



SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

Quanzhou Feijie Electron Co., Ltd

No.6, Zi Hua Road, Jiangnan High-tech Park, Quanzhou, Fujian, China

FCC ID: 2AN96AC19201

Report Type: Product Type: Original Report Two Way Radio **Report Number:** RXM191024051-20A **Report Date:** 2020-01-15 Rucky Xiao Rocky Xiao **RF** Engineer **Reviewed By:** Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Dongguan) Prepared By: No.69 Pulongcun, Puxinhu Industry Area, Tangxia, Dongguan, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-769-86858888 Fax: +86-769-86858891

www.baclcorp.com.cn

Note: This test report is prepared for the customer shown above and for the device described herein. It may not be duplicated or used in part without prior written consent from Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Dongguan). This report must not be used by the customer to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by A2LA* or any agency of the Federal Government. * This report may contain data that are not covered by the A2LA accreditation and are marked with an asterisk "*".

Attestation of Test Results								
EUT Description Two Way Radio								
	-	<u> </u>						
	Tested Models	LH-280, AH-310						
EUT	Multiple Models	LH-290, AH-311						
Information	FCC ID	2AN96AC19201						
	Serial Number	RXM191024051-SA-S1	(LH-280)					
	Serial Number	RXM191024051-SA-S2	(AH-310)					
	Test Date	2019-10-20						
MOD	E	Max. SAR Level(s	s) Reported(W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)				
PTT-FM 462.5500-467.7125MHz	LH-280	1g Head SAR (Face Up)	0.90					
	LH-280	1g Body SAR (Body Back)	1.52	1.6				
	АН-310	1g Head SAR (Face Up)	0.96	1.0				
		1g Body SAR (Body Back)	1.57					
	FCC 47 CFR part 2.1093							
	Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices							
	RF Exposure Procedures: TCB Workshop April 2019							
		d Practice for Determining AR) in the Human Head fro						
Applicable Standards	communication devi Procedure to determ devices used in close GHz) KDB procedures	are to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless in devices-Human models, instrumentation, and procedures-Part 2: etermine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6						
	KDB 865664 D01 S	General RF Exposure Guid AR Measurement 100 MF CF Exposure Reporting v01	Iz to 6 GHz v01r04					

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

KDB 865664 D02 RF Exposure Reporting v01r02

Note: This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2.1093 and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013 and RF exposure KDB procedures.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

SAR Evaluation Report 2 of 40

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	4
EUT DESCRIPTION	5
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION	5
REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUIDELINES	6
SAR LIMITS	7
FACILITIES	8
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM	9
EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION	14
EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION	14
SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION	15
LIQUID VERIFICATION	15
SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION	16
SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA	
EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY	
TEST POSITIONS FOR DEVICE OPERATING NEXT TO A PERSON'S EAR	
CHEEK/TOUCH POSITION	
EAR/TILT POSITION	
TEST DISTANCE FOR SAR EVALUATION	
SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURE	
OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT	22
PROVISION APPLICABLE	
TEST PROCEDURE	22
MAXIMUM TARGET OUTPUT POWER	
SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	
SAR TEST DATA	
TEST RESULT:	
SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY	
SAR PLOTS	28
APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	36
APPENDIX B EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS	38
APPENDIX C CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	39

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

Revision Number	Report Number	Description of Revision	Date of Revision	
1.0	RXM191024051-20A	Original Report	2020-01-15	

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

SAR Evaluation Report 4 of 40

EUT DESCRIPTION

This report has been prepared on behalf of *Quanzhou Feijie Electron Co., Ltd* and their product *Two Way Radio*, Model: *LH-280, AH-310*, FCC ID: *2AN96AC19201* or the EUT (Equipment under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report.

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

Note: The series product models LH-290, AH-311 are electrically identical with model LH-280, AH-310, we selected LH-280, AH-310 for fully testing, the differences details was explained in the declaration letter.

*All measurement and test data in this report was gathered from production sample serial number: RXM191024051-SA-S1(LH-290)

RXM191024051-SA-S2(AH-311)(Assigned by BACL, Dongguan). The EUT supplied by the applicant was received on 2019-10-24.

Technical Specification

Device Type:	Portable
Exposure Category:	General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure
Antenna Type(s):	Integral Antenna
Body-Worn Accessories:	Belt Clip and Headset
Face-Head Accessories:	None
Modulation Type:	PTT_FM
Frequency Band:	462.5500 - 467.7125 MHz
EDD D	LH-280:29.09 dBm
ERP Power:	AH-310:29.02 dBm
Power Source:	3.7V DC from battery
Normal Operation:	Face Up and Body-worn

SAR Evaluation Report 5 of 40

REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUIDELINES

FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

SAR Evaluation Report 6 of 40

SAR Limits

FCC/IC Limit

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

	SAR (W/kg)				
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)			
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4			
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60	8.0			
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0			

CE Limit

	SAR (W/kg)				
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure			
	Environment)	Environment)			
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4			
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue)	2.0	10			
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0			

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

General Population/ Uncontrolled environments Spatial Peak limit 1.6 W/kg (FCC/IC) & 2.0 W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

SAR Evaluation Report 7 of 40

FACILITIES

The Test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Dongguan) to collect test data is located on the No.69 Pulongcun, Puxinhu Industry Area, Tangxia, Dongguan, Guangdong, China.

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

The test site has been approved by the FCC under the KDB 974614 D01 and is listed in the FCC Public Access Link (PAL) database, FCC Registration No.: 897218,the FCC Designation No.: CN1220.

The lab has been recognized by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada to test to Canadian radio equipment requirements, the CAB identifier: CN0022.

The test sites and measurement facilities used to collect data are located at:

SAR Lab 1	SAR Lab 2
-----------	-----------

SAR Evaluation Report 8 of 40

DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM


These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY5 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) which is the Fifth generation of the system shown in the figure hereinafter:

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A



DASY5 System Description

The DASY5 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:



SAR Evaluation Report 9 of 40

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- An isotropic field probe optimized and calibrated for the targeted measurement.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal application, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion from optical to electrical signals for the digital communication to the DAE. To use optical surface detection, a special version of the EOC is required. The EOC signal is transmitted to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- The Light Beam used is for probe alignment. This improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer running Win7 professional operating system and the DASY52 software.
- Remote control and teach pendant as well as additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The phantom, the device holder and other accessories according to the targeted measurement.

DASY5 Measurement Server

The DASY5 measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with a 400MHz Intel ULV Celeron, 128MB chip-disk and 128MB RAM. The necessary circuits for communication with the DAE4 (or DAE3) electronics box, as well as the 16 bit AD-converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY5 I/O board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.

The measurement server performs all real-time data evaluation of field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operation. The PC operating system cannot interfere with these time critical



Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

processes. All connections are supervised by a watchdog, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program-controlled robot movements. Furthermore, the measurement server is equipped with an expansion port which is reserved for future applications. Please note that this expansion port does not have a standardized point out, and therefore only devices provided by SPEAG can be connected. Devices from any other supplier could seriously damage the measurement server.

Data Acquisition Electronics

The data acquisition electronics (DAE4) consist of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder with a control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information, as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.

The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection.

The input impedance of both the DAE4 as well as of the DAE3 box is 200MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.

SAR Evaluation Report 10 of 40

EX3DV4 E-Field Probes

Frequency	10 MHz to > 6 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in TSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in TSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	10 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g Linearity: \pm 0.2 dB (noise: typically < 1 μ W/g)
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm
Application	High precision dosimetric measurements in any exposure scenario (e.g., very strong gradient fields); the only probe that enables compliance testing for frequencies up to 6 GHz with precision of better 30%.
Compatibility	DASY3, DASY4, DASY52 SAR and higher, EASY4/MRI

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

Calibration Frequency Points for ES3DV2 E-Field Probes SN: 3019 Calibrated: 2019/9/25

Calibration	Frequency Range(MHz)		Conversion Factor			
Frequency Point(MHz)			X	Y	Z	
150 Head	100	200	7.59	7.59	7.59	
450 Head	350	550	7.02	7.02	7.02	

Robots

The DASY5 system uses the high precision industrial robot. The robot offers the same features important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability 0.02mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Low maintenance costs (virtually maintenance free due to direct drive gears; no belt drives)
- Jerk-free straight movements (brushless synchrony motors; no stepper motors)
- Low ELF interference (motor control fields shielded via the closed metallic construction shields)

The above mentioned robots are controlled by the Staubli CS8c robot controllers. All information regarding the use and maintenance of the robot arm and the robot controller is contained on the CDs delivered along with the robot. Paper manuals are available upon request direct from Staubli.

Area Scans

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 15mm 2 step integral, with 1.5mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.

Where the system identifies multiple SAR peaks (which are within 25% of peak value) the system will provide the user with the option of assessing each peak location individually for zoom scan averaging.

SAR Evaluation Report 11 of 40

Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)

The averaging zoom scan volume utilized in the DASY5 software is in the shape of a cube and the side dimension of a 1 g or 10 g mass is dependent on the density of the liquid representing the simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m³ is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10g cube is 21.5mm.

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

When the cube intersects with the surface of the phantom, it is oriented so that 3 vertices touch the surface of the shell or the center of a face is tangent to the surface. The face of the cube closest to the surface is modified in order to conform to the tangent surface.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications (including FCC) utilize a physical step of 7 x7 x 7 (5mmx5mmx5mm) providing a volume of 30 mm in the X & Y & Z axis.

Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEC 62209-1:2016

Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head liquid

Table A.3 - Dielectric properties of the head tissue-equivalent liquid

Frequency	Relative permittivity	Conductivity (σ)
MHz	$arepsilon_{ m r}$	S/m
300	45,3	0,87
450	43,5	0,87
750	41,9	0,89
835	41,5	0,90
900	41,5	0,97
1 450	40,5	1,20
1 500	40,4	1,23
1 640	40,2	1,31
1 750	40,1	1,37
1 800	40,0	1,40
1 900	40,0	1,40
2 000	40,0	1,40
2 100	39,8	1,49
2 300	39,5	1,67
2 450	39,2	1,80
2 600	39,0	1,96
3 000	38,5	2,40
3 500	37,9	2,91
4 000	37,4	3,43
4 500	36,8	3,94
5 000	36,2	4,45
5 200	36,0	4,66
5 400	35,8	4,86
5 600	35,5	5,07
5 800	35,3	5,27
6 000	35,1	5,48

NOTE For convenience, permittivity and conductivity values at those frequencies which are not part of the original data provided by Drossos et al. [33] or the extension to 5 800 MHz are provided (i.e. the values shown *in italics*). These values were linearly interpolated between the values in this table that are immediately above and below these values, except the values at 6 000 MHz that were linearly extrapolated from the values at 3 000 MHz and 5 800 MHz.

= |

Note:

1, Effective February 19, 2019, FCC has permitted the use of single head-tissue simulating liquid specified in IEC 62209-1 for all SAR tests.

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

- 2, Mix and Match of traditional FCC SAR TSLs and IEC 62209-1 TSL in a single application is not permitted TSL can be changed in a Permissive Change.
- 3, If SAR increases and original SAR > 1.2 W/kg, additional SAR measurements will be required IEC 62209-1 TSL is an alternative, not mandatory at this time.
- 4, If FCC parameters are used, $\pm 5\%$ tolerance. If IEC parameters, $\pm 10\%$.
- 5, In this case, IEC parameters applied.

SAR Evaluation Report 13 of 40

EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION

Equipments List & Calibration Information


Equipment	Model	S/N	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
DASY5 Test Software	DASY52.10	N/A	NCR	NCR
DASY5 Measurement Server	DASY5 4.5.12	1470	NCR	NCR
Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE3	471	2018/12/3	2019/12/3
E-Field Probe	ES3DV2	3019	2019/9/25	2020/9/25
Dipole, 450MHz	D450V3	1096	2016/11/07	2019/11/06
Mounting Device	MD4HHTV5	SD 000 H01 KA	NCR	NCR
Oval Flat Phantom	ELI V8.0	2051	NCR	NCR
Simulated Tissue 450 MHz	TS-450	1709045001	Each Time	/
Network Analyzer	8753C	3033A02857	2019/8/3	2020/8/3
Dielectric assessment kit	1253	SM DAK 040 CA	NCR	NCR
Signal Generator	E8247C	MY43321350	2018/12/10	2019/12/10
Power Meter	E4419B	MY45103907	2019/5/9	2020/5/8
Power Amplifier	ZVA-213-S+	SN054 201245	NCR	NCR
Directional Coupler	53dB	488Z	NCR	NCR
Attenuator	20dB, 100W	N/A	NCR	NCR
Attenuator	3dB, 150W	N/A	NCR	NCR

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

SAR Evaluation Report 14 of 40

SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

Liquid Verification



Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

Liquid Verification Results

Frequency	Liquid Typo	Liq Paran		Target Valu		Delta (%)		Tolerance
(MHz) Liquid Type		ε _r	O	ε _r	O	$\Delta arepsilon_{ m r}$	ΔΟ	(%)
		•	(S/m)	-	(S/m)	•	(S/m)	
450	Simulated Tissue 450 MHz	44.37	0.874	43.5	0.87	2	0.46	±10
462.6375	Simulated Tissue 450 MHz	44.071	0.844	43.43	0.87	1.48	-2.99	±10
467.6375	Simulated Tissue 450 MHz	43.509	0.869	43.41	0.87	0.23	-0.11	±10

^{*}Liquid Verification above was performed on 2019/10/20.

SAR Evaluation Report 15 of 40

System Accuracy Verification


Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of $\pm 10\%$. The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

The spacing distance s in the **System Verification Setup Block Diagram** is given by the following:

- a) $s = 15 \text{ mm} \pm 0.2 \text{ mm for } 300 \text{ MHz} \le f \le 1000 \text{ MHz};$
- b) $s = 10 \text{ mm} \pm 0.2 \text{ mm for } 1000 \text{ MHz} < f \le 3000 \text{ MHz};$
- c) $s = 10 \text{ mm} \pm 0.2 \text{ mm}$ for 3 000 MHz $< f \le 6$ 000 MHz.

System Verification Setup Block Diagram



System Accuracy Check Results

Date	Frequency Band	Liquid Type	Input Power (mW)		easured SAR W/kg)	Normalized to 1W (W/kg)	Target Value (W/kg)	Delta (%)	Tolerance (%)
2019/10/20	450 MHz	Simulated Tissue 450 MHz	100	1g	0.466	4.66	4.53	2.87	±10

^{*}The SAR values above are normalized to 1 Watt forward power.

SAR Evaluation Report 16 of 40

SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA

System Performance 450 MHz

DUT: Dipole 450 MHz; Type: D450V3; Serial: 1096

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 450 MHz; $\sigma = 0.874 \text{ S/m}$; $\varepsilon_r = 44.37$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

• Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3019; ConvF(7.02, 7.02, 7.02) @ 450 MHz; Calibrated: 2019/9/25

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn471; Calibrated: 2018/12/3

Phantom: ELI v8.0; Type: QDOVA004AA; Serial: 2051

• Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7470)

Area Scan (61x201x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.506 W/kg


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 24.41 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.711 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.466 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.309 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.503 W/kg



0 dB = 0.503 W/kg = -2.98 dBW/kg


SAR Evaluation Report 17 of 40


EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY

Test Positions for Device Operating Next to a Person's Ear

This category includes most wireless handsets with fixed, retractable or internal antennas located toward the top half of the device, with or without a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover. The handset should have its earpiece located within the upper ¼ of the device, either along the centerline or off-centered, as perceived by its users. This type of handset should be positioned in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point". The "test device reference point" should be located at the same level as the center of the earpiece region. The "vertical centerline" should bisect the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges. A "ear reference point" is located on the outer surface of the head phantom on each ear spacer. It is located 1.5 cm above the center of the ear canal entrance in the "phantom reference plane" defined by the three lines joining the center of each "ear reference point" (left and right) and the tip of the mouth.

A handset should be initially positioned with the earpiece region pressed against the ear spacer of a head phantom. For the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom, the device should be positioned parallel to the "N-F" line defined along the base of the ear spacer that contains the "ear reference point". For interim head phantoms, the device should be positioned parallel to the cheek for maximum RF energy coupling. The "test device reference point" is aligned to the "ear reference point" on the head phantom and the "vertical centerline" is aligned to the "phantom reference plane". This is called the "initial ear position". While maintaining these three alignments, the body of the handset is gradually adjusted to each of the following positions for evaluating SAR:





Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

SAR Evaluation Report 18 of 40

Cheek/Touch Position

The device is brought toward the mouth of the head phantom by pivoting against the "ear reference point" or along the "N-F" line for the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom.

This test position is established:


When any point on the display, keypad or mouthpiece portions of the handset is in contact with the phantom.

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

(or) When any portion of a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover opened to its intended self-adjusting normal use position is in contact with the cheek or mouth of the phantom.

For existing head phantoms – when the handset loses contact with the phantom at the pivoting point, rotation should continue until the device touches the cheek of the phantom or breaks its last contact from the ear spacer.

Cheek / Touch Position



Ear/Tilt Position

With the handset aligned in the "Cheek/Touch Position":

- 1) If the earpiece of the handset is not in full contact with the phantom's ear spacer (in the "Cheek/Touch position") and the peak SAR location for the "Cheek/Touch" position is located at the ear spacer region or corresponds to the earpiece region of the handset, the device should be returned to the "initial ear position" by rotating it away from the mouth until the earpiece is in full contact with the ear spacer.
- 2) (otherwise) The handset should be moved (translated) away from the cheek perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" (note: one of these ear reference points may not physically exist on a split head model) for approximate 2-3 cm. While it is in this position, the device handset is tilted away from the mouth with respect to the "test device reference point" until the inside angle between the vertical centerline on the front surface of the phone and the horizontal line passing through the ear reference point is by 15 80°. After the tilt, it is then moved (translated) back toward the head perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" until the device touches the phantom or the ear spacer. If the antenna touches the head first, the positioning process should be repeated with a tilt angle less than 15° so that the device and its antenna would touch the phantom simultaneously. This test position may require a device holder or positioner to achieve the translation and tilting with acceptable positioning repeatability.


If a device is also designed to transmit with its keypad cover closed for operating in the head position, such positions should also be considered in the SAR evaluation. The device should be tested on the left and right side of the head phantom in the "Cheek/Touch" and "Ear/Tilt" positions. When applicable, each configuration should be tested with the antenna in its fully extended and fully retracted positions. These test configurations should be tested at the high, middle and low frequency channels of each operating mode; for example, AMPS, CDMA, and TDMA. If the SAR

SAR Evaluation Report 19 of 40

measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, Cheek/Touch, Tilt/Ear, extended and retracted) is at least 2.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s). If the transmission band of the test device is less than 10 MHz, testing at the high and low frequency channels is optional.

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

Ear /Tilt 15° Position



Test positions for body-worn and other configurations

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.




Figure 5 - Test positions for body-worn devices

Test Distance for SAR Evaluation

In this case the DUT(Device Under Test) is set directly against the phantom, the test distance is 0mm for body back mode; and for face up mode the distance is 25mm.

SAR Evaluation Report 20 of 40

SAR Evaluation Procedure

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or radiating structures of the EUT, the horizontal grid spacing was 15 mm x 15 mm, and the SAR distribution was determined by integrated grid of 1.5mm x 1.5mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
 - 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

SAR Evaluation Report 21 of 40

OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT

Provision Applicable


The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

Test Procedure

ERP:

The RF output power was perforned in an Anechoic chamber.



Maximum Target Output Power

Frequency Band	Frequency (MHz)	Max. ERP(with tolerance) for Production Unit (dBm)
462MHz(462.5500-462.7250MHz)	462.6375	29.2
467MHz(467.5625-467.7125 MHz)	467.6375	22.7

Test Results:

Mode		Frequency (MHz)	Measured Output Power(ERP) Unit (dBm)
	LH-280	462.6375	29.09
PTT	LH-200	467.6375	22.62
(462.5500-467.7125 MHz)	A II 210	462.6375	29.02
	AH-310	467.6375	22.55

SAR Evaluation Report 22 of 40

Note:

The frequency band was broken into two channel groups, $462\text{MHz}(462.5500 \sim 462.7250\text{MHz})$ and $467\text{MHz}(467.5625 \sim 467.7125 \text{ MHz})$.

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

Per IEEE1528:2013, the width of the transmit frequency band, $\Delta f = f_{high} - f_{low}$ (where f_{high} is the highest frequency in the band and f_{low} is the lowest) does not exceeds 1% of its center frequency f_c .then only **center frequency** need be tested.

SAR Evaluation Report 23 of 40

SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

SAR Test Data

Environmental Conditions

Temperature:	22.1-23.6 ℃
Relative Humidity:	38 %
ATM Pressure:	100.6 kPa
Test Date:	2019/10/20

Testing was performed by Harvey Lei.

Test Result:

Model: LH-280

Test Mode		Frequency	Worn	Max. Meas.			1 g SAR Value(W/kg)					
		. •	accessories		Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	50%	Scaled SAR	Corrected SAR	Plot	
II -	Head Face Up	462.6375	Belt Clip	29.09	29.1	1.012	1.73	0.865	0.875	0.90	1#	
FM	(25 mm)		Belt Clip	22.62	22.7	1.019	1.69	0.845	0.861	0.88	2#	
(12.5 kHz)	Body	462.6375	Belt Clip	29.09	29.1	1.012	2.93	1.465	1.483	1.52	3#	
	Back (0 mm)	467.6375	Belt Clip	22.62	22.7	1.019	2.75	1.375	1.401	1.44	4#	

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

Model: AH-310

Test Mode		Егодиолог	Frequency Worn		Max. Rated	1 g SAR Value(W/kg)						
		(MHz)	accessories	Power	Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	50%	Scaled SAR	Corrected SAR	Plot	
	Head Face Un	462.6375	Belt Clip	29.02	29.2	1.042	1.85	0.925	0.964	0.96	5#	
FM	Face Up (25 mm)	467.6375	Belt Clip	22.55	22.7	1.035	0.502	0.251	0.26	0.26	6#	
(12.5 kHz)	Body Back (0 mm)	462.6375	Belt Clip	29.02	29.2	1.042	3.02	1.51	1.573	1.57	7#	
		467.6375	Belt Clip	22.55	22.7	1.035	0.791	0.396	0.41	0.41	8#	

Note:

- 1. For a PTT, only simplex communication technology was supported, so the SAR value need to be corrected by Multiplying 50%.
- 2. Passive body-worn and audio accessories generally do not apply to the head SAR of PTT radios.
- 3. The whole antenna and radiating structures that may contribute to the measured SAR or influence the SAR distribution has been included in the area scan.
- 4. According to IEC 62209-2:2010 ,If the correction ΔSAR has a positive sign, the measured SAR results shall not be corrected.

SAR Evaluation Report 24 of 40

SAR Measurement Variability

In accordance with published RF Exposure KDB procedure 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

- 1) Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg.
- When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/kg ($\sim 10\%$ from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20.
- 5) The same procedures should be adapted for measurements according to occupational exposure limits by applying a factor of 2.5 for extremity exposure and a factor of 5 for occupational exposure to the corresponding SAR thresholds.

Note: The same procedures should be adapted for measurements according to extremity and occupational exposure limits by applying a factor of 2.5 for extremity exposure and a factor of 5 for occupational exposure to the corresponding SAR thresholds.

The Highest Measured SAR Configuration in Each Frequency Band

Head(Face Up)

SAR probe calibration point	Frequency	For a (MIL)	FIFE D	Meas. SA	AR (W/kg)	Largest to Smallest SAR Ratio	
	Band	Freq.(MHz)	EUT Position	Original	Repeated		
450MHz (350~550MHz)	LH-280_FM 12.5kHz	462.6375	Face Up	1.73	1.69	1.02	
450MHz (350~550MHz)	AH-310_FM 12.5kHz	462.6375	Face Up	1.85	1.83	1.01	

Body(Body Back)

SAR probe calibration point	Frequency	For a (MII-)	FIFE D:4:	Meas. SA	Largest to	
	Band	Freq.(MHz)	EUT Position	Original	Repeated	Smallest SAR Ratio
450MHz (350~550MHz)	LH-280_FM 12.5kHz	462.6375	Body Back	2.93	2.85	1.03
450MHz (350~550MHz)	AH-310_FM 12.5kHz	462.6375	Body Back	3.02	2.96	1.02

Note:

- 1. Second Repeated Measurement is not required since the ratio of the largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurement is not > 1.20.
- 2. The measured SAR results **do not** have to be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance to determine if repeated measurements are required.
- 3. SAR measurement variability must be assessed for each frequency band, which is determined by the SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium used for the device measurements.

SAR Evaluation Report 25 of 40

Corrected SAR Evaluation

62209-2 © IEC:2010

- 89 -

Annex F (normative)

SAR correction for deviations of complex permittivity from targets

F.2 SAR correction formula

From [13] and [14], a linear relationship was found between the percent change in SAR (denoted ΔSAR) and the percent change in the permittivity and conductivity from the target values in Table 1 (denoted $\Delta \epsilon_{r}$ and $\Delta \sigma$, respectively). This linear relationship agrees with the results of Kuster and Balzano [48] and Bit-Babik et al. [2]. The relationship is given by:

$$\Delta SAR = c_{\epsilon} \Delta \varepsilon_{r} + c_{\sigma} \Delta \sigma \qquad (F.1)$$

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

where

 $c_{\epsilon} = \partial(\Delta SAR)/\partial(\Delta \epsilon)$ is the coefficients representing the sensitivity of SAR to permittivity where SAR is normalized to output power;

 $c_{\sigma} = \partial(\Delta SAR)/\partial(\Delta\sigma)$ is the coefficients representing the sensitivity of SAR to conductivity, where SAR is normalized to output power.

The values of c_{ϵ} and c_{σ} have a simple relationship with frequency that can be described using polynomial equations. For the 1 g averaged SAR c_{ϵ} and c_{σ} are given by

$$c_e = -7.854 \times 10^{-4} f^3 + 9.402 \times 10^{-3} f^2 - 2.742 \times 10^{-2} f - 0.2026$$
 (F.2)

$$c_{\sigma} = 9,804 \times 10^{-3} f^3 - 8,661 \times 10^{-2} f^2 + 2,981 \times 10^{-2} f + 0,782 9$$
 (F.3)

where

f is the frequency in GHz.

For the 10 g averaged SAR, the variables c_{ϵ} and c_{σ} are given by:

$$c_{\varepsilon} = 3,456 \times 10^{-3} f^3 - 3,531 \times 10^{-2} f^2 + 7,675 \times 10^{-2} f - 0,186 0$$
 (F.4)

$$c_{\sigma} = 4,479 \times 10^{-3} \, f^3 - 1,586 \times 10^{-2} \, f^2 - 0,197 \, 2f + 0,771 \, 7$$
 (F.5)

SAR Evaluation Report 26 of 40

Frequency (MHz)	Cε	Δεr	Сδ	Δδ	∆SAR (%)
450	-0.213	2	0.780	0.46	-0.07
462.6375	-0.213	1.48	0.779	-2.99	-2.65
467.6375	-0.213	0.23	0.779	-0.11	-0.13

$$\Delta$$
SAR = $c_{\varepsilon} \Delta \varepsilon_{\mathsf{r}} + c_{\sigma} \Delta \sigma$

$$c_{\epsilon} = -7,854 \times 10^{-4} \, f^3 + 9,402 \times 10^{-3} \, f^2 - 2,742 \times 10^{-2} \, f - 0,202 \, 6 \tag{F.2}$$

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

$$c_{\sigma} = 9,804 \times 10^{-3} f^3 - 8,661 \times 10^{-2} f^2 + 2,981 \times 10^{-2} f + 0,782 9$$
 (F.3)

where

f is the frequency in GHz.

Corrected SAR = Measured SAR * $((100 + (\Delta SAR x - 1))/100)$

SAR Evaluation Report 27 of 40

SAR Plots

Test Plot 1#: 462.6375MHz_Face Up

DUT: Two Way Radio; Type: LH-280; Serial: RXM191024051-SA-S1

Communication System: FM; Frequency: 462.637 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 462.637 MHz; $\sigma = 0.844 \text{ S/m}$; $\varepsilon_r = 44.071$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

• Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3019; ConvF(7.02, 7.02, 7.02) @ 462.637 MHz; Calibrated: 2019/9/25

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn471; Calibrated: 2018/12/3
- Phantom: ELI v8.0; Type: QDOVA004AA; Serial: 2051
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7470)

Area Scan (71x151x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.85 W/kg


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 46.71 V/m; Power Drift = -0.13 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.23 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.73 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.31 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.81 W/kg



0 dB = 1.81 W/kg = 2.58 dBW/kg

SAR Evaluation Report 28 of 40

Test Plot 2#: 467.6375MHz Face Up

DUT: Two Way Radio; Type: LH-280; Serial: RXM191024051-SA-S1

Communication System: FM; Frequency: 467.637 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 467.637 MHz; $\sigma = 0.869$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 43.509$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

• Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3019; ConvF(7.02, 7.02, 7.02) @ 467.637 MHz; Calibrated: 2019/9/25

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn471; Calibrated: 2018/12/3

• Phantom: ELI v8.0; Type: QDOVA004AA; Serial: 2051

• Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7470)

Area Scan (71x151x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.80 W/kg


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 43.12 V/m; Power Drift = -0.17 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.24 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.69 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.28 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.78 W/kg



0 dB = 1.78 W/kg = 2.50 dBW/kg

SAR Evaluation Report 29 of 40

Test Plot 3#: 462.6375MHz Body Back

DUT: Two Way Radio; Type: LH-280; Serial: RXM191024051-SA-S1

Communication System: FM; Frequency: 462.637 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 462.637 MHz; $\sigma = 0.844$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 44.071$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

• Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3019; ConvF(7.02, 7.02, 7.02) @ 462.637 MHz; Calibrated: 2019/9/25

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn471; Calibrated: 2018/12/3

• Phantom: ELI v8.0; Type: QDOVA004AA; Serial: 2051

• Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7470)

Area Scan (71x151x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 3.17 W/kg


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 55.62 V/m; Power Drift = -0.14 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.96 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.93 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.16 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 3.09 W/kg



0 dB = 3.09 W/kg = 4.90 dBW/kg

SAR Evaluation Report 30 of 40

Test Plot 4#: 467.6375MHz_Body Back

DUT: Two Way Radio; Type: LH-280; Serial: RXM191024051-SA-S1

Communication System: FM; Frequency: 467.637 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 467.637 MHz; $\sigma = 0.869$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 43.509$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

• Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3019; ConvF(7.02, 7.02, 7.02) @ 467.637 MHz; Calibrated: 2019/9/25

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn471; Calibrated: 2018/12/3

• Phantom: ELI v8.0; Type: QDOVA004AA; Serial: 2051

• Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7470)

Area Scan (71x151x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.94 W/kg


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 52.93 V/m; Power Drift = -0.10 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.71 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.75 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.03 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.90 W/kg



0 dB = 2.90 W/kg = 4.62 dBW/kg

SAR Evaluation Report 31 of 40

Test Plot 5#: 462.6375MHz_Face Up

DUT: Two Way Radio; Type: AH-310; Serial: RXM191024051-SA-S2

Communication System: FM; Frequency: 462.637 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 462.637 MHz; $\sigma = 0.844$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 44.071$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

• Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3019; ConvF(7.02, 7.02, 7.02) @ 462.637 MHz; Calibrated: 2019/9/25

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn471; Calibrated: 2018/12/3

• Phantom: ELI v8.0; Type: QDOVA004AA; Serial: 2051

• Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7470)

Area Scan (71x151x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.05 W/kg


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 50.21 V/m; Power Drift = -0.15 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.41 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.85 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.38 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.93 W/kg



0 dB = 1.93 W/kg = 2.86 dBW/kg

SAR Evaluation Report 32 of 40

Test Plot 6#: 467.6375MHz_Face Up

DUT: Two Way Radio; Type: AH-310; Serial: RXM191024051-SA-S2

Communication System: FM; Frequency: 467.637 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 467.637 MHz; $\sigma = 0.869 \text{ S/m}$; $\varepsilon_r = 43.509$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

• Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3019; ConvF(7.02, 7.02, 7.02) @ 467.637 MHz; Calibrated: 2019/9/25

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn471; Calibrated: 2018/12/3

• Phantom: ELI v8.0; Type: QDOVA004AA; Serial: 2051

• Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7470)

Area Scan (71x151x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.543 W/kg


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 25.21 V/m; Power Drift = -0.16 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.660 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.502 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.376 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.528 W/kg



0 dB = 0.528 W/kg = -2.77 dBW/kg

SAR Evaluation Report 33 of 40

Test Plot 7#: 462.6375MHz Body Back

DUT: Two Way Radio; Type: AH-310; Serial: RXM191024051-SA-S2

Communication System: FM; Frequency: 462.637 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 462.637 MHz; $\sigma = 0.844$ S/m; $\varepsilon_r = 44.071$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

• Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3019; ConvF(7.02, 7.02, 7.02) @ 462.637 MHz; Calibrated: 2019/9/25

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn471; Calibrated: 2018/12/3

• Phantom: ELI v8.0; Type: QDOVA004AA; Serial: 2051

• Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7470)

Area Scan (71x151x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 3.25 W/kg


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 57.61 V/m; Power Drift = -0.18 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 4.07 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.02 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.22 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 3.18 W/kg



0 dB = 3.18 W/kg = 5.02 dBW/kg

SAR Evaluation Report 34 of 40

Test Plot 8#: 467.6375MHz_Body Back

DUT: Two Way Radio; Type: AH-310; Serial: RXM191024051-SA-S2

Communication System: FM; Frequency: 467.637 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 467.637 MHz; $\sigma = 0.869 \text{ S/m}$; $\varepsilon_r = 43.509$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

• Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3019; ConvF(7.02, 7.02, 7.02) @ 467.637 MHz; Calibrated: 2019/9/25

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE3 Sn471; Calibrated: 2018/12/3

• Phantom: ELI v8.0; Type: QDOVA004AA; Serial: 2051

• Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7470)

Area Scan (71x151x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.500 mm, dy=1.500 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.856 W/kg


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 30.57 V/m; Power Drift = -0.17 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.07 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.791 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.582 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.833 W/kg



0 dB = 0.833 W/kg = -0.79 dBW/kg

SAR Evaluation Report 35 of 40

APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

Measurement uncertainty evaluation for IEEE1528-2013 SAR test

Source of uncertainty	Tolerance/ uncertainty ± %	Probability distribution	Divisor	ci (1 g)	ci (10 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (1 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (10 g)
		Measurement	t system				
Probe calibration	6.55	N	1	1	1	6.6	6.6
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	√3	0	0	0.0	0.0
Boundary effect	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6
Linearity	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7
Detection limits	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6
Readout electronics	0.3	N	1	1	1	0.3	0.3
Response time	0.0	R	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0
Integration time	0.0	R	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0
RF ambient conditions – noise	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6
RF ambient conditions–reflections	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6
Probe positioner mech. Restrictions	0.8	R	√3	1	1	0.5	0.5
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	6.7	R	√3	1	1	3.9	3.9
Post-processing	2.0	R	√3	1	1	1.2	1.2
		Test sample	related				
Test sample positioning	2.8	N	1	1	1	2.8	2.8
Device holder uncertainty	6.3	N	1	1	1	6.3	6.3
Drift of output power	5.0	R	√3	1	1	2.9	2.9
		Phantom and	l set-up				
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.3	2.3
Liquid conductivity target)	5.0	R	√3	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2
Liquid conductivity meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1
Liquid permittivity target)	5.0	R	√3	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4
Liquid permittivity meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS				12.2	12.0
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence interval)						24.3	23.9

SAR Evaluation Report 36 of 40

Measurement uncertainty evaluation for IEC62209-2 SAR test

Source of uncertainty	Tolerance/ uncertainty ± %	Probability distribution	Divisor	ci (1 g)	ci (10 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (1 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (10 g)
		Measuremen	t system				L
Probe calibration	6.55	N	1	1	1	6.6	6.6
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	√3	0	0	0.0	0.0
Linearity	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7
Modulation Response	0.0	R	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0
Detection limits	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6
Boundary effect	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6
Readout electronics	0.3	N	1	1	1	0.3	0.3
Response time	0.0	R	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0
Integration time	0.0	R	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0
RF ambient conditions – noise	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6
RF ambient conditions-reflections	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6
Probe positioner mech. Restrictions	0.8	R	√3	1	1	0.5	0.5
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	6.7	R	√3	1	1	3.9	3.9
Post-processing	2.0	R	√3	1	1	1.2	1.2
		Test sample	related	ı	ı		
Device holder Uncertainty	6.3	N	1	1	1	6.3	6.3
Test sample positioning	2.8	N	1	1	1	2.8	2.8
Power scaling	4.5	R	√3	1	1	2.6	2.6
Drift of output power	5.0	R	√3	1	1	2.9	2.9
		Phantom and	l set-up				
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	4.0	R	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3
Algorithm for correcting SAR for deviations in permittivity and conductivity	1.9	N	1	1	0.84	1.1	0.9
Liquid conductivity (meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1
Liquid permittivity (meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2
Temp. unc Conductivity	1.7	R	√3	0.78	0.71	0.8	0.7
Temp. unc Permittivity	0.3	R	√3	0.23	0.26	0.0	0.0
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS				12.2	12.1
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence interval)						24.5	24.2

SAR Evaluation Report 37 of 40

SAR Evaluation Report 38 of 40

SAR Evaluation Report 39 of 40

Declarations

Report No.: RXM191024051-20A

- 1. BACL is not responsible for the authenticity of any test data provided by the applicant. Data included from the applicant that may affect test results are marked with a triangle symbol "Δ". Customer model name, addresses, names, trademarks etc. are not considered data.
- 2. Unless otherwise stated the results shown in this test report refer only to the sample(s) tested.
- 3. Otherwise required by the applicant or Product Regulations, Decision Rule in this report did not consider the uncertainty.
- 4. The extended uncertainty given in this report is obtained by combining the standard uncertainty times the coverage factor K with the 95% confidence interval.
- 5. This report cannot be reproduced except in full, without prior written approval of the Company.
- 6. This report is valid only with a valid digital signature. The digital signature may be available only under the Adobe software above version 7.0.
- 7. This report must not be used by the customer to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by A2LA, or any agency of the U.S. Government.
- 8. This report may contain data that are not covered by the accreditation scope and shall be marked with an asterisk "★".

***** END OF REPORT *****

SAR Evaluation Report 40 of 40