

# FCC PART 15 B TEST REPORT

For

**Cloud Bridge S.A. DE C.V.**

Autopista Mexico Queretaro 3063, Local 18, San Andres Atenco Tlalnepantla 54040 Mexico

**FCC ID: 2AHNRFFM351**

<b>Report Type:</b> Original Report	<b>Product Type:</b> 3G Mobile phone
<b>Test Engineer:</b> Costa Dong <i>Costa Dong</i>	
<b>Report Number:</b> RSZ160307001-00A	
<b>Report Date:</b> 2016-03-23	
<b>Reviewed By:</b> Dean Liu <i>Dean Liu</i> RF Engineer	
<b>Test Laboratory:</b> Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Dongguan) No.69 Pulongcun, Puxinhu Industrial Zone, Tangxia, Dongguan, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-769-86858888 Fax: +86-769-86858891 <a href="http://www.baclcorp.com.cn">www.baclcorp.com.cn</a>	

Note: This test report is prepared for the customer shown above and for the device described herein. It may not be duplicated or used in part without prior written consent from Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Dongguan).

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>GENERAL INFORMATION.....</b>	<b>3</b>
PRODUCT DESCRIPTION FOR EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT) .....	3
OBJECTIVE .....	3
RELATED SUBMITTAL(S)/GRANT(S).....	3
TEST METHODOLOGY .....	3
TEST FACILITY .....	4
<b>SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION.....</b>	<b>5</b>
DESCRIPTION OF TEST CONFIGURATION .....	5
EUT EXERCISE SOFTWARE .....	5
EQUIPMENT MODIFICATIONS .....	5
LOCAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT LIST AND DETAILS .....	5
SUPPORT CABLE LIST AND DETAILS .....	5
CONFIGURATION OF TEST SETUP .....	6
<b>SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>FCC§15.107 - CONDUCTED EMISSIONS.....</b>	<b>8</b>
MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY .....	8
EUT SETUP.....	8
EMI TEST RECEIVER SETUP.....	9
TEST EQUIPMENT LIST AND DETAILS.....	9
TEST PROCEDURE .....	9
CORRECTED AMPLITUDE & MARGIN CALCULATION .....	9
TEST RESULTS SUMMARY .....	10
TEST DATA .....	10
<b>FCC §15.109 - RADIATED SPURIOUS EMISSIONS .....</b>	<b>13</b>
MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY .....	13
EUT SETUP .....	13
EMI TEST RECEIVER SETUP.....	14
TEST PROCEDURE .....	14
TEST EQUIPMENT LIST AND DETAILS.....	15
CORRECTED AMPLITUDE & MARGIN CALCULATION .....	15
TEST RESULTS SUMMARY .....	15
TEST DATA .....	15
<b>DECLARATION LETTER.....</b>	<b>20</b>

## GENERAL INFORMATION

---

### Product Description for Equipment Under Test (EUT)

The *Cloud Bridge S.A. DE C.V.*'s product, model number: *M351(FCC ID: 2AHNRFFM351)* (the "EUT") in this report was a *3G Mobile phone*, which was measured approximately: 11.60 cm (L) x 6.22cm (W) x1.20 cm (H), rated input voltage: DC 3.7V rechargeable Li-ion battery or DC5.0V charging from adapter. The highest operating frequency is 2480 MHz.

Adapter information:

Model: Power Adapter

Input: AC100-240V, 50/60 Hz, 0.15A

Output: DC5.0V, 700mA

*Note: The series product, models M351, Cloud Bridge M351, FreshFun M351 are electrically identical, the differences between them is model name, we selected M351 for fully testing, the details was explained in the attached declaration letter.*

*All measurement and test data in this report was gathered from production sample serial number: 1600307001 (Assigned by BACL, Dongguan). The EUT was received on 2016-03-09.*

### Objective

This test report is prepared on behalf of *Cloud Bridge S.A. DE C.V.* in accordance with Part 2, Subpart J, and Part 15-Subparts A and B of the Federal Communications Commission's rules.

The objective of the manufacturer is to determine the compliance of EUT with FCC Part 15 B Class B.

### Related Submittal(s)/Grant(s)

FCC Part15C DTS submissions with FCC ID: 2AHNRFFM351.

FCC Part 15C DSS submissions with FCC ID: 2AHNRFFM351.

FCC Part 22H & 24E PCE submissions with FCC ID: 2AHNRFFM351.

### Test Methodology

All measurements contained in this report were conducted with ANSI C63.4-2014, American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Radio-Noise Emissions from Low-Voltage Electrical and Electronic Equipment in the range of 9 kHz to 40 GHz.

All radiated and conducted emissions measurement was performed at Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Dongguan).

### **Test Facility**

The Test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Dongguan) to collect test data is located on the No.69 Pulongcun, Puxinhu Industrial Zone, Tangxia, Dongguan, Guangdong, China

Test site at Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Dongguan) has been fully described in reports submitted to the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The details of these reports have been found to be in compliance with the requirements of Section 2.948 of the FCC Rules on February 06, 2015.

The Federal Communications Commission has the reports on file and is listed under FCC Registration No.: 273710. The test site has been approved by the FCC for public use and is listed in the FCC Public Access Link (PAL) database.

FINAL

## SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION

### Description of Test Configuration

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as normally used by a typical user).

### EUT Exercise Software

N/A

### Equipment Modifications

No modification was made to the EUT tested.


### Local Support Equipment List and Details

Manufacturer	Description	Model	Serial Number
DELL	Laptop	PP11L	QDS-BRCM1017
HP	Printer	C3941A	JPTVOB2337
DELL	Keyboard	L100	CNORH656658907BL05DC
SAST	Modem	AEM-2100	0293

### Support Cable List and Details

Cable Description	Shielding Type	Ferrite Core	Length (m)	From	To
Serial Cable	yes	No	1.2	Serial Port of Laptop	Modem
Parallel Cable	yes	No	1.2	Parallel Port of Laptop	Printer
Keyboard Cable	yes	No	1.8	USB Port of Laptop	Keyboard
USB Cable	yes	No	1.0	USB Port of Laptop	EUT

## Configuration of Test Setup



**SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS**

FCC Rules	Description of Test	Results
§15.107	Conducted Emissions	Compliance
§15.109	Radiated Emissions	Compliance

## FCC§15.107 - CONDUCTED EMISSIONS

### Measurement Uncertainty

All measurements involve certain levels of uncertainties, especially in field of EMC. The factors contributing to uncertainties are Receiver, cable loss, and LISN.

Compliance or non-compliance with a disturbance limit shall be determined in the following manner :

If  $U_{lab}$  is less than or equal to  $U_{cispr}$  of Table 1, then:

- compliance is deemed to occur if no measured disturbance level exceeds the disturbance limit;
- non-compliance is deemed to occur if any measured disturbance level exceeds the disturbance limit.

If  $U_{lab}$  is greater than  $U_{cispr}$  of Table 1, then:

- compliance is deemed to occur if no measured disturbance level, increased by  $(U_{lab} - U_{cispr})$ , exceeds the disturbance limit;
- non-compliance is deemed to occur if any measured disturbance level, increased by  $(U_{lab} - U_{cispr})$ , exceeds the disturbance limit.


Based on CISPR 16-4-2: 2011, measurement uncertainty of conducted disturbance at mains port using AMN at Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Dongguan) is 3.12 dB (150 kHz to 30 MHz).

Table 1 – Values of  $U_{cispr}$

Measurement	$U_{cispr}$
Conducted disturbance at mains port using AMN (150 kHz to 30 MHz)	3.4 dB

Note: The  $U_{lab} > U_{cispr}$ , so the  $U_{lab}$  is add in the calculation.

### EUT Setup



- Note: 1. Support units were connected to second LISN.  
 2. Both of LISNs (AMN) 80 cm from EUT and at the least 80 cm from other units and other metal planes support units.



The setup of EUT is according with per ANSI C63.4-2014 measurement procedure. The specification used was with the FCC Part 15 B Class B limits.

The external I/O cables were draped along the test table and formed a bundle 30 to 40 cm long in the middle.

The adapter was connected to a 120V/60Hz AC power source.

### EMI Test Receiver Setup

The EMI test receiver was set to investigate the spectrum from 150 kHz to 30 MHz.

During the conducted emission test, the EMI test receiver was set with the following configurations:

Frequency Range	IF B/W
150 kHz – 30 MHz	9 kHz

### Test Equipment List and Details

Manufacturer	Description	Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
R&S	EMI Test Receiver	ESCS 30	830245/006	2015-10-20	2016-10-20
R&S	L.I.S.N	ESH2-Z5	892107/021	2015-07-16	2016-07-15
R&S	Two-line V-network	ENV 216	3560.6550.12	2015-11-26	2016-11-25
N/A	Coaxial Cable	1.8m	N/A	2015-05-06	2016-05-06
R&S	Test Software	EMC32	Version8.53.0	N/A	N/A

\* Statement of Traceability: Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Dongguan) attests that all calibrations have been performed, traceable to National Primary Standards and International System of Units (SI).

### Test Procedure

During the conducted emission test, the adapter was connected to the outlet of the first LISN and the other support equipments were connected to the outlet of the second LISN.

Maximizing procedure was performed on the six (6) highest emissions of the EUT.

All data was recorded in the Quasi-peak and average detection mode.

### Corrected Amplitude & Margin Calculation

The basic equation is as follows:

$$V_C = V_R + A_C + VDF$$

Herein,

$V_C$ : corrected voltage amplitude

$V_R$ : reading voltage amplitude

$A_c$ : attenuation caused by cable loss

VDF: voltage division factor of AMN or ISN

The “**Margin**” column of the following data tables indicates the degree of compliance within the applicable limit. For example, a margin of 7dB means the emission is 7dB below the maximum limit. The equation for margin calculation is as follows:

$$\text{Margin} = \text{Limit} - \text{Corrected Amplitude}$$

### Test Results Summary

According to the recorded data in following table, the EUT complied with the FCC Part 15 B Class B, with the worst margin reading of:

**2.5 dB at 0.150000 MHz** in the **Neutral** conducted mode

### Test Data


#### Environmental Conditions

Temperature:	23°C
Relative Humidity:	46 %
ATM Pressure:	101.6 kPa

*The testing was performed by Costa Dong on 2016-03-10.*


Test Mode: Downloading

AC120V, 60Hz, Line:



Frequency (MHz)	Quasi Peak (dB $\mu$ V)	Bandwidth (kHz)	Line	Corr. (dB)	Margin (dB)	Limit (dB $\mu$ V)	Comment
0.150000	62.4	9.000	L1	9.8	3.6	66.0	Compliance
0.157346	59.1	9.000	L1	9.7	6.5	65.6	Compliance
0.173134	52.4	9.000	L1	9.7	12.4	64.8	Compliance
0.209621	44.6	9.000	L1	9.7	18.6	63.2	Compliance
0.221645	44.2	9.000	L1	9.7	18.6	62.8	Compliance
0.454052	38.2	9.000	L1	9.8	18.6	56.8	Compliance

Frequency (MHz)	Average (dB $\mu$ V)	Bandwidth (kHz)	Line	Corr. (dB)	Margin (dB)	Limit (dB $\mu$ V)	Comment
0.150000	38.9	9.000	L1	9.8	17.1	56.0	Compliance
0.159873	43.1	9.000	L1	9.7	12.4	55.5	Compliance
0.173134	38.9	9.000	L1	9.7	15.9	54.8	Compliance
0.221645	35.1	9.000	L1	9.7	17.7	52.8	Compliance
0.272666	29.9	9.000	L1	9.7	21.1	51.0	Compliance
0.304845	38.4	9.000	L1	9.7	11.7	50.1	Compliance

**AC120V, 60Hz, Neutral:**

Frequency (MHz)	Quasi Peak (dB $\mu$ V)	Bandwidth (kHz)	Line	Corr. (dB)	Margin (dB)	Limit (dB $\mu$ V)	Comment
0.150000	63.5	9.000	N	9.7	2.5	66.0	Compliance
0.209621	49.6	9.000	N	9.7	13.6	63.2	Compliance
0.307284	40.8	9.000	N	9.7	19.2	60.0	Compliance
0.363254	38.7	9.000	N	9.7	20.0	58.7	Compliance
0.495646	34.2	9.000	N	9.7	21.9	56.1	Compliance
0.732382	31.9	9.000	N	9.7	24.1	56.0	Compliance

Frequency (MHz)	Average (dB $\mu$ V)	Bandwidth (kHz)	Line	Corr. (dB)	Margin (dB)	Limit (dB $\mu$ V)	Comment
0.161152	38.8	9.000	N	9.7	16.6	55.4	Compliance
0.209621	28.7	9.000	N	9.7	24.5	53.2	Compliance
0.277046	35.6	9.000	N	9.7	15.3	50.9	Compliance
0.304845	24.9	9.000	N	9.7	25.2	50.1	Compliance
0.393383	24.1	9.000	N	9.7	23.9	48.0	Compliance
0.609741	21.1	9.000	N	9.7	24.9	46.0	Compliance

## FCC §15.109 - RADIATED SPURIOUS EMISSIONS

### Measurement Uncertainty

Compliance or non-compliance with a disturbance limit shall be determined in the following manner :

If  $U_{lab}$  is less than or equal to  $U_{cisp}$  of Table 1, then:

- compliance is deemed to occur if no measured disturbance level exceeds the disturbance limit;
- non-compliance is deemed to occur if any measured disturbance level exceeds the disturbance limit.

If  $U_{lab}$  is greater than  $U_{cisp}$  of Table 1, then:

- compliance is deemed to occur if no measured disturbance level, increased by  $(U_{lab} - U_{cisp})$ , exceeds the disturbance limit;
- non-compliance is deemed to occur if any measured disturbance level, increased by  $(U_{lab} - U_{cisp})$ , exceeds the disturbance limit.


Based on CISPR 16-4-2: 2011, measurement uncertainty of radiated emission at a distance of 10m at Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Dongguan) is: 30M~200MHz: 4.55 dB for Horizontal, 4.57 dB for Vertical; 200M~1GHz: 4.66 dB for Horizontal, 4.56 dB for Vertical; measurement uncertainty of radiated emission at a distance of 3m at Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Dongguan) is: 30M~200MHz: 4.58 dB for Horizontal, 4.59 dB for Vertical; 200M~1GHz: 4.83 dB for Horizontal, 5.85 dB for Vertical; 1G~6GHz: 4.45 dB, 6G~18GHz: 5.23 dB

Table 1 – Values of  $U_{cisp}$


Measurement		$U_{cisp}$
Radiated disturbance (electric field strength at an OATS or in a SAC)	(30 MHz to 1000 MHz)	6.3 dB
Radiated disturbance (electric field strength in a FAR)	(1 GHz to 6 GHz)	5.2 dB
Radiated disturbance (electric field strength in a FAR)	(6 GHz to 18 GHz)	5.5 dB

### EUT Setup

Below 1GHz:



Above 1GHz:



The radiated emission tests were performed in the 3 meters chamber test site, using the setup accordance with the ANSI C63.4-2014. The specification used was the FCC Part 15.109 Class B limits.

### EMI Test Receiver Setup

The system was investigated from 30 MHz to 13 GHz.

During the radiated emission test, the EMI test receiver was set with the following configurations:

Frequency Range	RBW	Video B/W	IF B/W	Detector
30 MHz – 1000 MHz	120 kHz	300 kHz	120 kHz	QP
Above 1 GHz	1 MHz	3 MHz	/	Peak
	1 MHz	10 Hz	/	AVG

### Test Procedure

Maximizing procedure was performed on the highest emissions to ensure that the EUT complied with all installation combinations.

The data was recorded in the Quasi-peak detection mode for below 1 GHz, peak and average detection mode above 1 GHz.

### Test Equipment List and Details

Manufacturer	Description	Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
R&S	EMI Test Receiver	ESCI	100224	2015-08-03	2016-08-02
Sunol Sciences	Antenna	JB3	A060611-3	2014-11-06	2017-11-05
HP	Amplifier	8447E	2434A02181	2015-09-01	2016-09-01
Agilent	Spectrum Analyzer	E4440A	SG43360054	2015-11-23	2016-11-22
ETS-Lindgren	Horn Antenna	3115	9808-5557	2015-09-06	2018-09-06
Mini-Circuit	Amplifier	ZVA-213-S+	054201245	2016-02-19	2017-02-19
N/A	Coaxial Cable	14m	N/A	2015-05-06	2016-05-06
N/A	Coaxial Cable	8m	N/A	2015-05-06	2016-05-06
Farad	Test Software	EZ-EMC	V1.1.4.2	N/A	N/A

\* **Statement of Traceability:** Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Dongguan) attests that all calibrations have been performed, traceable to National Primary Standards and International System of Units (SI).

### Corrected Amplitude & Margin Calculation

The Corrected Amplitude is calculated by adding the Antenna Loss and Cable Loss, and subtracting the Amplifier Gain from the Meter Reading. The basic equation is as follows:

$$\text{Corrected Amplitude} = \text{Meter Reading} + \text{Antenna Loss} + \text{Cable Loss} - \text{Amplifier Gain}$$

The “**Margin**” column of the following data tables indicates the degree of compliance with the applicable limit. For example, a margin of 7 dB means the emission is 7 dB below the limit. The equation for margin calculation is as follows:

$$\text{Margin} = \text{Limit} - \text{Corrected Amplitude}$$

### Test Results Summary

According to the data in the following table, the EUT complied with the FCC Part 15 B Class B, with the worst margin reading of:

**0.50 dB at 239.5200 MHz in the Horizontal polarization**


### Test Data

#### Environmental Conditions

Temperature:	21.4 °C
Relative Humidity:	69 %
ATM Pressure:	101.2 kPa

*The testing was performed by Costa Dong on 2016-03-12.*


*Test Result: Compliance*

**1) Below 1GHz:***Test Mode: Downloading***Horizontal**


Frequency (MHz)	Receiver Reading (dBμV)	Detector	Correction Factor (dB/m)	Cord. Amp. (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)
103.7200	41.00	QP	-8.70	32.30	43.50	11.20
178.4100	41.46	QP	-8.36	33.10	43.50	10.40
189.0800	41.94	QP	-8.34	33.60	43.50	9.90
199.7500	39.97	QP	-7.17	32.80	43.50	10.70
220.1200	44.43	QP	-8.73	35.70	46.00	10.30
239.5200	53.47	QP	-7.97	45.50	46.00	0.50



## Vertical




Frequency (MHz)	Receiver Reading (dBμV)	Detector	Correction Factor (dB/m)	Cord. Amp. (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)
30.0000	28.82	QP	3.38	32.20	40.00	7.80
92.0800	44.73	QP	-11.63	33.10	43.50	10.40
134.7600	41.51	QP	-6.11	35.40	43.50	8.10
181.3200	47.13	QP	-8.53	38.60	43.50	4.90
239.5200	45.17	QP	-7.97	37.20	46.00	8.80
269.5900	44.56	QP	-6.26	38.30	46.00	7.70

**2) Above 1GHz:***Test Mode: Downloading***Horizontal**

Frequency (MHz)	Receiver Reading (dBμV)	Detector	Correction Factor (dB/m)	Cord. Amp. (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)
1198.000	47.83	peak	-1.42	46.41	74.00	27.59
1198.000	35.45	AVG	-1.42	34.03	54.00	19.97
1594.000	47.06	peak	-0.79	46.27	74.00	27.73
1594.000	34.50	AVG	-0.79	33.71	54.00	20.29
2488.000	41.25	peak	2.05	43.30	74.00	30.70
2488.000	28.68	AVG	2.05	30.73	54.00	23.27

Note: For above 6GHz, no emissions were detected.

**Vertical**

Frequency (MHz)	Receiver Reading (dB $\mu$ V)	Detector	Correction Factor (dB/m)	Cord. Amp. (dB $\mu$ V/m)	Limit (dB $\mu$ V/m)	Margin (dB)
1492.000	45.56	peak	-0.98	44.58	74.00	29.42
1492.000	33.08	AVG	-0.98	32.10	54.00	21.90
1594.000	46.22	peak	-0.79	45.43	74.00	28.57
1594.000	33.73	AVG	-0.79	32.94	54.00	21.06
2494.000	47.17	peak	2.04	49.21	74.00	24.79
2494.000	34.71	AVG	2.04	36.75	54.00	17.25

Note: For above 6GHz, no emissions were detected.

## DECLARATION LETTER

---

Cloud Bridge S.A. DE C.V.

Address: Autopista Mexico Queretaro 3063, Local 18, San Andres Atenco, Tlalnepantla, Mexico.

Tel: 005215591955014 Fax : 005215591955014

Email: Mario.mercado@Freshfun.com.mx

---

### Product Similarity Declaration

March 28, 2016

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSIONS  
Authorization and Evaluation Division  
7435 Oakland Mills Road  
Columbia, MD 21046

To Whom It May Concern,

We, Cloud Bridge S.A. DE C.V., hereby declare that we have a product named as 3G Mobile phone (Model number: M351, FCC ID: 2AHNRFFM351) was tested by BACL, meanwhile, for our marketing purpose, we would like to list a series models (Cloud Bridge M351, FreshFun M351) on reports and certificate, all the models are identical schematics, only named differently.  
No other changes are made to them

We confirm that all information above is true, and we'll be responsible for all the consequences. Please contact me if you have any question.

Sincerely,

Signature



MARIO MERCADO

Manager

\*\*\*\*\*END OF REPORT\*\*\*\*\*