Karen Whipkey

From: Bartosz Turczynowicz <turczynowicz@infoscan.pl>
Sent: Wednesday, May 17, 2017 1:24 PM
To: Karen Whipkey
Subject: Re: F2LQ9324
Attachments: FCC PC II_Reassesment Letter.pdf; FXP14.07.0100A Hexa Band Cellular Antenna 091109-532158.pdf

Hello Karen,

Please find the FCC document enclosed. Would you like me to add any changes to the text? In the production process we did not change any part of Telit GSM module. As we do not know how Telit module was tested (with which antenna) I stated that the only difference is the antenna unit.

As for the names. For US market the official name of our device is "MED Recorder" and this name we use in all FDA related materials.
If MED 300 or MED 351 number is visible it only identifies our internal codes which are reflected in prototyping phase and technical backlog.
The units that we provided are in their final form.

Is this explanation sufficient or you would require more detailed version?

Pozdrawiam / Kind regards,
Bartosz Turczynowicz

Infoscan S.A.
Rejtana 17 lok. 16
02-516 Warszawa
Poland

W dniu 2017-05-17 o 19:04, Karen Whipkey pisze:

> Hello Bartosz,
>
> In reviewing some of the materials for your project, I noticed that some of the documentation submitted (schematics, BOM's) refer to the host product as model "MED 351." The Medical Product Information Form submitted states the model as "MED Recorder." Our engineer's test data identifies the model as "MED 300." It's important that the model we actually tested be clearly, accurately and consistently identified. Would you be able to provide clarification? Is the model we tested actually part of a product family?
>
> *Best regards,*
>
> ***Karen Whipkey***
> ***EMC Administrative Manager***
> *Office: 440-632-5541 ext. 200*
> *Direct: 440-426-6001*
> *Fax: 440-632-5542*
>
> *Visit our Blog*
>
> *Follow us on Twitter @F2_Labs*