

**FCC PART 15B, CLASS B**  
**TEST REPORT**

For

**UNNECTO HOLDING LIMITED**

13/F HARBOUR COMMERCIAL BUILDING, 122-124 CONNAUGHT ROAD CENTRAL  
SHEUNG WAN, HONG KONG

**FCC ID: 2ADR3U510**

<b>Report Type:</b> Class II Permissive Change	<b>Product Type:</b> 3G Mobile Phone
<b>Test Engineer:</b> Sonia Zhou <i>Sonia Zhou</i>	
<b>Report Number:</b> RSZ160523005-00CA1	
<b>Report Date:</b> 2016-06-07	
<b>Reviewed By:</b> Rocky Kang <i>Rocky Kang</i> RF Engineer	
<b>Prepared By:</b> Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building ShiHua Road, FuTian Free Trade Zone Shenzhen, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-755-33320018 Fax: +86-755-33320008 <a href="http://www.baclcorp.com.cn">www.baclcorp.com.cn</a>	

**Note:** This test report is prepared for the customer shown above and for the equipment described herein. It may not be duplicated or used in part without prior written consent from Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>GENERAL INFORMATION.....</b>	<b>3</b>
PRODUCT DESCRIPTION FOR EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT) .....	3
OBJECTIVE .....	3
RELATED SUBMITTAL(S)/GRANT(S).....	3
TEST METHODOLOGY .....	3
TEST FACILITY .....	4
<b>SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION.....</b>	<b>5</b>
DESCRIPTION OF TEST CONFIGURATION .....	5
EUT EXERCISE SOFTWARE .....	5
SPECIAL ACCESSORIES.....	5
EQUIPMENT MODIFICATIONS .....	5
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT LIST AND DETAILS .....	5
EXTERNAL I/O CABLE.....	5
BLOCK DIAGRAM OF TEST SETUP .....	6
<b>SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>FCC §15.107 – AC LINE CONDUCTED EMISSIONS.....</b>	<b>8</b>
APPLICABLE STANDARD .....	8
MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY.....	8
EUT SETUP.....	8
EMI TEST RECEIVER SETUP.....	9
TEST PROCEDURE .....	9
TEST EQUIPMENT LIST AND DETAILS.....	9
CORRECTED FACTOR & MARGIN CALCULATION .....	9
TEST RESULTS SUMMARY .....	10
TEST DATA .....	10
<b>FCC §15.109 - RADIATED SPURIOUS EMISSIONS .....</b>	<b>13</b>
APPLICABLE STANDARD .....	13
MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY.....	13
EUT SETUP .....	13
EMI TEST RECEIVER SETUP.....	14
TEST PROCEDURE .....	14
TEST EQUIPMENT LIST AND DETAILS.....	15
CORRECTED AMPLITUDE & MARGIN CALCULATION .....	15
TEST RESULTS SUMMARY .....	16
TEST DATA .....	16

## GENERAL INFORMATION

---

### Product Description for Equipment under Test (EUT)

The *UNNECTO HOLDING LIMITED*'s product, model number: *U510* (FCC ID: *2ADR3U510*) or the "EUT" in this report was a *3G Mobile Phone*, which was measured approximately: 115mm (L) × 61 mm (W) × 11 mm (H), rated with input voltage: DC 3.7V battery and DC 5V from adaptor.

Adaptor Information:

Model: CU-510

Input: 100-240Vac 50/60Hz 150mA

Output: DC5.0V-700mA

*\*All measurement and test data in this report was gathered from production sample serial number: 1602309. (Assigned by Shenzhen BACL). The EUT supplied by the applicant was received on 2016-05-23.*

### Objective

This test report is prepared on behalf of *UNNECTO HOLDING LIMITED* in accordance with Part 2-Subpart J, Part 15-Subparts A and B of the Federal Communication Commissions rules.

The objective of the manufacturer is to determine the compliance of the EUT with FCC Part 15 B.

### Related Submittal(s)/Grant(s)

FCC Part 15.247 DSS & DTS submissions with FCC ID: 2ADR3U510.

### Test Methodology

All measurements contained in this report were conducted with ANSI C63.4-2014, American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Radio-Noise Emissions from Low-Voltage Electrical and Electronic Equipment in the range of 9 kHz to 40 GHz.

All emissions measurement was performed at Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen). The radiated testing was performed at an antenna-to-EUT distance of 3 meters.

Measurement uncertainty with radiated emission is 5.81 dB for 30MHz-1GHz, and 4.88 dB for above 1GHz, 1.95dB for conducted measurement.

## **Test Facility**

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect test data is located on the 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, ShiHua Road, FuTian Free Trade Zone Shenzhen, Guangdong, China.

Test site at Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) has been fully described in reports submitted to the Federal Communication Commission (FCC). The details of these reports have been found to be in compliance with the requirements of Section 2.948 of the FCC Rules on October 31, 2013. The facility also complies with the radiated and AC line conducted test site criteria set forth in ANSI C63.4-2014.

The Federal Communications Commission has the reports on file and is listed under FCC Registration No.: 382179. The test site has been approved by the FCC for public use and is listed in the FCC Public Access Link (PAL) database.

## SYSTEM TEST CONFIGURATION

### Description of Test Configuration

The system was configured for testing in a manufacturer testing fashion.

### EUT Exercise Software

No software was used.

### Special Accessories

No special accessory.

### Equipment Modifications

No modification was made to the EUT tested.

### Support Equipment List and Details


Manufacturer	Description	Model	Serial Number
N/A	Receptacle	N/A	N/A

### External I/O Cable

Cable Description	Length (m)	From Port	To
Un-shielded Un-detachable DC Power cable	1.0	Adapter	EUT
Un-shielded Un-detachable Audio cable	1.0	EUT	Earphone

## Block Diagram of Test Setup

For conducted emission



**SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS**

FCC Rules	Description of Test	Results
§15.107	AC Line Conducted Emissions	Compliance
§15.109	Radiated Spurious Emissions	Compliance

## FCC §15.107 – AC LINE CONDUCTED EMISSIONS

### Applicable Standard

According to FCC §15.107


### Measurement Uncertainty

Input quantities to be considered for conducted disturbance measurements maybe receiver reading, attenuation of the connection between LISN/ISN and receiver, LISN/ISN voltage division factor, LISN/ISN VDF frequency interpolation and receiver related input quantities, etc.

Based on CISPR 16-4-2:2011, the expended combined standard uncertainty of conducted disturbance test at Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) is shown as below. And the uncertainty will not be taken into consideration for the test data recorded in the report

Port	Measurement uncertainty
AC Mains	3.34 dB (k=2, 95% level of confidence)
CAT 3	3.72 dB (k=2, 95% level of confidence)
CAT 5	3.74 dB (k=2, 95% level of confidence)
CAT 6	4.54 dB (k=2, 95% level of confidence)

### EUT Setup



- Note: 1. Support units were connected to second LISN.  
 2. Both of LISNs (AMN) 80 cm from EUT and at the least 80 cm from other units and other metal planes support units.

The measurement procedure of EUT setup is according with per ANSI C63.4-2014. The related limit was specified in FCC Part 15.107 Class B.

The spacing between the peripherals was 10 cm.



## EMI Test Receiver Setup

The EMI test receiver was set to investigate the spectrum from 150 kHz to 30 MHz.

During the conducted emission test, the EMI test receiver was set with the following configurations:

Frequency Range	IF B/W
150 kHz – 30 MHz	9 kHz

## Test Procedure

Maximizing procedure was performed on the six (6) highest emissions of the EUT.

All data was recorded in the Quasi-peak and average detection mode.

## Test Equipment List and Details

Manufacturer	Description	Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
Rohde & Schwarz	EMI Test Receiver	ESCS30	100176	2016-06-01	2017-05-31
Rohde & Schwarz	LISN	ENV216	3560.6650.12-101613-Yb	2015-12-15	2016-12-14
Rohde & Schwarz	Transient Limiter	ESH3Z2	DE25985	2016-05-14	2017-05-14
Rohde & Schwarz	CE Test software	EMC 32	V8.53	NCR	NCR
Ducommun technologies	Conducted Emission Cable	RG-214	CB031	2015-06-15	2016-06-15

\* **Statement of Traceability:** Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) attests that all calibrations have been performed in accordance to requirements that traceable to National Primary Standards and International System of Units (SI).

## Corrected Factor & Margin Calculation

The Corrected factor is calculated by adding LISN/ISN VDF (Voltage Division Factor), Cable Loss and Transient Limiter Attenuation. The basic equation is as follows:

$$\text{Correction Factor} = \text{LISN VDF} + \text{Cable Loss} + \text{Transient Limiter Attenuation}$$

The “**Margin**” column of the following data tables indicates the degree of compliance with the applicable limit. For example, a margin of 7 dB means the emission is 7 dB below the limit. The equation for margin calculation is as follows:

$$\text{Margin} = \text{Limit} - \text{Corrected Amplitude}$$

## Test Results Summary

According to the recorded data in following table, the EUT complied with the FCC Part 15.107, the worst margin as below:

**14.0 dB at 1.956630MHz** in the **Neutral** conducted mode

Refer to CISPR16-4-2:2011 and CISPR 16-4-1:2009, the measured level is in compliance with the limit if

$$L_m + U_{(Lm)} \leq L_{lim} + U_{cispr}$$

In BACL.,  $U_{(Lm)}$  is less than  $U_{cispr}$ , if  $L_m$  is less than  $L_{lim}$ , it implies that the EUT complies with the limit.

## Test Data

### Environmental Conditions


<b>Temperature:</b>	28°C
<b>Relative Humidity:</b>	45%
<b>ATM Pressure:</b>	101.0 kPa

*The testing was performed by Sonia Zhou on 2016-06-07.*

*EUT Operation Mode: Charging & Playing*

**AC 120V/60 Hz, Line:**


EMI Auto Test L



Frequency (MHz)	Corrected Amplitude (dBμV)	Correction Factor (dB)	Limit (dBμV)	Margin (dB)	Detector (PK/Ave./QP)
0.734990	39.1	19.9	56.0	16.9	QP
0.734990	29.1	19.9	46.0	16.9	Ave.
1.802550	38.2	20.0	56.0	17.8	QP
1.802550	28.6	20.0	46.0	17.4	Ave.
1.956630	42.0	20.0	56.0	14.0	QP
1.956630	32.0	20.0	46.0	14.0	Ave.
2.027730	41.0	20.0	56.0	15.0	QP
2.027730	31.0	20.0	46.0	15.0	Ave.
2.031250	41.3	20.0	56.0	14.7	QP
2.031250	31.2	20.0	46.0	14.8	Ave.
2.126470	38.2	20.0	56.0	17.8	QP
2.126470	27.6	20.0	46.0	18.4	Ave.

**AC 120V/60 Hz, Neutral:**

EMI Auto Test N



Frequency (MHz)	Corrected Amplitude (dBμV)	Correction Factor (dB)	Limit (dBμV)	Margin (dB)	Detector (PK/Ave./QP)
0.205500	43.2	20.0	63.4	20.2	QP
0.205500	38.2	20.0	53.4	15.2	Ave.
0.301410	40.7	19.9	60.2	19.5	QP
0.301410	35.3	19.9	50.2	14.9	Ave.
0.372450	39.2	19.9	58.5	19.3	QP
0.372450	33.6	19.9	48.4	14.8	Ave.
0.411730	34.3	19.9	57.7	23.4	QP
0.411730	27.7	19.9	47.6	19.9	Ave.
1.081990	35.0	20.0	56.0	21.0	QP
1.081990	28.8	20.0	46.0	17.2	Ave.
2.058950	34.2	20.0	56.0	21.8	QP
2.058950	25.2	20.0	46.0	20.8	Ave.

**Note:**

- 1) Correction Factor = LISN VDF (Voltage Division Factor) + Cable Loss + Transient Limiter Attenuation  
The corrected factor has been input into the transducer of the test software.
- 2) Corrected Amplitude = Reading + Correction Factor
- 3) Margin = Limit – Corrected Amplitude

## FCC §15.109 - RADIATED SPURIOUS EMISSIONS

### Applicable Standard

FCC §15.109

### Measurement Uncertainty


All measurements involve certain levels of uncertainties, especially in field of EMC. The factors contributing to uncertainties are spectrum analyzer, cable loss, antenna factor calibration, antenna directivity, antenna factor variation with height, antenna phase center variation, antenna factor frequency interpolation, measurement distance variation, site imperfections, mismatch (average), and system repeatability.

Based on CISPR 16-4-2:2011, the expanded combined standard uncertainty of radiation emissions at Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) is 5.81 dB for 30MHz-1GHz. And the uncertainty will not be taken into consideration for the test data recorded in the report.

Frequency	Polarity	Measurement uncertainty
30 MHz~200 MHz	Horizontal	4.04 dB (k=2, 95% level of confidence)
	Vertical	4.52 dB (k=2, 95% level of confidence)
200 MHz~1 GHz	Horizontal	4.72 dB (k=2, 95% level of confidence)
	Vertical	5.81 dB (k=2, 95% level of confidence)

### EUT Setup

Below 1GHz:



The radiated emission tests were performed in the 3 meters chamber test site, using the setup accordance with the ANSI C63.4-2014. The specification used was the FCC Part 15.109 Class B limits.

The external I/O cables were draped along the test table and formed a bundle 30 to 40 cm long in the middle.

The spacing between the peripherals was 10 cm.

### EMI Test Receiver Setup

The system was investigated from 30 MHz to 1 GHz.

During the radiated emission test, the EMI test receiver was set with the following configurations:

Frequency Range	RBW	Video B/W	IF B/W	Detector
30 MHz – 1000 MHz	100 kHz	300 kHz	120 kHz	QP

### Test Procedure

Maximizing procedure was performed on the highest emissions to ensure that the EUT complied with all installation combinations.

All data was recorded in the Quasi-peak detector mode from 30 MHz to 1 GHz.

**Test Equipment List and Details**

Manufacturer	Description	Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
HP	Amplifier	HP8447E	1937A01046	2016-05-06	2017-05-06
Rohde & Schwarz	EMI Test Receiver	ESCI	101120	2015-12-15	2016-12-14
Sunol Sciences	Bi-log Antenna	JB1	A040904-2	2014-12-07	2017-12-06
TDK	Chamber	Chamber A	2#	2013-10-15	2016-10-15
R&S	Auto test Software	EMC32	V9.10	NCR	NCR
Ducommun technologies	RF Cable	UFA210A-1-4724-30050U	MFR64369 223410-001	2015-06-15	2016-06-15
Ducommun technologies	RF Cable	104PEA	218124002	2015-06-15	2016-06-15
Ducommun technologies	RF Cable	RG-214	1	2015-06-15	2016-06-15
Ducommun technologies	RF Cable	RG-214	2	2015-06-15	2016-06-15

\* **Statement of Traceability:** Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) attests that all calibrations have been performed in accordance to requirements that traceable to National Primary Standards and International System of Units (SI).

**Corrected Amplitude & Margin Calculation**

The Corrected Amplitude is calculated by adding the Antenna Factor and Cable Loss, and subtracting the Amplifier Gain from the Meter Reading. The basic equation is as follows:

$$\text{Corrected Amplitude} = \text{Meter Reading} + \text{Antenna Factor} + \text{Cable Loss} - \text{Amplifier Gain}$$

The “**Margin**” column of the following data tables indicates the degree of compliance with the applicable limit. For example, a margin of 7 dB means the emission is 7 dB below the limit. The equation for margin calculation is as follows:

$$\text{Margin} = \text{Limit} - \text{Corrected Amplitude}$$

## Test Results Summary

According to the data in the following table, the EUT complied with the FCC §15.109 Class B, the worst margin reading as below:

**1.30 dB at 30.727500 MHz in the Vertical polarization mode**

Refer to CISPR16-4-2:2011 and CISPR 16-4-1:2009, the measured level is in compliance with the limit if

$$L_m + U_{(Lm)} \leq L_{lim} + U_{cispr}$$

In BACL,  $U_{(Lm)}$  is less than  $U_{cispr}$ , if  $L_m$  is less than  $L_{lim}$ , it implies that the EUT complies with the limit.

## Test Data

### Environmental Conditions

Temperature:	28 °C
Relative Humidity:	45 %
ATM Pressure:	101.0 kPa


*The testing was performed by Sonia Zhou on 2016-06-07.*



Test Mode: Charging & Playing

30 MHz~1 GHz

Full Spectrum



Frequency (MHz)	Corrected Amplitude (dBμV/m)	Antenna height (cm)	Antenna Polarity	Turntable position (degree)	Correction Factor (dB/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)
30.727500	38.70	106.0	V	118.0	-0.9	40.00	1.30
35.446875	22.01	130.0	V	259.0	-4.4	40.00	17.99
97.651500	15.27	220.0	H	109.0	-11.3	43.50	28.23
130.928250	15.93	103.0	V	346.0	-6.7	43.50	27.57
694.214250	21.82	375.0	H	52.0	0.4	46.00	24.18
828.743750	23.49	334.0	H	0.0	1.9	46.00	22.51

**Note:**

- 1) Correction Factor=Antenna factor (RX) + cable loss – amplifier factor
- 2) Corrected Amplitude = Correction Factor + Reading
- 3) Margin = Limit - Corrected Amplitude

\*\*\*\*\* END OF REPORT \*\*\*\*\*