrum Analyzer to the factors associated with preamplifiers (if any), antennas, cables, pulse desensitization and average factors (when specified limit is in average and measurements are made with peak detectors). A sample calculation is included below.

$$FS = RA + AF + CF - AG + PD + AV$$

Where

- FS = Field Strength in dB μ V/m
- RA = Receiver Amplitude (including preamplifier) in dB μ V
- CF = Cable Attenuation Factor in dB
- AF = Antenna Factor in dB
- AG = Amplifier Gain in dB
- PD = Pulse Desensitization in dB
- AV = Average Factor in -dB

In the radiated emission table which follows, the reading shown on the data table may reflect the preamplifier gain. An example of the calculations, where the reading does not reflect the preamplifier gain, follows:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF - AG + PD + AV$$

Assume a receiver reading of 62.0 dB μ V is obtained. The antenna factor of 7.4 dB and cable factor of 1.6 dB is added. The amplifier gain of 29 dB is subtracted. The pulse desensitization factor of the spectrum analyzer was 0 dB, and the resultant average factor was -10 dB. The net field strength for comparison to the appropriate emission limit is 32 dB μ V/m. This value in dB μ V/m was converted to its corresponding level in μ V/m.

$$RA = 62.0 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V}$$

$$AF = 7.4 \text{ dB}$$

$$CF = 1.6 \text{ dB}$$

$$AG = 29.0 \text{ dB}$$

$$PD = 0 \text{ dB}$$

$$AV = -10 \text{ dB}$$

$$FS = 62 + 7.4 + 1.6 - 29 + 0 = 42 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m}$$

$$\text{Level in } \mu\text{V/m} = \text{Common Antilogarithm } [(42 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m})/20] = 125.9 \mu\text{V/m}$$

4.1.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph

For electronic filing, the worst case radiated emission configuration photograph is saved with filename: radiated photos. pdf.

4.1.3 Radiated Emissions

The data on the following page lists the significant emission frequencies, the limit and the margin of compliance. Numbers with a minus sign are below the limit.

Worst Case Radiated Emission
at
32.000 MHz

Judgement: Passed by 7.5 dB

TEST PERSONNEL:

Sign on file

Judy Xu, Asst. Engineer
Typed/Printed Name

14 July 2020
Date

Applicant: Xigang Technologies Ltd.

Date of Test: 14 July 2020


Worst Case Operating Mode:

Model: MED03

BT Link

ANT Polarity: Horizontal

FCC Part 15



Frequency (MHz)	QuasiPeak (dBμV/m)	Meas. Time (ms)	Bandwidth (kHz)	Height (cm)	Polarization	Corr. (dB)	Margin - QPK (dB)	Limit - QPK (dBμV/m)
171.946000	28.5	1000.0	120.000	0.0	H	12.1	15.0	43.5
217.280000	33.9	1000.0	120.000	0.0	H	13.4	12.1	46.0
320.055100	35.2	1000.0	120.000	0.0	H	17.2	10.8	46.0

Remark:

1. Corr. = Antenna Factor (dB/m) + Cable Loss (dB)
2. QuasiPeak (dBμV/m) = Corr. (dB/m) + Read Level (dBμV)
3. Margin (dB) = Limit Line (dBμV/m) – Level (dBμV/m)

Applicant: Xigang Technologies Ltd.

Date of Test: 14 July 2020


Worst Case Operating Mode:

Model: MED03

BT Link

ANT Polarity: Vertical

FCC Part 15



Frequency (MHz)	QuasiPeak (dBμV/m)	Meas. Time (ms)	Bandwidth (kHz)	Height (cm)	Polarization	Corr. (dB)	Margin - QPK (dB)	Limit - QPK (dBμV/m)
32.00000	32.5	1000.0	120.000	0.0	V	17.4	7.5	40.0
82.350000	27.8	1000.0	120.000	0.0	V	15.4	12.2	40.0
468.127000	34.1	1000.0	120.000	0.0	V	26.1	11.9	46.0

Remark:

1. Corr. = Antenna Factor (dB/m) + Cable Loss (dB)
2. QuasiPeak (dBμV/m) = Corr. (dB/m) + Read Level (dBμV)
3. Margin (dB) = Limit Line(dBμV/m) – Level (dBμV/m)

4.1.4 Transmitter Spurious Emissions (Radiated)

Worst Case Radiated Emission
at
2480.000 MHz

For electronic filing, the worst case radiated emission configuration photograph is saved with filename: radiated photos. pdf.

The data on the following page lists the significant emission frequencies, the limit and the margin of compliance. Numbers with a minus sign are below the limit.

Judgement: Passed by 3.2 dB

TEST PERSONNEL:

Sign on file

Judy Xu, Asst. Engineer
Typed/Printed Name

14 July 2020
Date

Applicant: Xigang Technologies Ltd.

Date of Test: 14 July 2020

Worst Case Operating Mode:

Model: MED03

Transmitting

Table 1

Radiated Emissions

(2402MHz)

Polarization	Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dBμV)	Pre-Amp Gain (dB)	Antenna Factor (dB)	Net at 3m (dBμV/m)	Peak Limit at 3m (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)
Vertical	2402.000	98.3	36.7	28.1	89.7	114.0	-24.3
Vertical	4804.000	41.4	36.7	35.5	40.2	74.0	-33.8
Vertical	7206.000	51.4	36.1	36.5	51.8	74.0	-22.2
Vertical	9608.000	49.5	36.2	37.0	50.3	74.0	-23.7

Polarization	Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dBμV)	Pre-Amp Gain (dB)	Antenna Factor (dB)	Net at 3m (dBμV/m)	Average Limit at 3m (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)
Vertical	2402.000	95.1	36.7	28.1	86.5	94.0	-7.5
Vertical	4804.000	36.1	36.7	35.5	34.9	54.0	-19.1
Vertical	7206.000	40.1	36.1	36.5	40.5	54.0	-13.5
Vertical	9608.000	44.0	36.2	37.0	44.8	54.0	-9.2

Notes: 1. Peak detector is used for the emission measurement (RBW=1MHz / VBW=3MHz for Peak value, and RBW=1MHz / VBW=10Hz for Average value; RBW=3MHz is used for fundamental emission measurement).

2. All measurements were made at 3 meter. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3-meter distance were measured at 0.3-meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3-meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.

3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.

4. Horn antenna is used for the emission over 1000MHz.

Test Engineer: Judy Xu

Applicant: Xigang Technologies Ltd.

Date of Test: 14 July 2020

Worst Case Operating Mode:

Model: MED03

Transmitting

Table 2

Radiated Emissions

(2440MHz)

Polarization	Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dBμV)	Pre-Amp Gain (dB)	Antenna Factor (dB)	Net at 3m (dBμV/m)	Peak Limit at 3m (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)
Vertical	2440.000	99.7	36.7	28.1	91.1	114.0	-22.9
Vertical	4880.000	41.8	36.7	35.5	40.6	74.0	-33.4
Vertical	7320.000	51.0	36.1	37.2	52.1	74.0	-21.9
Vertical	9760.000	48.1	36.3	38.9	50.7	74.0	-23.3

Polarization	Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dBμV)	Pre-Amp Gain (dB)	Antenna Factor (dB)	Net at 3m (dBμV/m)	Average Limit at 3m (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)
Vertical	2440.000	93.8	36.7	28.1	85.2	94.0	-8.8
Vertical	4880.000	36.4	36.7	35.5	35.2	54.0	-18.8
Vertical	7320.000	39.7	36.1	37.2	40.8	54.0	-13.2
Vertical	9760.000	42.7	36.3	38.9	45.3	54.0	-8.7

Notes: 1. Peak detector is used for the emission measurement (RBW=1MHz / VBW=3MHz for Peak value, and RBW=1MHz / VBW=10Hz for Average value; RBW=3MHz is used for fundamental emission measurement).

2. All measurements were made at 3 meter. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3-meter distance were measured at 0.3-meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3-meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.

3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.

4. Horn antenna is used for the emission over 1000MHz.

Test Engineer: Judy Xu

Applicant: Xigang Technologies Ltd.

Date of Test: 14 July 2020

Worst Case Operating Mode:

Model: MED03

Transmitting

Table 3

Radiated Emissions

(2480MHz)

Polarization	Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dBμV)	Pre-Amp Gain (dB)	Antenna Factor (dB)	Net at 3m (dBμV/m)	Peak Limit at 3m (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)
Vertical	2480.000	105.5	36.7	28.1	96.9	114.0	-17.1
Vertical	4960.000	43.4	36.7	35.5	42.2	74.0	-31.8
Vertical	7440.000	51.8	36.1	37.2	52.9	74.0	-21.1
Vertical	9920.000	48.4	36.3	38.9	51.0	74.0	-23.0

Polarization	Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dBμV)	Pre-Amp Gain (dB)	Antenna Factor (dB)	Net at 3m (dBμV/m)	Average Limit at 3m (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)
Vertical	2480.000	99.4	36.7	28.1	90.8	94.0	-3.2
Vertical	4960.000	37.0	36.7	35.5	35.8	54.0	-18.2
Vertical	7440.000	40.4	36.1	37.2	41.5	54.0	-12.5
Vertical	9920.000	43.3	36.3	38.9	45.9	54.0	-8.1

Notes: 1. Peak detector is used for the emission measurement (RBW=1MHz / VBW=3MHz for Peak value, and RBW=1MHz / VBW=10Hz for Average value; RBW=3MHz is used for fundamental emission measurement).

2. All measurements were made at 3 meter. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3-meter distance were measured at 0.3-meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3-meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.

3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.

4. Horn antenna is used for the emission over 1000MHz.

Test Engineer: Judy Xu

4.2 Conducted Emission Configuration Photograph

For electronic filing, the worst case radiated emission configuration photographs are saved with filename: conducted photos.pdf.

4.2.1 Conducted Emission

Worst Case Conducted Configuration
at
0.730MHz

Judgement: Passed by 10.8dB margin

TEST PERSONNEL:

Sign on file

Judy Xu, Asst. Engineer
Typed/Printed Name

31 July 2020
Date

Applicant: Xigang Technologies Ltd.

Date of Test: 31 July 2020


Model: MED03

Worst Case Operating Mode: BT Link

Phase: Live

Graphic / Data Table

Conducted Emissions Pursuant to FCC 15.207: Emissions Requirement



Limit and Margin QP

Frequency (MHz)	QuasiPeak (dBuV)	Bandwidth (kHz)	Line	Corr. (dB)	Margin (dB)	Limit (dBuV)
0.190000	38.2	9.000	L1	9.7	25.8	64.0
0.278000	39.2	9.000	L1	9.7	21.7	60.9
0.478000	42.1	9.000	L1	9.7	14.3	56.4
0.730000	45.2	9.000	L1	9.7	10.8	56.0
0.970000	36.7	9.000	L1	9.7	19.3	56.0
1.210000	34.2	9.000	L1	9.7	21.8	56.0

Limit and Margin AV

Frequency (MHz)	Average (dBuV)	Bandwidth (kHz)	Line	Corr. (dB)	Margin (dB)	Limit (dBuV)
0.190000	30.1	9.000	L1	9.7	23.9	54.0
0.278000	24.3	9.000	L1	9.7	26.6	50.9
0.478000	24.3	9.000	L1	9.7	22.1	46.4
0.730000	25.3	9.000	L1	9.7	20.7	46.0
0.970000	28.1	9.000	L1	9.7	17.9	46.0
1.210000	21.3	9.000	L1	9.7	24.7	46.0

Remark:

1. Corr. Factor (dB) = LISN Factor (dB) + Cable Loss (dB)

2. Margin (dB) = Limit (dBuV) – Level (dBuV)

Applicant: Xigang Technologies Ltd.

Date of Test: 31 July 2020


Model: MED03

Worst Case Operating Mode: BT Link

Phase: Neutral

Graphic / Data Table

Conducted Emissions Pursuant to FCC 15.207: Emissions Requirement



Limit and Margin QP

Frequency (MHz)	QuasiPeak (dBuV)	Bandwidth (kHz)	Line	Corr. (dB)	Margin (dB)	Limit (dBuV)
0.194000	37.2	9.000	N	9.7	26.7	63.9
0.278000	40.2	9.000	N	9.7	20.7	60.9
0.630000	39.8	9.000	N	9.7	16.2	56.0
0.758000	39.7	9.000	N	9.7	16.3	56.0
1.260000	30.1	9.000	N	9.7	25.9	56.0
18.386000	29.8	9.000	N	10.4	30.2	60.0

Limit and Margin AV

Frequency (MHz)	Average (dBuV)	Bandwidth (kHz)	Line	Corr. (dB)	Margin (dB)	Limit (dBuV)
0.194000	24.3	9.000	N	9.7	29.6	53.9
0.278000	23.0	9.000	N	9.7	27.9	50.9
0.630000	25.3	9.000	N	9.7	20.7	46.0
0.758000	22.3	9.000	N	9.7	23.7	46.0
1.260000	18.4	9.000	N	9.7	27.6	46.0
18.386000	20.4	9.000	N	10.4	29.6	50.0

Remark:

1. Corr. Factor (dB) = LISN Factor (dB) + Cable Loss (dB)
2. Margin (dB) = Limit (dBuV) – Level (dBuV)

5.0 Equipment Photographs

For electronic filing, the photographs of the tested EUT are saved with filename: external photos.pdf & internal photos.pdf.

6.0 Product Labelling

For electronic filing, the FCC ID label artwork and the label location are saved with filename: label.pdf.

7.0 Technical Specifications

For electronic filing, the block diagram and schematics of the tested EUT are saved with filename: block.pdf and circuit.pdf respectively.

8.0 Instruction Manual

For electronic filing, a preliminary copy of the Instruction Manual is saved with filename: manual.pdf.

This manual will be provided to the end-user with each unit sold/leased in the United States.

9.0 Miscellaneous Information

This miscellaneous information includes details of the measured bandedge, 20dB Bandwidth, the test procedure and calculation of factor such as pulse desensitization.

9.1 Bandedge Plot

The test plots are attached as below. From the below plots, the field strength of any emissions outside of the specified frequency band are attenuated to the general radiated emission limits in section 15.209. It fulfils the requirement of 15.249(d).

Peak Measurement

Bandedge compliance is determined by applying marker-delta method, i.e (Bandedge Plot).

(i) Lowest frequency channel (2402MHz):

Peak Resultant field strength = Fundamental emissions (peak value) – delta from the bandedge plot

$$\begin{aligned} &= 89.7 \text{ dB}\mu\text{v/m} - 49.75 \text{ dB} \\ &= 39.95 \text{ dB}\mu\text{v/m} \end{aligned}$$

Average Resultant field strength = Fundamental emissions (average value) – delta from the bandedge plot

$$\begin{aligned} &= 86.5 \text{ dB}\mu\text{v/m} - 49.75 \text{ dB} \\ &= 36.75 \text{ dB}\mu\text{v/m} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Highest frequency channel (2480MHz):

Peak Resultant field strength = Fundamental emissions (peak value) – delta from the bandedge plot


$$\begin{aligned} &= 96.9 \text{ dB}\mu\text{v/m} - 48.84 \text{ dB} \\ &= 48.06 \text{ dB}\mu\text{v/m} \end{aligned}$$

Average Resultant field strength = Fundamental emissions (average value) – delta from the bandedge plot

$$\begin{aligned} &= 90.8 \text{ dB}\mu\text{v/m} - 48.84 \text{ dB} \\ &= 41.96 \text{ dB}\mu\text{v/m} \end{aligned}$$


The resultant field strength meets the general radiated emission limit in section 15.209, which does not exceed 74dBμv/m (Peak Limit) and 54dBμv/m (Average Limit).

Lowest frequency Channel



Date: 14 JUL 2020 15:04:32


Highest frequency Channel




Date: 14 JUL 2020 15:06:20

9.2 20dB bandwidth

Pursuant to FCC part 15 Section 15.215(c), the 20dB bandwidth of the emission was contained within the frequency band designated (mentioned as above) which the EUT operated. The effects, if any, from frequency sweeping, frequency hopping, other modulation techniques and frequency stability over excepted variations in temperature and supply voltage were considered. The test plots are reported as below.



Date: 14 JUL 2020 15:03:32



Date: 14 JUL 2020 15:05:49

9.3 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization

Pulse desensitivity is not applicable for this device since the transmitter transmits the RF signal continuously.

9.4 Transmitter Duty Cycle Calculation, FCC Rule 15.35(b, c)

The EUT antenna output port was connected to the input of the spectrum analyzer. The analyzer center frequency was set to EUT RF channel carrier. The SWEP function on the analyzer was set to ZERO SPAN. The Transmitter ON time was determined from the resultant time-amplitude display:

	See attached spectrum analyzer chart (s) for Transmitter timing
	See Transmitter timing diagram provided by manufacturer
x	Not applicable, duty cycle was not used.

9.5 Emissions Test Procedures

The following is a description of the test procedure used by Intertek Testing Services in the measurements of transmitters operating under Part 15, Subpart C rules.

The test set-up and procedures described below are designed to meet the requirements of ANSI C63.10 - 2013.

The transmitting equipment under test (EUT) is placed on a styrene turntable which is four feet in diameter and approximately 0.8 meter up to 1GHz and 1.5 meter above 1GHz in height above the ground plane. During the radiated emissions test, the turntable is rotated and any cables leaving the EUT are manipulated to find the configuration resulting in maximum emissions. The EUT is adjust through all three orthogonal axes to obtain maximum emission levels. The antenna height and polarization are varied during the testing to search for maximum signal levels.

Detector function for radiated emissions is in peak mode. Average readings, when required, are taken by measuring the duty cycle of the equipment under test and subtracting the corresponding amount in dB from the measured peak readings. A detailed description for the calculation of the average factor can be found in section 9.4.

The frequency range scanned is from the lowest radio frequency signal generated in the device which is greater than 9 kHz to the tenth harmonic of the highest fundamental frequency or 40 GHz, whichever is lower.

Detector function for conducted emissions is in QP & AV mode and IFBW setting is 9 kHz from the frequency band 150 kHz to 30MHz.

9.5 Emissions Test Procedures (cont'd)

The EUT is warmed up for 15 minutes prior to the test.

AC power to the unit is varied from 85% to 115% nominal and variation in the fundamental emission field strength is recorded. If battery powered, a new, fully charged battery is used.

Conducted measurements are made as described in ANSI C63.10 - 2013.

The IF bandwidth used for measurement of radiated signal strength was 10 kHz for emission below 30 MHz and 120 kHz for emission from 30 MHz to 1000 MHz. Where pulsed transmissions of short enough pulse duration warrant, a greater bandwidth is selected according to the recommendations of Hewlett Packard Application Note 150-2. Above 1000 MHz, a resolution bandwidth of 1 MHz is used (RBW 3MHz used for fundamental emission).

Transmitter measurements are normally conducted at a measurement distance of three meters. However, to assure low enough noise floor in the restricted bands and above 1 GHz, signals are acquired at a distance of one meter or less. All measurements are extrapolated to three meters using inverse scaling, but those measurements taken at a closer distance are so marked.

10.0 Test Equipment List

Equipment No.	Equipment	Manufacturer	Model No.	Serial No.	Cal. Date	Due Date
SZ061-12	BiConiLog Antenna	ETS	3142E	00166158	14-Sep-2018	14-Sep-2020
SZ185-01	EMI Receiver	R&S	ESCI	100547	24-Dec-2019	24-Dec-2020
SZ061-08	Horn Antenna	ETS	3115	00092346	07-Sep-2019	07-Sep-2021
SZ061-06	Active Loop Antenna	Electro-Metrics	EM-6876	217	24-May-2019	24-May-2021
SZ056-03	Spectrum Analyzer	R&S	FSP 30	101148	27-May-2020	27-May-2021
SZ056-06	Signal Analyzer	R&S	FSV 40	101101	27-May-2020	27-May-2021
SZ181-04	Preamplifier	Agilent	8449B	3008A02474	27-May-2020	27-May-2021
SZ188-01	Anechoic Chamber	ETS	RFD-F/A-100	4102	15-Dec-2018	15-Dec-2020
SZ062-02	RF Cable	RADIAL	RG 213U	--	12-Jun-2020	12-Dec-2020
SZ062-05	RF Cable	RADIAL	0.04-26.5GHz	--	26-Feb-2020	26-Aug-2020
SZ062-12	RF Cable	RADIAL	0.04-26.5GHz	--	26-Feb-2020	26-Aug-2020
SZ067-04	Notch Filter	Micro-Tronics	BRM50702-02	--	27-May-2020	27-May-2021
SZ185-02	EMI Test Receiver	R&S	ESCI	100692	29-Oct-2019	29-Oct-2020
SZ187-01	Two-Line V-Network	R&S	ENV216	100072	29-Oct-2019	29-Oct-2020
SZ188-03	Shielding Room	ETS	RFD-100	4100	07-Jan-2020	07-Jan-2022
SZ062-16	RF Cable	HUBER+SUHNER	CBL2-BN-1m	--	30-Oct-2019	30-Oct-2020

***** End of Report *****