

SAR TEST REPORT

FCC ID.	2A6V4T8851
Test Report No.	TCT231225E007
Date of issue	Jan. 11, 2024
Testing laboratory	SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTING LAB
Testing location/ address:	2101 & 2201, Zhenchang Factory Renshan Industrial Zone, Fuhai Subdistrict, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518103, People's Republic of China
Applicant's name	Hetaida Technology Co., Ltd.
Address	8F,9F,2#Building Scientific Research Center, Songhu Intelligent Valley, No.6 Minfu Road, Liaobu Town, Dongguan City, Guangdong Province China
Manufacturer's name	Hetaida Technology Co., Ltd.
Address	8F,9F,2#Building Scientific Research Center, Songhu Intelligent Valley, No.6 Minfu Road, Liaobu Town, Dongguan City, Guangdong Province China
Test item description	Infrared Body Thermometer
Trade Mark	N/A
Model/Type reference/ HVIN	T8851US, T8851EU, T8851C
SAR Max. Values	0.56 W/Kg (1g) for Body-worn
Simultaneous Reported SAR	0.59 W/Kg (1g) for Body-worn
Date of receipt of test item	Dec. 25, 2023
Date (s) of performance of test	Dec. 25, 2023- Jan. 11, 2024
Tested by (+signature)	Karl WANG
Check by (+signature)	Beryl Zhao
Approved by (+signature) :	Tomsin

General disclaimer:

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTING LAB. This document may be altered or revised by SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTING LAB personnel only, and shall be noted in the revision section of the document. The test results in the report only apply to the tested sample.




TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. General Product Information	4
1.1. EUT description	4
1.2. Model(s) list	4
2. Test standard	5
3. Facilities and Accreditations	5
3.1. Facilities	5
3.2. Location	5
4. Test Result Summary	6
5. RF Exposure Limit	7
6. SAR Measurement System Configuration	8
6.1. SAR Measurement Set-up	8
6.2. E-field Probe	9
6.3. Phantom	9
6.4. Device Holder	10
6.5. Data Storage and Evaluation	11
6.6. Position of the wireless device in relation to the phantom	12
6.7. Tissue Dielectric Parameters	15
6.8. Tissue-equivalent Liquid Properties	16
6.9. System Check	17
7. Measurement Procedure	18
8. Conducted Output Power	21
9. Exposure Position Consideration	24
9.1. EUT Antenna Location	24
10. SAR Test Results Summary	25
10.1. Body-Worn 1g SAR Data	25
10.2. Simultaneous Transmission Conclusion	26
10.3. SAR Simultaneous Transmission Analysis	27
10.4. Measurement Uncertainty (450MHz-3GHz)	28
10.5. Test Equipment List	30
11. System Check Results	31
12. SAR Test Data	41
Appendix A: EUT Photos	53
Appendix B: Test Setup Photos	55
Appendix C: Probe Calibration Certificate	56

Appendix D: Dipole Calibration Report.....	74
Appendix E: SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION	98
Appendix F: The Check Data of Impedance and Return Loss.....	99

1. General Product Information

1.1. EUT description

Test item description.....	Infrared Body Thermometer
Model/Type reference/HVIN.....	T8851US
Sample Number.....	TCT231225E007-0101
Rating(s).....	Rechargeable Li-ion Battery DC3.7V
Wi-Fi 2.4G	
Supported type.....	802.11b/802.11g/802.11n
Modulation Type.....	802.11b: DSSS; 802.11g/802.11n:OFDM
Operation Frequency.....	802.11b/802.11g/802.11n(HT20):2412MHz~2462MHz; 802.11n(HT40):2422MHz~2452MHz
Channel number.....	802.11b/802.11g/802.11n(HT20):11; 802.11n(HT40):7
Channel separation.....	5MHz
Bluetooth	
Bluetooth Version.....	Supported 5.0
Modulation.....	GFSK
Operation Frequency.....	2402MHz~2480MHz
Channel number.....	40
Channel separation.....	1MHz/2MHz
Wi-Fi 5G	
Operation Frequency.....	Band 1: 5180 MHz -5240 MHz Band 2A: 5260 MHz -5320 MHz Band 2C: 5500 MHz -5700 MHz Band 3: 5745 MHz -5825 MHz
Channel Bandwidth.....	802.11a: 20MHz 802.11n: 20MHz, 40MHz
Modulation Technology.....	Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing(OFDM)
Modulation Type.....	256QAM, 64QAM, 16QAM, BPSK, QPSK

1.2. Model(s) list

No.	Model No.	Tested with
1	T8851US	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other models	T8851EU,T8851C	<input type="checkbox"/>

Note: T8851US is tested model, other models are derivative models. The models are identical in circuit and PCB layout, different on the model names. So the test data of T8851US can represent the remaining models.

2. Test standard

The tests were performed according to following standards:

FCC 47 CFR §2.1093

IEC-IEEE 62209-1528-2020: Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices

KDB447498 D01:General RF Exposure Guidance v06

KDB865664 D01:SAR measurement 100MHz to 6GHz v01r04

KDB865664 D02:RF Exposure Reporting v01r02.

KDB248227 D01:802.11 wi-fi SAR v02r02

KDB690783 D01:SAR Listings on Grant v01r03

3. Facilities and Accreditations

3.1. Facilities

The test facility is recognized, certified, or accredited by the following organizations:

- FCC - Registration No.: 645098

SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTING LAB

Designation Number: CN1205

The testing lab has been registered and fully described in a report with the (FCC) Federal Communications Commission. The acceptance letter from the FCC is maintained in our files.

- IC - Registration No.: 10668A-1

SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTING LAB

CAB identifier: CN0031

The testing lab has been registered by Certification and Engineering Bureau of Industry Canada for radio equipment testing.

3.2. Location

SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTING LAB.

Address: 2101 & 2201, Zhenchang Factory Renshan Industrial Zone, Fuhai Subdistrict, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518103, People's Republic of China

Environment Condition:

Temperature:	18°C ~25°C
Humidity:	35%~75% RH
Atmospheric Pressure:	1011 mbar

4. Test Result Summary

The maximum results of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) found during test as bellows:
<Highest Reported standalone SAR Summary>

Exposure Position	Frequency Band	Reported SAR (W/kg)	Equipment Class	Highest Reported SAR (W/kg)	
Body-worn 1-g SAR (0 mm Gap)	WLAN 2.4 GHz	0.56	DTS	0.56	
	BLE	0.03			
	WLAN 5.2 GHz	0.29	NII		
	WLAN 5.3 GHz	0.40			
	WLAN 5.6 GHz	0.35			
	WLAN 5.8 GHz	0.26			

<Highest Reported simultaneous SAR Summary>

Exposure Position	Frequency Band	Highest Reported Simultaneous Transmission SAR (W/kg)
Body 1-g SAR (0 mm Gap)	WIFI2.4G +BLE	0.59

Note:

1. The highest simultaneous transmission is scalar summation of Reported standalone SAR per FCC KDB 690783 D01 v01r03, and scalar SAR summation of all possible simultaneous transmission scenarios are < 1.6W/kg.
2. This device is compliance with Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for general population/uncontrolled exposure limits specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, and had been tested in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013.

5. RF Exposure Limit

Type Exposure	SAR (W/kg)
	Uncontrolled Exposure Limit
Spatial Peak SAR (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60
Spatial Peak SAR (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10g)	4.00
Spatial Peak SAR (averaged over the whole body)	0.08

Note:

1. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
2. The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
3. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

6. SAR Measurement System Configuration

6.1. SAR Measurement Set-up

The OPENSAR system for performing compliance tests consist of the following items:

A standard high precision 6-axis robot (KUKA) with controller and software.

KUKA Control Panel (KCP)

A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with a Video Positioning System (VPS).

The stress sensor is composed with mechanical and electronic when the electronic part detects a change on the electro-mechanical switch; it sends an “Emergency signal” to the robot controller that to stop robot's moves A computer operating Windows XP.


OPENSAR software Remote control with teaches pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.

The SAM phantom enabling testing left-hand right-hand and body usage.

The Position device for handheld EUT

Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.

System validation dipoles to validate the proper functioning of the system.



KUKA SAR Test Sysytem Configuration

6.2. E-field Probe

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dosimetric probe (manufactured by MVG). The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dosimetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency. This probe has a built in optical surface detection system to prevent from collision with phantom.

Probe Specification

Construction Symmetrical design with triangular core
Interleaved sensors
Built-in shielding against static charges
PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)
Calibration ISO/IEC 17025 calibration service available.

Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SSE2
Serial Number	SN 25/22 EPGO375
Frequency Range of Probe	0.15 GHz-6GHz
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1:R1=0.197MΩ Dipole 2:R3=0.230MΩ Dipole 3:R3=0.208MΩ



Photo of E-Field Probe

6.3. Phantom

The SAM Phantom SAM120 is constructed of a fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is in compliance with the specification set in IEEE P1528 and CENELEC IEC-IEEE 62209-1528-2020.

The phantom enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region.

A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot


System checking was performed using the flat section, whilst Head SAR tests used the left and right head profile sections.

Body SAR testing also used the flat section between the head profiles.

Name: COMOSAR IEEE SAM PHANTOM

S/N: SN 19/15 SAM 120

Manufacture: MVG

**SAM Twin Phantom**

6.4. Device Holder

In combination with the Generic Twin Phantom SAM120, the Mounting Device enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation points is the ear opening.

The devices can be easily, accurately, and repeatedly positioned according to the FCC and CENELEC specifications.

The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).

**COMOSAR Mobile phone positioning system**

6.5. Data Storage and Evaluation

Data Storage

The OPENSAR software stores the acquired data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors), together with all necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, liquid parameters and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files. The software evaluates the desired unit and format for output each time the data is visualized or exported. This allows verification of the complete software setup even after the measurement and allows correction of incorrect parameter settings. For example, if a measurement has been performed with a wrong crest factor parameter in the device setup, the parameter can be corrected afterwards and the data can be re-evaluated.

The measured data can be visualized or exported in different units or formats, depending on the selected probe type ([V/m], [A/m], [°C], [mW/g], [mW/cm²], [dBrel], etc.). Some of these units are not available in certain situations or show meaningless results, e.g., a SAR output in a lossless media will always be zero. Raw data can also be exported to perform the evaluation with other software packages.

Data Evaluation

The OPENSAR software automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters:	- Sensitivity	Normi, ai0, ai1, ai2
	- Conversion factor	ConvFi
	- Diode compression point	Dcpri
Device parameters:	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media parameters:	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the OPENSAR components. In the direct measuring mode of the millimeter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$Vi = Ui + Ui2 \cdot c f / d c pi$$

With	Vi = compensated signal of channel i	(i = x, y, z)
	Ui = input signal of channel i	(i = x, y, z)
	cf = crest factor of exciting field	(MVG parameter)
	dcpi = diode compression point	(MVG parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

$$\text{E-field probes: } Ei = (Vi / Normi \cdot ConvF)1/2$$

$$\text{H-field probes: } Hi = (Vi)1/2 \cdot (ai0 + ai1 f + ai2 f2) / f$$

With	Vi	= compensated signal of channel i	(i = x, y, z)
	Normi	= sensor sensitivity of channel i	(i = x, y, z)
		[mV/(V/m)2] for E-field Probes	
	ConvF	= sensitivity enhancement in solution	
	aij	= sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes	
	f	= carrier frequency [GHz]	
	Ei	= electric field strength of channel i in V/m	
	Hi	= magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m	

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{\text{tot}} = (E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2)^{1/2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$\text{SAR} = (E_{\text{tot}})^2 \cdot \sigma / (\rho \cdot 1000)$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g
 Etot = total field strength in V/m
 σ = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]
 ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm³

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid. The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field to be a free space field.

6.6. Position of the wireless device in relation to the phantom


Handset Reference Points

$$P_{\text{pwe}} = E_{\text{tot}}^2 / 3770 \text{ or } P_{\text{pwe}} = H_{\text{tot}}^2 \cdot 37.7$$

With P_{pwe} = equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm²

E_{tot} = total electric field strength in V/m

H_{tot} = total magnetic field strength in A/m





W_t Width of the handset at the level of the acoustic

W_b Width of the bottom of the handset




A Midpoint of the width w_t of the handset at the level of the acoustic output

B Midpoint of the width w_b of the bottom of the handset

Positioning for Cheek / Touch



Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt



Body Worn Accessory Configurations

To position the device parallel to the phantom surface with either keypad up or down.

To adjust the device parallel to the flat phantom.

To adjust the distance between the device surface and the flat phantom to 15mm or holster surface and the flat phantom to 0 mm.





Illustration for Body Worn Position

Wireless Router (Hotspot) Configurations


Some battery-operated handsets have the capability to transmit and receive internet connectivity through simultaneous transmission of WIFI in conjunction with a separate licensed transmitter. The FCC has provided guidance in KDB Publication 941225 D06 where SAR test considerations for handsets ($L \times W \geq 9 \text{ cm} \times 5 \text{ cm}$) are based on a composite test separation distance of 10 mm from the front, back and edges of the device with antennas 2.5 cm or closer to the edge of the device, determined from general mixed use conditions for this type of devices. Since the hotspot SAR results may overlap with the body-worn accessory SAR requirements, the more conservative configurations can be considered, thus excluding some body-worn accessory SAR tests.

When the user enables the personal wireless router functions for the handset, actual operations include simultaneous transmission of both the WIFI transmitter and another licensed transmitter. Both transmitters often do not transmit at the same transmitting frequency and thus cannot be evaluated for SAR under actual use conditions. Therefore, SAR must be evaluated for each frequency transmission and mode separately and summed with the WIFI transmitter according to KDB 648474 publication procedures. The "Portable Hotspot" feature on the handset was NOT activated, to ensure the SAR measurements were evaluated for a single transmission frequency RF signal.

**Illustration for Hotspot Position****Limb-worn device**

A limb-worn device is a unit whose intended use includes being strapped to the arm or leg of the user while transmitting (except in idle mode). It is similar to a body-worn device. Therefore, the test positions of 6.1.4.4 also apply. The strap shall be opened so that it is divided into two parts as shown in Figure 9. The device shall be positioned directly against the phantom surface with the strap straightened as much as possible and the back of the device towards the phantom.

If the strap cannot normally be opened to allow placing in direct contact with the phantom surface, it may be necessary to break the strap of the device but ensuring to not damage the antenna.

**Test position for limb-worn devices**

6.7. Tissue Dielectric Parameters

The liquid used for the frequency range of 100MHz-6G consisted of water, sugar, salt and Cellulose. The liquid has been previously proven to be suited for worst-case. The following Table shows the detail solution. It's satisfying the latest tissue dielectric parameters requirements proposed by the IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209. The simulating liquids should be checked at the beginning of a series of SAR measurements to determine of the determine of the dielectric parameter are within the tolerances of the specified target values. The measured conductivity and relative permittivity should be within $\pm 5\%$ of the target values.

The following materials are used for producing the tissue-equivalent materials

Targets for tissue simulating liquid

Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Liquid Type (σ)	$\pm 5\%$ Range	Permittivity (ϵ)	$\pm 5\%$ Range
300	Head	0.87	0.83~0.91	45.3	43.04~47.57
450	Head	0.87	0.83~0.91	43.5	41.33~45.68
835	Head	0.90	0.86~0.95	41.5	39.43~43.58
900	Head	0.97	0.92~1.02	41.5	39.43~43.58
1800-2000	Head	1.40	1.33~1.47	40.0	38.00~42.00
2450	Head	1.80	1.71~1.89	39.2	37.24~41.16
2600	Head	1.96	1.86~2.06	39.0	37.05~40.95
3000	Head	2.40	2.28~2.52	38.5	36.58~40.43
5800	Head	5.27	5.01~5.53	35.3	33.54~37.07
300	Body	0.92	0.87~0.97	58.2	55.29~61.11
450	Body	0.94	0.89~0.99	56.7	53.87~59.54
835	Body	0.97	0.92~1.02	55.2	52.44~57.96
900	Body	1.05	1.00~1.10	55.0	52.25~57.75
1800-2000	Body	1.52	1.44~1.60	53.3	50.64~55.97
2450	Body	1.95	1.85~2.05	52.7	50.07~55.34
2600	Body	2.16	2.05~2.27	52.5	49.88~55.13
3000	Body	2.73	2.60~2.87	52.0	49.40~54.60
5800	Body	6.00	5.70~6.30	48.2	45.79~50.61

(ϵ_r = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and ρ = 1000 kg/m³)

6.8. Tissue-equivalent Liquid Properties


Test Date dd/mm/yy	Temp °C	Tissue Type	Measured Frequency (MHz)	ϵ_r	$\sigma(s/m)$	Dev $\epsilon_r(%)$	Dev $\sigma(%)$
12/27/2023	22°C	2450H	2410	37.84	1.79	-3.47	-0.56
			2435	37.85	1.81	-3.44	0.56
			2450	37.82	1.83	-3.52	1.67
			2460	37.80	1.84	-3.57	2.22
12/29/2023	22°C	5200H	5200	36.68	4.45	-3.32	-4.04
01/02/2024	22°C	5300H	5300	36.08	4.69	2.16	-4.48
01/03/2024	22°C	5600H	5600	35.34	4.95	0.11	-1.21
01/05/2024	22°C	5800H	5800	34.81	5.08	-3.49	-4.14

6.9. System Check

The SAR system must be validated against its performance specifications before it is deployed. When SAR probe and system component or software are changed, upgraded or recalibrated, these must be validated with the SAR system(s) that operates with such component. Reference dipoles are used with the required tissue-equivalent media for system validation.

System check results have to be equal or near the values determined during dipole calibration with the relevant liquids and test system ($\pm 10\%$).

System check is performed regularly on all frequency bands where tests are performed with the OPENSAR system.



System Check Set-up

Verification Results

Freq. (MHz)	Liquid Type	Measured Value in 100mW (W/kg)		Normalized to 1W (W/kg)		Target Value (W/kg)		Deviation (%)	
		1 g Average	10 g Average	1 g Average	10 g Average	1 g Average	10 g Average	1 g Average	10 g Average
2450	Head	4.99	2.36	49.90	23.60	53.26	24.15	-6.31	-2.28
5200	Head	5.01	15.90	50.10	159.00	51.70	161.10	-3.09	-1.30
5300	Head	5.92	17.22	59.20	172.20	59.16	166.40	6.76	3.49
5600	Head	6.16	18.13	61.60	181.30	59.97	173.80	2.72	4.32
5800	Head	5.25	18.20	52.50	182.00	51.40	181.10	2.14	0.33

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by MVG, the verification data should be within its specification of 10%. Below table shows the target SAR and measured SAR after normalized to 1W input power. The table as below indicates the system performance check can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to Section 10 of this report.

7. Measurement Procedure

Conducted power measurement

For WWAN power measurement, use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transition in conducted connection with RF cable, at maximum power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.

Read the WWAN RF power level from the base station simulator.

For WLAN/BT power measurement, use engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/BT continuously transmission, at maximum RF power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.

Connect EUT RF port through RF cable to the power meter or spectrum analyser, and measure WLAN/BT output power.

Conducted power measurement

Use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transmission in radiated connection, and engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/BT continuously transmission, at maximum RF power, in the highest power channel.

Place the EUT in positions as Appendix B demonstrates.

Set scan area, grid size and other setting on the MVG software.

Measure SAR results for the highest power channel on each testing position.

Find out the largest SAR result on these testing positions of each band.

Measure SAR results for other channels in worst SAR testing position if the Reported SAR or highest power channel is larger than 0.8 W/kg.

According to the test standard, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

Power reference measurement

Area scan

Zoom scan

Power drift measurement

Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the test standard. It can be conducted for 1g and 10g, as well as for user-specific masses. The MVG software includes all numerical procedures necessary to evaluate the spatial peak SAR value.

The base for the evaluation is a “cube” measurement. The measured volume must include the 1g and 10 g cubes with the highest averaged SAR values. For that purpose, the center of the measured volume is aligned to the interpolated peak SAR value of a previously performed area scan.

The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for 1g and 10g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

Extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan.

Calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters).

Generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume.

Interpolation of all measured values from the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid

Extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface

Calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g.

Power Reference Measurement

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurement are for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. The minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. This distance cannot be smaller than the distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties

Area & Zoom Scan Procedures

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 10g. Area scan and zoom scan resolution setting follows KDB 865664 D01v01r03 quoted below.

		≤ 3 GHz	> 3 GHz
Maximum distance from closest measurement point (geometric center of probe sensors) to phantom surface		$5 \text{ mm} \pm 1 \text{ mm}$	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \delta \cdot \ln(2) \text{ mm} \pm 0.5 \text{ mm}$
Maximum probe angle from probe axis to phantom surface normal at the measurement location		$30^\circ \pm 1^\circ$	$20^\circ \pm 1^\circ$
Maximum area scan spatial resolution: $\Delta x_{\text{Area}}, \Delta y_{\text{Area}}$		$\leq 2 \text{ GHz: } \leq 15 \text{ mm}$ $2 - 3 \text{ GHz: } \leq 12 \text{ mm}$	$3 - 4 \text{ GHz: } \leq 12 \text{ mm}$ $4 - 6 \text{ GHz: } \leq 10 \text{ mm}$
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution: $\Delta x_{\text{Zoom}}, \Delta y_{\text{Zoom}}$		When the x or y dimension of the test device, in the measurement plane orientation, is smaller than the above, the measurement resolution must be \leq the corresponding x or y dimension of the test device with at least one measurement point on the test device.	
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution, normal to phantom surface	uniform grid: $\Delta z_{\text{Zoom}}(n)$	$\leq 5 \text{ mm}$	$3 - 4 \text{ GHz: } \leq 5 \text{ mm}^*$ $4 - 6 \text{ GHz: } \leq 4 \text{ mm}^*$
	graded grid $\Delta z_{\text{Zoom}}(1):$ between 1 st two points closest to phantom surface	$\leq 4 \text{ mm}$	$3 - 4 \text{ GHz: } \leq 4 \text{ mm}$ $4 - 5 \text{ GHz: } \leq 3 \text{ mm}$ $5 - 6 \text{ GHz: } \leq 2 \text{ mm}$
	$\Delta z_{\text{Zoom}}(n > 1):$ between subsequent points	$\leq 1.5 \cdot \Delta z_{\text{Zoom}}(n-1) \text{ mm}$	
Minimum zoom scan volume	x, y, z	$\geq 30 \text{ mm}$	$3 - 4 \text{ GHz: } \geq 28 \text{ mm}$ $4 - 5 \text{ GHz: } \geq 25 \text{ mm}$ $5 - 6 \text{ GHz: } \geq 22 \text{ mm}$
Note: δ is the penetration depth of a plane-wave at normal incidence to the tissue medium; see IEEE Std 1528-2013 for details.			
* When zoom scan is required and the <u>reported</u> SAR from the <i>area scan based 1-g SAR estimation</i> procedures of KDB Publication 447498 is $\leq 1.4 \text{ W/kg}$, $\leq 8 \text{ mm}$, $\leq 7 \text{ mm}$ and $\leq 5 \text{ mm}$ zoom scan resolution may be applied, respectively, for 2 GHz to 3 GHz, 3 GHz to 4 GHz and 4 GHz to 6 GHz.			

Volume Scan Procedures

The volume scan is used for assess overlapping SAR distributions for antennas transmitting in different frequency bands. It is equivalent to an oversized zoom scan used in standalone measurements. The measurement volume will be used to enclose all the simultaneous transmitting antennas. For antennas transmitting simultaneously in different frequency bands, the volume scan is measured separately in each frequency band. In order to sum correctly to compute the 1g aggregate SAR, the EUT remain in the same test position for all measurements and all volume scan use the same spatial resolution and grid spacing. When all volume scan were completed, the software, SEMCAD post-processor scan combine and subsequently superpose these measurement data to calculating the multiband SAR.

SAR Averaged Methods

In MVG, the interpolation and extrapolation are both based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method. The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method and a weighted average method which are the two basic types of computational interpolation and approximation.

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1g and 10g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5 mm.

Power Drift Monitoring

All SAR testing is under the EUT install full charged battery and transmit maximum output power. In MVG measurement software, the power reference measurement and power drift measurement procedures are used for monitoring the power drift of EUT during SAR test. Both these procedures measure the field at a specified reference position before and after the SAR testing. The software will calculate the field difference in dB. If the power drifts more than 5%, the SAR will be retested.

Power Drift measurement

The drift job measures the field at the same location as the most recent reference job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last reference measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for

Measurement Uncertainty

Per KDB 865664 D01 SAR Measurement 100KHz to 6GHz ,when the highest measurement 1-g SAR within a frequency band is <1.5W/kg, the extensive SAR measurement uncertainty analysis described IEEE Std 1528-2013 is not required in SAR report submitted for equipment approval.

8. Conducted Output Power

WLAN 2.4G						
Mode	802.11b			802.11g		
Channel	Lowest	Middle	Highest	Lowest	Middle	Highest
Frequency	2412	2437	2462	2412	2437	2462
Average Power (dBm)	20.035	17.234	18.694	19.413	17.378	18.232
Mode	802.11n(HT20)			802.11n(HT40)		
Channel	Lowest	Middle	Highest	Lowest	Middle	Highest
Frequency	2412	2437	2462	2422	2437	2452
Average Power (dBm)	18.716	16.001	17.547	16.689	15.328	15.146
Bluetooth						
Mode	BLE			BLE(2M)		
Channel	Lowest	Middle	Highest	Lowest	Middle	Highest
Frequency	2402	2440	2480	2402	2440	2480
Average Power (dBm)	3.439	0.916	2.384	3.494	1.072	2.244

Note

1. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, the 1-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at *test separation distances* ≤ 50 mm are determined by:

$$[(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] \cdot [\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})}] \leq 3.0$$
 for 1-g SAR, where
 - $f(\text{GHz})$ is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
 - Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
 - The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison
2. Base on the result of note1, RF exposure evaluation of BT is not required.
3. Per KDB 248227 D01 v02r02, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion.
4. The output power of all data rate were prescan, just the worst case (the lowest data rate) of all mode were shown in report.

WLAN 5.2G								
Mode	IEEE 802.11a			IEEE 802.11n HT20				
Channel	Lowest	Middle	Highest	Lowest	Middle	Highest		
Frequency	5180	5200	5240	5180	5200	5240		
Average Power (dBm)	14.725	13.740	13.440	13.475	13.684	13.427		
Mode	IEEE 802.11n HT40							
Channel	Lowest	Highest						
Frequency	5190	5230						
Average Power (dBm)	9.970	11.097						
WLAN 5.3G								
Mode	IEEE 802.11a			IEEE 802.11n HT20				
Channel	Lowest	Middle	Highest	Lowest	Middle	Highest		
Frequency	5260	5280	5320	5260	5280	5320		
Average Power (dBm)	15.140	14.415	13.709	14.993	14.359	14.257		
Mode	IEEE 802.11n HT40							
Channel	Lowest	Highest						
Frequency	5270	5310						
Average Power (dBm)	12.134	11.054						


WLAN 5.6G						
Mode	IEEE 802.11a			IEEE 802.11n HT20		
Channel	Lowest	Middle	Highest	Lowest	Middle	Highest
Frequency	5500	5580	5700	5500	5580	5700
Average Power (dBm)	13.145	11.298	11.105	12.881	11.182	11.095
Mode	IEEE 802.11n HT40					
Channel	Lowest		Highest			
Frequency	5510		5670			
Average Power (dBm)	12.533		11.084			
WLAN 5.8G						
Mode	IEEE 802.11a			IEEE 802.11n HT20		
Channel	Lowest	Middle	Highest	Lowest	Middle	Highest
Frequency	5745	5785	5825	5745	5785	5825
Average Power (dBm)	14.904	14.434	14.466	13.624	13.866	13.637
Mode	IEEE 802.11n HT40					
Channel	Lowest		Highest			
Frequency	5755		5795			
Average Power (dBm)	13.184		12.857			

Note

1. Per KDB 248227 D01 v02r02, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion.
2. The output power of all data rate were prescan, just the worst case (the lowest data rate) of all mode were shown in report

9. Exposure Position Consideration

9.1. EUT Antenna Location



10. SAR Test Results Summary

10.1. Body-Worn 1g SAR Data

Band	Mode	Test Position with 0mm	CH.	Freq. (MHz)	Ave. Power (dBm)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Power Drift (%)	Meas. SAR1g (W/kg)	Scaling Factor	Reported SAR1g (W/kg)	Limit (W/Kg)
2.4G	802.11b	Back	Lowest	2412	20.035	20.500	-2.10	0.50	1.113	0.56	1.60
		Front	Lowest	2412	20.035	20.500	-2.49	0.37	1.113	0.41	
5.2G	802.11a	Back	Lowest	5180	14.725	15.000	1.33	0.27	1.065	0.29	1.60
		Front	Lowest	5180	14.725	15.000	1.64	0.17	1.065	0.18	
5.3G	802.11a	Back	Lowest	5260	15.140	15.500	-3.57	0.37	1.086	0.40	1.60
		Front	Lowest	5260	15.140	15.500	3.40	0.20	1.086	0.22	
5.6G	802.11a	Back	Lowest	5500	13.145	13.500	1.39	0.32	1.085	0.35	1.60
		Front	Lowest	5500	13.145	13.500	0.86	0.17	1.085	0.18	
5.8G	802.11a	Back	Lowest	5745	14.904	15.000	1.06	0.25	1.022	0.26	1.60
		Front	Lowest	5745	14.904	15.000	2.76	0.13	1.022	0.13	
BT	BLE	Back	Lowest	2402	3.494	3.500	1.37	0.03	1.001	0.03	1.60
		Front	Lowest	2402	3.494	3.500	0.53	0.01	1.001	0.01	


Note:

1. Per KDB 447498 D01 v06, for each exposure position, if the highest output power channel Reported SAR $\leq 0.8\text{W/kg}$, other channels SAR testing is not necessary.
2. Per KDB 447498 D01 v06, body-worn use is evaluated with the device positioned at 0 mm from a flat phantom filled with head tissue-equivalent medium.
3. Per KDB 447498 D01 v06, the report SAR is measured SAR value adjusted for maximum tune-up tolerance. Scaling Factor= $10^{\log_{10}[(\text{tune-up limit power(dBm)} - \text{Ave.power power (dBm)})/10]}$, where tune-up limit is the maximum rated power among all production units.
Reported SAR(W/kg)=Measured SAR (W/kg)*Scaling Factor.
4. Per KDB865664D01 v01r04 perform a second repeated measurement only the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurement is >1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is $\geq 1.45\text{W/kg}$.
5. Perform a second measurement only if the original, first and second repeated measurement is $\geq 1.5\text{W/kg}$ and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurement is >1.20 .
6. Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is $< 0.80\text{ W/kg}$.

10.2. Simultaneous Transmission Conclusion

Multi-Band Simultaneous Transmission Considerations

According to FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v05r02, transmitters are considered to be transmitting simultaneously when there is overlapping transmission, with the exception of transmissions during network hand-offs with maximum hand-off duration less than 30 seconds. Possible transmission paths for the EUT are shown in below Figure and are color-coded to indicate communication modes which share the same path. Modes which share the same transmission path cannot transmit simultaneously with one another.



Simultaneous Transmission Possibilities

The Simultaneous Transmission Possibilities of this device are as below:

NO.	Configuration	Head	Body-Worn	Hotspot
1	BT+WIFI(2.4)	NO	YES	YES
2	BLE+WIFI(2.4)	NO	YES	YES
3	BT+WIFI(5G)	NO	YES	YES
4.	BLE+WIFI(5G)	NO	YES	YES

10.3. SAR Simultaneous Transmission Analysis

Band	Test Position	Scaled SAR			Σ SAR (W/kg)	SPLSR	Remark
		WIFI (2.4G)	BLE	WIFI (5G)			
Body-Worn	Back	0.56	0.03	0.40	0.59	N/A	N/A
	Front	0.41	0.01	0.22	0.42	N/A	N/A

10.4. Measurement Uncertainty (450MHz-3GHz)

UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR HEADSET SAR									
Uncertainty Component	Description	Uncertainty Value(%)	Probably Distribution	Div.	(Ci) 1g	(Ci) 10g	Std. Unc. 1g(%)	Std. Unc. 10g(%)	v
Measurement system									
Probe calibration	7.2.1	5.8	N	1	1	1	5.8	5.8	∞
Axial isotropy	7.2.1.1	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-C_p)^{1/2}$	$(1-C_p)^{1/2}$	1.43	1.43	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	7.2.1.1	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	2.41	2.41	∞
Boundary Effects	7.2.1.4	1.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Linearity	7.2.1.2	4.70	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
System detection limits	7.2.1.2	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Modulation Response	7.2.1.3	3	N	1	1	1	3.00	3.00	∞
Readout Electronics	7.2.1.5	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.50	0.50	∞
Response Time	7.2.1.6	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
Integration Time	7.2.1.7	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
RF Ambient Conditions-Noise	7.2.3.7	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
RF Ambient Conditions-Reflection	7.2.3.7	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Probe positioned mechanical Tolerance	7.2.2.1	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	7.2.2.3	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Extrapolation interpolation and integration algorithms for Max.SAR evaluation	7.2.4	2.3	R	1	1	1	1.33	1.33	∞
Test sample related									
Test sample positioning	7.2.2.4.4	2.6	N	1	1	1	2.60	2.60	∞
Device holder uncertainty	7.2.2.4.2	3	N	1	1	1	3.00	3.00	∞
output power variation-SAR drift measurement	7.2.3.6	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
SAR scaling	7.2.5	2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
Phantom and tissue parameters									
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	7.2.2.2	4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
uncertainty in SAR correction for deviation (in permittivity and conductivity)	7.2.6	2	N	1	1	0.84	2.00	1.68	∞
Liquid conductivity (temperature uncertainty)	7.2.3.5	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	∞
Liquid conductivity -measurement uncertainty	7.2.3.3	4	N	1	0.23	0.26	0.92	1.04	∞
Liquid permittivity (temperature uncertainty)	7.2.3.5	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	∞
Liquid permittivity measurement uncertainty	7.2.3.4	5	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.15	1.30	∞
Combined standard uncertainty			RSS				10.83	10.54	
Expanded uncertainty (95%CONFIDENCEINTERVAL			k				21.26	21.08	

UNCERTAINTY FOR PERFORMANCE CHECK									
Uncertainty Component	Description	Uncertainty Value(%)	Probably Distribution	Div.	(Ci) 1g	(Ci) 10g	Std. Unc. 1g(%)	Std. Unc. 10g(%)	v
Measurement system									
Probe calibration	7.2.1	5.8	N	1	1	1	5.8	5.8	∞
Axial isotropy	7.2.1.1	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-C_p)^{1/2}$	$(1-C_p)^{1/2}$	1.43	1.43	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	7.2.1.1	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	2.41	2.41	∞
Boundary Effects	7.2.1.4	1.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Linearity	7.2.1.2	4.70	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
System detection limits	7.2.1.2	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Modulation Response	7.2.1.3	3	N	1	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
Readout Electronics	7.2.1.5	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.50	0.50	∞
Response Time	7.2.1.6	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
Integration Time	7.2.1.7	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
RF Ambient Conditions-Noise	7.2.3.7	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
RF Ambient Conditions-Reflection	7.2.3.7	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Probe positioned mechanical Tolerance	7.2.2.1	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	7.2.2.3	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Extrapolation interpolation and integration algorithms for Max.SAR evaluation	7.2.4	2.3	R	1	1	1	1.33	1.33	∞
Dipole									
Deviation of experimental source from numerical source		4	N	1	1	1	4.00	4.00	∞
Input power and SAR drift measurement	7.2.3.6	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
Dipole axis to liquid distance		2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1			∞
Phantom and tissue parameters									
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	7.2.2.2	4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
uncertainty in SAR correction for deviation (in permittivity and conductivity)	7.2.6	2	N	1	1	0.84	2.00	1.68	∞
Liquid conductivity (temperature uncertainty)	7.2.3.5	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	∞
Liquid conductivity -measurement uncertainty	7.2.3.3	4	N	1	0.23	0.26	0.92	1.04	∞
Liquid permittivity (temperature uncertainty)	7.2.3.5	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	∞
Liquid permittivity measurement uncertainty	7.2.3.4	5	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.15	1.30	∞
Combined standard uncertainty			RSS				10.15	10.05	
Expanded uncertainty (95%CONFIDENCEINTERVAL)			k				20.29	20.10	

10.5. Test Equipment List

Test Equipment	Manufacturer	Model	Serial Number	Calibration	
				Calibration Date (D.M.Y)	Calibration Due (D.M.Y)
PC	Lenovo	H3050	N/A	N/A	N/A
Signal Generator	Agilent	N5182A	MY47070282	Jun. 29, 2023	Jun. 28, 2024
Multimeter	Keithley	Multimeter 2000	4078275	Jun. 29, 2023	Jun. 28, 2024
Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753E	US38432457	Feb. 24, 2023	Feb. 23, 2024
Wireless Communication Test Set	R & S	CMU200	111382	Jun. 29, 2023	Jun. 28, 2024
Wideband Radio Communication Tester	R&S	CMW500	114220	Mar. 13, 2023	Mar. 12, 2024
Power Meter	Agilent	E4418B	GB43312526	Jun. 29, 2023	Jun. 28, 2024
Power Meter	Agilent	E4416A	MY45101555	Jun. 29, 2023	Jun. 28, 2024
Power Meter	Agilent	N1912A	MY50001018	Jun. 29, 2023	Jun. 28, 2024
Power Sensor	Agilent	E9301A	MY41497725	Jun. 29, 2023	Jun. 28, 2024
Power Sensor	Agilent	E9327A	MY44421198	Jun. 29, 2023	Jun. 28, 2024
Power Sensor	Agilent	E9323A	MY53070005	Jun. 29, 2023	Jun. 28, 2024
Power Amplifier	PE	PE15A4019	112342	N/A	N/A
Directional Coupler	Agilent	722D	MY52180104	N/A	N/A
Attenuator	Chengsheng	FF779	134251	N/A	N/A
E-Field PROBE	MVG	SSE2	SN 25/22 EPGO375	Jun. 29, 2023	Jun. 28, 2024
DIPOLE 2450	MVG	SID 2450	SN 16/15 DIP 2G450-374	Jun. 05, 2021	Jun. 04, 2024
DIPOLE 5000-6000	MVG	SID 5000-6000	SN 13/14 WGA 21	May. 15, 2021	May. 14, 2024
Limesar Dielectric Probe	MVG	SCLMP	SN 19/15 OCPG71	Jun. 05, 2021	Jun. 04, 2024
Communication Antenna	MVG	ANTA59	SN 39/14 ANTA59	N/A	N/A
Mobile Phone Position Device	MVG	MSH101	SN 19/15 MSH101	N/A	N/A
Dummy Probe	MVG	DP66	SN 13/15 DP66	N/A	N/A
SAM PHANTOM	MVG	SAM120	SN 19/15 SAM120	N/A	N/A
PHANTOM TABLE	MVG	TABP101	SN 19/15 TABP101	N/A	N/A
Robot TABLE	MVG	TABP61	SN 19/15 TABP61	N/A	N/A
6 AXIS ROBOT	KUKA	KR6-R900	501822	N/A	N/A

Note: 1. N/A means this equipment no need to calibrate

2. Each Time means this device need to calibrate every use time

3. The dipole was not damaged properly repaired.

4. The measured SAR deviates from the calibrated SAR value by less than 10%

5. The most recent return-loss result meets the required 20 dB minimum return-loss requirement

6. The most recent measurement of the real or imaginary parts of the impedance deviates by less than 5 Ω from the previous measurement.

11. System Check Results

Date of measurement: 12/27/2023 Test mode: 2450MHz (Head)


Product Description: Validation

Dipole Model: SID2450



E-Field Probe: SSE2 (SN 25/22 EPGO375)

Phantom	Validation plane
Input Power	100mW
Crest Factor	1.0
Probe Conversion factor	2.31
Frequency (MHz)	2450.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	37.821613
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.546980
Conductivity (S/m)	1.834111
Variation (%)	-0.470000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.364445
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	4.994244


SURFACE SAR



VOLUME SAR



Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00
SAR (W/Kg)	5.0262	2.7584	1.5026	0.8252	0.4125

**Hot spot position**

Date of measurement: 12/29/2023 Test mode: 5200MHz (Head)


Product Description: Validation

Dipole Model: SID5200



E-Field Probe: SSE2 (SN 25/22 EPGO375)

Phantom	Validation plane
Input Power	100mW
Crest Factor	1.0
Probe Conversion factor	2.01
Frequency (MHz)	5200.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	35.678320
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.679428
Conductivity (S/m)	4.450788
Variation (%)	-0.820000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	15.897411
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.012481


SURFACE SAR



VOLUME SAR



Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00
SAR (W/Kg)	5.0132	2.7584	1.5026	0.8252	0.4125

**Hot spot position**

Date of measurement: 01/02/2024 Test mode: 5300MHz (Head)


Product Description: Validation

Dipole Model: SID5300



E-Field Probe: SSE2 (SN 25/22 EPGO375)

Phantom	Validation plane
Input Power	100mW
Crest Factor	1.0
Probe Conversion factor	1.94
Frequency (MHz)	5300.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	36.068832
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.680430
Conductivity (S/m)	4.690788
Variation (%)	-0.820000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	17.217521
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.922481


SURFACE SAR



VOLUME SAR



Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00
SAR (W/Kg)	49.15	27.584	20.346	11.252	5.4125

**Hot spot position**

Date of measurement: 01/03/2024 Test mode: 5600MHz (Head)


Product Description: Validation

Dipole Model: SID5000



E-Field Probe: SSE2 (SN 25/22 EPGO375)

Phantom	Validation plane
Input Power	100mW
Crest Factor	1.0
Probe Conversion factor	2.12
Frequency (MHz)	5600.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	35.344129
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.329440
Conductivity (S/m)	4.951484
Variation (%)	1.410000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	18.134105
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	6.160472


SURFACE SAR



VOLUME SAR



Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00
SAR (W/Kg)	51.4532	30.7154	20.9525	10.5194	10.3514

**Hot spot position**

Date of measurement: 01/05/2024 Test mode: 5800MHz (Head)


Product Description: Validation

Dipole Model: SID5800



E-Field Probe: SSE2 (SN 25/22 EPGO375)

Phantom	Validation plane
Input Power	100mW
Crest Factor	1.0
Probe Conversion factor	2.06
Frequency (MHz)	5800.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	34.812823
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.671675
Conductivity (S/m)	5.080828
Variation (%)	-2.800000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	18.196218
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.247133


SURFACE SAR



VOLUME SAR



Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00
SAR (W/Kg)	5.0622	2.8054	1.5421	0.8321	0.4130

**Hot spot position**

12. SAR Test Data

2.4G


MEASUREMENT 1

Lowest Band SAR :


Date: 12/27/2023

Frequency (MHz)	2412.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	38.352823
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.671675
Conductivity (S/m)	1.825428
Variation (%)	-2.100000
Crest Factor	1.0
Probe Conversion factor	2.31
E-Field Probe:	SSE2 (SN 25/22 EPGO375)
Area Scan	<u>dx=10mm dy=10mm, h= 5.00 mm</u>
ZoomScan	<u>5x5x7, dx=5mm dy=5mm</u> <u>dz=5mm, Complete/ndx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm</u>
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body back(0mm)
Band	IEEE 802.11b ISM

SURFACE SAR




VOLUME SAR





Maximum location: X=3.00, Y=-11.00 SAR Peak: 1.47 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.798470
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.503978



Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00
SAR (W/Kg)	1.4562	0.8070	0.3673	0.1766	0.1061



**Hot spot position**

5.2G

MEASUREMENT 1


SAR (Channel Lowest):

Date: 12/29/2023



Frequency (MHz)	5180.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	35.806715
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.679428
Conductivity (S/m)	4.553471
Variation (%)	1.330000
Crest Factor	1.0
Probe Conversion factor	2.01
E-Field Probe:	SSE2 (SN 25/22 EPGO375)
Area Scan	<u>dx=10mm dy=10mm, h= 5.00 mm</u>
ZoomScan	<u>5x5x7, dx=4mm dy=4mm</u> <u>dz=2mm, Complete/ndx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm</u>
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body back(0mm)
Band	<u>IEEE 802.11a ISM</u>
SURFACE SAR	VOLUME SAR
	

Maximum location: X=19.00, Y=-12.00 SAR Peak: 0.70 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.441152
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.274213



Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00
SAR (W/Kg)	0.7042	0.4012	0.1842	0.0710	0.0314

**Hot spot position**

5.3G


MEASUREMENT 1

SAR (Channel Lowest):


Date: 01/02/2024

Frequency (MHz)	5260.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	36.287420
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.716057
Conductivity (S/m)	4.689126
Variation (%)	-3.570000
Crest Factor	1.0
Probe Conversion factor	1.94
E-Field Probe:	SSE2 (SN 25/22 EPGO375)
Area Scan	<u>dx=10mm dy=10mm, h= 5.00 mm</u>
ZoomScan	<u>5x5x7, dx=4mm dy=4mm</u> <u>dz=2mm, Complete/ndx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm</u>
Phantom	<u>Validation plane</u>
Device Position	<u>Body back(0mm)</u>
Band	<u>IEEE 802.11a ISM</u>

SURFACE SAR




VOLUME SAR





Maximum location: X=-16.00, Y=-31.00 SAR Peak: 0.95 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.490391
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.365644



Z (mm)	0.00	2.00	7.00	12.00	17.00
SAR (W/Kg)	0.9476	0.5896	0.2718	0.1231	0.0603

**Hot spot position**

5.6G


MEASUREMENT 1

SAR (Channel Lowest):


Date: 01/03/2024

Frequency (MHz)	5500.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	35.207914
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.597415
Conductivity (S/m)	5.014746
Variation (%)	1.390000
Crest Factor	1.0
Probe Conversion factor	2.12
E-Field Probe:	SSE2 (SN 25/22 EPGO375)
Area Scan	<u>dx=10mm dy=10mm, h= 5.00 mm</u>
ZoomScan	<u>5x5x7, dx=4mm dy=4mm</u> <u>dz=2mm, Complete/ndx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm</u>
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body back(0mm)
Band	IEEE 802.11a ISM

SURFACE SAR




VOLUME SAR





Maximum location: X=-24.00, Y=-23.00 SAR Peak: 0.80 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.469412
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.321226



Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00
SAR (W/Kg)	0.8012	0.5896	0.2718	0.1231	0.0603

**Hot spot position**

5.8G


MEASUREMENT 1

SAR (Channel Lowest):


Date: 01/05/2024

Frequency (MHz)	5745.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	35.247912
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.769150
Conductivity (S/m)	5.080828
Variation (%)	1.060000
Crest Factor	1.0
Probe Conversion factor	2.06
E-Field Probe:	SSE2 (SN 25/22 EPGO375)
Area Scan	<u>dx=10mm dy=10mm, h= 5.00 mm</u>
ZoomScan	<u>5x5x7, dx=4mm dy=4mm</u> <u>dz=2mm, Complete/ndx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm</u>
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body back(0mm)
Band	IEEE 802.11a ISM

SURFACE SAR




VOLUME SAR





Maximum location: X=14.00, Y=-17.00 SAR Peak: 0.70 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.346701
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.251352



Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00
SAR (W/Kg)	0.7024	0.3843	0.1716	0.0742	0.0200



**Hot spot position**

BLE

MEASUREMENT 1


Lowest Band SAR:

Date: 12/27/2023



Frequency (MHz)	2402.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	38.352823
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.671675
Conductivity (S/m)	1.825428
Variation (%)	1.370000
Crest Factor	1.0
Probe Conversion factor	2.31
E-Field Probe:	SSE2 (SN 25/22 EPGO375)
Area Scan	<u>dx=12mm dy=12mm, h= 5.00 mm</u>
ZoomScan	<u>5x5x7, dx=5mm dy=5mm</u> <u>dz=5mm, Complete/ndx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm</u>
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body back(0mm)
Band	Bluetooth BLE
SURFACE SAR	VOLUME SAR
	

Maximum location: X=-15.00, Y=40.00 SAR Peak: 0.13 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.046170
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.026772



Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00
SAR (W/Kg)	0.1212	0.0515	0.0119	0.0020	0.0012

**Hot spot position**


Appendix A: EUT Photos



Liquid depth



The Body Liquid of 2450MHz (15.3cm)



The Body Liquid of 5000-6000MHz (16.5cm)

Appendix B: Test Setup Photos



Body worn – Back (0mm)



Body worn – Front (0mm)

Appendix C: Probe Calibration Certificate

COMOSAR E-FIELD Probe



COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.180.7.22.BES.B

SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTING LAB
2101 & 2201, ZHENCHANG FACTORY RENSHAN INDUSTRIAL
ZONE, FUHAI SUBDISTRICT, BAO'AN DISTRICT SHENZHEN,
GUANGDONG, 518103, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
MVG COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE
SERIAL NO.: SN 25/22 EPGO375

Calibrated at MVG
Z.I. de la pointe du diable
Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon
29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 06/29/2023



Accreditations #2-6789
Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

The use of the Cofrac brand and the accreditation references is prohibited from any reproduction.

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR Dosimetric E-Field Probe calibration performed at MVG, using the CALIPROBE test bench, for use with a MVG COMOSAR system only. The test results covered by accreditation are traceable to the International System of Units (SI).



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.180.7.22.BES.B

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme Le Gall	Measurement Responsible	6/30/2023	
Checked & approved by:	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	6/30/2023	
Authorized by:	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	7/05/2023	

	Customer Name
Distribution :	Shenzhen Tongce Testing Lab

Issue	Name	Date	Modifications
A	Jérôme Le Gall	6/30/2023	Initial release

Page: 2/11

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR_Probe vK

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1	Device Under Test	4
2	Product Description	4
2.1	General Information	4
3	Measurement Method	4
3.1	Linearity	4
3.2	Sensitivity	4
3.3	Lower Detection Limit	5
3.4	Isotropy	5
3.1	Boundary Effect	5
4	Measurement Uncertainty	6
5	Calibration Measurement Results	6
5.1	Sensitivity in air	6
5.2	Linearity	7
5.3	Sensitivity in liquid	8
5.4	Isotropy	9
6	List of Equipment	10



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref. ACR.180.7.22.BES.B

1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SSE2
Serial Number	SN 25/22 EPGO375
Product Condition (new / used)	New
Frequency Range of Probe	0.15 GHz-6GHz
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1: R1=0.197 MΩ Dipole 2: R2=0.230 MΩ Dipole 3: R3=0.208 MΩ

2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION**2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION**

MVG's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC KDB865664 D01 standards.



Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Probe

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	2 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	2.5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	1 mm

3 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC KDB865664 D01 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.

3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

Page: 4/11

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR_Probe vK

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 to 360 degrees in 15-degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis (0°–180°) in 15° increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis (0°–360°).

3.1 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

The boundary effect uncertainty can be estimated according to the following uncertainty approximation formula based on linear and exponential extrapolations between the surface and d_{be} + d_{step} along lines that are approximately normal to the surface:

$$\text{SAR}_{\text{uncertainty}} [\%] = \delta \text{SAR}_{\text{be}} \frac{(d_{be} + d_{step})^2 \left(e^{-d_{be}/(\delta/2)} \right)}{2d_{step}} \quad \text{for } (d_{be} + d_{step}) < 10 \text{ mm}$$

where

$\text{SAR}_{\text{uncertainty}}$	is the uncertainty in percent of the probe boundary effect
d_{be}	is the distance between the surface and the closest <i>zoom-scan</i> measurement point, in millimetre
d_{step}	is the separation distance between the first and second measurement points that are closest to the phantom surface, in millimetre, assuming the boundary effect at the second location is negligible
δ	is the minimum penetration depth in millimetres of the head tissue-equivalent liquids defined in this standard, i.e., $\delta \approx 14$ mm at 3 GHz;
$\delta \text{SAR}_{\text{be}}$	in percent of SAR is the deviation between the measured SAR value, at the distance d_{be} from the boundary, and the analytical SAR value.

The measured worst case boundary effect SAR uncertainty[%] for scanning distances larger than 4mm is 1.0% Limit ,2%).



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.180.7.22.BES.B

4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC KDB865664 D01 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of $k=2$, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

Uncertainty analysis of the probe calibration in waveguide					
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level $k = 2$					14 %

5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Calibration Parameters	
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-70 %

5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR

Normx dipole 1 ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$)	Normy dipole 2 ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$)	Normz dipole 3 ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$)
0.64	0.53	0.44

DCP dipole 1 (mV)	DCP dipole 2 (mV)	DCP dipole 3 (mV)
106	108	109

Calibration curves $e_i=f(V)$ ($i=1,2,3$) allow to obtain E-field value using the formula:


$$E = \sqrt{E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2}$$




COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.180.7.22.BES.B

Calibration curves

5.2 LINEARITY

Linearity

Linearity: +/- 1.94% (+/- 0.09dB)



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.180.7.22.BES.B

5.3 SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID

<u>Liquid</u>	<u>Frequency (MHz +/- 100MHz)</u>	<u>ConvF</u>
HL750	750	1.71
BL750	750	1.78
HL900	900	1.91
BL900	900	1.96
HL1800	1800	2.08
BL1800	1800	2.16
HL2000	2000	2.03
BL2000	2000	2.10
HL2450	2450	2.31
BL2450	2450	2.37
HL2600	2600	2.16
BL2600	2600	2.23
HL3500	3500	2.21
BL3500	3500	2.28
HL3700	3700	3.45
BL3700	3700	3.15
HL4600	4600	3.30
BL4600	4600	3.70
HL5200	5200	2.01
BL5200	5200	2.08
HL5600	5600	2.07
BL5600	5600	2.12
HL5800	5800	2.06
BL5800	5800	2.13

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 7mW/kg

Page: 8/11


Template ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE COMOSAR Probe vK

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

Page 63 of 99

**COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT**

Ref: ACR.180.7.22.BES.B

5.4 ISOTROPY**HL1800 MHz**

Page: 9/11

Template ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE COMOSAR Probe vK

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.180.7.22.BES.B

6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
CALIPROBE Test Bench	Version 2	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	08/2021	08/2024
Network Analyzer	Agilent 8753ES	MY40003210	10/2023	10/2026
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	HP 85033D	3423A08186	06/2021	06/2027
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1160271	02/2023	02/2026
Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz SMB	106589	03/2022	03/2025
Amplifier	MVG	MODU-023-C-0002	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	NI-USB 5680	170100013	06/2021	06/2024
Power Meter	Rohde & Schwarz NRVD	832839-056	11/2023	11/2026
Directional Coupler	Krytar 158020	131467	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Waveguide	MVG	SN 32/16 WG4_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Liquid transition	MVG	SN 32/16 WGLIQ_0G900_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide	MVG	SN 32/16 WG6_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Liquid transition	MVG	SN 32/16 WGLIQ_1G500_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide	MVG	SN 32/16 WG8_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Liquid transition	MVG	SN 32/16 WGLIQ_1G800B_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Liquid transition	MVG	SN 32/16 WGLIQ_1G800H_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide	MVG	SN 32/16 WG10_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Liquid transition	MVG	SN 32/16 WGLIQ_3G500_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide	MVG	SN 32/16 WG12_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.

Page: 10/11

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR Probe vK

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.180.7.22.BES.B

Liquid transition	MVG	SN 32/16 WGLIQ_5G000_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Testo 184 H1	44225320	06/2021	06/2024

Page: 11/11

Template ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE COMOSAR Probe vK

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



Dielectric Probe Calibration Report

Ref: ACR.138.4.33.SATU.A

SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTING LAB
2101&2201, ZHENCHANG FACTORY, RENSHAN
INDUSTRIAL ZONE, FUHAI SUBDISTRICT, BAOAN
DISTRICT, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG, 518103,
PEOPLES REPUBLIC OF CHINA

MVG COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE

FREQUENCY: 0.3-6 GHZ

SERIAL NO.: SN 19/15 OCPG 71

Calibrated at MVG US
2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144



Calibration Date: 06/05/2021

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited Dielectric Probe calibration performed in MVG USA using the LIMESAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.

**SAR DIELECTRIC PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT**

Ref: ACR.138.4.33..SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	06/05/2021	
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	06/05/2021	
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	06/05/2021	

	Customer Name
Distribution :	SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTING LAB

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	06/05/2021	Initial release

Page: 2/7

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*

**SAR DIELECTRIC PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT**

Ref. ACR.138.4.33..SATU.A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction.....	4
2	Device Under Test	4
3	Product Description	4
3.1	General Information	4
4	Measurement Method	5
4.1	Liquid Permittivity Measurements	5
5	Measurement Uncertainty.....	5
5.1	Dielectric Permittivity Measurement	5
6	Calibration Measurement Results.....	6
6.1	Liquid Permittivity Measurement	6
7	List of Equipment	7

Page: 3/7

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to
be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*



SAR DIELECTRIC PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.138.4.33..SATU.A

1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the suggested methods and requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for liquid permittivity measurements and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	LIMESAR DIELECTRIC PROBE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SCLMP
Serial Number	SN 19/15 OCPG 71
Product Condition (new / used)	Used

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's Dielectric Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the LIMESAR test bench only.



Figure 1 – MVG LIMESAR Dielectric Probe



SAR DIELECTRIC PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.138.4.33..SATU.A

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209-1 & 2 standards outline techniques for dielectric property measurements. The LIMESAR test bench employs one of the methods outlined in the standards, using a contact probe or open-ended coaxial transmission-line probe and vector network analyzer. The standards recommend the measurement of two reference materials that have well established and stable dielectric properties to validate the system, one for the calibration and one for checking the calibration. The LIMESAR test bench uses De-ionized water as the reference for the calibration and either DMS or Methanol as the reference for checking the calibration. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 LIQUID PERMITTIVITY MEASUREMENTS

The permittivity of a liquid with well established dielectric properties was measured and the measurement results compared to the values provided in the fore mentioned standards.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of $k=2$, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 DIELECTRIC PERMITTIVITY MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the Dielectric Permittivity measurement:

Uncertainty analysis of Permittivity Measurement					
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (+/-%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (+/-%)
Repeatability (n repeats, mid-band)	4.00%	N	1	1	4.000%
Deviation from reference liquid	5.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.887%
Network analyser-drift, linearity	2.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.155%
Test-port cable variations	0.00%	U	$\sqrt{2}$	1	0.000%
Combined standard uncertainty					5.066%
Expanded uncertainty (confidence level of 95%, k = 2)					10.0%

Uncertainty analysis of Conductivity Measurement					
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (+/-%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (+/-%)
Repeatability (n repeats, mid-band)	3.50%	N	1	1	3.500%
Deviation from reference liquid	3.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Network analyser-drift, linearity	2.00%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.155%
Test-port cable variations	0.00%	U	$\sqrt{2}$	1	0.000%
Combined standard uncertainty					4.072%
Expanded uncertainty (confidence level of 95%, k = 2)					8.1%

Page: 5/7

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*



SAR DIELECTRIC PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref. ACR.138.4.33..SATU.A


6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Measurement Condition

Software	LIMESAR
Liquid Temperature	21°C
Lab Temperature	21°C
Lab Humidity	44%

6.1 LIQUID PERMITTIVITY MEASUREMENT

A liquid of known characteristics (methanol at 20°C) is measured with the probe and the results (complex permittivity $\epsilon' + j\epsilon''$) are compared with the well-known theoretical values for this liquid.



Page: 6/7

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*