

EcoFlow Inc.

# TEST REPORT

**SCOPE OF WORK**

FCC TESTING—EFESP32UE

**REPORT NUMBER**

231010031SZN-002

**ISSUE DATE**

10 November 2023

**[REVISED DATE]**

[-----]

**PAGES**

26

**DOCUMENT CONTROL NUMBER**

FCC ID 249\_C

© 2017 INTERTEK



**EcoFlow Inc.**

Application  
For  
Certification

**FCC ID: 2A2P9-ESP32WROOM32E**

**WIFI module**

**Model: EFESP32UE**

**Brand Name:**



**2.4GHz Transceiver**

**Report No.: 231010031SZN-002**

We hereby certify that the sample of the above item is considered to comply with the requirements of FCC Part 15, Subpart C for Intentional Radiator, mention 47 CFR [10-1-21]

Prepared and Checked by:

Approved by:

*Draven Li*  
*Project Engineer*

---

*Ryan Chen*  
*Sr. Project Engineer*  
*Date: 10 November 2023*

This report is for the exclusive use of Intertek's Client and is provided pursuant to the agreement between Intertek and its Client. Intertek's responsibility and liability are limited to the terms and conditions of the agreement. Intertek assumes no liability to any party, other than to the Client in accordance with the agreement, for any loss, expense or damage occasioned by the use of this report. Only the Client is authorized to permit copying or distribution of this report and then only in its entirety. Any use of the Intertek name or one of its marks for the sale or advertisement of the tested material, product or service must first be approved in writing by Intertek. The observations and test results in this report are relevant only to the sample tested. This report by itself does not imply that the material, product, or service is or has ever been under an Intertek certification program.

**Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Longhua Branch**

101, 201, Building B, No. 308 Wuhe Avenue, Zhangkengjing Community, GuanHu Subdistrict, LongHua District, ShenZhen, P.R. China  
Tel: (86 755) 8601 6288 Fax: (86 755) 8601 6751



## Table of Contents

|                                                             |    |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| <b>1.0 Summary of Test Result</b>                           | 4  |
| <b>2.0 General Description</b>                              | 5  |
| 2.1 Product Description                                     | 5  |
| 2.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants                             | 5  |
| 2.3 Test Methodology                                        | 5  |
| 2.4 Test Facility                                           | 5  |
| <b>3.0 System Test Configuration</b>                        | 6  |
| 3.1 Justification                                           | 6  |
| 3.2 EUT Exercising Software                                 | 6  |
| 3.3 Special Accessories                                     | 6  |
| 3.4 Equipment Modification                                  | 6  |
| 3.5 Measurement Uncertainty                                 | 7  |
| 3.6 Support Equipment List and Description                  | 7  |
| <b>4.0 Emission Results</b>                                 | 8  |
| 4.1 Radiated Test Results                                   | 8  |
| 4.1.1 Field Strength Calculation                            | 8  |
| 4.1.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph            | 9  |
| 4.1.3 Radiated Emissions                                    | 9  |
| 4.1.4 Transmitter Spurious Emissions                        | 12 |
| 4.2 Conducted Emission Configuration Photograph             | 16 |
| 4.2.1 Conducted Emission                                    | 16 |
| <b>5.0 Equipment Photographs</b>                            | 19 |
| <b>6.0 Product Labelling</b>                                | 19 |
| <b>7.0 Technical Specifications</b>                         | 19 |
| <b>8.0 Instruction Manual</b>                               | 19 |
| <b>9.0 Miscellaneous Information</b>                        | 20 |
| 9.1 Bandedge Plot                                           | 20 |
| 9.2 20dB Bandwidth                                          | 22 |
| 9.3 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization                     | 23 |
| 9.4 Transmitter Duty Cycle Calculation FCC Rule 15.35(b, c) | 23 |
| 9.5 Emissions Test Procedures                               | 24 |
| <b>10.0 Test Equipment List</b>                             | 26 |

**1.0 Summary of Test Result**

Applicant: EcoFlow Inc.

Address: 1st Floor, Building 1, Plant E, Jiehe Industrial City, Shuitian Community, Shiyan Street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen Guangdong China

Manufacturer: EcoFlow Inc.

Address: 1st Floor, Building 1, Plant E, Jiehe Industrial City, Shuitian Community, Shiyan Street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen Guangdong China

**Model: EFESP32UE**

**FCC ID: 2A2P9-ESP32WROOM32E**

| Test Specification            | Reference              | Results |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Transmitter Radiated Emission | 15.249 &15.209 &15.205 | Pass    |
| Conducted Emission            | 15.207                 | Pass    |
| Band edge                     | 15.249 &15.209 &15.205 | Pass    |
| 20dB Bandwidth                | 15.215(c)              | Pass    |

Note: The EUT uses an Integral Antenna which in accordance to Section 15.203 is considered sufficient to comply with the provisions of this section.

## 2.0 General Description

### 2.1 Product Description

The equipment under test (EUT) is a WIFI module with Bluetooth BLE technology operating in 2402-2480MHz. The EUT is powered by DC 3.3V. For more detail information pls. refer to the user manual.

Bluetooth Version: 4.2 (BLE)

Antenna Type: Integral antenna

Antenna Gain: 6.04 dBi max

Modulation Type: GFSK

For electronic filing, the brief circuit description is saved with filename: descri.pdf.

### 2.2 Related Submittal(s) Grants

This is an application for certification of transceiver for the WIFI module which EDR, BLE and 2.4G WIFI functions. EDR and 2.4G WIFI function were reported in the verification report: 231010031SZN-001, 231010031SZN-003. Other digital functions were reported in the verification report: 231010032SZN-001.

### 2.3 Test Methodology

Both AC mains line-conducted and radiated emission measurements were performed according to the procedures in ANSI C63.10 (2013). Radiated emission measurement was performed in Semi-anechoic chamber and conducted emission measurement was performed in shield room. For radiated emission measurement, preliminary scans were performed in the semi-anechoic chamber only to determine the worst-case modes. All radiated tests were performed at an antenna to EUT distance of 3 meters, unless stated otherwise in the "Justification Section" of this Application. All other measurements were made in accordance with the procedures in part 2 of CFR 47.

### 2.4 Test Facility

The Semi-anechoic chamber and shielding room used to collect the radiated data and conducted data are **Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Longhua Branch** and located at 101, 201, Building B, No. 308 Wuhe Avenue, Zhangkengjing Community, GuanHu Subdistrict, LongHua District, Shenzhen. This test facility and site measurement data have been fully placed on file with File Number: CN1188.

## 3.0 System Test Configuration

### 3.1 Justification

The system was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it), and in the confines as outlined in ANSI C63.10 (2013).

The EUT was powered by DC 3.3V from a laptop during the test, only the worst data was reported in this report.

For maximizing emissions below 30 MHz, the EUT was rotated through 360°, the centre of the loop antenna was placed 1 meter above the ground, and the antenna polarization was changed. For maximizing emissions, the EUT was rotated through 360°, the antenna height was varied from 1 meter to 4 meters above the ground plane, and the antenna polarization was changed. This step by step procedure for maximizing emissions led to the data reported in Section 4.

The EUT and transmitting antenna was centered on the turntable.

The equipment under test (EUT) was configured for testing in a typical fashion (as a customer would normally use it). The EUT was placed on a turn table, which enabled the engineer to maximize emissions through its placement in the three orthogonal axes.

### 3.2 EUT Exercising Software

The EUT exercise program (provided by client) used during radiated and conducted testing was designed to exercise the various system components in a manner similar to a typical use. The worst case configuration is used in all specified testing.

The parameters of test software setting:

During the test, Channel and power controlling software provided by the applicant was used to control the operating channel as well as the output power level. The RF output power selection is for the setting of RF output power expected by the application and is going to be fixed on the firmware of the end product.

Test Software: espRFTool\_2.3

### 3.3 Special Accessories

No special accessories used.

### 3.4 Equipment Modification

Any modifications installed previous to testing by EcoFlow Inc. will be incorporated in each production model sold / leased in the United States.

No modifications were installed by Intertek Testing Services Shenzhen Ltd. Longhua Branch.

### 3.5 Measurement Uncertainty

When determining the test conclusion, the Measurement Uncertainty of test has been considered.

| Measurement Uncertainty           | Uncertainty             |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Channel Bandwidth                 | $\pm 3.46\%$            |
| Spurious emission (Above 18GHz)   | $\pm 5.3\text{dB}$      |
| Spurious emission (6GHz to 18GHz) | $\pm 5.1\text{dB}$      |
| Radiated emission (1GHz to 6GHz)  | $\pm 4.8\text{dB}$      |
| Radiated emission (Up to 1GHz)    | $\pm 4.8\text{dB}$      |
| AC Conducted emission             | $\pm 3.6\text{ dB}$     |
| Temperature                       | $\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ |
| Humidity                          | $\pm 5\%$               |

### 3.6 Support Equipment List and Description

| Description                                     | Manufacturer          | Model No.        |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Laptop<br>(Provided by Intertek)                | DELL                  | Latitude 5420    |
| ComTool (with cable)<br>(Provided by Applicant) | Provided by Applicant | Unshielded, 0.1m |
| Control mainboard<br>(Provided by Applicant)    | ECOFLOW               | --               |

## 4.0 Emission Results

Data is included worst-case configuration (the configuration which resulted in the highest emission levels).

### 4.1 Radiated Test Results

A sample calculation, configuration photographs and data tables of the emissions are included.

#### 4.1.1 Field Strength Calculation

The field strength is calculated by adding the reading on the Spectrum Analyzer to the factors associated with preamplifiers (if any), antennas, cables, pulse desensitization and average factors (when specified limit is in average and measurements are made with peak detectors). A sample calculation is included below.

$$FS = RA + AF + CF - AG + PD + AV$$

Where

- FS = Field Strength in dB $\mu$ V/m
- RA = Receiver Amplitude (including preamplifier) in dB $\mu$ V
- CF = Cable Attenuation Factor in dB
- AF = Antenna Factor in dB/m
- AG = Amplifier Gain in dB
- PD = Pulse Desensitization in dB
- AV = Average Factor in -dB

In the radiated emission table which follows, the reading shown on the data table may reflect the preamplifier gain. An example of the calculations, where the reading does not reflect the preamplifier gain, follows:

$$FS = RA + AF + CF - AG + PD + AV$$

Assume a receiver reading of 62.0 dB $\mu$ V is obtained. The antenna factor of 7.4 dB and cable factor of 1.6 dB is added. The amplifier gain of 29 dB is subtracted. The pulse desensitization factor of the spectrum analyzer was 0 dB, and the resultant average factor was -10 dB. The net field strength for comparison to the appropriate emission limit is 32 dB $\mu$ V/m. This value in dB $\mu$ V/m was converted to its corresponding level in  $\mu$ V/m.

$$RA = 62.0 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V}$$

$$AF = 7.4 \text{ dB/m}$$

$$CF = 1.6 \text{ dB}$$

$$AG = 29.0 \text{ dB}$$

$$PD = 0 \text{ dB}$$

$$AV = -10 \text{ dB}$$

$$FS = 62 + 7.4 + 1.6 - 29 + 0 = 42 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m}$$

$$\text{Level in } \mu\text{V/m} = \text{Common Antilogarithm } [(42 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m})/20] = 125.9 \mu\text{V/m}$$

#### 4.1.2 Radiated Emission Configuration Photograph

For electronic filing, the worst case radiated emission configuration photograph is saved with filename: radiated photos. pdf.

#### 4.1.3 Radiated Emissions

The data on the following page lists the significant emission frequencies, the limit and the margin of compliance. Numbers with a minus sign are below the limit.

Worst Case Radiated Emission  
at  
560.525333 MHz

Judgement: Passed by 17.0 dB

#### **TEST PERSONNEL:**

*Sign on file*

Draven Li, Project Engineer  
*Typed/Printed Name*

12 October 2023  
*Date*

Applicant: EcoFlow Inc.


Date of Test: 12 October 2023

Worst Case Operating Mode:

Model: EFESP32UE

Simultaneous Transmission

ANT Polarity: Horizontal



| Frequency (MHz) | QuasiPeak (dBμV/m) | Meas. Time (ms) | Bandwidth (kHz) | Height (cm) | Polarization | Corr. (dB/m) | Margin - QPK (dB) | Limit - QPK (dBμV/m) |
|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| 106.440000      | 14.4               | 1000.0          | 120.000         | 100.0       | H            | 15.1         | 29.1              | 43.5                 |
| 245.825000      | 18.4               | 1000.0          | 120.000         | 100.0       | H            | 19.1         | 27.6              | 46.0                 |
| 560.525333      | 29.0               | 1000.0          | 120.000         | 100.0       | H            | 29.0         | 17.0              | 46.0                 |

Remark:

1. Corr. (dB/m) = Antenna Factor (dB/m) + Cable Loss (dB)
2. QuasiPeak (dBμV/m) = Corr. (dB/m) + Read Level (dBμV)
3. Margin (dB) = Limit Line(dBμV/m) – Level (dBμV/m)

Applicant: EcoFlow Inc.


Date of Test: 12 October 2023

Worst Case Operating Mode:

Model: EFESP32UE

Simultaneous Transmission

ANT Polarity: Vertical



| Frequency (MHz) | QuasiPeak (dBuV/m) | Meas. Time (ms) | Bandwidth (kHz) | Height (cm) | Polarization | Corr. (dB/m) | Margin - QPK (dB) | Limit - QPK (dBuV/m) |
|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| 103.687667      | 14.3               | 1000.0          | 120.000         | 100.0       | V            | 15.0         | 29.2              | 43.5                 |
| 166.080000      | 16.3               | 1000.0          | 120.000         | 100.0       | V            | 16.7         | 27.2              | 43.5                 |
| 551.666000      | 28.9               | 1000.0          | 120.000         | 100.0       | V            | 29.0         | 17.1              | 46.0                 |

Remark:

1. Corr. (dB/m) = Antenna Factor (dB/m) + Cable Loss (dB)
2. QuasiPeak (dBuV/m) = Corr. (dB/m) + Read Level (dBuV)
3. Margin (dB) = Limit Line(dBuV/m) – Level (dBuV/m)

## 4.1.4 Transmitter Spurious Emissions (Radiated)

Worst Case Radiated Emission  
at  
9920.000 MHz

For electronic filing, the worst case radiated emission configuration photograph is saved with filename: radiated photos. pdf.

The data on the following page lists the significant emission frequencies, the limit and the margin of compliance. Numbers with a minus sign are below the limit. Simultaneous transmission has been tested, but only the worst-case testing data were recorded in the report.

Judgement: Passed by 5.7 dB

**TEST PERSONNEL:**

*Sign on file*

Draven Li, Project Engineer  
*Typed/Printed Name*

12 October 2023  
*Date*

Applicant: EcoFlow Inc.

Date of Test: 12 October 2023

Worst Case Operating Mode:

Model: EFESP32UE

Transmitting

Table 1

**Radiated Emissions**

(2402MHz)

| Polarization | Frequency (MHz) | Reading (dBμV) | Pre-Amp Gain (dB) | Antenna Factor (dB/m) | Net at 3m (dBμV/m) | Peak Limit at 3m (dBμV/m) | Margin (dB) |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| Vertical     | 2402.000        | 105.6          | 36.7              | 28.1                  | 97.0               | 114.0                     | -17.0       |
| Vertical     | 4804.000        | 49.1           | 36.7              | 35.5                  | 47.9               | 74.0                      | -26.1       |
| Vertical     | 7206.000        | 54.4           | 36.1              | 36.5                  | 54.8               | 74.0                      | -19.2       |
| Vertical     | 9608.000        | 57.2           | 36.2              | 37.0                  | 58.0               | 74.0                      | -16.0       |

| Polarization | Frequency (MHz) | Reading (dBμV) | Pre-Amp Gain (dB) | Antenna Factor (dB/m) | Net at 3m (dBμV/m) | Average Limit at 3m (dBμV/m) | Margin (dB) |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| Vertical     | 2402.000        | 96.6           | 36.7              | 28.1                  | 88.0               | 94.0                         | -6.0        |
| Vertical     | 4804.000        | 35.9           | 36.7              | 35.5                  | 34.7               | 54.0                         | -19.3       |
| Vertical     | 7206.000        | 41.4           | 36.1              | 36.5                  | 41.8               | 54.0                         | -12.2       |
| Vertical     | 9608.000        | 44.1           | 36.2              | 37.0                  | 44.9               | 54.0                         | -9.1        |

Notes: 1. Peak detector is used for the emission measurement (RBW=1MHz / VBW=3MHz for Peak value, and RBW=1MHz / VBW=10Hz for Average value; RBW=3MHz is used for fundamental emission measurement).

2. All measurements were made at 3 meter. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3-meter distance were measured at 0.3-meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3-meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.

3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.

4. Horn antenna is used for the emission over 1000MHz.

Test Engineer: Draven Li

Applicant: EcoFlow Inc.

Date of Test: 12 October 2023

Worst Case Operating Mode:

Model: EFESP32UE

Transmitting

Table 2

## Radiated Emissions

(2440MHz)

| Polarization | Frequency (MHz) | Reading (dBμV) | Pre-Amp Gain (dB) | Antenna Factor (dB/m) | Net at 3m (dBμV/m) | Peak Limit at 3m (dBμV/m) | Margin (dB) |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| Vertical     | 2440.000        | 104.7          | 36.7              | 28.1                  | 96.1               | 114.0                     | -17.9       |
| Vertical     | 4880.000        | 49.0           | 36.7              | 35.5                  | 47.8               | 74.0                      | -26.2       |
| Vertical     | 7320.000        | 52.1           | 36.1              | 37.2                  | 53.2               | 74.0                      | -20.8       |
| Vertical     | 9760.000        | 56.4           | 36.2              | 37.0                  | 57.2               | 74.0                      | -16.8       |

| Polarization | Frequency (MHz) | Reading (dBμV) | Pre-Amp Gain (dB) | Antenna Factor (dB/m) | Net at 3m (dBμV/m) | Average Limit at 3m (dBμV/m) | Margin (dB) |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| Vertical     | 2440.000        | 96.1           | 36.7              | 28.1                  | 87.5               | 94.0                         | -6.5        |
| Vertical     | 4880.000        | 37.6           | 36.7              | 35.5                  | 36.4               | 54.0                         | -17.6       |
| Vertical     | 7320.000        | 42.8           | 36.1              | 37.2                  | 43.9               | 54.0                         | -10.1       |
| Vertical     | 9760.000        | 47.1           | 36.2              | 37.0                  | 47.9               | 54.0                         | -6.1        |

Notes: 1. Peak detector is used for the emission measurement (RBW=1MHz / VBW=3MHz for Peak value, and RBW=1MHz / VBW=10Hz for Average value; RBW=3MHz is used for fundamental emission measurement).

2. All measurements were made at 3 meter. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3-meter distance were measured at 0.3-meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3-meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.

3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.

4. Horn antenna is used for the emission over 1000MHz.

Test Engineer: Draven Li

Applicant: EcoFlow Inc.

Date of Test: 12 October 2023

Worst Case Operating Mode:

Model: EFESP32UE

Transmitting

Table 3

## Radiated Emissions

(2480MHz)

| Polarization | Frequency (MHz) | Reading (dBμV) | Pre-Amp Gain (dB) | Antenna Factor (dB/m) | Net at 3m (dBμV/m) | Peak Limit at 3m (dBμV/m) | Margin (dB) |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| Vertical     | 2480.000        | 103.9          | 36.7              | 28.1                  | 95.3               | 114.0                     | -18.7       |
| Vertical     | 4960.000        | 48.2           | 36.7              | 35.5                  | 47.0               | 74.0                      | -27.0       |
| Vertical     | 7440.000        | 53.1           | 36.1              | 37.2                  | 54.2               | 74.0                      | -19.8       |
| Vertical     | 9920.000        | 54.0           | 36.3              | 38.9                  | 56.6               | 74.0                      | -17.4       |

| Polarization | Frequency (MHz) | Reading (dBμV) | Pre-Amp Gain (dB) | Antenna Factor (dB/m) | Net at 3m (dBμV/m) | Average Limit at 3m (dBμV/m) | Margin (dB) |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| Vertical     | 2480.000        | 93.7           | 36.7              | 28.1                  | 85.1               | 94.0                         | -8.9        |
| Vertical     | 4960.000        | 40.9           | 36.7              | 35.5                  | 39.7               | 54.0                         | -14.3       |
| Vertical     | 7440.000        | 41.9           | 36.1              | 37.2                  | 43.0               | 54.0                         | -11.0       |
| Vertical     | 9920.000        | 45.7           | 36.3              | 38.9                  | 48.3               | 54.0                         | -5.7        |

Notes: 1. Peak detector is used for the emission measurement (RBW=1MHz / VBW=3MHz for Peak value, and RBW=1MHz / VBW=10Hz for Average value; RBW=3MHz is used for fundamental emission measurement).

2. All measurements were made at 3 meter. Harmonic emissions not detected at the 3-meter distance were measured at 0.3-meter and an inverse proportional extrapolation was performed to compare the signal level to the 3-meter limit. No other harmonic emissions than those reported were detected at a test distance of 0.3-meter.

3. Negative value in the margin column shows emission below limit.

4. Horn antenna is used for the emission over 1000MHz.

Test Engineer: Draven Li

#### 4.2 Conducted Emission Configuration Photograph

For electronic filing, the worst case radiated emission configuration photographs are saved with filename: conducted photos.pdf.

##### 4.2.1 Conducted Emission

Worst Case Conducted Configuration  
at  
0.158000MHz

Judgement: Passed by 12.1dB margin

#### **TEST PERSONNEL:**

*Sign on file*

Draven Li, Project Engineer  
*Typed/Printed Name*

12 October 2023  
*Date*

Applicant: EcoFlow Inc.

Date of Test: 12 October 2023

Model: EFESP32UE


Worst Case Operating Mode: Simultaneous Transmission

Phase: Live

Test Voltage: AC 120V/60Hz

## Graphic / Data Table

### Conducted Emissions Pursuant to FCC 15.207: Emissions Requirement



#### Result Table QP

| Frequency (MHz) | QuasiPeak (dBuV) | Bandwidth (kHz) | Line | Corr. (dB) | Margin (dB) | Limit (dBuV) |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| 0.158000        | 53.5             | 9.000           | L1   | 9.6        | 12.1        | 65.6         |
| 0.202000        | 44.9             | 9.000           | L1   | 9.6        | 18.6        | 63.5         |
| 0.530000        | 31.6             | 9.000           | L1   | 9.7        | 24.4        | 56.0         |
| 3.774000        | 37.6             | 9.000           | L1   | 9.8        | 18.4        | 56.0         |
| 4.986000        | 34.8             | 9.000           | L1   | 9.8        | 21.2        | 56.0         |
| 13.402000       | 36.9             | 9.000           | L1   | 10.1       | 23.1        | 60.0         |

#### Result Table AV

| Frequency (MHz) | Average (dBuV) | Bandwidth (kHz) | Line | Corr. (dB) | Margin (dB) | Limit (dBuV) |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| 0.158000        | 40.3           | 9.000           | L1   | 9.6        | 15.3        | 55.6         |
| 0.202000        | 33.0           | 9.000           | L1   | 9.6        | 20.5        | 53.5         |
| 0.530000        | 24.8           | 9.000           | L1   | 9.7        | 21.2        | 46.0         |
| 3.774000        | 22.2           | 9.000           | L1   | 9.8        | 23.8        | 46.0         |
| 4.986000        | 27.4           | 9.000           | L1   | 9.8        | 18.6        | 46.0         |
| 13.402000       | 30.0           | 9.000           | L1   | 10.1       | 20.0        | 50.0         |

Applicant: EcoFlow Inc.

Date of Test: 12 October 2023

Model: EFESP32UE


Worst Case Operating Mode: Simultaneous Transmission

Phase: Neutral

Test Voltage: AC 120V/60Hz

## Graphic / Data Table

### Conducted Emissions Pursuant to FCC 15.207: Emissions Requirement



#### Result Table QP

| Frequency (MHz) | QuasiPeak (dBuV) | Bandwidth (kHz) | Line | Corr. (dB) | Margin (dB) | Limit (dBuV) |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| 0.154000        | 51.5             | 9.000           | N    | 9.6        | 14.3        | 65.8         |
| 0.310000        | 37.2             | 9.000           | N    | 9.6        | 22.8        | 60.0         |
| 0.634000        | 34.2             | 9.000           | N    | 9.6        | 21.8        | 56.0         |
| 3.422000        | 34.9             | 9.000           | N    | 9.7        | 21.1        | 56.0         |
| 4.754000        | 32.5             | 9.000           | N    | 9.8        | 23.5        | 56.0         |
| 14.038000       | 32.1             | 9.000           | N    | 10.1       | 27.9        | 60.0         |

#### Result Table AV

| Frequency (MHz) | Average (dBuV) | Bandwidth (kHz) | Line | Corr. (dB) | Margin (dB) | Limit (dBuV) |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| 0.154000        | 37.0           | 9.000           | N    | 9.6        | 18.8        | 55.8         |
| 0.310000        | 30.2           | 9.000           | N    | 9.6        | 19.8        | 50.0         |
| 0.634000        | 28.0           | 9.000           | N    | 9.6        | 18.0        | 46.0         |
| 3.422000        | 21.8           | 9.000           | N    | 9.7        | 24.2        | 46.0         |
| 4.754000        | 26.9           | 9.000           | N    | 9.8        | 19.1        | 46.0         |
| 14.038000       | 26.3           | 9.000           | N    | 10.1       | 23.7        | 50.0         |

## 5.0 Equipment Photographs

For electronic filing, the photographs of the tested EUT are saved with filename: external photos.pdf & internal photos.pdf.

## 6.0 Product Labelling

For electronic filing, the FCC ID label artwork and the label location are saved with filename: label.pdf.

## 7.0 Technical Specifications

For electronic filing, the block diagram and schematics of the tested EUT are saved with filename: block.pdf and circuit.pdf respectively.

## 8.0 Instruction Manual

For electronic filing, a preliminary copy of the Instruction Manual is saved with filename: manual.pdf.

This manual will be provided to the end-user with each unit sold/leased in the United States.

## 9.0 Miscellaneous Information

This miscellaneous information includes details of the measured bandedge, 20dB Bandwidth, the test procedure and calculation of factor such as pulse desensitization.

### 9.1 Bandedge Plot

The test plots are attached as below. From the below plots, the field strength of any emissions outside of the specified frequency band are attenuated to the general radiated emission limits in section 15.209. It fulfils the requirement of 15.249(d).

#### Peak Measurement

Bandedge compliance is determined by applying marker-delta method, i.e (Bandedge Plot).

#### **(i) Lowest frequency channel (2402MHz):**

Peak Resultant field strength = Fundamental emissions (peak value) – delta from the bandedge plot

$$\begin{aligned} &= 97.0 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m} - 49.69 \text{ dB} \\ &= 47.31 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m} \end{aligned}$$

Average Resultant field strength = Fundamental emissions (average value) – delta from the bandedge plot

$$\begin{aligned} &= 88.0 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m} - 49.69 \text{ dB} \\ &= 38.31 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m} \end{aligned}$$

#### **(ii) Highest frequency channel (2480MHz):**

Peak Resultant field strength = Fundamental emissions (peak value) – delta from the bandedge plot


$$\begin{aligned} &= 95.3 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m} - 51.31 \text{ dB} \\ &= 43.99 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m} \end{aligned}$$

Average Resultant field strength = Fundamental emissions (average value) – delta from the bandedge plot


$$\begin{aligned} &= 85.1 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m} - 51.31 \text{ dB} \\ &= 33.79 \text{ dB}\mu\text{V/m} \end{aligned}$$

The resultant field strength meets the general radiated emission limit in section 15.209, which does not exceed 74dBμV/m (Peak Limit) and 54dBμV/m (Average Limit).

## Lowest frequency Channel





## Highest frequency Channel



## 9.2 20dB bandwidth

Pursuant to FCC part 15 Section 15.215(c), the 20dB bandwidth of the emission was contained within the frequency band designated (mentioned as above) which the EUT operated. The effects, if any, from frequency sweeping, frequency hopping, other modulation techniques and frequency stability over excepted variations in temperature and supply voltage were considered. The test plots are reported as below.



### 9.3 Discussion of Pulse Desensitization

Pulse desensitivity is not applicable for this device since the transmitter transmits the RF signal continuously.

### 9.4 Transmitter Duty Cycle Calculation, FCC Rule 15.35(b, c)

The EUT antenna output port was connected to the input of the spectrum analyzer. The analyzer center frequency was set to EUT RF channel carrier. The SWEP function on the analyzer was set to ZERO SPAN. The Transmitter ON time was determined from the resultant time-amplitude display:

|   |                                                                 |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
|   | See attached spectrum analyzer chart (s) for Transmitter timing |
|   | See Transmitter timing diagram provided by manufacturer         |
| x | Not applicable, duty cycle was not used.                        |

## 9.5 Emissions Test Procedures

The following is a description of the test procedure used by Intertek Testing Services in the measurements of transmitters operating under Part 15, Subpart C rules.

The test set-up and procedures described below are designed to meet the requirements of ANSI C63.10 - 2013.

The transmitting equipment under test (EUT) is placed on a styrene turntable which is four feet in diameter and approximately 0.8 meter up to 1GHz and 1.5 meter above 1GHz in height above the ground plane. During the radiated emissions test, the turntable is rotated and any cables leaving the EUT are manipulated to find the configuration resulting in maximum emissions. The EUT is adjust through all three orthogonal axes to obtain maximum emission levels. The antenna height and polarization are varied during the testing to search for maximum signal levels.

Detector function for radiated emissions is in peak mode. Average readings, when required, are taken by measuring the duty cycle of the equipment under test and subtracting the corresponding amount in dB from the measured peak readings. A detailed description for the calculation of the average factor can be found in section 9.4.

The frequency range scanned is from the lowest radio frequency signal generated in the device which is greater than 9 kHz to the tenth harmonic of the highest fundamental frequency or 40 GHz, whichever is lower.

Detector function for conducted emissions is in QP & AV mode and IFBW setting is 9 kHz from the frequency band 150 kHz to 30MHz.

## 9.5 Emissions Test Procedures (cont'd)

The EUT is warmed up for 15 minutes prior to the test.

AC power to the unit is varied from 85% to 115% nominal and variation in the fundamental emission field strength is recorded. If battery powered, a new, fully charged battery is used.

Conducted measurements are made as described in ANSI C63.10 - 2013.

The IF bandwidth used for measurement of radiated signal strength was 10 kHz for emission below 30 MHz and 120 kHz for emission from 30 MHz to 1000 MHz. Where pulsed transmissions of short enough pulse duration warrant, a greater bandwidth is selected according to the recommendations of Hewlett Packard Application Note 150-2. Above 1000 MHz, a resolution bandwidth of 1 MHz is used (RBW 3MHz used for fundamental emission).

Transmitter measurements are normally conducted at a measurement distance of three meters. However, to assure low enough noise floor in the restricted bands and above 1 GHz, signals are acquired at a distance of one meter or less. All measurements are extrapolated to three meters using inverse scaling, but those measurements taken at a closer distance are so marked.

## 10.0 Test Equipment List

| Equipment No. | Equipment          | Manufacturer  | Model No.         | Serial No.     | Cal. Date   | Due Date    |
|---------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| SZ061-12      | BiConiLog Antenna  | ETS           | 3142E             | 00166158       | 04-Aug-2021 | 04-Aug-2024 |
| SZ185-03      | EMI Receiver       | R&S           | ESCI              | 100547         | 27-Apr-2023 | 27-Apr-2024 |
| SZ061-08      | Horn Antenna       | ETS           | 3115              | 00092346       | 05-Sep-2021 | 05-Sep-2024 |
| SZ056-03      | Spectrum Analyzer  | R&S           | FSP 30            | 101148         | 27-Apr-2023 | 27-Apr-2024 |
| SZ056-06      | Signal Analyzer    | R&S           | FSV 40            | 101101         | 19-Dec-2022 | 19-Dec-2023 |
| SZ181-04      | Preamplifier       | Agilent       | 8449B             | 3008A02474     | 27-Apr-2023 | 27-Apr-2024 |
| SZ188-01      | Anechoic Chamber   | ETS           | RFD-F/A-100       | 4102           | 12-Dec-2021 | 12-Dec-2024 |
| SZ062-23      | RF Cable           | RADIAL        | SF104PE           | --             | 26-Sep-2023 | 26-Sep-2024 |
| SZ062-35      | RF Cable           | RADIAL        | A50-3.5M3.5M-8M   | --             | 17-Oct-2022 | 17-Oct-2023 |
| SZ062-30      | RF Cable           | RADIAL        | A50-3.5M3.5M-4.5M | --             | 25-Sep-2023 | 25-Sep-2024 |
| SZ067-04      | Notch Filter       | Micro-Tronics | BRM50702-02       | --             | 27-Apr-2023 | 27-Apr-2024 |
| SZ185-02      | EMI Test Receiver  | R&S           | ESCI              | 100692         | 11-Jul-2023 | 11-Jul-2024 |
| SZ187-01      | Two-Line V-Network | R&S           | ENV216            | 100072         | 24-Oct-2022 | 24-Oct-2023 |
| SZ187-02      | Two-Line V-Network | R&S           | ENV216            | 100072         | 27-Apr-2023 | 27-Apr-2024 |
| SZ062-16      | RF Cable           | HUBER+SUHNER  | CBL2-BN-1m        | 110127-2231000 | 11-Jul-2023 | 11-Jul-2024 |
| SZ188-03      | Shielding Room     | ETS           | RFD-100           | 4100           | 20-Dec-2022 | 20-Dec-2025 |

\*\*\*\*\* End of Report\*\*\*\*\*