



REPORT No.: SZ24050074S02

Hearing Aid Compatibility (HAC) RF Emission Test Report

APPLICANT : Sun Cupid Technology (HK) Ltd.
PRODUCT NAME : LTE Smart Phone
MODEL NAME : S6006L, NUU X7, X7
BRAND NAME : NUU
FCC ID : 2ADINS6006L
STANDARD(S) : FCC 47 CFR Part 20 (20.19)
ANSI C63.19-2019
RECEIPT DATE : 2024-06-07
TEST DATE : 2024-07-08
ISSUE DATE : 2024-08-27



Edited by:

Xie Yiyun

Xie Yiyun (Rapporteur)

Approved by:

Gan Yue ming

Gan Yueming (Supervisor)

NOTE: This document is issued by Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., the test report shall not be reproduced except in full without prior written permission of the company. The test results apply only to the particular sample(s) tested and to the specific tests carried out which is available on request for validation and information confirmed at our website.

MORLAB

Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd.
FL.1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road,
Block67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, Guangdong Province, P. R. China

Tel: 86-755-36698555

Http://www.morlab.cn

Fax: 86-755-36698525

E-mail: service@morlab.cn





DIRECTORY

1. Statement of RF Emission	4
2. Technical Information	5
2.1. Applicant and Manufacturer Information	5
2.2. Equipment under Test (EUT) Description	5
2.3. Photographs of the EUT	7
2.4. Applied Reference Documents	7
3. Air Interface and Operating Mode	8
4. WD Emission Requirements	10
5. HAC (RF) Measurement System	11
5.1. RF Measurement Setup	11
5.2. E-Field Probe	12
5.3. Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)	14
6. RF Emission Measurement Procedure	15
6.1. Test Procedure: Direct Measurement—Alternative	15
6.2. Test Procedure: Indirect Measurement—Preferred	16
7. Test Equipment List	18
8. System Validation	19
8.1. Test setup	19
8.2. Validation Result	20
9. Modulation Interference Factor	21
10. RF Audio Interference Power Level Evaluation	23
11. Summary Test Results	24
12. Uncertainty Assessment	25
Annex A General Information	27



REPORT No.: SZ24050074S02

Annex B Test Setup Photos.....	28
Annex C Plots of Performance System Check	28
Annex D Plots of RF Emission	28
Annex E DASY Calibration Certificate	28

Change History		
Version	Date	Reason for change
1.0	2024-08-27	First edition



1. Statement of RF Emission

The worst RF audio interference level found during test as bellows:

Air Interface	Frequency Band	RF _{AIL} [dB(V/m)]
GSM CMRS Voice	GSM850	33.36
	GSM1900	33.37

Note:

1. This device is in compliance with compliance with RF_{AIL} requirements specified in FCC 47 CFR Part 20.19 and tested in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in ANSI C63.19-2019 and FCC KDB publications.
2. When the test result is a critical value, we will use the measurement uncertainty give the judgment result based on the 95% confidence intervals.



2. Technical Information

Note: Provide by applicant.

2.1. Applicant and Manufacturer Information

Applicant:	Sun Cupid Technology (HK) Ltd.
Applicant Address:	16/F, CEO Tower, 77 Wing Hong Street, Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, Hong Kong.
Manufacturer:	Sun Cupid Technology (HK) Ltd.
Manufacturer Address:	16/F, CEO Tower, 77 Wing Hong Street, Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, Hong Kong.

2.2. Equipment under Test (EUT) Description

Product Name:	LTE Smart Phone
EUT IMEI:	354187140024990/01
Hardware Version:	V00
Software Version:	S6006L-VZ-UGO-MV03202-01.k
Frequency Bands:	GSM 850: 824 MHz ~ 849 MHz GSM 1900: 1850 MHz ~ 1910 MHz WCDMA Band II: 1850 MHz ~ 1910 MHz WCDMA Band IV: 1710 MHz ~ 1755 MHz WCDMA Band V: 824 MHz ~ 849 MHz LTE Band 2: 1850 MHz ~ 1910 MHz LTE Band 4: 1710 MHz ~ 1755 MHz LTE Band 5: 824 MHz ~ 849 MHz LTE Band 12: 699 MHz ~ 716 MHz LTE Band 13: 777 MHz ~ 787 MHz LTE Band 25: 1850 MHz ~ 1915 MHz LTE Band 26: 814 MHz ~ 849 MHz LTE Band 41: 2496 MHz ~ 2490 MHz LTE Band 66: 1710 MHz ~ 1780 MHz LTE Band 71: 663 MHz ~ 698 MHz WLAN 2.4GHz: 2412 MHz ~ 2462 MHz WLAN 5.2GHz: 5180 MHz ~ 5240 MHz WLAN 5.3GHz: 5260 MHz ~ 5320 MHz WLAN 5.5GHz: 5500 MHz ~ 5720 MHz WLAN 5.8GHz: 5745 MHz ~ 5825 MHz Bluetooth: 2402 MHz ~ 2480 MHz

Modulation Mode:	GSM/GPRS: GMSK EDGE: 8PSK WCDMA: QPSK, 16QAM LTE: QPSK, 16QAM 802.11b: DSSS 802.11a/g/n-HT20/HT40/ac-VHT20/40/80: OFDM BR+EDR: GFSK (1Mbps), $\pi/4$ -DQPSK (2Mbps), 8-DPSK (3Mbps) Bluetooth LE: GFSK
Antenna Type:	WWAN: FPC Antenna WLAN: FPC Antenna Bluetooth: FPC Antenna
VoLTE Mode:	Support
VoWi-Fi Mode:	Support
VoIP Mode:	Support
SIM Cards Description:	GSM+WCDMA+LTE

Note:

- The maximum conduct output power including tune-up limit refer to the test report 4791221995-1-SAR-1.
- Declaration of Conformity:
The conduct output power test results of WWAN/WLAN in the report are provided by the customer, and the test laboratory is not responsible for the accuracy of the information.
- There are three models S6006L, NUU X7 and X7 in this report, they are different from the model number. Therefore the model of S6006L for the main test model and the others were verified the worst condition of head or body exposure. The results of verification test data refer to the SAR report 4791221995-1-SAR-1.
- The MODU has two kinds of resources material information, as follows:
EUT Configuration:

Material type	First resources material information		Second resources material information	
	Part number	Supplier	Part number	Supplier
MODU(Baseband chips)	MT6761V/WBA	MTK	MT8766V/WBA	MTK

Based on preliminary testing, there were no significant differences between the two models and therefore model [MT6761 version] was fully tested.

- For more detailed description, please refer to specification or user manual supplied by the applicant and/or manufacturer.



2.3. Photographs of the EUT

Note: Please refer to the External Photos for the Photos of the EUT

2.4. Applied Reference Documents

Leading reference documents for testing:

Identity	Document Title	Method determination /Remark
FCC 47 CFR Part 20 (20.19)	Hearing aid-compatible mobile handsets	No deviation
ANSI C63.19-2019	American National Standard Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids	No deviation
KDB 285076 D01v06r04	HAC Guidance	No deviation

3. Air Interface and Operating Mode

Air Interface	Band	Transport Type	Simultaneous Transmitter	Name of Voice Service	Power Reduction
GSM	GSM850	VO	WLAN, BT	CMRS Voice	No
	GSM1900				No
	EDGE 850	VD	WLAN, BT	Google Meet	No
	EDGE 1900				No
WCDMA (UMTS)	Band II	VO	WLAN, BT	CMRS Voice	No
	Band IV				No
	Band V				No
	HSPA	VD	WLAN, BT	Google Meet	No
FDD-LTE & TDD-LTE	Band 2	VD	WLAN, BT	VoLTE & Google Meet	No
	Band 4				No
	Band 5				No
	Band 12				No
	Band 13				No
	Band 25				No
	Band 26				No
	Band 41				No
	Band 66				No
	Band 71				No
WiFi	2450	VD	GSM, UMTS, LTE	VoWiFi & Google Meet	No
	5200 (U-NII-1)				No
	5300 (U-NII-2A)				No
	5500 (U-NII-2C)				No
	5800 (U-NII-3)				No
BT	2450	DT	GSM, UMTS, LTE	N/A	No

Note:

- 1) Air Interface/Band MHz: List of all air interfaces and bands supported by the handset.
- 2) Type: For each air interface, indicate the type of voice transport mode:
 - i. VO = legacy Cellular Voice Service, from ANSI C63.19-2019;
 - ii. DT = Digital Transport only (no voice); and
 - iii. VD = IP Voice Service over Digital Transport.



REPORT No.: SZ24050074S02

- 3) Simultaneous Transmitter: Indicate any air interface/bands that operate in simultaneous or concurrent service transmission mode.
- 4) Name of Voice Service: See Q4 in 285076 D03 HAC FAQ for further clarification.
- 5) Set device to highest device transmit power in a held to the ear mode.

4. WD Emission Requirements

According to ANSI C63.19-2019, the WD's conducted power must be at or below either the stated RF_{AIPL} (Table 4.1) or the stated peak power level (Table 4.2), or the average near-field emissions over the measurement area must be at or below the stated RF_{AIL} (Table 4.3), or the stated peak field strength (Table 4.4). The WD may demonstrate compliance by meeting any of these four requirements, but it must do so in each of its operating bands at its established worst-case normal speech-mode operating condition.

Table 4.1—Wireless device RF audio interference power level	
Frequency Range (MHz)	RF_{AIPL} (dBm)
<960	29
960–2000	26
>2000	25

Table 4.2—Wireless device RF peak power level	
Frequency Range (MHz)	$RF_{Peak\ Power}$ (dBm)
<960	35
960–2000	32
>2000	31

Table 4.3—Wireless device RF audio interference level	
Frequency Range (MHz)	RF_{AIL} [dB(V/m)]
<960	39
960–2000	36
>2000	35

Table 4.4—Wireless device RF peak near-field level	
Frequency Range (MHz)	RF_{Peak} [dB(V/m)]
<960	45
960–2000	42
>2000	41

5. HAC (RF) Measurement System

5.1. RF Measurement Setup




Fig 5.1 Typical DASY6/8 setup for HAC RF signal test

5.2. E-Field Probe

The RF measurement is conducted with the dosimetric probe (manufactured by SPEAG). The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use with high permittivity. The dosimetric probe has special calibration at different frequency. This probe has a built in optical surface detection system to prevent from collision with phantom.

E-Field Probe Specification

<ER3DV6>


Construction	One dipole parallel, two dipoles normal to probe axis Built-in shielding against static charges	
Calibration	In air from 100 MHz to 3.0 GHz (Absolute accuracy $\pm 6.0\%$, $k=2$)	
Frequency	10 MHz to 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)	
Dynamic Range	2 V/m to 1000 V/m (M3 or better device readings fall well below diode compression point)	
Linearity	± 0.2 dB	
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 16 mm) Tip diameter: 8 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.5 mm	

Fig 5.2 Photo of ER3DV6

Probe Tip Description:
HAC field measurements take place in the close near field with high gradients. Increasing the measuring distance from the source will generally decrease the measured field values (in case of the validation dipole approx. 10% per mm).

<Voltage to E-field Conversion>

The measured voltage is first linearized to a quantity proportional to the square of the E-field using the (a, b, c, d) set of parameters specific to the communication system and sensor:

$$V_{\text{compi}} = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{10^{\frac{d}{10}}}{dcp_i}$$

where $V_{\text{comp}i}$ = compensated voltage of channel i (μV) ($i = x, y, z$)
 U_i = input voltage of channel i (μV) ($i = x, y, z$)
 d = PMR factor d (dB) (Probe parameter)
 d_{cpi} = diode compression point of channel i (μV) (Probe parameter, $i = x, y, z$)

$$V_{\text{comp}i_{\text{dB}\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}}} = 10 \cdot \log_{10} (V_{\text{comp}i})$$

$$\text{corr}_i = a_i \cdot e^{-\left(\frac{V_{\text{comp}i_{\text{dB}\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}}}{c_i} - b_i\right)^2}$$

where corr_i = correction factor of channel i (dB) ($i = x, y, z$)
 $V_{\text{comp}i_{\text{dB}\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}}}$ = compensated voltage of channel i ($\text{dB}\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}$) ($i = x, y, z$)
 a_i = PMR factor a of channel i (dB) (Probe parameter, $i = x, y, z$)
 b_i = PMR factor b of channel i ($\text{dB}\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}$) (Probe parameter, $i = x, y, z$)
 c_i = PMR factor c of channel i (Probe parameter, $i = x, y, z$).

The voltage $V_{\text{idB}\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}}$ is the linearized voltage in $\text{dB}\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}$

$$V_{\text{idB}\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}} = V_{\text{comp}i_{\text{dB}\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}}} - \text{corr}_i$$

Where $V_{\text{idB}\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}}$ = linearized voltage of channel i ($\text{dB}\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}$) ($i = x, y, z$)
 $V_{\text{comp}i_{\text{dB}\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}}}$ = compensated voltage of channel i ($\text{dB}\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}$) ($i = x, y, z$)
 corr_i = correction factor of channel i (dB) ($i = x, y, z$)

Finally, the linearized voltage is converted in μV :

$$V_i = 10^{\frac{V_{\text{idB}\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}}}{10}}$$

The E-field data for each channel are calculated using the linearized voltage:

$$E\text{-field probes: } E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{\text{Norm}_i \cdot \text{ConvF}}}$$

Where V_i = linearized voltage of channel i ($i = x, y, z$)
 Norm_i = sensor sensitivity ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$) of channel i ($i = x, y, z$)
 ConvF = sensitivity enhancement in solution
 E_i = electric field strength of channel i in V/m .

The RMS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{\text{tot}} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

<Averaged E-field Calculation>

The averaged E-field is defined by

$$E_{avg} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$$

where n = the number of measurement grid point
 E_i = the E-field measured at point i.

<RFail Calculation>

The RFail is finally computed with

$$\text{RFail [dB(V=m)]} = 20 \cdot \log_{10}(E_{avg}) + \text{MIF}$$

Where RFail = the Radio Frequency Audio Interference Level in dB(V/m)
 E_{avg} = the averaged E-field in (V/m) calculated
 MIF = the Modulation Interference Factor in dB.

5.3. Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)

The data acquisition electronics (DAE) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bits AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.

The input impedance of the DAE is 200 MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.



Fig 5.3 Photo of DAE

6. RF Emission Measurement Procedure

6.1. Test Procedure: Direct Measurement—Alternative

The following methods are example step-by-step test procedures. Other comparable procedures may be used. Either manual or automatic test procedures may be used. The automated test procedure is preferred.




Fig 6.1 WD near-field emission scan flowchart




Fig 6.2 WD measurement and reference planes for RF emission measurements




Fig 6.3 Device Under Test Positioning under the Test Arch

6.2. Test Procedure: Indirect Measurement—Preferred

1. Confirm the proper operation of the field probe, probe measurement system, and other instrumentation and the positioning system.
2. Position the WD in its intended test position. A gauge block, depicted in A.1.2, can simplify this positioning.
3. Set the WD to transmit a fixed and repeatable combination of signal power and modulation characteristic that is representative of the worst case (highest interference potential) encountered in normal use. Transiently occurring start-up, changeover, or termination conditions, or other operations likely to occur less than 1% of the time during normal operation, may be excluded from consideration. Transiently occurring start-up, changeover, or termination conditions, or other operations likely to occur less than 1% of the time during normal operation, may be excluded from consideration.
4. The measurement area shall be centered on the acoustic output or the T-Coil mode measurement reference point, as appropriate. Locate the field probe at the initial test position in the 50 mm by 50 mm measurement area, which is contained in the measurement plane, described in 4.5.2 and illustrated in Figure A.1. If the field alignment method is used, align the probe for maximum field reception.



5. Record the reading at the output of the measurement system.
6. Scan the entire 50 mm by 50 mm measurement area in equally spaced step sizes and record the reading at each measurement point. The step size shall meet the specification for step size in 4.5.3.
7. Calculate the average of the measurements taken in Step 6.
8. Convert the average value found in Step g) to RF audio interference level, in volts per meter, by taking the square root of the reading and then dividing it by the measurement system transfer function, as established in 4.5.3.2.1 pre-test procedure. Convert the result to dB(V/m) by taking the base-10 logarithm and multiplying it by 20. Expressed as a formula:

$$\text{RF audio interference level in db (V/m)} = 20 \cdot \log (R_{\text{ave}}^{1/2} / \text{TF})$$

Where R_{ave} is the average reading

9. Comparing this RF audio interference level to the limits in 4.7 of ANSI C63.19-2019 and record the result.



7. Test Equipment List

Manufacturer	Name of Equipment	Type/Model	Serial No./ SW Version	Calibration	
				Last Cal.	Due Date
SPEAG	DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT SYSTEM Software	cDASY6 HAC	V1.2	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	Isotropic E-Field Probe	ER3DV6	2434	2024.02.17	2025.02.16
SPEAG	Audio Holder	N/A	1094	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	835MHz Calibration Dipole	CD835V3	1113	2021.10.23	2024.10.22
SPEAG	1880MHz Calibration Dipole	CD1880V3	1111	2021.10.23	2024.10.22
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	480	2023.09.19	2024.09.18
SPEAG	Test Arch Phantom	N/A	N/A	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	Phone Positioner	N/A	N/A	NCR	NCR
R&S	Power Sensor	NRP8S	103215	2024.01.25	2025.01.24
Agilent	Power Meter	E4416A	MY45102093	2023.09.19	2024.09.18
R&S	Power Sensor	NRP8S	103240	2024.01.25	2025.01.24
Anritsu	Power Meter	E4418B	GB43318055	2024.05.30	2025.05.29
Agilent	Signal Generator	N5182B	MY53050509	2023.09.19	2024.09.18
R&S	Base Station	CMU200	107082	2024.05.30	2025.05.29
R&S	Base Station	CMW500	165755	2024.01.25	2025.01.24
PASTERNAK	Dual Directional Coupler	PE2214-10	N/A	NA	NA
Woken	Attenuator	WK0602-XX	N/A	NA	NA
Mini-Circuits	Power Amplifier	ZHL-42W+	608501717	NCR	NCR

8. System Validation

According to ANSI C63.19, before hearing aid testing commences, the experimental setup shall be validated. Sub clauses 6.3.1 through 6.3.5 include a set of pretest procedures designed to validate the experimental setup to ensure the accuracy of the results. To verify that the hearing aid performs per the manufacturer's specifications, 6.3.5 advises that the hearing aid be pretested per ANSI S3.22.

8.1. Test setup

1. In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the EUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave which comes from a signal generator.
2. Position the E-field probe at a 15 mm distance from the top surface of the dipole, which is also fixed in an appropriate fixture.
3. Make sure that the desired measuring channel of the probe is aligned for maximum reception of the E-field generated by the dipole. This may be accomplished by rotating the probe until the maximum value is located. The E-field probe shall have been calibrated over the frequency range to be measured using standard calibration techniques.
4. Adjust the power level (20dBm $\rightarrow$ 100mW) of the signal generator at the initial starting frequency such that the desired E-field strength at the 15 mm distance from the tip of the dipole is achieved. Setting the field strength to be in the range of category M2 is advised.
5. Step the frequency in increments of $\leq 1\%$, adjusting the power fed into the dipole such that the desired E-field strength is maintained.




Fig 8.1 WD dipole calibration procedure

8.2. Validation Result

After testing, record the frequency and signal generator setting at each frequency for use during the actual immunity test. Comparing to the original E-field value provided by SPEAG, the verification data should be within its specification of 25 %. Table 6.1 shows the target value and measured value. The table below indicates the system performance check can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to appendix A of this report. Deviation = ((Average E-field Value) - (Target value)) / (Target value) * 100%

Frequency (MHz)	Input Power (mW)	Target Value (V/m)	E _{max} (V/m)	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)	Date
835	100	110.8	105	-5.23	±12.8	2024.07.08
1880	100	89.5	83.6	-6.59	±12.8	2024.07.08

9. Modulation Interference Factor

For any specific fixed and repeatable modulated signal, a Modulation Interference Factor (MIF, expressed in decibels) may be developed that relates its interference potential to its steady state rms signal level or average power level. This factor is a function only of the audio frequency amplitude modulation characteristics of the signal and is the same for field strength or conducted power measurements. It is important to emphasize that the MIF is valid only for a specific repeatable audio frequency amplitude modulation characteristic. Any change in modulation characteristic requires determination and application of a new MIF.

MIF may be determined using a radiated RF field, a conducted RF signal, or, in a preliminary stage, a mathematical analysis of a modeled RF signal.

1. Verify the slope accuracy and dynamic range capability over the desired operating frequency band of a fast probe or sensor, square-law detector, as specified in D.3, and weighting system as specified in D.4 and D.5. For the probe and instrumentation included in the measurement of MIF, additional calibration and application of calibration factors are not required.
2. Using RF illumination, or conducted coupling, apply the specific modulated signal in question to the measurement system at a level within its confirmed operating dynamic range.
3. Measure the steady-state rms level at the output of the fast probe or sensor.
4. Measure the steady-state average level at the weighting output.
5. Without changing the square-law detector or weighting system, and using RF illumination, or conducted coupling, substitute for the specific modulated signal a 1 kHz, 80% amplitude-modulated carrier at the same frequency and adjust its strength until the level at the weighting output equals the Step d) measurement.
6. Without changing the carrier level from Step e), remove the 1 kHz modulation and again measure the steady-state rms level indicated at the output of the fast probe or sensor.
7. The MIF for the specific modulation characteristic is given by the ratio of the Step 6 measurement to the Step 3 measurement, expressed in decibels ($20 \cdot \log(\text{step 6/step 3})$).

In practice, Step 5 and Step 6 need not be repeated for each MIF determination if the relationship between the two measurements has been pre-established for the measurement system over the operating frequency and dynamic ranges. In such cases, only the modulation characteristic being tested needs to be available during WD testing.

MIF values applied in this test report were provided by the HAC equipment provider of SPEAG, and the worst values for all air interface are listed below to determine the Low-power Exemption.



UID	Communication System Name	MIF (dB)
10021	GSM-FDD (TDMA, GMSK)	3.63
10025	EDGE-FDD (TDMA, 8PSK, TN 0)	3.75
10460	UMTS-FDD (WCDMA, AMR)	-25.43
10225	UMTS-FDD (HSPA+)	-20.39
10081	CDMA2000 (1xRTT, RC3 Full Rate)	-19.71
10295	CDMA2000 (1xRTT, RC1 SO3, 1/8th Rate 25 fr.)	3.26
10403	CDMA2000 (1xEV-DO)	-17.67
10169	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1RB, 20MHz, QPSK)	-15.63
10170	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1RB, 20MHz, 16-QAM)	-9.76
10179	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1RB, 20MHz, 64-QAM)	-9.93
10181	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1RB, 15MHz, QPSK)	-15.63
10175	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1RB, 10MHz, QPSK)	-15.63
10177	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1RB, 5MHz, QPSK)	-15.63
10184	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1RB, 3MHz, QPSK)	-15.62
10187	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1RB, 1.4MHz, QPSK)	-15.62
10172	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	-1.62
10173	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 20 MHz, 16-QAM)	-1.44
10174	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 20 MHz, 64-QAM)	-1.54
10240	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 15 MHz, QPSK)	-1.62
10237	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	-1.62
10234	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 5 MHz, QPSK)	-1.62
10231	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 3 MHz, QPSK)	-1.62
10228	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 1.4 MHz, QPSK)	-1.62
10061	IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 11 Mbps)	-2.02
10077	IEEE 802.11g WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS/OFDM, 54 Mbps)	0.12
10427	IEEE 802.11n (HT Greenfield, 150 Mbps, 64-QAM)	-13.44
10069	IEEE 802.11a/h WiFi 5 GHz (OFDM, 54 Mbps)	-3.15
10616	IEEE 802.11ac WiFi (40MHz, MCS0, 90pc duty cycle)	-5.57

10. RF Audio Interference Power Level Evaluation

➤ General Guidance

1. The maximum conducted power including tune-up limit of each air interface will be used to plusing the MIF and evaluating whether it complies with the RF_{AIPL} requirements defined in ANSI C63.19-2019 section 4.7 Table 4.1, or the RF Emission should be measured and the test results complies with RF audio interference level requirements of Table 4.3.
2. The EDGE mode is not required since it is less than the GSM Voice mode for the conducted power including tune-up limit.
3. When the results that the maximum conducted power each air interface plus MIF complies with the RF_{AIPL} requirements, means it complies with the WD emission requirements per ANSI C63.19-2019.
4. The maximum power of higher frequency bands of FDD-LTE and TDD-LTE will be used to determining the RF_{AIPL} .
5. The maximum power including tune-up limit of each air interface was recorded in SAR report 4791221995-1-SAR-1.

➤ RF_{AIPL} Evaluation

<WWAN>

Air Interface	Max Tune-up Limit (dBm)	Worst Case MIF (dB)	Power + MIF (dB)	Lowest RF_{AIPL} (dBm)	C63.19 Test Required
GSM 850	33.00	3.63	36.63	29	Yes
EDGE 850	26.50	3.75	30.25	29	Yes
GSM 1900	29.50	3.63	33.13	26	Yes
EDGE 1900	26.00	3.75	29.75	26	Yes
UMTS	24.50	-25.43	-0.93	26	No
UMTS-HSPA	23.50	-20.39	3.11	26	No
FDD - LTE	24.50	-9.76	14.74	25	No
TDD - LTE	22.50	22.50	-1.62	25	No

<WLAN>

Air Interface	Max Tune-up Limit (dBm)	Worst Case MIF (dB)	Power + MIF (dB)	Lowest RF_{AIPL} (dBm)	C63.19 Test Required
802.11b	15.00	-2.02	12.98	25	No
802.11g	14.50	0.12	14.62	25	No
802.11n-HT20	14.50	-13.44	1.06	25	No
802.11n-HT40	13.50	-13.44	0.06	25	No
802.11a	19.00	-3.15	15.85	25	No



802.11n-HT20	18.50	-13.44	5.06	25	No
802.11n-HT40	18.50	-13.44	5.06	25	No
802.11ac-VHT20	18.50	-5.57	12.93	25	No
802.11ac-VHT40	18.50	-5.57	12.93	25	No
802.11ac-VHT80	17.00	-5.57	11.43	25	No

11. Summary Test Results

➤ General Guidance

This device was tested under the maximum volume, backlight off and mute on.

➤ RF_{AIL} Test Results

Plot No.	Air Interface	Mode	Channel	RF _{AIL} (dB V/m)	Verdict
	GSM850	GSM Voice	128	33.36	PASS
	GSM850	GSM Voice	190	33.34	PASS
	GSM850	GSM Voice	251	33.34	PASS
	GSM1900	GSM Voice	512	33.35	PASS
	GSM1900	GSM Voice	661	33.37	PASS
	GSM1900	GSM Voice	810	33.35	PASS

12. Uncertainty Assessment

The component of uncertainty may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainty by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type A evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance.

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type B evaluation using the usual "root-sum-squares" (RSS) methods of combining standard deviations by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances. Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %.



HAC Uncertainty Budget For ANSI C63.19-2019 600 - 6000 MHz, Scanning distance 15mm					
Error Description	Uncertainty Value ($\pm\%$)	Probe Distance	Div.	(Ci) Eav	Standard Uncertainty (Eav) ($\pm\%$)
Measurement System					
Probe Calibration	5.1	N	1	1	5.1
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	1.732	1	2.7
Sensor Displacement	7.2	R	1.732	0.5	2.1
Boundary Effects	2.4	R	1.732	1	1.4
Phantom Boundary Effect	7.2	R	1.732	1	4.2
Probe Linearity	4.7	R	1.732	1	2.7
Scaling to Peak Power with MIF	10.0	R	1.732	1	5.8
System Detection Limit	1.0	R	1.732	1	0.6
Readout Electronics	0.3	N	1	1	0.3
Response Time	0.8	R	1.732	0	0
Integration Time	0.8	R	1.732	0	0
RF Ambient Conditions	2.6	R	1.732	1	1.7
RF Reflections	3.0	R	1.732	1	6.9
RF Reflections	12.2	R	1.732	1	6.9
Probe Positioner	1.2	R	1.732	1	0.7
Probe Positioning	3.0	R	1.732	1	1.7
Extrapolation and Interpolation	1.0	R	1.732	1	0.6
Test Sample Related					
Device Positioning Vertical	4.7	R	1.732	1	2.7
Device Positioning Lateral	1.0	R	1.732	1	0.6
Device Holder and Phantom	2.4	R	1.732	1	1.4
Power Drift	5.0	R	1.732	1	2.9
Phantom and Setup Related					
Phantom Thickness	2.4	R			
Combined Std. Uncertainty					13.2
Expanded Std. Uncertainty on Power					26.4
Expanded Std. Uncertainty on Field					13.2



Annex A General Information

1. Identification of the Responsible Testing Laboratory

Laboratory Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd.
Laboratory Address:	FL.1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, GuangDong Province, P. R. China
Telephone:	+86 755 36698555
Facsimile:	+86 755 36698525

2. Identification of the Responsible Testing Location

Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd.
Address:	FL.1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, GuangDong Province, P. R. China

3. Facilities and Accreditations

The FCC designation number is CN1192, the test firm registration number is 226174.



Annex B Test Setup Photos

The annex B will be submitted separately.

Annex C Plots of Performance System Check

The annex C will be submitted separately.

Annex D Plots of RF Emission

The annex D will be submitted separately.

Annex E DASY Calibration Certificate

The annex E will be submitted separately.

***** END OF MAIN REPORT *****