




<b>RF-EXPOSURE REPORT</b> <b>FCC 47 CFR Part 2.1091</b> <b>Maximum permissible exposure</b>	
<b>Report Reference No</b>	G0M-2203-1368-TFC091MP-V03
<b>Testing Laboratory</b>	Eurofins Product Service GmbH
<b>Address</b>	Storkower Str. 38c 15526 Reichenwalde Germany
<b>Accreditation</b>	 A2LA Accredited Testing Laboratory, Certificate No.: 1983.01 FCC Test Firm Designation Number: DE0008
<b>Applicant</b>	Alator AG
<b>Address</b>	Bundesplatz 9 6302 Zug Switzerland
<b>Test Specification</b>	According to FCC rules
<b>Standard</b>	FCC 47 CFR 2.1091
<b>Non-Standard Test Method</b>	None
<b>Equipment under Test (EUT):</b>	
<b>Product Description</b>	Food Processor
<b>Model(s)</b>	Pacojet 4
<b>Additional Model(s)</b>	None
<b>Brand Name(s)</b>	Pacojet 4
<b>Hardware Version(s)</b>	0S
<b>Software Version(s)</b>	Module: ESP32-S2_RF_TEST_V200_40M_20200714; Main Application: 6.0
<b>FCC-ID</b>	2A7QD-PJ4V00
<b>Test Result</b>	<b>PASSED</b>

<b>Possible test case verdicts:</b>		
required by standard but not tested	N/T	
not required by standard	N/R	
test object does meet the requirement	P(PASS)	
test object does not meet the requirement	F(FAIL)	
<b>Testing:</b>		
Test Lab Temperature	20 °C - 30 °C	
Test Lab Humidity	25 % - 55 %	
Date of receipt of test item	2022-03-28	
<b>Report:</b>		
Compiled by	Florian Voigt	
Tested by (+ signature)	Florian Voigt	
Test supervised by (+ signature) (Responsible for Test)	Burkhard Pudell	
Approved by (+ signature) (Test Lab Engineer)	Radwan Jaafar	
Date of Issue	2023-07-10	
Total number of pages	13	
<b>General Remarks:</b>		
<p>The test results presented in this report relate only to the object tested.</p> <p>The results contained in this report reflect the results for this particular model and serial number. It is the responsibility of the manufacturer to ensure that all production models meet the intent of the requirements detailed within this report.</p> <p>This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the Issuing testing laboratory.</p>		
<b>Additional Comments:</b>		

## VERSION HISTORY

Version History			
Version	Issue Date	Remarks	Revised By
01	2022-05-02	Initial Release	O. Qawasmeh
02	2023-01-24	Replaced document: G0M-2203-1368-TFC091MP-V01 Replaced by: G0M-2203-1368-TFC091MP-V02  Reasons: 1. Calculation according ISED rules removed 2. Correction of antenna gain	Florian Voigt
03	2023-07-10	Replaced document: G0M-2203-1368-TFC091MP-V02 Replaced by: G0M-2203-1368-TFC091MP-V03  Reasons: 1. Using radiated/conducted fundamental power of a different test report "G0M-2203-1368-TFC247WF-V01" for calculations 2. Correction of FCC ID under "Equipment (Test Item) Under Test" information 3. Removal of ISED Limits tables 4. Evaluation of low, middle and high channel	Florian Voigt

## ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

Acronyms	
Acronym	Description
EIRP	Equivalent Isotropic Radiated Power
EUT	Equipment Under Test
MPE	Maximum Permissible Exposure

## REPORT INDEX

<b>1</b>	<b>Equipment (Test Item) Under Test.....</b>	<b>6</b>
1.1	Reference Documents.....	7
1.2	Power density radiation sources.....	8
1.3	Field strength radiation sources .....	8
1.4	Concurrent Sources .....	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Result Summary.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>RF-Exposure classification .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>RF-Exposure limits .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>RF-Exposure Evaluation .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Single Source Evaluation Results - FCC .....</b>	<b>13</b>

## 1 Equipment (Test Item) Under Test

Description	Food Processor
Model	Pacojet 4
Additional Model(s)	None
Brand Name(s)	Pacojet 4
Serial Number(s)	0S-02
Hardware Version(s)	0S
Software Version(s)	Module: ESP32-S2_RF_TEST_V200_40M_20200714; Main Application: 6.0
FCC ID	2A7QD-PJ4V00
Equipment type	End Product
Environment	General public

## 1.1 Reference Documents

Document Type	Document No.	Issued by	Date
Radio test report FCC Part 15.247	G0M-2203-1368- TFC247WF-V01	Eurofins Product Service GmbH	2023-06-28

## 1.2 Power density radiation sources

Mode	Operating Frequency [MHz]	Maximum conducted power [dBm]	Maximum radiated power [dBm EIRP]	Maximum duty cycle [%]	Maximum antenna gain [dBi]	Maximum antenna diameter [cm]
IEEE 802.11 (2.4 GHz)	2412	21.4	24.4	100	3.0	N/A
	2437	20.0	23.0	100	3.0	N/A
	2462	18.3	21.3	100	3.0	N/A
Comment:						

## 1.3 Field strength radiation sources

None

## 1.4 Concurrent Sources

No concurrent radiation sources



## 2 Result Summary

FCC MPE Evaluation - Single radiation sources					
Product Standard Reference	Requirement	Reference Method	Mode	Distance [m]	Verdict
47 CFR 2.1091	Maximum permissible exposure	FCC KDB 447498	IEEE 802.11 (2.4 GHz)	0.20	PASS
Comment:					

### 3 RF-Exposure classification

RF-Exposure Categories	
Fixed	A fixed device is defined as a device physically secured at one fixed location and cannot be easily re-located.
Mobile	A mobile device is defined as a transmitting device designed to be used in other than fixed locations and to generally be used in such a way that a separation distance of at least 20 centimeters is normally maintained between the transmitter's radiating structure(s) and the body of the user or nearby persons.
Portable	A portable device is defined as a transmitting device designed to be used so that the radiating structure(s) of the device is/are within 20 centimeters of the body of the user.

RF-Exposure Categories	
Occupational / Controlled	Limits apply in situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment provided those persons are fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Limits for occupational/controlled exposure also apply in situations when an individual is transient through a location where occupational/controlled limits apply provided he or she is made aware of the potential for exposure.
General population / Uncontrolled	Exposures apply in situations in which the general public may be exposed, or in which persons that are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure.

#### 4 RF-Exposure limits

FCC Limits – General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure				
Frequency range [MHz]	Electric field strength [V/M]	Magnetic field strength [A/M]	Power density [W/m <sup>2</sup> ]	Averaging time [min]
0.3 – 1.34	614	1.63	1000	30
1.34 – 30	824/f	2.19/f	1800/f <sup>2</sup>	30
30 – 300	27.5	0.073	2	30
300 – 1500	-	-	f/150	30
1500 – 100000	-	-	10.0	30

FCC Limits – Occupational / Controlled Exposure				
Frequency range [MHz]	Electric field strength [V/M]	Magnetic field strength [A/M]	Power density [W/m <sup>2</sup> ]	Averaging time [min]
0.3 – 3.0	614	1.63	1000	6
3.0 – 30	1842/f	4.89/f	9000/f <sup>2</sup>	6
30 – 300	61.4	0.163	10.0	6
300 – 1500	-	-	f/30	6
1500 – 100000	-	-	50	6

## 5 RF-Exposure Evaluation

Evaluation Relations
$\lambda[m] = \frac{c \left[ \frac{m}{s} \right]}{f[Hz]} ; R_{FF}[m] \geq \frac{2 \cdot D[m]^2}{\lambda[m]}$ $S[W/m^2] = \frac{P_{E.I.R.P.}[W]}{4\pi R[m]^2} ; R[m] = \sqrt{\frac{P_{E.I.R.P.}[W]}{4\pi S[W/m^2]}}$ $DCC [dB] = 10 \cdot \log_{10} \left( \frac{DC[\%]}{100} \right)$ $\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{S_i \left[ \frac{W}{m^2} \right]}{S_{Li} \left[ \frac{W}{m^2} \right]} + \sum_{j=1}^M \left( \frac{E_j \left[ \frac{V}{m} \right]}{E_{Lj} \left[ \frac{V}{m} \right]} \right)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^O \left( \frac{H_k \left[ \frac{A}{m} \right]}{H_{Lk} \left[ \frac{A}{m} \right]} \right)^2 < 1$

Evaluation Procedure
<p><u>Standalone operation evaluation:</u></p> <p>For each radio and frequency band the worst case transmission mode with the highest peak conducted or radiated power is evaluated at the frequency that results in the most restrictive rf-exposure limit. From the peak power values, antenna gains and duty cycles taken from the reference documents, the source average radiated power values are calculated. From the average radiated power the power densities at antenna far-field distance is calculated. The distance from the radiation source for compliance power density is calculated. If the separation distance is lower than the far-field distance, the far-field distance is given as compliance separation distance because the plane wave power density assessment is only valid in the far-field of the radiation source.</p> <p>For radiation sources for which the average electric and magnetic fields are measured using field probes, the measured field strength values are compared to the reference limits. For those sources no calculations are performed. Compliance with the reference values is determined with the near field measurements.</p> <p><u>Concurrent operation evaluation:</u></p> <p>First the evaluation distance is set to an appropriate value. For all radiation sources for which power densities are calculated, the power densities at the evaluation distance are calculated and for all other sources the electric or magnetic field strengths are measured using field probes. Finally the ratios of the power densities and/or field strength values and the corresponding limits are calculated and summed and the sum is compared to the maximum of 1.</p>

## 6 Single Source Evaluation Results - FCC

IEEE 802.11 (2.4 GHz)			
<b>Transmission Mode</b>			
Transmission Frequency (f) [MHz]	2412	2437	2462
<b>Antenna far-field distance</b>			
Maximum antenna diameter (D) [m]	N/A	N/A	N/A
Transmission wavelength ( $\lambda$ ) [m]	N/A	N/A	N/A
Antenna far-field distance ( $R_{FF}$ ) [m]	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Source average power</b>			
Peak radiated power (PR) [dBm EIRP]	24.4	23.0	21.3
Maximum transmission duty cycle (DC)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Duty cycle correction (DCC) [dB]	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average radiated power (PRAVG) [dBm EIRP]	24.40	23.00	21.30
<b>Power density</b>			
Compliance power density limit [W/m <sup>2</sup> ]	10.000	10.000	10.000
Power density (S) @ Antenna far-field distance [W/m <sup>2</sup> ]	N/A	N/A	N/A
Power density (S) @ 0.20 m [W/m <sup>2</sup> ]	0.548	0.397	0.268
Power density ratio @ 0.20 m	0.05	0.04	0.03
Distance for compliance power density (S=SL) [m]	0.047	0.040	0.033
<b>Compliance</b>			
Verdict	PASS	PASS	PASS
Comment:			

=== END OF TEST REPORT ===